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Abstract

The Triassic Moenkopi Formation in the Salt Anticline Region, SE Utah represents the preserved record of a low-relief ephemeral fluvial system that accumulated in a series of actively subsiding salt-walled mini-basins. Development and evolution of the fluvial system and its resultant preserved architecture was controlled by: (i) the inherited state of the basin geometry at the time of commencement of sedimentation; (ii) the rate of sediment delivery to the developing basins; (iii) the orientation of fluvial pathways relative to the salt walls that bounded the basins; (iv) spatially and temporally variable rates and styles of mini-basin subsidence and associated salt-wall uplift, and (iv) temporal changes in regional climate. Detailed outcrop-based tectono-stratigraphic analyses demonstrate how three coevally developing mini-basins and their intervening salt walls evolved in response to progressive sediment loading of a succession of Pennsylvanian salt (the Paradox Formation) by the younger Moenkopi Formation, deposits of which record a dryland fluvial system in which flow was primarily directed parallel to a series of elongate salt walls. In some mini-basins, fluvial channel elements are stacked vertically within and along the central basin axes, in response to preferential salt withdrawal and resulting subsidence. In other basins, rim synclines have developed adjacent to bounding salt walls and these served as loci for accumulation of stacked fluvial channel complexes. Neighbouring mini-basins exhibit different styles of infill at equivalent stratigraphic levels: sand-poor basins dominated by fine-grained, sheet-like sandstone fluvial elements, which are representative of non-channelised flow processes, apparently developed synchronously with neighbouring sand-prone basins dominated by
major fluvial channel-belts, demonstrating effective partitioning of sediment route-ways by surface topography generated by uplifting salt walls. Reworked gypsum clasts present in parts of the stratigraphy demonstrate the subaerial exposure of some salt walls, and their partial erosion and reworking into the fill of adjoining mini-basins during accumulation of the Moenkopi Formation. Complex spatial changes in preserved stratigraphic thickness of four members in the Moenkopi Formation, both within and between mini-basins, demonstrates a complex relationship between the location and timing of subsidence and the infill of the generated accommodation by fluvial processes.
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**Introduction**
Globally, there exist in excess of 120 provinces where the action of salt tectonics has governed basin formation and influenced the style of sediment infill (Hudec & Jackson, 2007). Documented examples record the development of both passive and reactive salt structures associated with either extension or compression, and the development of structures related to differential loading and flexural buckling of overburden (Vendeville & Jackson, 1992a, b; Jackson et al., 1994, Waltham, 1997). The initiation of salt mobilisation and the onset of salt-related mini-basin development due to subsurface salt withdrawal into adjacent salt walls is triggered by a variety of factors, including buoyancy, differential loading (Ge et al., 1997), thermal convection, and the presence of extensional or contractional tectonic regimes (Jackson & Talbot, 1986; Waltham, 1997; Hudec et al., 2009; Ings & Beaumont, 2010; Fuchs et al., 2011).

The growth and evolution of salt walls in the subsurface can result in a variety of surface topographic expressions, the form of which is dependent on: (i) the rates and styles of mini-basin subsidence and associated salt-wall uplift, which combine to generate accommodation; and (ii) the rate of sedimentation that serves to fill accommodation. Topographic surface expressions arising from the growth of salt-structures at depth can assume a variety of forms,
including subtle swells, ridges and walls, each of which act to deform overlying strata to generate surface expression, and piercement structures where the deforming salt itself breaches the surface (Trusheim, 1960; Ala, 1974; Jackson & Talbot 1986; Jackson et al., 1990; Davison et al., 1996a; Lawton & Buck, 2006). The architecture and location of salt structures at depth are commonly controlled by pre-existing basement structures such as inherited fault arrays, whereby salt-wall development tends to be triggered above or immediately adjacent to points of differential salt thickness (Cater, 1970; Smith et al., 1993; Doelling, 2002a). Evolving salt-walls and adjacent mini-basins therefore develop with a range of planform geometries and surface expressions including parallel, elongate linear ridges such as those present in the Salt Anticline Region of SE Utah (Harrison 1927; Dane, 1935; Trudgill 2011) and the South Urals mini-basins (Newell et al., 2012), or complex interacting polygonal patterns such as those of the Triassic of the Central North Sea (Smith et al., 1993; Stewart, 2007) and the Permo-Triassic Pre-Caspian Basin of Kazakhstan (Barde et al., 2002; Volozh et al., 2003).

Once salt movement has been initiated, mini-basin subsidence due to salt withdrawal into adjacent, growing salt walls is enhanced by the effects of sediment loading as active depositional systems accumulate strata in evolving mini-basin depocentres (Jackson & Talbot, 1986; Hudec et al., 2009), a process referred to as downbuilding (Barton, 1933). Once initiated, salt-walled mini-basins tend to evolve rapidly with documented subsidence rates up to 10 km.Ma⁻¹ (Prather, 2009) allowing thick accumulations of strata to be preserved over relatively short episodes of geologic time. Where salt-wall growth generates a surface topographic expression, it dictates processes of sedimentation and styles of accumulation of sedimentary architecture by controlling surface sedimentary processes, including sediment distribution route-ways, and by controlling complex spatial and temporal trends in the rate of creation of accommodation.

Examples of currently active halokinesis include the Zagros Mountain Belt and Qum Kuh of Iran (Ala, 1974; Talbot, 1998; Talbot & Aftabi, 2004), the Gulf of Mexico (Wu et al., 1990), and the Dead Sea (Al-Zoubi & Ten Brink, 2001).
Examples of ancient preserved sedimentary successions considered to have been influenced by subsurface salt halokinesis are the shallow-marine Wonoka Formation of the Flinders Range, Australia (Kernen et al., 2012), La Popa Basin, Mexico (Aschoff & Giles, 2005), the deep-marine Bryant Canyon, Garden Banks, Gulf of Mexico (Fiduk, 1995), and Eugene Island and Ship Shoal, Gulf of Mexico (Hall & Thies, 1995). Fluvial successions interpreted to have been influenced and controlled by ongoing halokinesis include those of the Triassic of the Central North Sea (Smith et al., 1993; Jones et al., 2005; McKie & Audretsch, 2005), the Triassic Pre-Caspian Basin, Kazakhstan (Barde et al., 2002; Hinds et al., 2004), the Eocene Carroza Formation, La Popa Basin, Mexico (Andrie et al., 2012), and the Pennsylvanian-Jurassic Salt Anticline Region of the Paradox Basin, SE Utah (Hudec, 1995; Lawton & Buck, 2006; Prochnow et al., 2006; Matthews, 2007; Trudgill, 2011). The fluvial succession of the Lower Triassic Moenkopi Formation present in the mini-basins of the Salt Anticline Region of the Paradox Basin is the focus of this study.

The aim of this study is to document the mechanisms by which styles of basin subsidence and related salt-wall growth act to directly control fluvial-system type and the form of the stratigraphic architecture preserved in a series of mini-basins. This has been achieved through a detailed analysis of the outcrop pattern of the Triassic Moenkopi Formation, a hybrid braided-channel and non-confined sheet-like fluvial system that developed under the influence of an arid climate across much of what is now the south-western United States region (Ward, 1901; Darton, 1910; Gregory, 1917; Stewart, 1959; Stewart et al., 1972; Blakey, 1974). The study accomplishes the following objectives: (i) demonstrates changes in fluvial style between adjacent mini-basins and shows how surface topography generated by salt-wall uplift was able to effectively partition the fluvial system into sand-prone fairways dominated by stacked complexes of channel architectural elements, and extensive areas that were relatively sand-starved and dominated by complexes of fine-grained sheet-like architectural elements; (ii) demonstrates how the distribution of associations of fluvial lithofacies and architectural elements varies predictably from the centre of subsiding mini-basins onto the
flanks of bounding salt walls; (iii) illustrates how analysis of the occurrence of associations of fluvial lithofacies and the distribution of architectural elements can be used to infer the relative timing of episodes of salt-wall uplift versus episodes of quiescence through the recognition of styles of onlap and erosional truncation of packages of fluvial elements; (iv) demonstrates how the preserved fluvial succession evolved temporally as accommodation within the evolving mini-basins became progressively infilled.

**Background and Geological Setting**

The Paradox Basin developed from the Pennsylvanian to Permian as an intra-foreland flexural basin in response to lithospheric loading by the Uncompahgre Uplift, which formed as part of the so-called Ancestral Rocky Mountains (Fig. 1), one of several late Palaeozoic features developed during the Ancestral Rocky Mountain orogenic event (Ohlen & McIntyre, 1965; Kluth & Coney, 1981; Barbaeu, 2003). The Uncompahgre Uplift was ~145 km long and elongated in a northwest-southeast orientation across southwest Colorado and southeast Utah (Elston *et al.*, 1962). From the mid-Pennsylvanian to the late-Permian, in excess of 4000 m of strata accumulated in the foredeep, directly adjacent to the frontal thrust that bounded uplifted Precambrian basement rocks of the Uncompahgre Uplift (Elston *et al.*, 1962). Erosion of the Uncompahgre Uplift yielded much of the clastic detritus that filled the proximal part of the Paradox Basin, resulting in the south-westward progradation of a large alluvial clastic wedge (Mack & Rasmussen, 1984; Barbaeu, 2003). During the initial stages of formation, the foredeep of the Paradox Basin experienced a series of transgressive-regressive events and cycles accumulated in response to glacio-eustatic sea-level changes recorded by the pattern of sedimentation in the Hermosa Group (Goldhammer *et al.*, 1991; Blakey & Ranney, 2008; Williams, 2009). During episodes of falling relative sea-level, the basin was partially isolated from a regional sea-way by the basin fore-bulge, causing dense brines to develop in the foredeep of the basin. Repeated desiccation and recharging of these brines resulted in accumulation of the Paradox Formation (Doelling, 1988), a unit characterised by cyclic deposits of salts (anhydrite, halite and potash) interbedded with marls and black shales (Hite, 1968; Williams-Stroud, 1994; Rasmussen &
Rasmussen, 2009). From the late Pennsylvanian (Missourian to Virgilian) to the early Permian (Wolfcampian), the growing alluvial clastic wedge constructed from detritus derived from the eroding Uncompahgre Uplift prograded further into the foreland basin, though alluvial sedimentation was periodically repeatedly interrupted by widespread marine incursions that gave rise to the accumulation of thin but laterally extensive shallow-marine carbonate intervals throughout the Missourian to Wolfcampian, as represented by the Honaker Trail Formation (Williams, 1996, 2009) and the overlying lower Cutler beds (Blakey 2009; Jordan & Mountney, 2010, 2012).

Initial movement of the salt layers of the Paradox Formation occurred in response to loading by sediments of the Honaker Trail Formation and lower Cutler beds during late-Pennsylvanian and early Permian (Trudgill et al., 2004), as demonstrated by structural basin modelling (Paz et al., 2009; Rasmussen & Rasmussen, 2009; Kluth & Du Chene, 2009; Trudgill, 2011). The sites of initiation of salt-wall development were controlled by a series of normal faults aligned in an orientation parallel to the Uncompahgre Front: these faults were activated by flexural downwarping of the developing foredeep (Baars, 1966, Friedman et al., 1994; Doelling, 2002b). Similar basement-fault arrays control the geometries of growing salt-walls in the Central North Sea (Smith et al., 1993; Hodgson et al., 1992). As the effects of sediment loading continued to drive subsurface salt withdrawal and migration into a series of growing salt walls, so a series of salt-walled mini-basins began to develop (Fig. 1), initially in the foredeep of the Paradox Basin where the salt layers of the Paradox Formation were thickest and the thickness of overburden greatest (Jones, 1959). Accelerated rates of mini-basin development and ensuing salt-wall growth occurred in the proximal-most part of the Paradox Basin in response to additional sediment loading associated with the progradation of a thick alluvial clastic wedge of the Cutler Group, an alluvial mega-fan that prograded south-westward into the basin from the Uncompahgre Uplift (Mack & Rasmussen, 1984; Barbeau, 2003; Cain & Mountney, 2009, 2011; Trudgill, 2011). The proximal part of the alluvial clastic wedge of the so-called undifferentiated Cutler Group (Newberry, 1861; Dane, 1935), which accumulated during the main phase of mini-basin evolution,
exhibits substantial thickness variation between mini-basins and over salt walls (Trudgill, 2011). Fluvial deposits of this unit demonstrate abrupt and repeated changes in palaeoflow direction that can be shown to have been directly influenced by concomitant salt-wall growth and basin subsidence (Trudgill, 2011; Venus et al., in review). Salt withdrawal from beneath the basins occurred at the greatest rates adjacent to the developing salt walls, eventually culminating in grounding of the floor of the basins on underlying basement beneath these points and resulting in the trapping of salt remaining beneath the centres of the mini-basins. This resulted in the formation of rim synclines at the basin peripheries (Smith et al., 1992; Doelling, 2002b; Trudgill & Paz, 2009; Trudgill 2011). Salt movement via withdrawal from beneath subsiding mini-basins and its lateral migration to maintain growing salt-walls continued throughout the Triassic and Jurassic, although at a significantly reduced rate compared to that during the Permian (Trudgill, 2011).

Throughout the early Triassic (Induan to Olenekian - Morales, 1987; Rasmussen & Rasmussen, 2009), the Moenkopi Formation accumulated across a laterally extensive area now represented by large parts of the states of Arizona, New Mexico, Colorado, Utah and Nevada (Ward, 1901; Darton, 1910; Gregory, 1917; Stewart, 1959; Cater, 1970; Blakey, 1974, 1989; Hintze & Axen, 1995). Regionally, this formation accumulated in an overall mixed fluvial and paraic (coastal) setting, with a region-wide marine regression dictating the style of sedimentation (Blakey, 1973). In the Salt Anticline Region (Fig. 2), the Moenkopi Formation has been the subject of several previous studies, most notably by Baker et al. (1927), Dane (1935), and Shoemaker & Newman (1959). Here, the Moenkopi Formation consists of four members: the Tenderfoot, Ali Baba, Sewemup and Parriott (Fig. 3; Shoemaker & Newman. 1957 & 1959). The name “Parriott” has been used here to reflect the current cartographic convention; this differs from “Pariott” as used by Shoemaker & Newman (1959).

The provenance of sediment of the Moenkopi Formation in the Salt Anticline Region varies between the mini-basins indicating that uplifted salt walls were effective in partitioning fluvial systems with different source areas. The
Uncompahgre and the San Luis uplifts to the northeast and southeast, respectively (Fig. 1), were the principal sediment sources and these uplifts represented the only significant upland areas from which palaeo-fluvial systems likely originated (Cadigan & Stewart, 1971; Mattox, 1968; Stewart et al., 1972; Barbeau, 2003). Although at a regional scale the Moenkopi Formation records accumulation in a mixed fluvial and paralic setting, with conditions becoming increasingly marine-influenced towards the northwest (Blakey, 1973), the environment of deposition in the Salt Anticline Region is considered to be that of an arid alluvial plain over which ephemeral streams passed toward a regressing palaeo-shoreline that lay 100 to 300 km the northwest, in the area now occupied by Central Utah (Stewart et al., 1972; Lawton & Buck, 2006; Blakey & Ranney, 2008). The Moenkopi Formation in the study region represents the preserved succession of a largely non-confined alluvial braidplain that preserves a mix of sheet-like and braided-channel fluvial sedimentary architectures.

**Methods and Data Collection**

Fifty-two sections were measured in the Salt Anticline Region, recording a total of ~9000 m of succession from the Moenkopi Formation (see Fig. 2 for log locations and Fig. 4 for representative examples). Of these, 23 measured sections record the entire preserved thickness of the Moenkopi Formation from the top of the underlying Undifferentiated Cutler Group to base of the overlying Chinle Formation; all other sections record significant proportions of the succession, including either the base or top of the formation in each case.

Sixteen distinct lithofacies are recognised (Table 1; Fig. 5), of which most are interpreted to have been generated by a range of fluvial behaviour involving both channelised and non-channelised flow processes; some lithofacies are ascribed to non-fluvial origins in related palaeoenvironmental settings, including shallow, ephemeral lakes and salt flats. Architectural elements (Fig. 6) have been defined according to their geometry and their internal lithofacies composition based on the approach described by Miall (1985, 1996). Palaeocurrent data were collected to determine the direction of drainage: 177 indicators of flow direction were taken from asymmetric ripple casts (Frc),
climbing ripple strata (Frc/Fxl), trough cross-bedding (Fxt) and high-angle-inclined planar cross-bedding (HA Fxp). From these palaeocurrent data, vector mean and vector magnitude were calculated using the methodology described by Lindholm (1987).

Scaled drawings (panels) depicting the distribution of fluvial architectural elements both within a single mini-basin and between adjacent mini-basins have been constructed by lateral tracing and correlation of key surfaces in the field and supported by analysis of photomontages. Architectural panels have been tied to measured sections to enable the generation of a series of models with which to depict important tectono-stratigraphic relationships.

**Styles of mini-basin fill**

The sedimentary record of the style of interaction between mini-basin subsidence and salt-wall growth due to subsurface halokinesis is recorded in the preserved pattern of deposition of coevally active fluvial systems in three studied mini-basins, the Fisher Basin, the Parriott Basin and the Big Bend Basin (Figs 2 & 3). Tectono-stratigraphic interactions are especially evident in areas adjacent to salt walls (Fig. 7a, b), where fluvial architectural elements of various types ramp onto, or terminate against salt-wall uplifts. Correlations of the four members of the Moenkopi Formation, both within individual mini-basins and between adjacent mini-basins (Fig. 8a, b, c), demonstrate the basin-scale architecture of the fluvial fill, relationships within which document the history of accumulation (Fig. 9).

**The Fisher Basin**

*Description*

The Fisher Basin, which is situated adjacent to the Uncompahgre Front, is filled predominantly with 4,000 m of sediment of the Pennsylvanian to Permian Honaker Trail Formation and Cutler Group (Trudgill, 2011). The thickest development of the Moenkopi Formation in the Fisher Basin is ~125 m, which is the thinnest preserved succession of Moenkopi Formation in the studied mini-basins of the Salt Anticline Region. An angular unconformity at the base and a disconformity at the top of the Moenkopi Formation are well exposed in the Richardson Ampitheater area and at Fisher Towers. The
thickest preserved accumulation of Moenkopi Formation in the Fisher Basin is in a rim syncline developed on the north side of the Fisher Valley salt wall, development and infilling of which occurred predominantly during the Permian (Doelling, 2002a; Trudgill & Paz, 2009).

The basal-most Tenderfoot Member in the Fisher Basin is 30 to 40 m thick and is characterised by massive beds of medium-grained sandstone that are present across the entire basin, with little variation in thickness over 10 km. Associated crinkly laminated units of medium-grained sandstone of uncertain origin are additionally present at some locations within the mini-basin. Channel elements are difficult to discern as the member is largely homogeneous with respect to grain-size. A prominent but regionally restricted, 1.5 m-thick gypsum bed is present 5 m above the base of the Moenkopi Formation in the Cottonwood Canyon area, but elsewhere in the basin has been largely removed by erosion.

The overlying Ali Baba Member is 50 to 70 m thick and is characterised by multi-lateral and multi-storey, amalgamated channel-fill elements of medium- to coarse-grained sandstone and conglomerate that represent the coarsest-grained units of significant thickness in the Moenkopi Formation of the Salt Anticline Region. Amalgamated channel elements (F1; Fig. 6) are composed of planar and trough cross-bedded strata (Fxp & Fxt). The basal-most part of the member is composed of crudely cross-bedded paraconglomerates (Fxt & Fce) with clasts of extraformational origin. The middle part is composed of medium- to coarse-grained, cross-bedded sandstone (Fxt/HA Fxp), beds of which contain intra- and extraformational clasts (Fci/e) as either basal lags or as floating clasts. The upper part is characterised by increasing occurrences of sheet-like elements (F3) composed of climbing-ripple strata (Frc) and heterolithic strata (Fhiss), especially in the uppermost 5 to 20 m of the succession, where channel elements are scarce.

Although the Sewemup Member is present in the Fisher Basin, it lacks gypsum-clast-bearing beds (FGc/m) that are a diagnostic feature in other mini-basins; it is instead characterised by heterolithic siltstones and
sandstones expressed as sheet-like elements (F3), with only rare and vertically isolated single-storey channel elements (F2; Fig. 6).

The Parriott Member is absent across the Fisher Basin; the disconformity at the top of the Moenkopi Formation incises up to 25 m into the top-most part of the Sewemup Member (Fig. 8b). Palaeocurrent readings (n = 88) taken from all members in the Fisher Basin record a vector mean of 305° (vector magnitude = 90.8%), indicating that palaeoflow was aligned parallel to the axis of the salt wall throughout duration of Moenkopi deposition.

**Interpretation**

The majority of the Fisher basin is filled by the deposits of the Honaker Trail Formation and the Undifferentiated Cutler Group, the coarse-clastic detritus, was likely exclusively sourced from the adjacent Uncompahgre Front, with no salt-walls having been present to divert or impede the delivery of pebble- and cobble-grade detritus into the mini-basin via proximal fluvial systems (Trudgill, 2011; Venus et al., in review). The relatively thin accumulation of the Moenkopi Formation in the Fisher Basin compared to that of the Parriott and Big Bend basins (described later) arose in part because subsidence of Fisher Basin ceased relatively early in the history of the halokinetic evolution of the area: the rim syncline of the Fisher Basin adjacent to the Fisher Valley salt wall had grounded or was close to grounding on the pre-salt strata by the onset of accumulation of the Moenkopi Formation (Trudgill & Paz, 2009). This resulted in a slow rate of subsidence for the Fisher Basin during the early Triassic, whereby only ~120 m of accommodation was generated and infilled. The source of the fluvially derived sediment filling the basin during accumulation of the Moenkopi Formation was likely a combination of sediment derived from the Uncompahgre Front to the northeast and from the San Luis uplift in the south (Cater, 1970; Stewart et al., 1972). The prominent gypsum bed likely accumulated as a precipitate from an evaporating brine pool that developed in the embayment formed by the developing mini-basin, or developed as a sabkha type deposit, with salt from the underlying wall acting as the source of the salt. In the majority of the basin, significant parts of this bed were eroded by fluvial incision and dissolution prior to renewed
sedimentation. Throughout the episode of time represented by the accumulation of the Moenkopi Formation, the Fisher Basin apparently evolved from a sand-prone to a progressively sand-poor basin, as demonstrated by an upward decrease in the sand content of the succession. This change in basin-fill style was likely principally driven by a progressive reduction in the rate of sediment supply from the gradually denuding Uncompahgre Uplift. By the time of accumulation of the Parriott Member, the Uncompahgre Uplift was largely denuded (Blakey & Ranney, 2008; Blakey, 2009) and was no longer a significant source of sediment to the Fisher Basin. The originally accumulated thickness of the Parriott Member in the Fisher Basin remains unknown, though the unconformity at the base of the overlying Chinle Formation has regional relief of no more than 25 m (Fig. 8a), which constrains the maximum likely thickness of the Parriott Member in the Fisher Basin. However, the inferred reduced rate of sediment delivery to the basin could have precluded deposition of the Parriott Member completely.

The Parriott Basin
Description
The thickness of Moenkopi Formation in the Parriott Basin varies from 140 m adjacent to the Onion Creek – Fisher Valley salt wall, to 180 m in the centre of the mini-basin at the Priest and Nuns mesas, to 220 m in a rim syncline developed adjacent to the Castle Valley salt wall (Fig. 8c). The succession thins to less than 30 m directly adjacent to the nose of the Castle Valley salt wall itself (Fig. 8b). Two rim synclines formed in the Parriott Basin: one in the northeast, adjacent to the southwest flank of the Fisher Valley salt wall, and another to the southwest, adjacent to the northeast of the Castle Valley salt wall (Doelling, 2002a; Trudgill 2011). In the north-eastern rim-syncline (adjacent to Fisher Valley salt wall), the Moenkopi Formation exhibits neither thickening of the succession nor any variations in lithofacies or architectural-element distribution. In the south-western rim-syncline (adjacent to Castle Valley salt wall), the Moenkopi Formation thickens by 30 m into the local depocentre and is characterised by an increased abundance of fluvial channel elements in the Ali Baba and Parriott members compared to the equivalent intervals in the centre of the mini-basin.
The accumulated succession in the Parriott Basin is dominated by sheet-like architectural elements (F3), composed of laterally extensive, horizontally interbedded siltstones and sandstones (Fhiss), with minor occurrences of massively bedded sandstones (Fm). The basal-most Tenderfoot Member is characterised by a distinctive 1.5 to 2.5 m-thick gypsum bed, which is also present in the part of the Fisher Basin and in the Big Bend Basin. This gypsum horizon is laterally continuous throughout the Parriott Basin, being well exposed on both the Castle Valley and Fisher Valley sides of the basin. The gypsum horizon is characterised by a saccharoidal, crystalline texture and in several places contains deformed internal stratification, though elsewhere is massive (no internal structure), or is characterised by sigmoidal cross-bedding (Lawton & Buck, 2006).

Interbedded facies associations are particularly notable in the Sewemup Member where they are associated with ubiquitous pebble- and cobble-grade gypsum-clast-bearing beds (FGc/m). These gypsum-clast-bearing units are unique to the Sewemup Member and are most prevalent in areas within 4 km of the margins of the Castle Valley salt wall but are absent from areas adjacent to the Fisher Valley salt wall.

The Parriott Member is characterised by single-storey, multi-lateral channel elements (F2) that are preferentially clustered immediately adjacent to the Castle Valley salt wall in the rim-syncline. The member is of uniform thickness across much of the basin but it doubles in thickness from 30 m to 60 m in the area adjacent to the Castle Valley salt wall where the pronounced rim syncline is developed (Trudgill, 2011). Palaeocurrent indicators throughout the Moenkopi Formation in the Parriott Basin indicate fluvial transport toward a mean vector of 303° (vector magnitude = 85%; n = 57), indicating a dominant palaeoflow that was parallel to the trend of the axis of the mini-basins.

**Interpretation**

The variation in thickness of the Moenkopi Formation in the Parriott Basin demonstrates that the basin underwent an asymmetric pattern of subsidence...
during accumulation of both the underlying Cutler Group and the overlying Moenkopi Formation. During accumulation of the Cutler Group, transport and deposition occurred in a south-westerly direction (Cain and Mountney, 2009; Venus et al., in review), with overall sediment transport generally being in a direction perpendicular to the trend of the developing salt walls. This indicates that the rate of fluvial sedimentation generally outpaced the rate of salt-wall uplift such that fluvial systems of the Undifferentiated Cutler Group were not influenced by salt-induced surface topography throughout much of their evolution (Venus et al., in review).

Increased subsidence rates in the rim synclines of the Parriott Basin were driven by loading from a thicker overburden deposited by the prograding fan of the Undifferentiated Cutler Group. Accelerated salt withdrawal resulted in the development of rim synclines on both the Fisher Valley and Castle Valley sides of the Parriott Basin. These rim synclines continued to develop throughout accumulation of the Cutler Group before subsidence in the north-eastern rim syncline (adjacent to the Fisher Valley salt wall) ceased, apparently before salt-weld formation occurred (Trudgill & Paz, 2009; Trudgill, 2011). By contrast, the south-western rim syncline (adjacent to the Castle Valley salt wall) continued to subside throughout the period represented by accumulation of the Moenkopi Formation, resulting in the development of an asymmetric style of basin-fill (Fig. 8c).

During Accumulation of the Moenkopi Formation, subsidence rates were greater in the Parriott Basin than in the Fisher Basin, which enabled the former to accommodate a significantly thicker accumulation of Moenkopi succession: a maximum of 220 m versus a maximum of only 125 m in the Fisher Basin. The gypsum bed in the Tenderfoot Member likely accumulated in either a restricted tidal-flat (sabkha) setting or within an embayment with restricted opening that was subject to repeated flooding and desiccation (Stewart et al., 1972). In places, sediment from this gypsum bed has been interpreted to have been partially reworked to form a cross-bedded aeolianite (Lawton & Buck, 2006). Palaeocurrent data demonstrate fluvial flow occurred parallel to the axis of the mini-basin (to the northwest), indicating that the
Parriott Basin was isolated from much of the detritus being shed from the Uncompahgre Front during Moenkopi deposition, either because fluvial systems emanating from the remnant Uncompahgre highlands did not extend this far into the Paradox Basin or, more likely, because uplift of the Fisher Valley and Sinbad Valley salt walls generated a surface topography that was sufficient to prevent such fluvial systems reaching the Parriott Basin (Fig. 1). This limited the primary source of sediment for the Parriott Basin to that of the San Luis range to the southwest (Cadigan & Stewart, 1971). The likely isolation of the Parriott Basin from the Fisher Basin by an elevated salt-wall topography, meant that the rate of sediment delivery to the former was limited and the rate of filling of accommodation was therefore likely low relative to rate of basin subsidence during early- to mid-stages of accumulation of the Moenkopi Formation, resulting in a basin fill characterised by argillaceous, sheet-like elements (F3) interbedded with only minor sandstone elements (F2) in the Ali Baba, Sewemup and Parriott Members (Fig. 6).

High rates of basin subsidence, and associated ensuing high rates of salt-wall uplift at the basin margins relative to the low rate of filling of accommodation in the central parts of the basin culminated in the uplift of the Castle Valley salt wall to a level where it breached the land surface during accumulation of the Sewemup Member, whereupon it acted as a local source of gypsum detritus, which was re-worked into the strata surrounding the Castle Valley salt wall as discrete gypsum-clast-bearing beds (Lawton & Buck, 2006). The absence of gypsum clasts from the Moenkopi succession at the margins of the Fisher Valley salt wall demonstrates that this halokinetic feature did not breach the land surface during deposition of the Moenkopi Formation (Fig. 8c). The confinement of gypsum pebbles and cobbles to within 7 km of the Castle Valley salt wall (with orthoconglomerate beds occurring closer to the salt wall) indicates that alluvial processes were not able to distribute the detrital gypsum clasts evenly over the entire basin floor (Fig. 8c). During accumulation of the Parriott Member, preferential subsidence during the final phase of salt withdrawal adjacent to Castle Valley salt wall (Trudgill, 2011) resulted in the renewed development of a rim syncline and the preferential preservation of single-storey channel elements (F2) in this local depocentre (Fig. 8c).
The Big Bend Basin

Description

Deposits in the Big Bend Basin are not as well exposed as those in the other studied mini-basins, with the full thickness of the Moenkopi Formation succession (235 m) only exposed along the south-western flank of the Castle Valley salt wall, and the succession thinning to less then 30 m thick adjacent to the nose of the Castle Valley salt wall (Fig. 7a, b). The base and top of the Moenkopi Formation are not seen at other single geographic localities in the Big Bend mini-basin and the true maximum thickness of the Moenkopi Formation in this basin is therefore uncertain.

On the south-western flank of the Castle Valley salt wall, a prominent angular intraformational unconformity with a discordance of ~6° is present between the Tenderfoot and Ali Baba members (Fig. 7b). The basal gypsum unit is not present in the Tenderfoot Member immediately adjacent to the salt wall, though it is present elsewhere in the Big Bend Basin. Toward the centre of the basin, near the Big Bend campground, only the upper part of the Sewemup Member and the full succession of the Parriott Member are exposed. Gypsum-clast-bearing beds are present in the Sewemup Member at the Big Bend campground locality, a distance of 2.8 km from the Castle Valley salt wall. The Parriott Member at Big Bend Campsite C has a thickness of 70 m, which is the thickest observed preserved succession of Parriott Member in the study area.

Adjacent to the Castle Valley salt wall, at the north east edge of Matt Martin Point (Fig. 2), a series of well-developed channel elements are preserved as overlapping multi-lateral and twin- or multi-storey channel complexes (F1). Toward the centre of the mini-basin, near the Red Cliff Lodge road section, the Parriott Member comprises amalgamated channel elements (F1 & F2) composed internally of trough- (Fxt) and planar-cross bedded (Fxp) sets with intraformational clasts (Fci). These elements overlie a succession of interbedded siltstones and sandstones (Fhiss) with a sheet-like geometry (F3), and are themselves overlain by thin interbedded sandstone and siltstone.
heterolithic sheet-like elements (F3), the upper parts of which are cut-out by the disconformity at the base of the Chinle Formation.

**Interpretation**

The presence of the intraformational unconformity between the Tenderfoot and Ali Baba members demonstrates a temporary cessation in sedimentation, during which time salt-wall uplift was ongoing. During accumulation of the Ali Baba Member, the fluvial system aggraded and encroached onto the flanks of the salt wall, locally reworking the uplifted succession of the Tenderfoot Member and generating the angular unconformity. A rim syncline had developed in the Big Bend mini-basin immediately adjacent to the flank of the Castle Valley salt wall by the time of accumulation of the Parriott Member (Fig. 8); this resulted in the preferential stacking and clustering of vertically and laterally amalgamated channel elements (F1) adjacent to the Castle Valley salt wall, but their absence in central parts of the basin (e.g. Big Bend Campsite C). The gypsum-clast-bearing horizons observed in the Sewemup Member toward the basin centre indicate that gypsum detritus was shed into the Big Bend Basin (in addition to the Parriott Basin) from the actively uplifting Castle Valley salt wall. The presence of gypsum clasts throughout the central part of the Big Bend mini-basin demonstrates that this detritus was reworked and re-distributed by fluvial systems in the mini-basin. The thickness of the preserved Sewemup Member succession is similar in both the Parriott and Big Bend mini-basins, demonstrating that the basins on either side of the Castle Valley salt wall underwent similar rates of subsidence. The different styles of preserved sedimentary architecture of the Parriott Member in the Big Bend and Parriott basins indicates that the fluvial systems were isolated from each other by the Castle Valley salt wall.

**Styles of salt-wall and sediment interaction**

**The Fisher Valley salt wall and Onion Creek salt diapir**

Due to recent erosion, little direct evidence remains with which to demonstrate the style of interaction between the pattern of sedimentation in the Moenkopi Formation and the synchronous evolution of the Fisher Valley salt wall. To the north of this salt wall, near-horizontally bedded outcrops are largely
inaccessible where they form sheer cliffs at Fisher Towers (1.5 km from the edge of the Fisher Valley salt wall). To the south of the Fisher Valley salt wall, the closest outcrop of the Moenkopi Formation is 600 m from the margin of the salt wall and here beds are again near-horizontal. Thus, deformation of the accumulated strata by the uplifting salt wall was restricted solely to the immediate margins of the wall.

The Castle Valley salt wall

Description

Well exposed outcrops that demonstrate direct evidence for the interaction between sedimentation in the Moenkopi Formation and synchronous salt-wall growth are present in the Red Hills area (known locally as the “Truck and Boat”) at the northern margin of the Castle Valley salt wall (Fig. 7a). The style of salt-wall uplift is asymmetric in nature, with the Pre-Triassic sediments of the Cutler Group having been uplifted to a greater height on the western side of the salt wall than on the eastern side. The asymmetric nature of the uplift is further demonstrated by the geometries of the uplifted salt-wall flanks: the eastern flank of the uplift, which forms the bounding edge of the Parriott Basin, exhibits a horizontal-inclined-horizontal geometry, whereas the western flank, which forms the bounding edge of the Big Bend Basin, exhibits a simpler, wedge-like geometry (Fig. 7b).

The horizontal-inclined-horizontal geometry that characterises the eastern flank of the Castle Valley salt wall (Fig. 7a; east side) is related to a narrow zone of deformation. Two inflection points, a convex bend adjacent to the crest of the salt wall and a concave bend at the down-dip margin of the feature at a point where the succession moves off the salt-wall flank, define a monocline (Lawton & Buck, 2006). The sedimentary succession on top of the salt wall is near-horizontal, whereas inclined strata on the flank of the salt wall dip away from the crest at an angle up to 23° toward 114°. Figures 7a demonstrate the extent of the thinning onto the Castle Valley salt wall: the thickness of the succession between the middle of the Ali Baba Member and beds in the upper part of the Parriott Member thins by 30 m over a distance of 445 m from the crest of the salt wall, eastwards to a point at the limit of salt-
wall-related deformation, giving an approximate rate of thinning of 1 in 14. The aforementioned beds in the upper part of the Parriott Member have been uplifted by 76 m on to the crest of the salt wall relative to their lateral equivalent beyond the zone of salt-wall deformation. Within the accumulated strata on the eastern flank of the Castle Valley salt wall, sand-prone fluvial channel elements ramp up onto the salt wall, thinning or pinching-out as they onlap onto the upper flanks of the structure (Figs 7b & 9b). On the eastern flank of the Castle Valley salt wall, beds of the Ali Baba Member with a distinctive grey colouration due to reduction of beds with wave-rippled surfaces are present (Fig. 9b). In the upper part of the Sewemup Member and the lower part of the Parriott Member, shearing of some of the sand-prone channel elements is present adjacent to the salt wall (Fig. 9b).

The slope geometry that characterises the western flank of the Castle Valley salt wall dips gently towards the west into the Big Bend Basin and is characterised by fanning growth strata that thin onto the crest of the salt wall (Fig. 7b). At the unconformity between the underlying sediments of the Cutler Group and the base of the Moenkopi Formation, a series of 3 growth-faults, each of which exhibit displacement of up to 2.5 m, are developed in the strata that form the uppermost part of the Cutler Group (preserved locally as a distinctive white-coloured aeolianite that might be equivalent to the White Rim Sandstone present in more distal parts of the Paradox Basin – Venus et al., in review). These faults, which strike at 197°, parallel to the uplift at the end of the salt wall, have displacements of 1 to 2 m, have hanging-walls that dip away from the salt-wall and are filled with poorly bedded medium-sandstone (Fm) of the Tenderfoot Member.

Two sedimentary sections recording laterally equivalent parts of the Tenderfoot and basal-most Ali Baba Member on the west flank (Fig. 7b) demonstrate thinning of 30 m over a lateral distance of 270 m, from 72 m at the West Flank Gully log located part-way down the flank of the salt wall, to 42 m at the West Flank Spur log close to the crest of the salt wall (Fig. 7b), yielding an average rate of thinning of 1 in 9. The top of the succession adjacent to the salt wall has been elevated by 21 m relative to the equivalent...
part of the succession in the log 270 m away. The density of occurrence of single-storey channel elements (F2) filled with massive sandstone (Fm) systematically decreases with increasing proximity to the salt wall (Fig. 7b). Several major channel elements that are laterally continuous in the Big Bend rim syncline thin and pinch-out as they onlap onto the upper flank of the Castle Valley salt wall. Around the rest of the valley, other inferred onlap relationships are not well preserved due to erosion.

**Interpretation**

The Castle Valley salt wall formed an elevated topographic feature that acted to effectively partition and isolate the Parriott and Big Bend mini-basins throughout the majority of the episode of sedimentation represented by the Moenkopi Formation; the margin of the Big Bend Basin is sand-prone whereas the margin of the Parriott Basin is relatively sand-poor. The overall thinning of the strata onto the flanks of the Castle Valley salt wall demonstrates that uplift occurred synchronously with sedimentation. Present-day differences in height between known stratigraphic levels recorded in the logged sections demonstrate continued post-depositional salt-wall uplift. The thinning and pinch-out of channel elements onto the upper flanks of the salt wall indicate that fluvial systems were actively diverted by the uplifted salt wall for significant episodes during accumulation of the Moenkopi Formation. The effective partitioning of the Parriott and Big Bend basins during accumulation of the Parriott Member is demonstrated by differences in basin-fill style either side of the salt wall: the Parriott Member is significantly more sand prone in the Big Bend mini-basin, where multi-storey channel elements (F1) are preserved adjacent to the salt wall but at an equivalent stratigraphic level in the Parriott Basin, only thin and isolated single-storey channel elements (F2) occur intercalated with heterolithic sheet-like elements (F3). Preserved wave-ripple forms on bedding surfaces in the Ali Baba Member on the east flank of the Castle Valley salt wall are indicative of standing water on this now uplifted section of salt wall. This likely indicates that, at some point during Ali Baba deposition, the Castle Valley salt wall was present only in the sub-surface and the topographic expression of the salt wall was sufficiently subdued to allow water to pond in the area directly above the salt wall. In the upper part of the
Sewemup Member and the lower part of the Parriott Member, shearing of some sand-prone channel elements in a style indicative of growth-fault development indicates that the salt wall was still growing after accumulation of these members (Fig. 9b). The orientation of the growth faults present on the western flank of the Castle Valley salt-wall, together with their style of displacement, required early cementation and brittle deformation of strata of the White Rim Sandstone in which these features are developed. Displacement was likely associated with phases of salt-wall uplift or mini-basin subsidence, as demonstrated by down-throw on the basinward side, with the generated space subsequently being filled by sediment younger sediment of the Monekopi Formation. This indicates either that salt-wall uplift was responsible for elevating the growth-fault footwalls, or that salt evacuation in the developing rim syncline was responsible for lowering the hanging walls, or a combination of both.

**Cache Valley salt-wall**
The Cache Valley salt wall (Fig. 2) is thought to have been linked to the Fisher Valley salt wall by a section of salt swell with subdued relief present only in the subsurface (Shoemaker, 1955). This subdued segment of the salt wall running between the end of Onion Creek and Cache Valley is overlain by a small uplifted outcropping section of the Moenkopi Formation. The structural feature that links these salt walls might be the expression of a relay ramp that joined two pre-salt fault systems, and which was responsible for accumulating a differential thicknesses of salt on either side of the structure; such a feature might have served as a trigger for the initiation of salt-wall growth (cf. Hodgson *et al.*, 1992; Doelling, 2002a).

**Tectono-stratigraphic model**
Based on observations regarding the sedimentology and stratigraphy of the preserved succession and its relationship to the various subsiding mini-basins and uplifting salt walls, the tectono-stratigraphic evolution of the Moenkopi Formation in the studied part of the Salt Anticline Region has been reconstructed. Detailed relationships established from analysis of field-derived data have enabled a suite of models describing the evolution of the province
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as a whole to be reconstructed (Fig. 10a); tectono-stratigraphic relationships within individual mini-basins have been established (Fig. 10b), and the character of individual fluvial architectural elements has been discerned (Fig. 10c, d). Furthermore, a series of spatio-temporal evolution models has been developed for the three studied mini-basins and their bounding salt walls; the proposed models account for the distinctive styles of basin fill (Figs 11, 12, 13), such that differences in preserved fluvial architectural style can be attributed to the dynamic interplay between rates of sediment delivery and accumulation, rates of mini-basin subsidence, and rates and styles of associated salt-wall uplift.

Tenderfoot Member

During accumulation of the Tenderfoot Member, sedimentation in the three studied mini-basins was dominated by the accumulation of structureless sandstones, accumulation of which was influenced by evaporite precipitation as indicated by the presence of the distinctive 1.5 to 2.5 m-thick gypsum bed in all three basins, albeit in only a partially preserved state in the Fisher Basin (Figs 11a, 12a, 13a). The origin of the gypsum could be via (i) precipitation from a super-saturated brines in evaporating salt pans in the restricted basins (Sloss, 1969), or (ii) dissolution of gypsum from within the salt wall, with gypsum-brine then being drawn to the ground surface by capillary action, whereupon evaporation and precipitation led to the accumulation of a sabkha-like pan deposit (Selley, 1988). The deposit was apparently locally reworked by the wind to form a gypsum aeolianite in the Parriott Basin (Lawton & Buck, 2006). In the Fisher Basin, where the gypsum bed was either only sporadically deposited, or was subsequently removed by erosion associated with fluvial activity, crinkly laminated sandstones (Scls) are present, indicating disturbance of the sediment by ground water being drawn upward by capillary action and evaporation at the ground surface (cf. Goodall et al., 2000). Similar sandstone deposits are present in the Parriott Basin directly above the gypsum horizon, where precipitated gypsum forms a weak sandstone cement.

In the Big Bend Basin, growth strata are present at the base of the Tenderfoot Member in small faults at the very top of the aeolianite at the top of the Cutler
Group (Fig. 13b). This indicates that the aeolianite (possibly equivalent to the White Rim Sandstone) in this locality had lithified to a point where brittle deformation could take place, and sediment of the Tenderfoot Member accumulated as growth strata within the growing fault-bounded hanging-walls. The prominent intraformational unconformity present locally at the top of the Tenderfoot Member in the Big Bend Basin indicates a hiatus in sedimentation between deposition of the Tenderfoot and Ali Baba Members, during which time salt-wall uplift continued (Fig. 7b).

**Ali Baba Member**
The sedimentary style of the Ali Baba Member is indicative of an episode of significantly increased fluvial activity in all three mini-basins, which is recorded as a series of multi-storey, multi-lateral channel elements (F1) in the Fisher Basin, and single storey channel elements, with sand-prone sheet-like heterolithic units in the Parriott Basin. Paraconglomerates in the Fisher Basin with their characteristic composition of a range of distinctive basement-clast lithology types derived from the Uncompahgre Uplift, are confined solely to the Fisher Basin; no clasts of Uncompahgre affinity are present in the succession in the other mini-basins. This demonstrates that uplift of the Fisher Valley salt wall resulted in the development of a surface topographic expression at this time that was effective in acting as a barrier to fluvial flow, thereby serving to limit the supply of sediment within the Parriott and Big Bend basins to detritus being shed from the San Luis Uplift in the southeast (Cadigan & Stewart, 1971; Stewart et al., 1972).

In the Parriott Basin, the Ali Baba Member is characterised mainly by sheet-like heterolithic elements (F3) with the middle part of the member consisting of a series of vertically and horizontally amalgamated channel elements (Figs 11b, 12b). A similar arrangement of architectural elements is also present in the Big Bend Basin on the southwest flank of the Castle Valley salt wall, above the intraformational unconformity. The overall change in the style of accumulated elements between the Tenderfoot and Ali Baba members could reflect a change in climate from relatively arid to more humid conditions,
resulting in increased channelised fluvial activity, and the absence of evaporitic deposits.

**Sewemup Member**
The preserved succession of the Sewemup Member in all three mini-basins is represented predominantly by sheet-like heterolithic elements (Fhiss; F3), with only scarce, isolated single-storey channel elements (F2) present throughout the member. The presence of gypsum-clast-bearing horizons (FGc/m) indicates that the relative rate of uplift of the Castle Valley salt wall exceeded the rate at which the adjacent basins were being infilled, resulting in the salt wall breaching the land surface such that gypsum detritus was shed into the adjacent basins and locally reworked by fluvial processes (Figs 12c, 13c; Lawton & Buck, 2006). The absence of major channel elements and the preservation of relatively soluble gypsum clasts indicate increased climatic aridity relative to that which prevailed at the time of Ali Baba Member deposition. The absence of gypsum clasts around the vicinity of the Fisher Valley salt wall and their complete absence from the Fisher Basin demonstrate that this salt wall did not breach the surface during Moenkopi deposition (Figs 11c, 12c). The sheet-like heterolithic elements (F3) represent the preserved deposits of ephemeral, non-confined floods, which swept across the basin floor (Tunbridge, 1981; Marriott *et al.*, 2005), locally reworking gypsum-clast debris.

The Sewemup Member records an upward decrease in the abundance of sand-filled channel elements (F2) and an associated systematic increase in the occurrence of sheet-like heterolithic elements (F3), which could reflect the preserved expression of a temporal reduction in sediment supply rate (Stewart *et al.*, 1972) or a shift to more arid climatic conditions.

**Parriott Member**
During the final stages of accumulation of the Moenkopi Formation in the Salt Anticline Region, the incidence of channelised fluvial sedimentation once again increased in the Parriott and Big Bend basins relative to that indicated by deposits of the Sewemup Member. This is expressed as an increase in the occurrence of single-storey channel elements (F2) in the Parriott Basin and
multi-storey channel elements (F1) in the Big Bend Basin. The Parriott Member is absent from the preserved succession in the Fisher Basin, where the disconformity at the base of the Chinle Formation incises into the top of the Sewemup Member throughout the basin. This suggests that little or no sedimentation occurred during Parriott Member accumulation in the Fisher Basin, which likely indicates the ultimate exhaustion of the sediment derived from the Uncompahgre Uplift (Fig. 11d) and the lack of an effective sediment delivery pathway from a southerly source into the Fisher Basin. In the Parriott and Big Bend mini-basins, accumulation of the Parriott Member was mostly confined to rim synclines that had developed along both margins of the Castle Valley salt wall. The fill of the rim syncline on the Parriott Basin side of this salt wall is characterised by non-confined sheet-like (F3) elements intercalated with single-storey, multi-lateral channelised (F2) elements (Fig. 12d). The fill on the Big Bend Basin side of the Castle Valley salt wall, is significantly more sand prone, containing a series of multi-storey, multi-lateral channel elements, which are confined solely to the rim syncline directly adjacent to the uplifting salt wall (Fig. 13b, d), where enhanced accommodation was locally generated by preferential salt evacuation directly adjacent to the salt wall.

**Discussion**

The balance between the relative rates of sediment accumulation and basin infilling, and basin subsidence and associated salt-wall uplift is controlled by a number of factors, some of which are inherently linked to other processes involved in the development of the salt-walled mini-basins (Fig. 14). The rate of generation of accommodation within evolving mini-basins is ultimately dictated by the mechanical properties of the salt, including its initial composition and its anisotropic stratification (Hite, 1962), and the rate at which the salt can flow, which in turn depends on dynamic variables such as changes in rate at which sediment accumulates in an overlying mini-basin (Gee & Gawthorpe, 2006; Matthews et al., 2007), and changes in groundwater levels and geothermal gradient. An increase in the rate of sediment loading acts to accelerate the rate of basin subsidence (Stewart & Clarke, 1999; Matthews et al., 2007). Similarly, an increase in the geothermal gradient
and the presence of meteoric water both act to decrease viscosity, resulting in faster rates of salt movement (Carter & Heard, 1970; Jackson & Talbot, 1986; Davison et al., 1996b). Other, fixed variables that control mini-basin evolution include initial salt thickness, style and type of stratification in salt-prone units, the location of and offset across basement faults, pre-salt basement geometries, and inherited pre-existing basin-fill state, each of which combine to exert a series of direct and indirect controls on the style of mini-basin evolution, the generation of accommodation, the orientation and spacing of salt-walls, and local anomalies in rates of salt movement within salt bodies (Hudec et al., 2009, Fuchs et al., 2011). The inertia of a buoyant, rising salt wall can also dictate the style and timing of both the generation of surface topography and surface breaching of the salt wall itself, which can then serve as a local sediment source; momentum forces dictate that an already rising salt wall will continue to rise even after it has attained a buoyancy equilibrium with the surrounding strata (Hudec et al., 2009). The interplay between the parameters that govern salt kinematics can induce positive feedback cycles, which can in turn dictate rates and styles of sediment accumulation within parts of evolving basins, or sediment bypass (via diversion of sediment systems) in other parts. Increased rates of sediment supply and sedimentation generate increased loading and favour accelerated rates of salt withdrawal. This in turn can increase the rate of sediment capture within an individual basin, thereby driving the entire process of sediment accumulation and differential loading at a faster rate via a positive feedback mechanism. This process can occur within an individual basin where differential rates of subsidence occur at different points in the same mini-basin, resulting in locally increased or decreased rates of salt withdrawal and accommodation generation. Associated increased rates of salt-wall uplift might be expressed in the sedimentary record as the increased presence of intraformational clasts (Fci), derived locally from the reworking of strata eroded from above the uplifting salt walls. In cases where the salt walls breach the surface, reworked clasts of salt would be expected, especially in cases where an arid climatic regime prevents dissolution.
The thickness of salt through which the mini-basins subsided throughout their development defines the maximum potential depth of a mini-basin and the total potential accommodation. The configuration of the Paradox Basin allowed the accumulation of thicker deposits of salt of the Paradox Formation in the foredeep area where the thickest accumulations of sediment are accommodated within the salt-walled mini-basins of the Salt Anticline Region (Kluth & DuChene, 2009; Trudgill & Paz, 2009; Trudgill 2011). Prior to the onset of sedimentation of the Moenkopi Formation, the basin-fill state of the studied mini-basins, in terms of the extent to which accommodation was filled by fluvial, shallow-marine and aeolian strata of the Honaker Trail Formation and Undifferentiated Cutler Group, drove the main phase of mini-basin subsidence and infilling. This defined the geometry of the basins at the time of accumulation of the Moenkopi Formation.

In the Fisher basin, the pre-Triassic basin-fill is the thickest of any mini-basin in the Salt Anticline Region and this basin was apparently already close to grounding on sub-salt basement by the onset of Moenkopi deposition (Trudgill & Paz, 2009). This resulted in slow subsidence rates throughout the episode of accumulation of the Moenkopi Formation in the Fisher basin and, coupled with relatively higher rates of sediment delivery and accumulation during deposition of the Ali Baba Member, accommodation in the Fisher basin was rapidly filled by a sand-prone interval composed of a complex of multi-storey channel elements (F1). Toward the end of the episode of accumulation of the Sewemup Member, rates of delivery of sand-grade sediment to the Fisher basin slowed dramatically as the sediment source area of the Uncompahgre Uplift was denuded, resulting in the accumulation of a sand-poor interval composed of sheet-like heterolithic elements (F3).

The Parriott basin was filled to a lesser degree by pre-Triassic sediment (Trudgill & Paz, 2009; Trudgill, 2011), meaning there was significant inherited accommodation available for filling during deposition of the Moenkopi Formation. This, combined with a higher rate of ongoing subsidence than that experienced by the Fisher Basin, resulted in the accumulation of a thicker succession of Moenkopi Formation in the Parriott basin. The rate of sediment
delivery to the Parriott basin was low relative to that of the Fisher basin and major channel complexes did not develop, resulting in the accumulation of a thick but generally sand-poor succession, with minor sand-prone intervals present only in the Ali Baba and Parriott Members, the latter arising from the preferential diversion of channels into a developing rim syncline.

The rate of generation of accommodation and its rate of filling by accumulating fluvial systems can be explained in terms of a series of Barrell diagrams (Barrell, 1917) for different areas within each mini-basin (Fig. 15). In locations where rates of subsidence outpaced rates of sediment delivery, the accumulating stratigraphy became dominated by a relatively argillaceous succession (Fig. 15; log 3) of heterolithic sheet-like elements (F3). In locations where rates of subsidence and sedimentation were balanced, sand-prone successions tended to accumulate (Fig. 15; log 1) and multi-storey multi-lateral channel elements (F1) and single-storey multi-lateral channel elements (F2) dominated the succession. In locations where rates of sedimentation outpaced rates of subsidence, erosion and sediment by-pass ensued and stacked multi-storey, multi-lateral channel elements (F1) containing abundant intraformational conglomerate (Fci) lags accumulated (Fig. 15; log 5) as fluvial systems repeatedly reworked older deposits as they migrated across the filled basin floor. Locations that experienced uplift, such as salt-wall flanks, experienced localised bypass and/or erosion and intraformational unconformities developed as a result of later fluvial incision (Fig. 15; log 7).

**Conclusions**

1. The Moenkopi Formation demonstrates that the preserved expression of fluvial systems in salt-walled mini-basins is directly controlled by: (i) the distribution of available accommodation (i.e. space yet to be filled) inherited from earlier basin-fill episodes, as demonstrated by the spatial variations of stratigraphic thickness both between and within mini-basins; (ii) the prevailing climate and rate and pathway of sediment delivery, which dictate fluvial processes and the pattern and distribution of architectural elements between and within mini-basins; (iii) the rate of ongoing salt-
induced subsidence beneath evolving mini-basins and that rate of uplift of bounding salt walls, which together dictate the rate of generation of additional accommodation.

2. Sediment within individual mini-basins accumulated contemporaneously throughout the duration of Moenkopi Formation deposition, as demonstrated by the similar characteristic features of each of the members present across all three studied mini-basins.

3. Pre-existing basin-fill architectures inherited from the pre-Triassic sediment-fill state of the mini-basins exerted a significant control on subsequent subsidence during accumulation of the Moenkopi Formation. The Fisher basin (closest to the Uncompahgre Uplift) underwent greater subsidence and sediment filling during the Permian than the Parriott and Big Bend mini-basins, mainly a result of the direction of sediment delivery. The megafan responsible for the accumulation of the undifferentiated Cutler Group delivered sediment across the salt walls, such that the Fisher basin became preferentially filled and subsided to a point close to grounding on the pre-salt strata early in its history. As a consequence, the Moenkopi Formation in the Fisher basin is relatively thin, the succession experienced only slow rates of subsidence and sediment accumulation. Higher rates of mini-basin subsidence and accumulation of strata of the Moenkopi Formation characterised the basins further away from the Uncompahgre Uplift.

4. Subsurface salt-wall growth acted to uplift overlying strata to generate a surface topography, the growth of which was effective in diverting fluvial drainage pathways, especially in areas where salt-wall uplift culminated in surface breaching by the growing salt wall. During deposition of the Moenkopi Formation, preferred fluvial flow pathways were aligned parallel to the trend of the elongate salt walls, which served to effectively partition neighbouring mini-basins. Where salt walls breached the surface (e.g. Castle Valley salt wall), gypsum detritus was shed as clasts into the surrounding mini-basins and locally reworked by fluvial processes before being preserved in the basin-fill.

5. The point-of-entry of a major fluvial drainage system into a subsiding mini-basin and its preferred flow pathway within the basin dictate the rate and
style of accumulation. This is recorded in the preserved fluvial succession whereby fairways of major fluvial activity are preserved as single-storey or multi-storey, multi-lateral channel elements.

6. Salt-wall uplift served to isolate fluvial systems and confine them within their respective mini-basins. As a result of this confinement and isolation, each fluvial system within a specific mini-basin could theoretically be supplied from a different source area. As a result, the preserved expression of the fluvial architecture generated by each isolated fluvial system might vary considerably between adjacent mini-basins. In the Moenkopi Formation, this is expressed as the accumulation of relatively sand-poor intervals at the same stratigraphic levels as relatively sand-prone intervals in adjacent basins. Examples in the Salt Anticline Region include: (i) the difference in sand content between the Ali Baba Member in the Parriott basin versus the Fisher basin; and (ii) the difference in sediment architecture between the Parriott Member in the Parriott and Big Bend basins.

7. Spatial variations in both mini-basin subsidence rate and sedimentation delivery rate act as primary controls on fluvial system accumulation style. Packages of sand-prone strata can be preserved in one part of a mini basin at apparently the same stratigraphic level as packages of sand-poor strata elsewhere in the same mini-basin (e.g. northeast side versus the southwest side of the Parriott Basin).
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**Figure and Table Captions**

**Table 1.** Lithofacies recorded in the Salt Anticline Region study area. Abbreviations: Ang = Angular; SA = Sub-angular; SR = Sub-rounded; Mod. = moderate sorting; M.Fine = medium- to fine-grained sandstone.

**Fig. 1.** Regional map of the Paradox Basin and associated Uncompahgre and San Luis uplifts. Map depicts the limits of the Paradox Basin based on the extent of subsurface salt deposits of the Paradox Formation, and the depositional limit of the Moenkopi Formation (modified in part from Barbeau, 2003; Condon, 1997; Shoemaker & Newman, 1959; Stewart *et al.*, 1972).
Fig. 2. Overview map of the Salt Anticline Region and study area. Map depicts the location of measured sedimentary sections, and lines of correlation panels. Map centre: 38.70°N, 109.324°W; geodetic system: WGS 84.

Fig. 3. Regional stratigraphic column and palaeocurrent summary data. Column depicts the average thickness of the various stratigraphic units in the Salt Anticline Region, and average thicknesses of Moenkopi Formation and its constituent members within the individual studied mini-basins. Palaeocurrent summary data for each mini-basin are plotted as rose diagrams for which vector mean, vector magnitude, and number of recorded readings are shown. Regional stratigraphic column after Trudgill (2011).

Fig. 4. Representative measured sections from the 3 studied mini-basins: Parriott basin section is from Parriott Mesa South East; Fisher basin section is from Fisher Valley Mega; Big Bend basin section is from Big Bend Campsite C.

Fig. 5. Representative lithofacies of the Moenkopi Formation in the Salt Anticline Region. See Table 1 for explanation of lithofacies codes. (a) Trough cross-bedded sandstone (Fxt) with intraformational clasts (Fci). 1: Underlying erosive base. 2: Medium- to coarse-grained trough cross-bedded sandstone facies with a high proportion of intraformational clasts. 3: Clasts are angular to sub-rounded. (b) Fluvial channel filled with intraformational clasts (Fci). 1: Channel incised into underlying HA Fxp facies. 2: Fluvial channel filled with orthoconglomerate; pebble-grade clasts of intraformational origin (Fci). Matrix is medium- to coarse-grained sandstone. 3: Cross-bedded sandstone set of medium-grained sandstone with isolated pebble-grade clasts of intraformational origin. (c) Trough cross-bedded sandstone (Fxt). 1: Larger-scale cross-bedding is indicative of larger bedforms developed in main channels. 2: Thin foresets within troughs. 3: Intersecting trough cut-offs in sections perpendicular to flow generated by the migration of sinuous-crested dunes with out-of-phase successive bedform crestlines. (d) Horizontally laminated sandstone (Fh). 1: Horizontally laminated sands composed of fine-
grained sandstone exhibit thinner laminations than in examples composed of coarse-grained sandstone. 2: Colour mottling and reduction associated with slightly less permeable layers. (e) Climbing ripple strata (Frc). 1: Subcritical climbing ripple stratification in sets composed of fine- to medium-grained sandstone. 2: Positive but subcritical angle-of-climb. 3: Palaeoflow direction. (f) Wave-ripple laminated sandstone (WR). 1: Ripple forms preserved on upper bedding surface seen in section and exhibiting a combination of chevron-style accumulation and draping of foresets onto ripple-crests. 2: Some examples of asymmetric ripples indicate mixed unidirectional and bidirectional flow regime typical of sluggish flow in shallow water influenced by surface wind shear. (g) Gypsum-clast-bearing unit; matrix supported (FGm). 1: Gypsum clasts are sub-angular: indicative of short transport distance. 2: Matrix-supported nature of sets indicates deposition by debris flow or water flow. 3: Pebble-grade clast horizon overlain by coarse-grained granulestone of gypsum micro-conglomerate, indicating disaggregation of larger clasts in higher energy flows, or possibly indicative of longer transport distances. (h) Horizontal interbedded sandstones and siltstones (Fhiss). 1: Subcritical climbing ripple strata in fine-grained sandstone. 2: Thin beds of climbing ripple strata are interbedded with thin sets of siltstone. 3: Palaeoflow direction.

Fig. 6. Representative architectural elements of the Moenkopi Formation, detailing the most common meso-scale elements present in the Salt Anticline Region.

Fig. 7. Photomontages of macro-scale basin-fill architectural styles. (a) Aerial photograph of the Red Hills (also known as the “Truck and Boat” structure) at the northwest tip of the Castle Valley salt wall. The nature of the uplift is asymmetric, with the western salt-wall flank characterised by a slope geometry and the eastern salt-wall flank characterised by a ramp-flat-ramp trajectory. Note the intraformational unconformity on the west flank of the uplift. (b) Photograph and interpretation panel of the western salt-wall flank slope geometry. Abundance of channel sand-body occurrence decreases upslope toward the crest of the salt wall. The succession thins by 25 m between the two logs, which are situated 270 m apart. High resolution photographs of
growth strata depicted in the inserts are available in the on-line supplementary material.

**Fig. 8.** Correlation panels depicting spatial changes in thickness of members of the Moenkopi Formation and the internal distribution of distinctive architectural features. See Fig. 2 for location of panels. Sewemup Member is divided into gypsum-bearing facies and non-gypsum-bearing facies. (a) Correlation panel for the Parriott basin depicting the thinning of the Moenkopi Formation towards the tip of the Castle Valley salt wall. (b) Correlation panel for the Fisher basin depicting near-constant thickness of the members. Note, however, the significant relief on the disconformity at the base of the overlying Chinle Formation. (c) Correlation panel across all 3 studied mini-basins. Note the asymmetric style of the basin profile in the Parriott basin, and the absence of the Parriott Member from the Fisher basin. Additional material depicting a more detailed view of the architectural relationships of the members adjacent to the Castle Valley salt wall is available in the on-line supplementary material.

**Fig. 9.** (a) Model for Salt Anticline Region depicting deposition of the Ali Baba Member. (b) Detailed stratigraphic model for the Parriott basin. (c & d) Examples of fluvial architectural elements F1 & F3, which are two of the main elements composing the majority of the basin fill.

**Fig. 10.** Model to account for the temporal evolution of the Fisher basin. The preserved stratigraphic architecture demonstrates a slow initial episode of subsidence prior to eventual cessation of subsidence prior to accumulation of the Parriott Member. The upper part of the Moenkopi Formation (above the Ali Baba Member) records a gradual reduction in the abundance of elements indicative of sedimentation via channelised fluvial processes in the basin.

**Fig. 11.** Model to account for the temporal evolution of the Parriott basin. The preserved stratigraphic architecture demonstrates asymmetric subsidence within the basin, with higher rates of subsidence occurring on the margin of the Castle Valley salt wall. During accumulation of the Sewemup Member, the
Castle Valley salt wall breached the land surface and acted as a source of gypsum-clast detritus.

**Fig. 12.** Model to account for the temporal evolution of the Big Bend basin. The only fully exposed section of the Moenkopi Formation in the Big Bend basin was exposed on the flank of the Castle Valley salt wall where 245 m of Moenkopi Formation is exposed. In the central part of the basin, only the upper part of the Sewemup Member and the Parriott Member are exposed.

**Fig. 13.** Conceptual diagram depicting the interaction of the main parameters that either directly or indirectly affect rates of sedimentation, basin subsidence and salt-wall uplift. See text for explanation.

**Fig. 14.** Model depicting the effects of differential rates of subsidence and accommodation generation on basin-fill style in a salt-walled mini-basin. Barrell diagrams to show basin subsidence rates and sedimentation rates for various locations in an evolving mini-basin. In areas where rates of sedimentation and subsidence are balanced, sand-prone successions tend to accumulate, whereas where rates of subsidence outpace rates of sediment delivery, heterolithic, sand-poor successions tend to accumulate and basins remain partly unfilled. Note that changes in sediment type and supply rates can result in complex changes in sedimentary architecture.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Facies</th>
<th>Colour</th>
<th>Grain size &amp; Texture</th>
<th>Composition</th>
<th>Primary Sed. Structures</th>
<th>Secondary Sed. Structures</th>
<th>Interpretation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fm</td>
<td>Massive</td>
<td>Orange-brown</td>
<td>V.Fine to V.Coarse, Ang to SR</td>
<td>Quartzofeldspathic sand</td>
<td>Some graded bedding</td>
<td>Rarely colour reduced</td>
<td>Rapid deposition from suspension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fxt</td>
<td>Trough cross-bedding</td>
<td>Purple-brown to orange-brown</td>
<td>Medium to Coarse. Mod. Sorting, SA-SR</td>
<td>Quartzofeldspathic sand</td>
<td>Trough cross-bedding</td>
<td>Downstream migration of sinuous-crested dune-scale mesoforms</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HA Fxp</td>
<td>High-angle planar cross-bedding</td>
<td>Purple-brown to orange-brown</td>
<td>Medium to Coarse. Mod. Sorting, SA-SR</td>
<td>Trough cross-bedding</td>
<td>Straight-crested or sinuous-crested dunes migrating within a fluvial channel (can be trough cross-bedding rotated through 90 degrees)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LA Fxp</td>
<td>Low-angle planar cross-bedding</td>
<td>Purple-brown to orange-brown</td>
<td>M.Fine to Coarse. Mod. Sorting, SA-SR</td>
<td>Quartzofeldspathic sand</td>
<td>Low-angle planar cross-bedding</td>
<td>Migration of lateral accretion mesoforms</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fxl/Frc</td>
<td>Cross laminated / climbing ripple strata</td>
<td>Orange-brown to red-brown</td>
<td>V.Fine to Medium. Mod. Sorting, SA-SR</td>
<td>Quartzofeldspathic sand</td>
<td>Current ripple lamination: sub- to super-critical climb</td>
<td>Rarely colour reduced</td>
<td>Unidirectional migration of microforms within channels or unconfined flows under low flow regime. Fxl is the preserved expression of sinuous-crested climbing ripples. Frc may be sinuous- or straight-crested.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fh</td>
<td>Horizontally laminated</td>
<td>Orange-brown, red-brown or red-grey</td>
<td>V.Fine to Fine. Mod - Well sorting, SA-SR</td>
<td>Quartzofeldspathic sand</td>
<td>Primary current lamination; normal grading</td>
<td>Rarely colour reduced</td>
<td>Deposition from upper-flow regime, either from channel flow or from non-confined sheet flow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fci</td>
<td>Intraformational clasts</td>
<td>Dark-brown clasts; light-brown matrix</td>
<td>5mm to 70mm clasts, Fine to Medium matrix</td>
<td>Mudstone clasts and sandstone</td>
<td>Weak imbrication</td>
<td>Represents erosion and re-deposition of locally reworked sediments in channel-belt and floodplain areas</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fce</td>
<td>Extraformational clasts</td>
<td>Green, purple, reds &amp; white</td>
<td>5mm to 70mm clasts, Fine to Medium matrix</td>
<td>Basement lithologies</td>
<td>Weak imbrication if matrix supported</td>
<td>Represents transportation of basement clasts into the depositional environment, possibly by a high-energy flood event</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FGm</td>
<td>Gypsum clasts; matrix supported</td>
<td>White clasts with orange or chocolate-brown</td>
<td>10mm to 150mm clasts, Fine to Medium matrix</td>
<td>Gypsum and sand matrix</td>
<td>Generated during episodes of salt-wall breaching at surface. Represents slow rates of salt delivery in areas proximal to salt wall, or location distal from salt wall</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FGc</td>
<td>Gypsum clasts; clast supported</td>
<td>White clasts with minor amount of orange matrix</td>
<td>10mm to 150mm clasts, Fine to Medium matrix</td>
<td>&gt; 80% gypsum clasts.</td>
<td>Weak imbrication</td>
<td>Generated during episodes of salt-wall breaching at surface. High rates of delivery of salt clasts in areas proximal to the salt wall</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gc</td>
<td>Crystalline gypsum bed</td>
<td>White to grey</td>
<td>Crystalline</td>
<td>&gt;95% gypsum</td>
<td>May display inclined or horizontal lamination</td>
<td>Clinofoms generated by gypsum aeolian dune form migration (Lawton &amp; Buck, 2006)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gb</td>
<td>Gypsum-bound sandstone</td>
<td>Orange-Brown to “Cutler purple”-brown</td>
<td>Usually Fine to Medium, Poor sorting, Angular</td>
<td>Gypsum cement</td>
<td>Usually massive bedding</td>
<td>Gypsum cementation</td>
<td>Origin possibly by post-depositional throughflow of dissolved gypsum resulting in cementation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fd</td>
<td>Deformed bedding</td>
<td>Orange-brown to chocolate-brown</td>
<td>V.Fine to Med. Poor, Mod. Poor, SA SR</td>
<td>Quartzofeldspathic sand</td>
<td>Horizontal lamination; load &amp; flame; dewatering; slumps</td>
<td>Soft-sediment deformation resulting from loading of unconsolidated sediments and associated water-escape. Slumps may indicate movement of sediment down slope, syn or post deposition</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scls</td>
<td>Crinkley Laminated sandstones</td>
<td>Orange sst.</td>
<td>Fine to Med. Sandstone SA-SR</td>
<td>Crinkley lamination: laminations are discordant</td>
<td>Represents disruption of sedimentary structures by ground water movement by capillary action (Goodal et al., 2000)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WR</td>
<td>Wave ripple strata</td>
<td>Orange to chocolate-brown or grey</td>
<td>V.Fine to M.Fine sandstone.</td>
<td>Quartzofeldspathic sand, and silt</td>
<td>Symmetrical ripple forms</td>
<td>Typically reduced</td>
<td>Represents bi-directional flow created by surface waves on shallow-water ponds on the alluvial plain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FHSS</td>
<td>Horizontally interbedded silts and sands</td>
<td>Orange sst., with chocolate brown silt</td>
<td>Fine to Coarse sst., and silt. Poor sorting</td>
<td>Quartzofeldspathic sand, and silt</td>
<td>Can contain Facies WR, Fm, Frc/Fxl, Fh, rare Fci</td>
<td>Desiccation cracks filled with Fm.</td>
<td>Represents multiple flood events where progressively finer material is deposited with corresponding sedimentary structures from a waning flow.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(a) Fci & Fxt
(b) Fci Channel Fill
(c) Fxt
(d) Fh
(e) Frc
(f) WR
(g) FGm
(h) FHiss
Representative Architectural Elements

**F1 - Amalgamated Channel Elements**

- **Element description**: Multi-storey, multi-lateral channel elements
- **Element Type**: Confined flow
- **Geometries**: Multiple incised and filled channel elements
- **Associated Facies**: Fm, Fh, Fxt/Fxp, Frc/Fxl, Fce & Fci Lags
- **Vertical Extent**: 5 to 10 m
- **Lateral Extent**: 500 m to 10s km

**Key Element Characteristics**

1. Multiple levels of erosive undulating basal contacts.
2. Channel fills are a mixture of Fm, Fxt/Fxp, and Fce & Fci Lags.
3. Amalgamated channel-element complex is superceded by overbank elements.

**Interpretation**

Represents lateral accretion and vertical stacking of fluvial channel elements over time.

**F2 - Single-Storey Channel Elements**

- **Element description**: Single-storey, multi-lateral channel elements
- **Element Type**: Confined flow
- **Geometries**: Channel elements with no vertical stacking
- **Associated Facies**: Fm, Fh, Fxt/Fxp, Frc/Fxl, Fce & Fci Lags
- **Vertical Extent**: 0.5 to 3 m
- **Lateral Extent**: 200 m to 10s km

**Key Element Characteristics**

1. Erosive undulating basal contact. Trough cross-beded sandstone (Fxt), with associated climbing ripple-strata (Frc) in channel belt element.
2. Element preceded and superceded by overbank sheet-flood elements (Heterolithic silts and fine sands).

**Interpretation**

Represents preserved elements created by a single channel or multiple channel migrating laterally on a single horizon.

**F3 - Sheet-like Element (Style 1)**

- **Element description**: Sheet-like interbedded heterolithic silt and sandstones
- **Element Type**: Nonconfined or unconfined flows
- **Geometries**: Laterally extensive sheet-like bodies
- **Associated Facies**: Fm, Fh, Frc/Fxl, Fci, desiccation cracks
- **Vertical Extent**: 0.2 m to 20 m +
- **Lateral Extent**: 500 m to several km’s

**Key Element Characteristics**

1. Very laterally continuous - seen contouring the hill side for several km.
2. Can form thick monotonous successions 10s m thick.
3. Friable nature of constituent facies means outcrops tend to weather to scree over any significant distance.

**Interpretation**

Represents an event where flood water was not confined within channels and sediment transport and deposition happened outside of channel elements.

**F3 - Sheet Elements (Style 2)**

- **Element description**: Sheet-like interbedded heterolithic silt and sandstones
- **Element Type**: Non or unconfined flows
- **Geometries**: Laterally extensive sheet-like bodies, can pinch out
- **Associated Facies**: Fm, Fh, Frc/Fxl, Fci, desiccation cracks
- **Vertical Extent**: 0.2 m to 20 m +
- **Lateral Extent**: 500 m to several km’s

**Key Element Characteristics**

1. Laterally continuous - seen contouring hill side for several km’s.
2. Friable nature of constituent facies means outcrops tend to weather to scree over any significant distance.
3. Channel elements, which are more sand-prone weather proud.

**Interpretation**

Nature of sheet elements results in these types of elements preferentially degrading to scree, leaving channel elements as short but laterally extensive cliff sections.
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Channel elements ramping onto salt wall.

Thickening of the Parriott Member is the most salient feature of the rim-syncline.

Sewemup Member has a variable maximum lateral extent.

Maximum lateral extent of Sewemup Member does not reach Fisher Valley salt wall.

Parriott Member aged channels stacked on top of uplift.

No channels present on ramp indicates a period of syn-sedimentary uplift.

Reduced wave-ripple strata (of Ali Baba Mbr. age) on ramp indicates that standing water was present for an episode of time before uplift.

Some shearing of beds observed near salt wall crest indicating post deposition deformation.
Salt Anticline Region: Ali Baba Member

Direction of Flow to NW

Large-scale channel elements within salt-walled mini-basin

Basement faults oriented parallel to the Uncompahgre frontal thrust responsible for initiating salt mobilisation

Parriott basin Evolution: Ali Baba Member

Area of predominantly non-confined sedimentation; characterised by accumulation of heterolithic strata

Areas of temporary standing water; wave-ripple strata.

Desiccation cracks common in heterolithic strata

Area closest to Castle Valley salt wall is dominated by non-confined flows

Element OB1: Non-confined heterolithic sheets-like elements

Progressive aggradation of the succession preserves successive horizons of multi-lateral, overlapping channel bodies, forming F1 channel elements

Sinuous-crested dune-scale bedforms accumulate to generate sets and compound co-sets of trough cross-bedding (Fxt)

Cosets defined by erosional basal surfaces where overlying channel elements have incised into underlying elements; common pebble lags consisting of intraformational (Fci) and extraformational (Fce) clasts

Parallel to palaeoflow, migrating meso-forms generate sets of high-angle-inclined planar-tabular cross-bedding (HA Fxp)

Perpendicular to palaeoflow, migrating meso-forms generate sets of trough cross-bedding (Fxp)

Sporadic pioneer species colonising floodplain in aftermath of flood event. Biogenic activity is too limited to form any mature palaeosols. Root traces expressed as mottled fabric

Sheet-like elements consisting typically of asymmetric climbing-ripple-laminated sands (Frc) with rare massive sands (Fm), and dark laminated to massive silts. Deposits collectively form facies Fhiss

Flood waters may converge into confined chute elements (OB3) within which they may flow for several tens of metres before dissipating. Chute fills are typically massive (Fm), though can contain crude cross-bedding (Fxp or Fxt)

Pond elements accumulate in aftermath of flooding events. Preservation of symmetrical wave-ripple strata (WR) generated by wind action are common

Sporadic pioneer species colonising floodplain in aftermath of flood event. Biogenic activity is too limited to form any mature palaeosols. Root traces expressed as mottled fabric

Element OB1: Non-confined heterolithic sheets-like elements

Element OB1: Non-confined heterolithic sheets-like elements

Direction of Flow to NW

Flood plain accumulates in aftermath of flooding events. Preservation of symmetrical wave-ripple strata (WR) generated by wind action are common

Sporadic pioneer species colonising floodplain in aftermath of flood event. Biogenic activity is too limited to form any mature palaeosols. Root traces expressed as mottled fabric

Element OB1: Non-confined heterolithic sheets-like elements

Direction of Flow to NW

Flood plain accumulates in aftermath of flooding events. Preservation of symmetrical wave-ripple strata (WR) generated by wind action are common

Sporadic pioneer species colonising floodplain in aftermath of flood event. Biogenic activity is too limited to form any mature palaeosols. Root traces expressed as mottled fabric
Fisher basin Evolution: Tenderfoot Member

Direction of Flow to NW

Development of shallow salt pans of limited extent in the Fisher basin: fluvial incision limited the potential for salt-pan preservation.

Onion Creek/Fisher Valley salt wall

Permian Cutler Group

Poorly-developed channel networks

Uncompahgre highlands defines the northeastern margin of the Fisher basin

Development of crenulated (crinkly) laminations in originally horizontally laminated sandstones indicates upward migration of ground water due to surface evaporation (capillary action).

Fisher basin Evolution: Ali-Baba Member

Direction of Flow to NW

Uncompahgre highlands denuded over time and acted as a secondary sediment source that contributed to the infill of the Fisher basin.

Sheet-like elements composed of horizontally laminated sands dominate sedimentation in areas proximal to salt wall.

Evidence for substantial fluvial activity across much of the mini-basin demonstrated by the preservation of multi-storey, multi-lateral channel element complexes; rare preservation of conglomerate elements.

Topographic surface expression of the Fisher Valley salt wall acts as a barrier to fluvial system.

Fisher basin Evolution: Sewemup Member

Direction of Flow to NW

Gypsum-bearing strata not present in areas proximal to the Fisher Valley/Onion Creek salt wall; demonstrates that salt did not breach the surface.

Limited movement in the Fisher Valley/Onion Creek salt wall prevents surface-breaching.

Rates of sedimentation in the Fisher basin are greatly reduced due to slowed rates of mini-basin subsidence; salt grounded (?); reduced rate of infilling of available accommodation space due to limited rates of sediment supply.

Fisher basin Evolution: Onset of Parriott Member deposition

Direction of Flow to NW

By the onset of Parriott Member deposition the surface expression of salt-wall topography had been largely buried, allowing the development of inter-basin channel belts.

Denudation of the Uncompahgre highlands further reduces the sediment supply to the Fisher basin.

Sedimentation within the Fisher basin during Parriott Member deposition was limited; evidence for partial erosion prior to the onset of accumulation of the Chinle Formation.

Limit of Moenkopi deposition

Denudation of the Uncompahgre highlands reduces its effectiveness as a sediment source.

Continued denudation of the Uncompahgre highlands results in the preservation of multi-storey, multi-lateral channel element complexes; rare preservation of conglomerate elements.

Channel elements are limited in lateral extent and are of a finer grain-size then those of the Ali-Baba Member.

Limit of Moenkopi deposition

Continued denudation of the Uncompahgre highlands further reduces the sediment supply to the Fisher basin.

By the onset of Parriott Member deposition the surface expression of salt-wall topography had been largely buried, allowing the development of inter-basin channel belts.
Parriott basin Evolution: Tenderfoot Member

- Pre-existing topographic high diverts fluvial system; salt walls are km to 10s-km long; surface topographic expression uncertain
- Onion Creek/Fisher Valley
- Permian Cutler Group
- ?White Rim Equivalent

Parriott basin Evolution: Ali Baba Member

- Area of predominantly non-confined sedimentation; characterised by accumulation of heterolithic strata
- Areas of temporary standing water; wave-ripple strata.
- Salt Wall
- Fluvial system occupies area closer to Castle Valley salt wall
- Area closest to Castle Valley salt wall is dominated by non-confined flows

Parriott basin Evolution: Sewemup Member

- Maximum lateral extent of the gypsum-clast-bearing strata
- Isolated channel belts
- Predominantly non-confined sedimentation
- Preserved gypsum-bearing strata
- Formation of salt glaciers on the flanks of the salt wall

Parriott basin Evolution: Parriott Member

- Axial salt movement within the walls resulting in formation of domes along the salt walls
- Channel belts no longer confined to a single salt-walled mini-basin
- Sedimentation rate increases relative to that of salt-wall uplift, resulting in burial of the salt walls
- Buried salt wall still exerts a control on distribution of channel elements in locations proximal to the salt wall
The Big Bend basin Evolution involves the study of sedimentary deposits and the influence of tectonic and depositional processes. Three members of the basin are discussed:

1. **Tenderfoot Member**
   - Direction of Flow: NW
   - Castle Valley salt wall breaches the land-surface, serving as a source of gypsum detritus which was reworked as clasts and preserved as conglomerates in the local stratigraphy.
   - Gypsum-clast-bearing strata observed as far into the Big Bend basin as Big Bend Campsite C.

2. **Sewemup Member**
   - Direction of Flow: NW
   - Castle Valley salt wall is buried by deposits of the fluvial system but still exerts a control over the preservation of stratigraphy.
   - Preferential stacking of channel elements in the rim-syncline adjacent to the salt wall.

3. **Parriott Member**
   - Direction of Flow: NW
   - Castle Valley salt wall is buried by deposits of the fluvial system but still exerts a control over the preservation of stratigraphy.
   - Location of multi-storey multi-lateral elements in the stratigraphy at Big Bend Campsite C locality; indicates preferential confinement of channel elements in the rim-syncline.

**Location of Growth Faults**
- Growth fault in White Rim Sandstone, and growth strata in the Tenderfoot Member.
- Multi-storey and multi-lateral channel element (F1) complex with sheet-like overbank elements (OB1) in the Parriott Member.

**Limit of certainty due to lack of outcrop**
- Permian Cutler Group
- Maximum extent of the gypsum-clast-bearing strata in the Big Bend basin is unknown.
- Preferential stacking of channel elements in the rim-syncline adjacent to the salt wall.
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Channel elements ramping onto salt wall.

Thickening of the Parriott Member is the most salient feature of the rim-syncline.

Sewemup Member has a variable maximum lateral extent.

Maximum lateral extent of Sewemup Member does not reach Fisher Valley salt wall.

(a)

(b) Parriott Member aged channels stacked on top of uplift

No channels present on ramp indicates a period of syn-sedimentary uplift

Reduced wave-ripple strata (of Ali Baba Mbr. age) on ramp indicates that standing water was present for an episode of time before uplift.

Some shearing of beds observed near salt wall crest indicating post deposition deformation.

(c) Thickening of the Parriott Member is the most salient feature of the rim-syncline.

Sewemup Member has a variable maximum lateral extent.

Maximum lateral extent of Sewemup Member does not reach Fisher Valley salt wall.

Channel elements ramping onto salt wall.

Parriott Member aged channels stacked on top of uplift

Reduced wave-ripple strata (of Ali Baba Mbr. age) on ramp indicates that standing water was present for an episode of time before uplift.

Some shearing of beds observed near salt wall crest indicating post deposition deformation.

Scale: Castleton Tower = 120 m

(a) Channel elements ramping onto salt wall.

(b) Parriott Member aged channels stacked on top of uplift

No channels present on ramp indicates a period of syn-sedimentary uplift

Reduced wave-ripple strata (of Ali Baba Mbr. age) on ramp indicates that standing water was present for an episode of time before uplift.

Some shearing of beds observed near salt wall crest indicating post deposition deformation.

(c) Thickening of the Parriott Member is the most salient feature of the rim-syncline.

Sewemup Member has a variable maximum lateral extent.

Maximum lateral extent of Sewemup Member does not reach Fisher Valley salt wall.

Channel elements ramping onto salt wall.

Parriott Member aged channels stacked on top of uplift

Reduced wave-ripple strata (of Ali Baba Mbr. age) on ramp indicates that standing water was present for an episode of time before uplift.

Some shearing of beds observed near salt wall crest indicating post deposition deformation.