

This is a repository copy of *Biology as an agent of chemical and mineralogical change in soil*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:  
<http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/80351/>

Version: Published Version

---

**Proceedings Paper:**

Hodson, Mark Edward [orcid.org/0000-0002-8166-1526](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-8166-1526) (2014) Biology as an agent of chemical and mineralogical change in soil. In: *Procedia Earth and Planetary Science*. Elsevier Science , pp. 114-117.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.proeps.2014.08.039>

---

**Reuse**

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND) licence. This licence only allows you to download this work and share it with others as long as you credit the authors, but you can't change the article in any way or use it commercially. More information and the full terms of the licence here: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/>

**Takedown**

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing [eprints@whiterose.ac.uk](mailto:eprints@whiterose.ac.uk) including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.

Geochemistry of the Earth's Surface meeting, GES-10

## Biology as an agent of chemical and mineralogical change in soil

Mark E Hodson<sup>a\*</sup>, Stuart Black<sup>b</sup>, Loredana Brinza<sup>c</sup>, Daniel Carpenter<sup>d</sup>, Denise C Lambkin<sup>e</sup>, J Fred W Mosselmans<sup>c</sup>, Barbara Palumbo-Roe<sup>f</sup>, Paul F Schofield<sup>g</sup>, Tom Sizmur<sup>h</sup>, Emma AA Versteegh<sup>e</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Environment Department, University of York, YO10 5DD, UK

<sup>b</sup>Department of Archaeology, University of Reading, RG6 6AB, UK

<sup>c</sup>Diamond Light Source Ltd., Harwell Science and Innovation Campus, Chilton, Didcot, OX11 0DE, UK

<sup>d</sup>Bracknell Forest Borough Council, Bracknell, RG12 1JD, UK

<sup>e</sup>Department of Geography and Environmental Science, University of Reading, RG6 6AB, UK

<sup>f</sup>British Geological Survey, Kingsley Dunham Centre, Keyworth, Nottingham, NG12 5GG, UK

<sup>g</sup>Mineral and Planetary Sciences, Department of Earth Sciences, Natural History Museum, Cromwell Road, London SW7 5BD, UK

<sup>h</sup>Rothamsted Research, Harpenden, Hertfordshire, AL5 2JQ, UK

---

### Abstract

Earthworms have a significant impact on the functioning of soils and the processes that occur within them. Here we review our work on the impact of earthworms on soil mineralogy and chemistry, in particular focusing on the contribution of earthworms to mineral weathering and calcium carbonate in soils and the impact that earthworms have on metal mobility at contaminated sites.

© 2014 Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license

(<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/>).

Peer-review under responsibility of the Scientific Committee of GES-10

**Keywords:** Earthworms; weathering; calcium carbonate; calcite; metal contamination

---

### 1. Introduction

It is well established that earthworms make a significant contribution to a variety of soil processes that give rise to ecosystem services<sup>1</sup>. Their burrowing activity aerates the soil and enhances water drainage, they break down organic

---

\* Corresponding author. Tel.: +44 (0)1904 322999; fax: +44 (0)1904 322998.

E-mail address: [mark.hodson@york.ac.uk](mailto:mark.hodson@york.ac.uk)

matter consequently releasing nutrients and also mix the soil. Here we briefly review our work on two additional, less well established impacts that earthworms have: changes to soil mineralogy and contaminant mobility.

## 2. Earthworms and mineral weathering

The primary minerals anorthite, biotite and olivine (obtained commercially, ground and sieved to 63 – 250 micron) and the secondary minerals kaolinite, illite and smectite, together with ground manure were used to make artificial soils in which earthworms which occupy three contrasting ecological niches - *Eisenia veneta* (lives in the litter layer), *Allolobophora chlorotica* (lives in mineral-rich soil) and *Lumbricus terrestris* (lives in semi-permanent vertical burrows) – were cultivated<sup>2,3</sup>. For *E. veneta* and *A. chlorotica*, 3 g of mineral and 7 g of manure were mixed and a single earthworm was added to the mixture. For the *Lumbricus terrestris* experiments individual earthworms were kept in 5 cm diameter, 50 cm long tubes containing 30 g of mineral mixed with 270 g of a quartz-dominated sandy loam. Earthworm-free controls were also run. After 1, 2, 4 and 6 months samples were analysed by X-ray diffraction to test for changes in mineralogy. Reductions in peak intensity and broadening of peaks in X-ray traces for the minerals of interest in samples from earthworm treatments compared with controls was taken as evidence of earthworm-enhanced weathering (Table 1). The least amount of weathering was detected for *L. terrestris*. However, this is most likely due to detection issues. The dilution of the mineral of interest by the sandy loam resulted in the XRD signal being dominated by quartz from the sandy loam so that any changes in the trace from the mineral of interest might not have been detected. The results from *E. veneta* and *A. chlorotica* clearly demonstrated that earthworms can accelerate mineral weathering with the effect being more marked in the primary silicates than the secondary minerals. As with much mineral weathering research the challenge lies in translating these results into a firm understanding of the significance of this impact in field scenarios.

Table 1. A summary showing the month (1, 2, 4 or 6) after which increased weathering relative to earthworm-free controls was detected on the basis of peak intensity reduction and / or peak broadening in XRD traces. ? indicates inconclusive evidence for weathering. X indicates no weathering detected. NT indicates mineral was not tested

Mineral	<i>E. veneta</i>	<i>A. chlorotica</i>	<i>L. terrestris</i>
Olivine	?2	NT	NT
Anorthite	2	1	NT
Biotite	4	1	X
Smectite	1	2	X
Kaolinite	4	NT	NT
Illite	NT	X	X

## 3. Earthworms and calcium carbonate

Many species of earthworm secrete granules of calcium carbonate into the soil with the carbonate most likely being produced to regulate internal pH<sup>4</sup>. The calcium carbonate is primarily calcite but aragonite, vaterite and (surprisingly stable) amorphous calcium carbonate may also be present<sup>5-9</sup>. When we have cultured the earthworm *Lumbricus terrestris* in field-sampled metal-rich soils and metal-amended soils we have also detected the presence of cerussite (PbCO<sub>3</sub>) and Pb-bearing calcite<sup>7</sup>, Sr-rich carbonate<sup>8</sup> and Zn-bearing calcite<sup>9</sup> in the granules. Using X-ray diffraction and X-ray spectroscopy we have shown that whereas Sr is incorporated into the calcite during formation of the granules<sup>8</sup>, the majority of the Pb adsorbs to the granule outer surface prior to secretion of the granules into the soil with some subsequent solid state diffusion into the granules<sup>7</sup> and the majority of the Zn adsorbs to granules post-secretion<sup>9</sup>. The presence of Pb in the granules appears to favour the formation of small amounts of aragonite whilst no aragonite was detected in granules produced by earthworms cultivated in Sr- and Zn-bearing soil. This may be due to the similar crystal structure of cerussite and aragonite whereas strontianite (SrCO<sub>3</sub>) and smithsonite (ZnCO<sub>3</sub>) have the calcite structure<sup>9</sup>. Granule secreting earthworms can flourish at metal contaminated sites<sup>10-14</sup>. This makes it tempting to speculate that metal incorporation and adsorption onto granules may act as a detoxification mechanism

for the earthworms and / or impact on metal mobility at contaminated sites (due to incorporation or adsorption of metals into relatively insoluble carbonate phases) this appears unlikely due to rates of granule production.

Granule production rates lie in the range 0 – 4.3 mg calcite earthworm<sup>-1</sup> day<sup>-1</sup> increasing with soil pH<sup>15</sup>, temperature<sup>4</sup> and CO<sub>2</sub><sup>4</sup>. Predicted total mass of granules produced per unit area of land depends on estimates of earthworm density but, for contaminated soils and the concentrations of metals recorded in the granules from our metal-rich soil experiments (up to 1577 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> Pb<sup>7</sup>, 34 200 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> Sr<sup>8</sup> and 164 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> Zn<sup>9</sup>) the mass of metal associated with the calcium carbonate is an insignificant percentage of the total metal in the soil. Similarly, these rates of granule production mean that the granules do not represent a large reservoir of soil C. However, the flux of Ca and trace metals due to granule production may be significant compared to other environmental fluxes (Table 2).

Table 2. Elemental flux rates due to granule production and mineral weathering, plant uptake, deposition and runoff. Granule fluxes are calculated from a production rate of 0.05 – 4.3 mg calcite earthworm<sup>-1</sup> day<sup>-1</sup> (REF<sup>15</sup>) and an earthworm density of 200 individuals m<sup>-2</sup> with 1 in 5 earthworms being granule producing. Granule chemistry (5 ± 1 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> Pb, 345 ± 23 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> Sr, 10 ± 2 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> Zn) is for granules produced in an uncontaminated agricultural soil<sup>5</sup>. Other fluxes are taken almost at random from published literature but give an idea of the order of magnitude of the fluxes.

Elemental flux in mg m <sup>-2</sup> a <sup>-1</sup>	Ca	Pb	Sr	Zn
Granule production	280 – 25000	0.004 – 0.315	0.2 – 21.8	0.007 – 0.63
Mineral weathering	80 – 3000 <sup>A</sup>	?	2.7 <sup>A</sup>	?
Plant uptake	50 – 1500 <sup>A</sup>	3.4 <sup>B</sup>	7.2 <sup>A</sup>	27 <sup>B</sup>
Deposition	200 – 400 <sup>C</sup>	2 – 3 <sup>C</sup>	0.8 <sup>A</sup>	5 – 10 <sup>C</sup>
Catchment runoff	2300 <sup>D</sup>	0.04 – 0.36 <sup>E</sup>	10 <sup>D</sup>	0.96 <sup>F</sup>

A Watmough (2014) Biogeochemistry 118 357-369; B Bergkvist (1987) Wat Air Soil Pollut 33 131-154; C DEFRA monitoring data; D Durand et al (1994) J Hydrol 157 139-156; E Bringmark et al (2013) Wat Air Soil Pollut 224:1502; F Ukonmaanaho et al (2001) Environ Pollut 114 63-75;

The preservation of granules in soils is dependent on the saturation state of the soil solution with respect to calcium carbonate<sup>15</sup>. Under the right conditions granules can survive in soils for many thousands of years<sup>15, 16</sup>. Under these conditions they can constitute a not inconsiderable reservoir of soil Ca<sup>15</sup>. More excitingly, we have shown that the oxygen isotopes in the granules vary systematically with the temperature at which the granules formed and that individual granules can be dated using U/Th dating<sup>16</sup>. This suggests that granules could be used in palaeoclimate reconstructions. At present application of our palaeothermometer requires knowledge of the oxygen isotope composition of the soil solution in which the earthworms were living. This has to be estimated on the basis of latitudinal position. However we are currently investigating the use of clumped isotope analysis of the granules to get around this. Should our clumped isotope work be successful we will have both a working palaeothermometer and a means to use the granule isotope systematics to determine the isotopic composition of the past soil solution.

#### 4. Earthworms and metal mobility

The activity of earthworms in contaminated soils increases metal mobility and uptake in plants<sup>17</sup>. Our research indicates that it is the breakdown of organic matter leading to an increase in dissolved organic carbon, release of organic matter bound metals and a reduction in pH that results in the increased mobility of metals<sup>18-21</sup>. A concern then becomes whether earthworm activity can impact on the efficiency of amendments of organic matter or biochar added to metal-contaminated soil as a remedial treatment. In our experiments this is not the case, most likely due to the amendments buffering or swamping any affect that the earthworms have on the soil<sup>22</sup>. It is also interesting to note that, in the presence of earthworms plant growth increased, despite an increase in metal uptake. This suggests that the release of nutrients was a more important effect of the earthworm activity than the release of contaminants, at least as far as impacts on plant growth are concerned.

## 5. Summary

Earthworms have a significant impact on soil chemistry and mineralogy. Incorporating the effects of soil biology into models of soil function and evolution requires an understanding of the causes of these effects but also an understanding of the distribution and behavior of soil organisms. In recognition of this fact we are currently developing agent based models that allow us to predict the behavior and distribution of earthworms in soils<sup>23</sup>.

## Acknowledgements

Research referred to in this abstract was funded by the BBSRC (BBD5267291) and NERC (NER/S/A/2001/06327, NER/S/A/2004/12417, NER/S/A/2004/12418, NE/F009623/1, NE/H021914/1) with support from the British Geological Survey and the Diamond Light Source.

## References

- Blouin M, Hodson ME, Delgado EA, Baker G, Brussard L, Butt KR, Dai J, Dendooven L, Peres G, Tondoh JE, Cluzeau D, Brun J-J. A review of earthworm impact on soil function and ecosystem services. *Eur J Soil Sci* 2013;**64**:161-182.
- Carpenter D, Hodson ME, Eggleton P, Kirk C. Earthworm induced mineral weathering: preliminary results. *Eur J Soil Biol* 2007;**43**:S176–183.
- Carpenter D. *Influence of earthworms on the physical and chemical weathering of soil minerals*. PhD thesis, University of Reading.
- Versteegh EAA, Black S, Hodson ME. Environmental controls on the production of calcium carbonate by earthworms. *Soil Biol Biochem* 2014;**70**:159-161.
- Lee MR, Hodson ME, Langworthy GN. Earthworms produce granules of intricately zoned calcite. *Geology* 2008;**36**:943–946.
- Brinza L, Schofield PF, Hodson ME, Weller S, Ignatyev K, Geraki K, Quinn PD, Mosselmans JFW. Combining  $\mu$ XANES and  $\mu$ XRD mapping to analyse the heterogeneity in calcium carbonate granules excreted by the earthworm *Lumbricus terrestris*. *J Synchrotron Rad* 2014;**21**:235-241.
- Fraser A, Lambkin DC, Lee MR, Schofield PF, Mosselmans JFW, Hodson, ME. Incorporation of lead into calcium carbonate granules secreted by earthworms living in lead contaminated soils. *Geochim Cosmochim Acta* 2011;**75**:2544–2556.
- Brinza L, Quinn PD, Mosselmans JFW, Schofield PF, Hodson ME. Incorporation of strontium in earthworm-secreted calcium carbonate granules produced in strontium-amended and strontium-bearing soil. *Geochim Cosmochim Acta* 2013;**113**:21-37.
- Brinza L, Schofield PF, Mosselmans JFW, Donner E, Lombi E, Paterson D, Hodson, ME. Could earthworm-secreted calcium carbonate incorporate Zn in contaminated soils? *Soil Biol Biochem* 2014;**74**:1-10.
- Andre J, Charnock J, Stürzenbaum SR, Kille P, Morgan AJ, Hodson ME. Accumulated metal speciation in earthworm populations with multi-generational exposure to metalliferous soils: cell fractionation and high energy synchrotron analyses. *Environ Sci Technol* 2009;**43**:6822–6829.
- Andre J, King RA, Stürzenbaum SR, Kille P, Hodson ME, Morgan AJ. Molecular genetic differentiation in earthworms inhabiting a heterogeneous Pb-polluted landscape. *Environ Pollut* 2010;**158**:883–890
- Andre J, Stürzenbaum SR, Kille P, Morgan AJ, Hodson ME. Metal bioaccumulation and cellular fractionation in an epigeic earthworm (*Lumbricus rubellus*): the interactive influences of population exposure histories, site-specific geochemistry and mitochondrial genotype. *Soil Biol Biochem* 2010;**42**:1566-1573.
- Arnold RE, Hodson ME, Langdon CJ. A Cu tolerant population of the earthworm *Dendrodrilus rubidus* Savigny at Coniston Copper mines, Cumbria, UK. *Environ Pollut* 2008;**152**:713–722.
- Arnold RE, Hodson ME, Charnock JM, Peijnenburg WJGM. Comparison of sub-cellular partitioning, distribution and internal speciation of Cu between Cu-tolerant and naïve populations of *Dendrodrilus rubidus* Savigny. *Environ Sci Technol* 2008;**42**:3900-3905.
- Lambkin DC, Gwilliam KH, Layton C, Canti MG, Pearce TG, Hodson, ME. Production and dissolution rates of earthworm-secreted calcium carbonate. *Pedobiol* 2011;**54S**:S119-S129.
- Versteegh EAA, Black S, Canti MG, Hodson ME. Earthworm secreted calcium carbonate – a new palaeothermometer? *Geochim Cosmochim Acta* 2013;**123**:351-357.
- Sizmur T, Hodson ME. Do earthworms impact metal mobility and availability in soil? A review. *Environ Pollut* 2009;**157**:1981–1989.
- Sizmur T, Palumbo-Roe B, Hodson ME. Impact of earthworms on trace element solubility in contaminated mine soils amended with green waste compost. *Environ Pollut* 2011;**159**:1852–1860.
- Sizmur T, Palumbo-Roe B, Watts MJ, Hodson ME. Impact of *Lumbricus terrestris* (L.) on As, Cu, Pb and Zn mobility and speciation in contaminated soils. *Environ Pollut* 2011;**159**:742-748.
- Sizmur T, Tilston EL, Charnock J, Palumbo-Roe B, Hodson ME. Impacts of epigeic, anecic and endogeic earthworms on metal and metalloid mobility and availability. *J Environ Monit* 2011;**13**:266-273.
- Sizmur TP, Watts MJ, Brown GD, Palumbo-Roe B, Hodson ME. Impact of gut passage and mucus secretion by the earthworm *Lumbricus terrestris* on mobility and speciation of arsenic in contaminated soil. *J Hazard Mater* 2011;**197**:169–175.
- Sizmur T, Wingate J, Hutchings T, Hodson ME. *Lumbricus terrestris* L. does not impact on the remediation efficiency of compost and biochar amendments. *Pedobiol* 2011;**54S**:S211-S216.
- Johnston ASA, Hodson ME, Thorbek P, Alvarez T, Sibly RM. An energy budget agent-based model of earthworm populations and its application to study the effects of pesticides. *Ecol Model* 2014;**280**:5-17.