

This is a repository copy of Substitution of Ti3+ and Ti4+ in hibonite (CaAl12O19).

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/79652/

Version: Accepted Version

## Article:

Doyle, PM, Schofield, PF, Berry, AF et al. (2 more authors) (2014) Substitution of Ti3+ and Ti4+ in hibonite (CaAl12O19). American Mineralogist: an international journal of earth and planetary materials, 99 (7). 1369 - 1382 (14). ISSN 0003-004X

https://doi.org/10.2138/am.2014.4532

#### Reuse

Unless indicated otherwise, fulltext items are protected by copyright with all rights reserved. The copyright exception in section 29 of the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 allows the making of a single copy solely for the purpose of non-commercial research or private study within the limits of fair dealing. The publisher or other rights-holder may allow further reproduction and re-use of this version - refer to the White Rose Research Online record for this item. Where records identify the publisher as the copyright holder, users can verify any specific terms of use on the publisher's website.

#### Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/

	(DOI will not work until issue is live.) DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.2138/am.2014.4532 2/26
1	Revision 2
2	Substitution of Ti <sup>3+</sup> and Ti <sup>4+</sup> in hibonite (CaAl <sub>12</sub> O <sub>19</sub> )
3	
4	Patricia M. Doyle <sup>1,2,†</sup> , Paul F. Schofield <sup>2</sup> , Andrew J. Berry <sup>1,2,*</sup> , Andrew M. Walker <sup>3‡</sup> and Kevin S.
5	Knight <sup>4,2</sup>
6	
7	<sup>1</sup> Department of Earth Science and Engineering, Imperial College London, South Kensington. SW7
8	2AZ. UK
9	<sup>2</sup> Department of Earth Sciences, Natural History Museum, Cromwell Road, London. SW7 5BD. UK
10 11	<sup>3</sup> School of Earth Sciences, University of Bristol, Wills Memorial Building, Queen's Road, Bristol. BS8 1RL UK
12	<sup>4</sup> ISIS Science Division Rutherford Appleton Laboratory Chilton Didcot OX11 00X UK
12	1515 Science Division, Rumenord Appleton Eutoratory, Chinton, Dideot. ORTI OQA. OR
14	
15	<sup>†</sup> Present address: Hawai'i Institute of Geophysics and Planetology, University of Hawai'i at
16	Manoa, 96822, USA
17	* Present address: Research School of Earth Sciences, Australian National University, Canberra,
18	ACT, 2601 Australia
19	‡ Present address: School of Earth and Environment, University of Leeds, Leeds, LS2 9JT, UK
20	
21	
22	Abstract
23	The structures of eight synthetic samples of hibonite, with variable Ti oxidation state and Ti
24	concentrations (2.4-15.9 wt% TiO <sub>2</sub> ) that span the range reported for natural hibonite found in
25	meteorites, were determined by Rietveld refinements of neutron powder diffraction data. $Ti^{3+}$ was
26	found to exclusively occupy the octahedral face-sharing M4 site irrespective of the presence or
27	absence of $Ti^{4+}$ . $Ti^{4+}$ partitions between the trigonal bipyramidal M2 site and the M4 site. The ratio
28	$(Ti^{4+} \text{ on } M2)$ : $(Ti^{4+} \text{ on } M4)$ appears to be constant for all the samples, with an average of 0.18(2)
29	irrespective of the concentrations of $Ti^{3+}$ and $Ti^{4+}$ . These substitutional sites were shown to be the
30	most stable configurations for Ti in hibonite from calculations using density functional theory,
31	although the predicted preference of Ti <sup>4+</sup> for M4 over M2 is not as strong as is observed. This is
32	attributed to the different Ti contents of the experimental and calculated structures and suggests that
33	the Ti site occupancies might change between these concentrations. Furthermore, it is shown that
34	Ti has a preference to occupy neighbouring M4 sites such that Ti-Ti interactions occur with
35	stabilization energies of 83 kJ/mol for $Ti^{3+}$ - $Ti^{3+}$ and at least 15 kJ/mol for $Ti^{4+}$ - $Ti^{4+}$ . Features in
36	optical spectroscopy and electron spin resonance data from meteoritic and synthetic hibonites that

have been used to infer Ti<sup>3+</sup>/Ti<sup>4+</sup> are shown to actually derive from these Ti-Ti interactions. The 37 amount of  $Ti^{4+}$  in hibonite can be determined from the unit-cell parameters if  $\Sigma Ti$  is determined 38 independently.  $Ti^{3+}/Ti^{4+}$  in hibonite may record the oxygen fugacity ( $f_{O2}$ ) of the early solar nebula, 39 however, the existence of  $Ti^{3+}-Ti^{3+}$  and  $Ti^{4+}-Ti^{4+}$  interactions and the potential for  $Ti^{4+}-Ti^{3+}$ 40 interactions need to be considered when interpreting spectroscopic data in terms of Ti valence state 41 42 and  $f_{O_2}$ . Hibonite as a single-mineral oxybarometer must be used with caution due to the potential role of crystal chemistry (including Ti-Ti interactions) to stabilise Ti oxidation states independently 43 44 of *f*<sub>02</sub>. 45 46

- 47
- 48 Keywords: hibonite, titanium substitution, site occupancy, CAI, neutron diffraction, density
- 49 functional theory, oxygen fugacity

50

83

#### Introduction

51 Some of the oldest materials in the Solar System are the refractory calcium-aluminium-rich 52 inclusions (CAIs) found in undifferentiated chondritic meteorites (Amelin et al. 2002). Hibonite 53  $(CaAl_{12}O_{19})$ , a mineral found within some CAIs, is thought to be the second major phase to 54 condense from a gas of solar composition (Lodders 2003). Moreover, hibonite is the first mineral to condense that can incorporate significant amounts of polyvalent elements such as Ti, V, Cr and 55 56 Fe into its structure. As a result, hibonite has the potential to record the conditions present in the 57 early Solar System, in particular the composition and hence oxygen fugacity ( $f_{O2}$ ) of the nebular gas. For terrestrial materials the intrinsic  $f_{O2}$  is usually determined from  $Fe^{2+}/Fe^{3+}$ , however, this is 58 59 impractical for CAIs as the extremely reducing conditions of formation usually result in the presence of metallic Fe (i.e.  $Fe^{0}/Fe^{2+}$ ). The most suitable alternative redox sensor is  $Ti^{3+}/Ti^{4+}$  (e.g. 60 Grossman et al. 2008) since Ti is a significant component of CAIs and the ratio varies over the 61 range of expected  $f_{O2}$ s (Simon et al. 2007). Meteoritic hibonite may contain up to ~ 8 wt% TiO<sub>2</sub> 62 (Allen et al. 1978) and consequently has the potential to be utilised as a single-mineral 63 64 oxybarometer. 65 66 Hibonite crystals in CAIs can be colourless, greenish-blue, blue or orange and these 67 differences have been used to infer variations in the oxidation state of Ti, and to a lesser degree V (e.g. Burns and Burns 1984; Ihinger and Stolper 1986); such colour changes have even been 68 69 reported to occur between the core and rim of individual hibonite crystals (Rout and Bischoff 70 2008). Previous studies of meteoritic hibonite by optical absorption spectroscopy and electron spin 71 resonance (ESR) spectroscopy (Ihinger and Stolper 1986; Beckett et al. 1988) have confirmed the presence of  $Ti^{3+}$  and suggested  $Ti^{3+}/\Sigma Ti$  (where  $\Sigma Ti = Ti^{3+} + Ti^{4+}$ ) values up to 0.2. However, 72 accurate measurement of  $Ti^{3+}/Ti^{4+}$  in materials is not necessarily a simple process. The 73 determination of  $Ti^{3+}/Ti^{4+}$  from stoichiometry or wet chemistry is notoriously problematic (e.g. 74 75 Beckett et al. 1988; Rager et al. 2003), while both optical and ESR spectroscopies are impeded by 76 the presence of Fe, which is ubiquitous in natural systems. Further, the size and inhomogeneity of 77 meteoritic hibonite crystals generally require the use of microbeam techniques. X-ray absorption 78 near edge structure (XANES) spectroscopy and X-ray photo emission electron microscopy (XPEEM) have the potential to non-destructively quantify valence states, including  $Ti^{3+}/\Sigma Ti$ , with 79 micron (e.g. Berry et al. 2008; Simon et al. 2007) and sub-micron (e.g. Smith et al. 2004; Schofield 80 81 et al. 2010) spatial resolution, respectively. 82

The spectroscopic quantification of  $Ti^{3+}/\Sigma Ti$  is strongly dependent on the interpretation of

84	spectra from suitable standards. Spectral detail is in turn directly related to the crystal chemistry of
85	the phase in question. Hibonite is crystal chemically complex, having five Al sites onto which Ti
86	may substitute and the preferred site occupancy of Ti is likely to be influenced by its oxidation
87	state. As yet there is no unambiguous description of the structural incorporation of $Ti^{3+}$ and $Ti^{4+}$
88	into hibonite. We have used neutron powder diffraction (NPD) to structurally characterise a suite of
89	eight synthetic Ti-bearing hibonite samples, where $Ti^{3+}/\Sigma Ti$ varies between 0 and 1. As with X-ray
90	diffraction (XRD), NPD offers excellent contrast between Al and Ti, however, unlike XRD, NPD
91	also provides good contrast between Mg and Al and the fractional coordinates and atomic
92	displacement parameters of the oxygen atoms can be determined with high precision, thereby
93	increasing the certainty of the structural model. The findings of the NPD study are compared to,
94	and supported by, density functional theory (DFT) modelling.
95	
96	Crystal Structure
97	The structure of hibonite (CaAl <sub>12</sub> O <sub>19</sub> ) was first characterised by Curien et al. (1956) and
98	subsequently refined in a number of XRD studies (Kato and Saalfeld 1968; Utsunomiya et al. 1988;
99	Bermanec et al. 1996; Hofmeister et al. 2004; Nagashima et al. 2010). Hibonite is hexagonal (space
100	group P63/mmc, Z=2, $a \sim 5.6$ Å and $c \sim 22.0$ Å; Hofmeister et al. 2004) and exhibits the
101	magnetoplumbite structure-type (Kato and Saalfeld 1968). The hibonite structure (Figure 1) is
102	dominated by polyhedral layers perpendicular to the <i>c</i> -axis, in which Ca occupies a 12-coordinated
103	polyhedron, and Al is distributed over five M sites. M1 is a regular octahedron $(D_{3d})$ , M2 is a
104	trigonal bipyramid with an ideal site symmetry of $D_{3h}$ , M3 is a tetrahedron ( $C_{3\nu}$ ), M4 is a trigonally
105	distorted octahedron ( $C_{3\nu}$ ) and M5 is a strongly distorted octahedron ( $C_s$ ). The trigonal bipyramidal
106	site is split into two symmetrically-equivalent half-occupied sites above and below the equatorial
107	plane of the bipyramid by the static displacement of the M2 cation from the site-centre (Utsunomiya
108	et al. 1988; Du and Stebbins 2004). Within these pseudotetrahedral M2 sites the cation positions
109	are separated by 0.17-0.27 Å (Bermanec et al. 1996; Hofmeister et al. 2004). The multiplicity of
110	the cation sites and their coordination numbers may be summarised as follows:
111	$^{[12]}$ Ca <sup>[6]</sup> M1 <sup>[5]</sup> M2 <sup>[4]</sup> M3 <sub>2</sub> <sup>[6]</sup> M4 <sub>2</sub> <sup>[6]</sup> M5 <sub>6</sub> O <sub>19</sub> (where coordination numbers are superscripted in square
112	brackets and the multiplicity of the site is subscripted).
113	
114	The arrangement and distribution of the polyhedra in hibonite are such that the structural
115	topology can be considered in terms of a repetition of two distinct polyhedral layers perpendicular
116	to the c-axis (Burns and Burns, 1984; Nagashima et al. 2010). Within one of these layers, referred
117	to as the S-block, the tetrahedral (M3) and octahedral (M1 and M5) polyhedra are arranged as in the
118	spinel structure (Figure 1). These S-blocks are separated by a layer comprising the Ca site, the

119 trigonal bipyramidal M2 site and the octahedral M4 site, referred to as the R-block (Kohn and Eckart 1964) or conductor layer (Ivi et al. 1989). The thickness of the R-block (along z) equates to 120 121 the O1-O1 distance between the apical oxygen atoms of the M2 site. The M2 polyhedra are 122 isolated from each other and linked by the M4 and Ca polyhedra. The M4 octahedra share a face, 123 forming isolated face-sharing pairs in which the M4 cations are separated by about 2.59 Å, parallel to z (Hofmeister et al. 2004). The hibonite unit cell is formed by stacking R- and S-blocks along z 124 125 according to the sequence RSR'S', where R' and S' are rotated 180° about z relative to R and S respectively (Bermanec et al. 1996). 126 127 128 **Site Substitutions** 129 A range of elements substitute into the hibonite structure (Table 1), with natural hibonites containing  $Mg^{2+}$ ,  $Zn^{2+}$ ,  $Fe^{2+}$ ,  $Fe^{3+}$ ,  $Cr^{3+}$ ,  $V^{3+}$ ,  $Ti^{3+}$ ,  $Ti^{4+}$ ,  $Si^{4+}$  and  $Th^{4+}$  as major elements and the rare 130 earth elements La, Ce and Nd, at levels above 1000 ppm (e.g. Burns and Burns 1984; Beckett et al. 131 1988; Rakotondrazafy et al. 1996). Of particular interest to this study is Ti: Ti<sup>3+</sup> may substitute 132 directly for  $Al^{3+}$ , whereas  $Ti^{4+}$  undergoes a coupled substitution with  $Mg^{2+}$  for two  $Al^{3+}(Mg^{2+} +$ 133  $Ti^{4+} \leftrightarrow 2Al^{3+}$ ) in order to maintain charge balance (Allen et al. 1978).  $Ti^{3+}$  is thought to occupy the 134 135 M2 site in hibonite based on crystal field stabilisation theory, and ESR and optical spectroscopies 136 (Burns and Burns, 1984; Beckett et al. 1988). In single crystal XRD studies of terrestrial hibonite, Ti<sup>4+</sup> has been reported to occupy only the M4 site (Bermanec et al. 1996) and both the M2 and the 137 138 M4 sites (Nagashima et al. 2010). The site occupancies of various elements in hibonite and 139 structural analogues, including natural and synthetic members of the magnetoplumbite group 140  $(AB_{12}O_{19})$  and  $\beta$ -alumina phases, are summarised in Table 1, indicating a large range of possible sites for Ti<sup>3+</sup> (M1, M2, M5) and Ti<sup>4+</sup> (M1, M2, M4, M5). 141 142 143 **Experimental** 144 Synthesis A suite of eight Ti-bearing hibonite samples was synthesized, two Ti<sup>3+</sup> end-member samples 145 with  $Ti^{3+}/\Sigma Ti = 1$ , two  $Ti^{4+}$  end-member samples with  $Ti^{4+}/\Sigma Ti = 1$  and four mixed-valent samples 146 with  $Ti^{3+}/\Sigma Ti$  between 0 and 1. All samples were synthesised at ~1400 °C, which is within the 147 148 stability field of hibonite in a gas of nebular composition (Yoneda and Grossman 1995) and well below the experimentally determined incongruent melting temperature ( $1850 \pm 10$  °C in the CaO-149 MgO-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>  $\pm$  SiO<sub>2</sub> system; De Aza et al. 2000; Vázquez et al. 2003). 150 151 152 Stoichiometric mixtures of pre-dried CaCO<sub>3</sub>, Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, TiO<sub>2</sub> and MgO were pressed into pellets 153 and heated at ~1400 °C for ~30 h in graphite and an atmosphere of CO ( $\log f_{O2} = -16$ ) for the Ti<sup>3+</sup>

154	end-member and mixed-valent samples and in Pt and $CO_2$ (log $f_{O2} = -3$ ) for the Ti <sup>4+</sup> end-member
155	samples. The synthesis of the mixed-valent samples relied on stoichiometry to control $Ti^{3^+}/\Sigma Ti$
156	(noting the coupled substitution of $Ti^{4+}$ and $Mg^{2+}$ ) at constant $fO_2$ . All samples were cooled from
157	1400 to 600 °C over $\sim$ 2 h. The Ti <sup>3+</sup> end-member samples were essentially single phase after a
158	single sinter whereas the yield of Ti <sup>4+</sup> hibonites was improved by a second sinter. At least 5 g of
159	each sample was prepared by combining the products of multiple individual experiments that were
160	sufficiently similar based upon XRD (Schofield et al. 2002). The chemical formulae of these Ti-
161	bearing hibonites and identities of any secondary phases identified are given in Table 2. The Ti <sup>3+</sup>
162	end-member and mixed-valent samples were all blue whereas the Ti <sup>4+</sup> end-member samples were
163	white.
164	
165	Throughout this manuscript the samples are identified by reference to their Ti per formula
166	unit (pfu) and Ti <sup>3+</sup> / $\Sigma$ Ti ratios in the form (Ti pfu, Ti <sup>3+</sup> / $\Sigma$ Ti). For example, the sample (0.5,1.0) will
167	have 0.5 Ti pfu and all the Ti will be $Ti^{3+}$ giving a chemical formula of $CaAl_{11.5}Ti_{0.5}O_{19}$ . The
168	sample (1.0,0) will have 1.0 $\text{Ti}^{4+}$ pfu (and 1.0 Mg <sup>2+</sup> pfu) giving a chemical formula of
169	$CaAl_{10.0}Ti_{1.0}Mg_{1.0}O_{19}.$
170	
171	Scanning electron microscopy
172	Sintered samples were mounted in epoxy resin and the composition determined by energy
173	dispersive spectrometry using a Jeol 5900LV EDS SEM operated at 10 kV and 2 nA. The
174	fluorescence was calibrated to the K-lines of Ca in CaSiO <sub>3</sub> , Al in Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> , Ti in TiO <sub>2</sub> rutile and Mg
175	and Si in Mg <sub>1.6</sub> Fe <sub>0.4</sub> SiO <sub>4</sub> . Matrix corrections were made using the XPP routine (Oxford
176	Instruments).
177	
178	Neutron powder diffraction
179	Neutron time-of-flight powder diffraction data were collected on the fixed geometry, high
180	resolution diffractometer HRPD (Ibberson et al. 1992) at the ISIS neutron spallation source,
181	Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, U.K. Between 2.8 and 4.4 g of sample were packed into an In
182	sealed cylindrical V can with an external diameter of 11 mm, and loaded into the sample tank,
183	which was pumped down to a vacuum below $10^{-4}$ Torr. Diffraction patterns were collected for
184	exposure times between 100 and 152 $\mu$ Ahours with ISIS operating at 40 Hz, an average proton
185	current of ~160 $\mu$ A and upstream neutron choppers selecting one pulse in five.
186	
187	Data were collected simultaneously in both the high-resolution backscattering and 90°

detector banks in the time-of-flight ranges 32000 µs to 126000 µs and 32000 µs to 130000 µs

6

respectively. Diffraction spectra were focused, binned as  $\Delta t/t = 0.0005$  and 0.0003 for the  $2\theta = 90^{\circ}$ and backscattering data respectively, background subtracted, normalised to the incident flux distribution using the isotropic incoherent scattering from a V rod and finally corrected for

absorption and self-scattering. The resulting data were subsequently formatted for use in a two-

193 bank whole profile Rietveld refinement.

194

195 Structural refinement

196 Structural parameters were determined from whole pattern profile fitting of the diffraction 197 data within the GSAS (General Structure Analysis System) code of Larson and Von Dreele (1994) 198 interfaced with EXPGUI (Toby 2001). The first refinement for each sample was based upon the 199 structural model of Hofmeister et al. (2004) using coherent scattering lengths from Sears (1992) of 200 4.70 fm (Ca), 3.449 fm (Al), -3.438 fm (Ti), 5.38 fm (Mg) and 5.803 fm (O). Peak profiles were 201 modelled using the model-dependent Le Bail method with three sample-dependent variables and 202 backgrounds were modelled using a five-term shifted Chebyschev function. Thereafter, the structure 203 was refined using the Rietveld method (Rietveld 1969; 2000). The profiles from the two data banks 204 were scaled, the structural parameters of additional phases (if any) added and scaled relative to 205 hibonite, and then refined simultaneously. The unit-cell parameters for hibonite were refined first, 206 followed by the atomic coordinates and atomic displacement parameters (ADP).

207

208 Mg and Ti were initially included in the refinements based upon the stoichiometry calculated by EDS and then varied as required by the refinement process. Ti site occupancies for the two Ti<sup>3+</sup> 209 210 end-member samples (0.20,1.0) and (0.33,1.0) were allowed to vary within the least squares refinements. For the Ti<sup>4+</sup> end-member and the mixed-valent samples, however, the Mg and Ti site 211 212 occupancies were fixed during the least squares refinements and manually iterated between 213 refinement cycles. Attempts at discriminating between the fractional coordinates and ADPs of 214 different atoms on the same site were unsuccessful, and consequently the fractional coordinates and 215 ADPs for all atoms on a specific site were constrained to be identical. The proportions of Ti (or Mg) 216 and Al on a site were constrained to sum to 1, except for the M2 site where the cation is axially 217 displaced from the mirror plane and thus the maximum occupancy is 0.5.

218

219 Density functional theory modelling

DFT (Hohenberg and Kohn 1964; Kohn and Sham 1965) based calculations within the generalised gradient approximation (GGA) were used to determine the energy and structure of a range of Ti substitutions in hibonite. Along with pure CaAl<sub>12</sub>O<sub>19</sub> hibonite, simulations of various

223 notionally isolated point defects were performed. Specifically, we considered the direct substitution

of Al by Ti<sup>3+</sup>, the substitution of Al by Ti<sup>4+</sup> coupled to a charge balancing substitution of Mg for Al, and cases where small clusters of Ti defects form. The GGA functional of Perdew et al. (1996) was used to describe exchange and correlation, and our calculations made use of the SIESTA methodology and code (Soler et al. 2002), which takes advantage of a strictly localised atom-like basis set and pseudopotentials to achieve good computational performance for large systems.

230 The basis sets and pseudopotentials for Ti, Mg, and O in the calculations were taken from 231 previous work on Ti incorporation in olivine (Berry et al. 2007; Walker et al. 2007), zircon (Tailby 232 et al. 2011), and the thermodynamics of gandilite (Palin et al. 2008), which encompass a wide range 233 of cation coordination environments. For Al we made use of the pseudopotential and basis set 234 derived for a study of the structure of  $\gamma$ -alumina (Paglia et al. 2005), while for Ca we used a basis 235 set optimised for the study of perovskite using the method of Junquera et al. (2001) with double 236 zeta polarised valance states (4s and 4p), single zeta polarised semi-core states (3s and 3p) and 237 added 3d states. The Ca pseudopotential was refitted from the version derived by Junquera et al. 238 (2001), which made use of the local density approximation, to GGA all-electron results using a 239 small partial core correction to avoid an undesirable kink in the fitted potential.

240

241 SIESTA makes use of a real space grid as an auxiliary basis for the calculation of the exchange-correlation and Hartree energies. A kinetic energy cut-off of 250 Ry with  $2 \times 2 \times 2$  grid 242 243 cell sampling was found to give sufficiently accurate results and minimise rippling artefacts. The 244 structure and energy of pure hibonite was derived from variable cell parameter calculations on a 245 single (64 atom) unit-cell. This calculation made use of a  $5 \times 5 \times 2$  Monkhorst–Pack mesh in 246 reciprocal space. Calculations containing defects were all performed in a 256 atom supercell where 247 the lattice vectors were doubled in the x and y directions to give a minimum separation of 11.2 Å 248 between periodic images of any atom within the structural layers and a 22.0 Å separation along z. 249 These defect calculations only sampled reciprocal space at the  $\Gamma$ -point and the cell parameters were 250 not permitted to vary from those calculated for the perfect system (the defect calculations were thus 251 designed to simulate isolated point defects). Geometry optimisation was performed using the 252 Broyden and conjugate gradients algorithms with stop parameters of a maximum force of 0.01253 eV/Å on any atom and (where the cell parameters were allowed to vary) a maximum stress of 200 254 bar on any component of the stress tensor. 255

. . .

256

## Results

257 The eight samples studied by NPD encompass the full range of  $Ti^{3+}$ ,  $Ti^{4+}$ , and  $\Sigma Ti$  contents, 258 and  $Ti^{3+}/\Sigma Ti$  that have been measured in meteoritic hibonite (Figure 2) as well as more  $Ti^{3+}$ -rich

and  $Ti^{4+}$ -rich compositions.

260

### 261 Rietveld analysis

262 The results of the Rietveld refinement of the NPD data for all eight synthetic Ti-bearing 263 hibonite samples are given in Table 3 (lattice parameters and site occupancies) and Table 4 (atomic 264 coordinates, displacement parameters). The whole-profile Rietveld powder statistics (as defined by 265 Young, 1993) for the simultaneous refinement of the 90° and backscatter detector-bank datasets were  $_{w}R_{p} = 2.3 - 3.4$  % and  $R_{p} = 2.5 - 4.6$  %. The observed, calculated and difference profiles for 266 the  $Ti^{3+}$  end-member sample (0.20,1.0) and the  $Ti^{4+}$  end-member sample (0.98,0) are shown in 267 Figure 3. Initially the Ti and Mg pfu were set to those determined by EDS but were allowed to vary 268 269 during the refinements. It seems reasonable to expect a 1:1 correlation between the Rietveld refined 270 and EDS determined Ti and Mg contents, and this was observed (Figure 4). In this work the refined 271 structural data are plotted against Mg and Ti pfu values from the Rietveld refinements.

272

Most of the Ti<sup>4+</sup>-bearing samples have a degree of compositional variability, as evident from 273 the large uncertainties of the Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and TiO<sub>2</sub> values (relative to those of the Ti<sup>3+</sup> end-members) in 274 275 Table 2, which resulted in asymmetric peak broadening of their diffraction data. Two strategies 276 were applied to account for this peak asymmetry. Firstly, the variability in the Ti-content was 277 considered by incorporating a Ti-poor hibonite into the Rietveld model as a minor secondary phase. 278 Secondly, the refinements were performed assuming a homogeneous sample and accepting slightly 279 increased residuals and fit statistics. Both refinement strategies produced the same structural model 280 and Ti and Mg site-occupancies. The data presented in Tables 3 and 4, and discussed throughout 281 are those obtained using the second of these strategies.

282

Throughout the Rietveld analyses the ADPs were constrained to be isotropic. Single-crystal 283 284 XRD studies of  $CaAl_{12}O_{19}$  have shown, however, that the ADP for the M2 cation is relatively 285 anisotropic with  $U_{33}$  (parallel to z) four times larger than  $U_{11}$  and  $U_{22}$  (Hofmeister et al. 2004; Utsunomiya et al. 1988). The degree of anisotropy determined for the M2 ADP in natural hibonite 286 287 that may contain additional cations, such as Ti, on the M2 site was found to be even larger 288 (Hofmeister et al. 2004; Nagashima et al. 2010). This anisotropy of the displacement parameter for 289 M2 relates to a combination of axial off-centring of the cation from the equatorial plane and 290 anisotropic thermal motion, although the static rather than dynamic contributions are likely to be 291 dominant (Nagashima et al. 2010). The ADP for the M2 cation in our refined models (Uiso in Table 292 4) is  $\sim 1.5 - 2$  times larger than the average ADP for the cations on the four other M sites, consistent 293 with previous results (Bermanec et al. 1996; Hofmeister et al. 2004; Nagashima et al. 2010). In an

attempt to quantify the anisotropy of the M2 ADP, additional refinements were performed in which the ADP for this site could vary anisotropically. While the results should be treated cautiously due to the high degree of freedom in the refinement, it was found that the ADPs for M2 were distinctly anisotropic with  $U_{33}$  being approximately double  $U_{11}$  and  $U_{22}$ .

- 298
- 299 DFT modelling

300 To provide a reference structure for the defect calculations, and as a test of the accuracy of the 301 methodology, SIESTA was first used to calculate the atomic positions and lattice parameters of 302 CaAl<sub>12</sub>O<sub>19</sub> hibonite. The initial structure was based on the hibonite refinement reported by 303 Bermanec et al. (1996) with the impurities removed. The 5-coordinate M2 site deserves special 304 comment. In the P63/mmc space group the three equatorial oxygen sites of this trigonal bipyramid 305 lie on a mirror plane but in hibonite the central M2 atom is displaced and, when averaged over a 306 large sample, the atomic occupancy is split evenly over two 4e sites. As a result, in any given 307 trigonal bipyramid the M2 Al atom is displaced  $\sim 0.3$  Å in either the positive or negative z direction 308 and one of the 4e sites in the bipyramid is occupied and the other unoccupied. This locally breaks 309 the crystal symmetry (removing the mirror plane) and the partial occupancy reveals dynamic and 310 static averaging in the diffraction experiment. The SIESTA calculation describes an infinite array 311 of copies of a single unit-cell without this averaging. As there are two M2 sites in the unit-cell 312 there are two possible structures: one with the M2 Al atoms displaced in the same z direction (~11.1 313 Å M2-M2 spacing along c) and one where they are displaced in opposite directions (alternating ~10.9 and ~11.4 Å spacing along z). Both starting structures were investigated and it was found 314 315 that while the distortion was preserved in the DFT calculations (i.e. the Al atom did not move to the 316 mirror plane) the structures had equal energies. This is consistent with the presence of disorder on 317 this site as indicated by the ADPs and partial site occupancies. Having generated the starting 318 hibonite structures, energy minimisation was undertaken in which the lattice parameters and atomic 319 positions were allowed to vary with no symmetry imposed. This process yielded lattice parameters 320 of a = b = 5.61 Å, c = 22.05 Å,  $\alpha = \beta = 90.0^{\circ}$ , and  $\gamma = 120.0^{\circ}$ . The larger lattice parameters (by 321  $\sim 1\%$ ) compared to the experimental determination of Hofmeister et al. (2004) are expected for DFT 322 calculations utilising a GGA functional.

323

The structure of the optimised cell was used to build the 256 atom supercell for defect calculations. Six sets of defect calculations were performed. (1) An Al atom on each of the five M sites was replaced in turn by a Ti atom leading to the isovalent substitution of  $Al^{3+}$  by  $Ti^{3+}$ . (2) Each of these calculations was repeated with one less electron in the simulation cell giving a positively charged system and describing the substitution of  $Al^{3+}$  by  $Ti^{4+}$ . (3) Each of the isovalent

calculations was also repeated with the Ti atom being replaced by a Mg<sup>2+</sup> giving a negatively 329 charged system with  $Mg^{2+}$  substituting for  $Al^{3+}$ . (4) One Al atom was replaced by Ti and one by 330 Mg leading to the charge-neutral coupled substitution of two  $Al^{3+}$  for a  $Ti^{4+}$  and  $Mg^{2+}$ . For each M 331 site occupied by Al there are several inequivalent ways of introducing the Mg to make a coupled 332 333 substitution. Every case where the Ti and Mg polyhedra share a face, edge or vertex (21 distinct 334 coupled defects) was investigated and, guided by the results of the calculations on isolated Ti<sup>4+</sup> and Mg<sup>2+</sup> substitutions, three cases where the Mg is on a M3 site with the Ti on a nearby M2 or M4 site 335 (M3 does not share a vertex with M2 or M4) were also considered. (5) Two Ti atoms were placed 336 on adjacent Al sites to give neutral two-atom defect clusters (nominally of Ti<sup>3+</sup> ions). (6) Two Ti 337 and two Mg atoms were added to form neutral four-atom clusters (nominally containing Ti<sup>4+</sup> ions). 338 339 In cases (5) and (6), only a small subset of the vast number of possible atomic configurations were 340 considered with the choice based on the earlier calculations, the generation of simple structures and 341 the NPD results. Each defect configuration was subjected to geometry optimisation that allowed all 342 atomic positions (but not the lattice parameters) to vary in order to evaluate the defect stability. 343 Energies are given in Tables 5 and 6 where the defect structures are described using Kröger-Vink notation.

344 345

347

#### 346 Isolated defects

348 If Ti substitutes as isolated trivalent ions it will dominantly occupy the 5-coordinate M2 site 349 as this incorporation mechanism has the lowest energy (Table 5). The energies of the charged cells 350 containing isolated  $Mg^{2+}$  and  $Ti^{4+}$  ions suggest that, if the necessary charge balancing defect can be 351 assumed to not alter the structure or energy of the defect site,  $Mg^{2+}$  will occupy the M3 site and  $Ti^{4+}$ 352 will occupy the M2 site.

353

354 As well as energies, the SIESTA calculations also yield the detailed structure of each defect. Considering isolated defects, the Ti atom in the  $Ti_{AD}^{x}$  defect is located close to the ideal mirror 355 356 plane and, unlike Al on the M2 site, is not significantly displaced along z. There are thus two Ti-O1 bonds of approximately the same length (2.231 and 2.237 Å), which are between the short (2.062 357 Å) and long (2.357 Å) bond lengths calculated for Al on this site. However, the threefold rotation 358 axis normal to the equatorial plane of the M2 trigonal bipyramid is broken when  $Ti^{3+}$  is present on 359 360 the site. Instead of three 1.769 Å Al-O3 bonds the three Ti-O3 bonds are 1.903, 1.916 and 1.841 Å. The average Ti-O bond length is thus slightly longer than the average Al-O bond length, both 361 calculated using DFT (2.03 Å versus 1.95 Å). In contrast, the  $Ti^{4+}$  defect on the M2 site does not 362 break this 3-fold rotational symmetry. Ti<sup>4+</sup> is also located close to the mirror plane with the two Ti-363

O1 bonds having lengths of 2.169 and 2.175 Å. The three Ti-O3 bonds are 1.806 Å, resulting in an 364 average Ti<sup>4+</sup>-O bond length of 1.95 Å, which is equal to the Al-O bond length for this site. 365

366

367 *Ti-Mg interactions* 

Coulombic interactions will penalise the formation of the isolated  $Ti^{4+}$  and  $Mg^{2+}$  defects 368 369 considered above and while these may tend to be entropically stabilised, especially at high 370 temperatures and low impurity concentrations, the formation of charge-neutral defect clusters is 371 perhaps more realistic for the samples synthesized in this study. In order to consider this 372 possibility, charge-neutral simulation super-cells were constructed containing both Ti and Mg on M 373 sites that are close together. The energies of these simulation cells after geometry optimisation are reported in Table 6. These data show that the most stable configuration for a Ti<sup>4+</sup> defect charge-374 balanced by a  $Mg^{2+}$  defect is for  $Mg^{2+}$  to occupy an M3 site and Ti<sup>4+</sup> to occupy either an M2 or M4 375 site, with the M2 site being the lower energy configuration. Importantly, the energy does not 376 correlate with the  $Ti^{4+}$  - Mg<sup>2+</sup> separation; indeed the  $Ti^{4+}$  and Mg<sup>2+</sup> polyhedra do not share vertices 377 in the lowest energy configurations. These configurations have  $Ti^{4+}$  and  $Mg^{2+}$  on the M sites that 378 are the lowest energy incorporation mechanisms for the isolated impurities. This indicates that the 379 locations of Ti<sup>4+</sup> and Mg<sup>2+</sup> in the charge-neutral defect cluster are controlled by the elemental site 380 381 preference, and not by short-range interactions between the defects. The energies reported in Tables 382 5 and 6 can be used to determine if these cluster defects are favorable relative to the isolated defects 383 by evaluating the binding energy, which is the energy change of the reaction:

 $CaAl_{10}Ti^{4+}Mg^{2+}O_{19} + CaAl_{12}O_{19} \rightarrow CaAl_{11}Ti^{4+}O_{19} + CaAl_{11}Mg^{2+}O_{19}$ 384

385 or, using Kröger-Vink notation to specify the defect sites:

386

 ${Ti_{Al(2)} Mg_{Al(3)}}' \rightarrow Ti_{Al(2)} + Mg_{Al(3)}'$ 

387 When evaluating the energy of this reaction it is important to account for the Coulombic interaction 388 between charged defects in the periodic replicas of the supercells on the right-hand side. This 389 contribution to the energy only varies slowly with supercell size and the important leading term can 390 be removed using the approach described for cubic systems by Leslie and Gillan (1985) and, for the 391 general case, by Woodley et al. (2003). The approach is to approximate the interaction as the energy 392 of an infinite periodic array of point charges, with the same geometry and charge as the point 393 defects, embedded in a dielectric continuum and to subtract this energy from the energy of the 394 defect-bearing supercells. Unfortunately, we do not know the static relative permittivity of hibonite but we can place bounds on the magnitude of the binding energy between the Ti<sup>4+</sup> and Mg<sup>2+</sup> point 395 396 defects. An upper bound of 1.92 eV (~124 kJ/mol Ti) is obtained by neglecting the correction term 397 and a lower bound of 0.49 eV (~47 kJ/mol Ti) results from the minimum shielding that is likely to 398 be provided by the hibonite crystal (i.e. assuming a reasonable lower bound on the relative 399 permittivity of 3.0 and applying this to the full correction from the energy of a periodic array of ions

2/26

400 with unit charge in a vacuum, which is -1.1797 eV per charged supercell). Even for the lower bound 401 the formation of  $\{Ti_{Al(2)}, Mg_{Al(3)}, b\}$  is of lower energy than the isolated  $Ti_{Al(2)}$  and  $Mg_{Al(3)}$  defects 402 and thus bound defects are expected to dominate.

- 403
- 404 *Ti-Ti interactions*

The concentration of Ti in the samples with high Ti contents is such that the defects must, on 405 purely geometrical grounds, be close together and thus interact. Calculations were performed to 406 investigate, for both Ti<sup>3+</sup> and Ti<sup>4+</sup>, if Ti-Ti interactions alter the structure or energetics of the defects 407 and if the interactions provide a driving force for Ti clustering. Based on the energies of the 408 isolated Ti<sup>3+</sup> defects and the results of the NPD study, a likely configuration for stabilising pairs of 409 bound Ti<sup>3+</sup> impurities is for these ions to occupy adjacent M4 sites, as these are low-energy isolated 410 defect sites that share faces in the structure. The energy and structure of this  $\{Ti_{A|(4)}^{x}Ti_{A|(4)}^{x}\}$  defect 411 was calculated and the nature of the Ti – Ti interaction found by considering the energy of the 412 413 reaction:  $2 \text{ CaAl}_{11}\text{TiO}_{19} \rightarrow \text{CaAl}_{10}\text{Ti}_2\text{O}_{19} + \text{CaAl}_{12}\text{O}_{19}$ 414 where the left hand side represents the lowest energy isolated Ti<sup>3+</sup> impurities and the right hand side 415 the coupled defect structure. In Kröger-Vink notation this reaction can be written as: 416 417  $2 \operatorname{Al}_{Al(4)}^{x} + 2 \operatorname{Ti}_{Al(2)}^{x} \rightarrow \{\operatorname{Ti}_{Al(4)}^{x} \operatorname{Ti}_{Al(4)}^{x}\} + 2 \operatorname{Al}_{Al(2)}^{x}$ Using the data in Tables 5 and 6, the energy change of this reaction is -1.72 eV (83 kJ/mol of Ti) 418

419 and  $Ti^{3+}$  impurities are thus expected to occupy adjacent face-sharing M4 octahedra.

420

421 Similar calculations were performed to investigate the possibility of clustering of Ti<sup>4+</sup>

422 impurities. An added complication in this case is the need for charge balancing  $Mg^{2+}$  defects, which 423 on the basis of the energies of the various isolated defects and the NPD results are found on the M3 424 site. The simplest starting configuration for such a two-Ti<sup>4+</sup>, two-Mg<sup>2+</sup> defect cluster is to place one 425  $Mg^{2+}$  in the spinel layer above two Ti<sup>4+</sup> defects on M4 sites and one  $Mg^{2+}$  in the spinel layer below 426 the Ti<sup>4+</sup> defects. The four defects in the cluster thus form a line parallel to *z* and have no net dipole. 427 The stability of this {Ti<sub>Al(4)</sub>'Ti<sub>Al(4)</sub>'Mg<sub>Al(3)</sub>'Mg<sub>Al(3)</sub>' } configuration is found by considering the 428 energy of the reaction:

429

$$2 \operatorname{CaAl}_{10}\operatorname{MgTiO}_{19} \rightarrow \operatorname{CaAl}_8\operatorname{Mg}_2\operatorname{Ti}_2\operatorname{O}_{19} + \operatorname{CaAl}_{12}\operatorname{O}_{19}$$

430 or, in Kröger-Vink notation:

431  $2 \operatorname{Al}_{Al(4)}^{x} + 2 \{\operatorname{Ti}_{Al(2)}^{\circ} \operatorname{Mg}_{Al(3)'}\}_{(b)} \rightarrow \{\operatorname{Ti}_{Al(4)}^{\circ} \operatorname{Ti}_{Al(4)}^{\circ} \operatorname{Mg}_{Al(3)'} \operatorname{Mg}_{Al(3)'}\} + 2 \operatorname{Al}_{Al(2)}^{x}$ 

432 which is -0.32 eV (15 kJ/mol of Ti). This low binding energy suggests that  $Ti^{4+}$  defect clustering

433 will be limited to high Ti contents and low temperatures. This is consistent with the NPD results

2/26

434 for samples with high Ti contents, which show that  $Ti^{4+}$  preferentially occupies the M4 site, and 435 indicates that Ti site occupancies might change with Ti concentration.

436 The computational results are all for defects at zero Kelvin in the dilute limit. The potential 437 effects of higher temperatures and defect concentrations arise from configurational entropy, which 438 may allow non-ground state defect sites to become occupied. For the single isolated defects this 439 effect can be relatively easily explored using elementary Boltzmann statistics, knowledge of the energy difference between the defect sites, and the site multiplicities. For  $Mg^{2+}$  the large (0.83 eV; 440 ~80 kJ/mol of Mg) energy difference between substitution at M3 and the other sites means that 441 essentially all Mg<sup>2+</sup> is expected to be found on this site at all realistic temperatures. For the single 442 isolated  $Ti^{3+}$  and  $Ti^{4+}$  defects, where the difference in energy between different sites is lower, we 443 444 only find significant occupancies (>20%) of sites other than M2 above 1500 K. For the defect clusters only a small subset of the enormous number of possible Ti<sup>3+</sup>, Ti<sup>4+</sup> and Mg defect cluster 445 configurations have been considered and this makes quantification of the site occupancies as a 446 447 function of temperature and Ti content impossible. One approach, which is beyond the scope of the 448 current study, would be to use DFT calculations to parameterize an effective Hamiltonian for cation 449 interactions that is subsequently used as input to Monte Carlo simulations of defect clustering and 450 site occupancy as a function of temperature (e.g. Warren et al. 2001, Palin et al. 2008). However, 451 even without such a study we can make qualitative predictions of the likely effects. Increasing 452 temperature will always make the entropic contribution more important and tend to break up defect 453 clusters and allow multiple different defect configurations to co-exist. Increasing the Ti content will 454 have the opposite effect and tend to result in more clustering of defects; but these effects cannot be quantified without explicit calculations involving all possible defect configurations. One problem 455 that would significantly complicate such a study is the possibility of interactions between Ti<sup>3+</sup> and 456 Ti<sup>4+</sup> defects, as the self-interaction problem in DFT will lead to excessive electron delocalization 457 and equalization of the charge on the two defects (e.g. Cramer and Truhlar 2009). 458

- 459
- 460

### Discussion

461 Site occupancy analysis

The site occupancies determined from the refinements of the NPD data are unambiguous and define the substitution mechanisms of  $Ti^{3+}$  and  $Ti^{4+}$  into these hibonite samples (Table 3). There were no prior assumptions regarding which sites  $Ti^{3+}$ ,  $Ti^{4+}$  and  $Mg^{2+}$  would occupy and refinements were attempted with these cations occupying each M site in turn. All models in which Ti and Mg were placed on any site other than those in Table 3 resulted in rapidly diverging refinements or strongly non-physical structural parameters. For the  $Ti^{3+}$  end-member samples, (0.20,1.0) and (0.33,1.0), Ti only occupies the face-sharing M4 octahedral site. For the  $Ti^{4+}$  end-member samples,

2/26

469 (0.44,0) and (0.98,0), Ti occupies both the M4 and trigonal bipyramidal M2 sites, while  $Mg^{2+}$  only 470 occupies the tetrahedral M3 site. In the mixed-valent samples Ti occupies the M2 and M4 sites and 471  $Mg^{2+}$  is again exclusively located on M3. The refinements do not explicitly distinguish Ti<sup>3+</sup> from 472  $Ti^{4+}$ , however, there is no reason to expect that the substitution mechanisms identified for Ti<sup>3+</sup> and 473  $Ti^{4+}$  cannot be applied to the mixed-valent samples. Accordingly, within the mixed-valent hibonites 474 we suggest that Ti<sup>3+</sup> only occupies M4 and Ti<sup>4+</sup> occupies both the M2 and M4 sites. 475 476 For the samples in this study it is reasonable to expect that Ti<sup>4+</sup> undergoes a coupled

substitution with  $Mg^{2+}$  to maintain charge balance within the structure and that  $Ti^{4+}$  pfu =  $Mg^{2+}$  pfu. 477 Given that  $Ti^{3+}$  only occupies M4 while all the Ti on M2 is  $Ti^{4+}$ , the proportions of  $Ti^{3+}$  and  $Ti^{4+}$  on 478 M4 can then be calculated (Table 3). The  $Ti^{4+}$  occupancies of M2 and M4 increase linearly as a 479 function of Ti<sup>4+</sup> content irrespective of whether the samples are Ti<sup>4+</sup> end-members or mixed-valent 480 (Figure 5a,b). Furthermore, the partitioning of  $Ti^{4+}$  between the M2 and M4 sites appears to be 481 consistent across the entire suite of samples (Table 3), with an average of  $0.18 \pm 0.02$ , irrespective 482 of the concentration of Ti and the  $Ti^{3+}/Ti^{4+}$  ratio. The DFT site predictions for  $Ti^{4+}$  also indicate a 483 484 preference for M4 over M2, although the predicted preference is not as strong as that observed by 485 NPD. This difference may be attributed to the different Ti contents in each case, suggesting that Ti<sup>4+</sup> site occupancy could be influenced by concentration. In studies of terrestrial hibonite using 486 single crystal XRD Ti<sup>4+</sup> has been reported to occupy both the M2 and M4 sites (Nagashima et al. 487 2010) but also only the M4 site (Bermanec et al. 1996). The ratio of the partitioning of Ti<sup>4+</sup> 488 between M2 and M4 reported by Nagashima et al. (2010) is 0.39, which is double the average value 489 490 of that found here. These discrepancies can be attributed to the refined occupancies of  $Ti^{4+}$  on M2. The refined structure of Nagashima et al. (2010) has 0.62 Ti pfu, compared to the chemical formula 491 492 of the hibonite crystal which has with 0.52 Ti pfu. Additionally, the crystal contained up to 0.04493 pfu of Fe, which was not included in the refinement. As Fe is a stronger scatterer of X-rays than Ti, 494 the modelling of a significant amount of Fe by Ti on a single site is likely to cause an artificially 495 increased Ti occupancy in order to compensate for the reduced scattering from that site in the 496 structural model. The crystal of Bermanec et al. (1996) contained 0.3 Fe pfu, which was found to 497 occupy the M2 site. Given that the proportion of Fe on M2 is an order of magnitude greater than 498 that expected for Ti, the Fe would mask the presence of Ti. It is also possible that the large 499 proportion of Fe on M2 precluded the substitution of Ti onto this site (Kreber and Gonser 1976). 500

501 The refinements of the NPD data clearly show that all  $Mg^{2+}$  occupies the tetrahedral M3 site. 502 This is supported by DFT calculations (Table 5), which indicate that this is the most stable site for 503  $Mg^{2+}$  by 0.83 eV (~80 kJ/mol of Mg). In previous studies of hibonite and structurally similar

compounds (Table 1; Abrahams et al. 1987) Mg could only be inferred to occupy the tetrahedral
site due to the difficulty of distinguishing between Mg and Al by XRD.

506

507 Optical absorption spectra of both natural and synthetic Ti- and Mg-bearing blue hibonite 508 exhibit a strong absorption band at 715 nm, the intensity of which has been used to infer  $f_{O2}$ (Ihinger and Stolper 1986). It was argued that  $Ti^{3+}$  did not occupy an octahedral site because this 509 absorption band differed in energy from that of  $Ti^{3+}$  in octahedral coordination in Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. Instead 510 Ti<sup>3+</sup> was thought to occupy the five-coordinate M2 site (Burns and Burns 1984). The absorption 511 spectra of Ti<sup>3+</sup>- and Ti<sup>4+</sup>-bearing Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, however, exhibit a strong band near 750 nm assigned to 512 Ti<sup>3+</sup>-Ti<sup>4+</sup> interactions (Sanchez et al. 1988; Aggarwal et al. 1988; Yamaga et al. 1994). Similar 513 interactions could produce the 715 nm absorption band in hibonite given that both Ti<sup>3+</sup> and Ti<sup>4+</sup> 514 occupy the M4 site and that neighbouring M4 octahedra form isolated face-sharing pairs. 515 516 517 The orientation dependence of ESR spectra recorded for a single crystal of meteoritic hibonite found that the maximum g-tensor was parallel to the c-axis (Beckett et al. 1988). These spectra are 518 similar to those of  $Ti^{3+}$ -doped  $\beta$ -alumina, in which  $Ti^{3+}$  occurs in rhombically distorted octahedral 519 520 coordination and the orientation of the g-tensor maximum does not correspond to the direction of 521 maximum site distortion as expected, but is collinear with the Al-Al direction (Barret et al. 1985). 522 That is, the orientation of the *g*-tensor maximum is consistent with the presence of a next nearest 523 neighbour Ti, as expected for Ti occurring as clustered pairs (Yamaga et al. 1994). M4 in hibonite 524 is a rhombically distorted octahedral site and forms face sharing pairs such that the short M4-M4 cation direction is parallel to the crystallographic *c*-axis. As a result, the ESR data of Beckett et al. 525 (1988) can be re-interpreted as indicating that  $Ti^{3+}$  occupies the M4 site with the g-tensor maximum 526 corresponding to the Ti-Ti interaction parallel to the *c*-axis, and thus is in agreement with the NPD 527 528 model.

529

NPD shows that Ti<sup>3+</sup> occupies the M4 site in hibonite, and furthermore, our DFT calculations 530 and optical absorption and ESR spectra in the literature are consistent with Ti<sup>3+</sup> occupying M4 such 531 that Ti-Ti interactions occur across the shared face of the M4<sub>2</sub>O<sub>9</sub> pairs. Indeed, the DFT 532 calculations show a stabilization energy of ~ 83 kJ/mol for such  $Ti^{3+}$ - $Ti^{3+}$  interactions. DFT also 533 suggests that a clustering model involving  $Ti^{4+}$  on M4 sites is favourable relative to isolated  $Ti^{4+}$ 534 defects. What is not clear, however, is whether  $Ti^{3+}-Ti^{3+}$  and/or  $Ti^{4+}-Ti^{4+}$  interactions are favoured 535 over Ti<sup>3+</sup>-Ti<sup>4+</sup> interactions, an issue that is beyond the scope of the DFT calculations performed and 536 537 difficult to resolve with NPD. Nevertheless it is an important issue, for while the characteristic blue colour of many meteoritic hibonites is related to the presence of  $Ti^{3+}$ , the intensity of this colour 538

2/26

539 will not be a good indicator of the concentration of  $Ti^{3+}$ , or the  $Ti^{3+}/Ti^{4+}$  ratio, if  $Ti^{3+}$  is involved in 540 more than one type of Ti-Ti interaction. Moreover, the strong feature at 715 nm present in optical 541 absorption spectra is not simply related to the amount of  $Ti^{3+}$ , but to the number of  $Ti^{3+}-Ti^{4+}$ 

- 542 interactions, which may not equate to the amount of  $Ti^{3+}$ .
- 543
- 544 Structural modifications

The larger sizes of Ti<sup>3+</sup> and Ti<sup>4+</sup> relative to Al<sup>3+</sup> (Shannon 1976) suggest that the 545 incorporation of Ti will cause an expansion of the unit cell. Indeed, the increase in the refined unit 546 547 cell parameters as a function of Ti is essentially linear (Table 3, Figure 6a,b). The increase in the 548 size of the unit cell as a function of Ti pfu is not isotropic and the c/a ratio decreases linearly with 549 increasing Ti (Figure 6c). Also shown in Figure 6 are the unit cell parameters of synthetic CaAl<sub>12</sub>O<sub>19</sub> (Hofmeister et al. 2004), synthetic Ti<sup>3+</sup>-bearing CaAl<sub>10.1</sub>Ti<sub>1.9</sub>O<sub>19</sub> (Wittmann et al. 1958) 550 and terrestrial Ti<sup>4+</sup> hibonite (Nagashima et al. 2010). The a and c parameters of CaAl<sub>101</sub>Ti<sub>19</sub>O<sub>19</sub> 551 (Wittmann et al. 1958) are clearly displaced from the trends defined by the other samples (Figure 552 553 6a, b). If the cell parameters and chemistry of this sample are accurate, then the rate of increase of the unit-cell parameters as a function of Ti pfu is smaller for  $Ti^{3+}$  end-member hibonites than for 554 Ti<sup>4+</sup>-bearing hibonites. Certainly the increase in the unit-cell parameters relative to CaAl<sub>12</sub>O<sub>19</sub> of 555 the two  $Ti^{3+}$  end-member hibonites of this study is very small. The difference in unit-cell 556 parameters as a function of Ti pfu for the Ti<sup>3+</sup> end-member and Ti<sup>4+</sup>-bearing hibonites is not related 557 to the relative sizes of the two Ti cations but is more likely to be associated with the additional 558 complexity of the Ti<sup>4+</sup> substitution mechanism, which involves both Mg<sup>2+</sup> and Ti<sup>4+</sup> and the M2, M3 559 560 and M4 sites. The unit-cell parameters of the mixed-valent samples are collinear with those of the Ti<sup>4+</sup> samples both as a function of total Ti pfu (Figure 6) and also as a function of Ti<sup>4+</sup> pfu (Figure 561 7). This strongly suggests that the driving force for the greater rate of increase of the unit-cell 562 parameters for the Ti<sup>4+</sup> end-members relative to the Ti<sup>3+</sup> end-members predominates in the mixed-563 564 valent samples.

565

With Ti being accommodated only in the R-block layers it may be expected that distortions in 566 567 this layer will dominate the expansion of the unit cell as a function of increasing Ti (c > a). This, 568 however, is not the case with the expansion parallel to z being dominated by the S-block. Indeed, 569 the expansion of the R-block along z (given by the O1-O1 distance) appears to be negligible across 570 most of the series (Table 7), with a measurable expansion only occurring in the sample with the 571 largest Ti pfu, (1.37, 0.39), where >50% of the M4-M4 pairs are Ti-Ti rather than Al-Al. The c unit-cell edge comprises two R-blocks and two S-blocks and although the S-block is only directly 572 involved in the substitution of Ti into hibonite through occupancy of M3 by Mg<sup>2+</sup>, this structural 573

574 unit accounts for the entire expansion of the c parameter (Figure 6, Table 7) for all samples except (1.37, 0.39). As Mg<sup>2+</sup> is larger than Al<sup>3+</sup> (Shannon 1976) the interatomic distances within M3 575 increase linearly as a function of  $Mg^{2+}$ , and are inherently a good indicator of the amount of  $Ti^{4+}$  in 576 577 a hibonite structure (Figure 8). The M3-O2 distance, which is parallel to z, expands by twice as 578 much as the M3-O4 distance, and the  $\sim 0.1$  Å by which M3-O2 expands across the series accounts 579 for the total expansion of the c unit cell edge (~ 0.2 Å), which contains two M3-O2 distances. This 580 suggests that other structural modifications within this unit do not contribute to changes in the unit cell. The M5O<sub>6</sub> octahedra connect the M3 sites, into which  $Mg^{2+}$  substitutes, and the R-block, into 581 which Ti substitutes. As such M5O<sub>6</sub> is sensitive to the effects of both  $Mg^{2+}$  and Ti<sup>4+</sup> substituting 582 into hibonite and the M5-O distances vary linearly towards  $\sim 1.9$  Å as a function of Ti<sup>4+</sup> (Figure 9). 583 584

----

585 Within the R-block the M2-O1a and M2-O1b distances decrease and increase linearly as a function of Ti pfu respectively (Figure 10a,b). Consequently, the axial off-centring of the M2 cation 586 587 also increases linearly as a function of Ti. Furthermore, there is a concomitant increase in the equatorial M2-O3 distances (Table 7). As only  $Ti^{4+}$  is replacing  $Al^{3+}$  on the M2 site, it may be 588 possible to resolve the influence of Ti<sup>4+</sup> directly upon the observed structural modifications within 589 the M2O<sub>5</sub> polyhedra. While the M2-O distances and the axial off-centring of the M2 cation all vary 590 linearly with  $Ti^{4+}$  (e.g. Figure 10c) there is no correlation with  $Ti^{3+}$ . With both  $Ti^{3+}$  and  $Ti^{4+}$ 591 substituting onto the M4 sites and Ti-Ti interactions occurring across the shared face of the M4<sub>2</sub>O<sub>9</sub> 592 pairs it is not possible to resolve individual Ti<sup>3+</sup>- or Ti<sup>4+</sup>-dependent variations in the interatomic 593 distances within the M4O<sub>6</sub> octahedra. However, the M4-M4 distance across the shared face of the 594 595  $M4_2O_9$  pairs increases linearly as a function of Ti<sup>4+</sup> pfu (Figure 11a). Constrained by the nonvarying thickness of the R-block as a function of Ti, the linear expansion of the M4-O3 distance 596 with Ti is offset by the linear decrease in the M4-O5 distance as a function of Ti<sup>4+</sup> (Figure 11b.c). 597 aside from sample (1.37, 0.39) where >50% of the M4 sites are Ti rather than Al. 598

- 599
- 600

#### Implications

601 Hibonite has been proposed as a single mineral oxybarometer that can provide an indication of the  $f_{O2}$  conditions prevalent at the time of its formation either within the early solar nebula or 602 during some later reprocessing event (Ihinger and Stolper 1986; Beckett et al. 1988). Hibonite 603 604 oxybarometry has been attempted for CAIs within the Murchison and Allende carbonaceous chondrites. Estimates of  $f_{O2}$  determined by optical absorption spectroscopy are up to 10 times more 605 oxidised that those expected in the solar nebula (Ihinger and Stolper 1986), while those from ESR 606 607 spectroscopy span nine orders of magnitude (Beckett et al. 1988). Both of these approaches are strongly dependent upon a crystal chemical model in which  $Ti^{3+}$  occupies the five-coordinate M2 608

609	site. However, the Rietveld and DFT results of the present study show conclusively that $Ti^{3+}$
610	occupies the octahedral face-sharing M4 site. Furthermore, DFT calculations find that Ti <sup>3+</sup> -Ti <sup>3+</sup>
611	interactions between M4 octahedral pairs are favoured with respect to Al <sup>3+</sup> -Ti <sup>3+</sup> interactions, while
612	the substitution mechanisms identified by NPD suggest that $Ti^{4+}-Ti^{4+}$ and $Ti^{4+}-Ti^{3+}$ interactions may
613	also occur. Reinterpretation of the optical and ESR spectra in light of these results indicate that the
614	spectral features utilised for hibonite oxybarometry are not associated with occupancy of M2 by
615	$Ti^{3+}$ , but actually arise from Ti-Ti interactions. When interpreting spectroscopic data in terms of
616	$Ti^{3+}/\Sigma Ti$ and $f_{O2}$ the existence of Ti-Ti interactions, which may not be simply related to the amount
617	of Ti <sup>3+</sup> , need to be considered.
618	
619	In this study, hibonite samples with $Ti^{3+}/\Sigma Ti$ ranging from 0.27 to 1.0 were all prepared at the
620	same $f_{O2}$ (log $f_{O2}$ = -16 at 1400 °C or 6.3 log units below the iron-wüstite $f_{O2}$ buffer). This
621	illustrates how $Ti^{4+}$ can be stabilised in hibonite by $Mg^{2+}$ even under very reducing conditions. That
622	is, crystal chemistry can stabilise oxidation states independently of $f_{O2}$ . The Ti <sup>3+</sup> / $\Sigma$ Ti ratio of
623	meteoritic hibonite is thus not necessarily related to the $f_{O2}$ of formation and will be strongly
624	influenced by composition. Furthermore, the placement of an upper limit on $f_{O2}$ based solely upon
625	the blue colour of a crystal of hibonite (Ihinger and Stolper 1986) may need to be reconsidered if
626	crystal chemical controls are able to stabilize Ti <sup>3+</sup> under nominally oxidising conditions.
627	
628	
629	Acknowledgements
630	AJB thanks the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council (EPSRC) for the award
631	of a CNE studentship, which was used to support PMD. The NPD experiments were facilitated by a
632	beamtime award from ISIS Neutron Spallation Source (RB920090). The authors are grateful for
633	the help of J. Najorka, J. Spratt, A.T. Kearlsey and the support of many ISIS staff. The
634	computational part of this work made use of the facilities of HECToR, the UK's national high-
635	performance computing service, which is provided by UoE HPCx Ltd at the University of
636	Edinburgh, Cray Inc and NAG Ltd, and funded by the Office of Science and Technology through
637	EPSRC's High End Computing Programme.
638	
639	
640	

<b>References</b> Abrahams, S.C., Marsh, P. and Brandle, C.D. (1987) Laser and phosphor host La <sub>1-x</sub> MgAl <sub>11+x</sub> O <sub>19</sub> (x
= 0.050): Crystal structure at 295 K. Journal of Chemical Physics, 86, 4221-4227.
Aggarwal, R.L., Sanchez, A., Stuppi, M.M., Fahey, R.E., Strauss, A.J., Rapoport, W.R. and
Khattak, C.P. (1988) Residual infrared-absorption in as-grown and annealed crystals of Ti-Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> .
IEEE Journal of Quantum Electronics, 24, 1003-1008.
Allen, J.M., Grossman, L., Davis, A.M. and Hutcheon, I.D. (1978) Mineralogy, textures and mode
of formation of a hibonite-bearing Allende inclusion. Proceedings of the 9th Lunar and Planetary
Science Conference, 1, 1209-1233.
Amelin, Y., Krot, A.N., Ulyanov, A.A. and Hutcheon, I.D. (2002) Lead isotopic ages of chondrules
and calcium-aluminium-rich inclusions. Science, 297, 1678-1683.
Armstrong, J.T., Meeker, G.P., Huneke, J.C. and Wasserburg, G.J. (1982) The Blue Angel: I. The
mineralogy and petrogenesis of a hibonite inclusion from the Murchison meteorite. Geochimica et
Cosmochimica Acta, 46, 575-595.
Barret, J.P., Gourier, D. and Vivien, D. (1985) Influence of titanium impurities on the chemical
alteration of $\beta$ - and $\beta$ "-alumina in sodium environment. ESR study. Solid State Ionics, 15, 127-134.
Beckett, J.R., Live, D., Tsay, FD., Grossman, L. and Stolper, E. (1988) Ti <sup>3+</sup> in meteoritic and
synthetic hibonite. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 52, 1479-1495.
Bermanec, V.V., Holtstam, D., Sturman, D., Criddle, A.J., Back, M.E. and Scavnicar, S. (1996)
Nezilovite, a new member of the magnetoplumbite group, and the crystal chemistry of
magnetoplumbite and hibonite. The Canadian Mineralogist, 34, 1287-1297.
Berry, A.J., Danyushevsky, L.V., O'Neill, H.St.C., Newville, M. and Sutton, S.R. (2008) Oxidation
state of iron in komatiitic melt inclusions indicates hot Archaean mantle. Nature, 455, 960-963.
Berry, A.J., Walker, A.M., Hermann, J., O'Neill, H.St.C., Foran, G.J. and Gale, J.D. (2007)
Titanium substitution in forsterite. Chemical Geology, 242, 176-186.

675 doi:10.1016/j.chemgeo.2007.03.010.

676	
677	Bettman, M. and Peters, C.R. (1969) The crystal structure of Na <sub>2</sub> O.MgO.5Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> with reference to
678	Na <sub>2</sub> O.5Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> and other isotypal compounds. Journal of Physical Chemistry, 73, 1774-1780
679	
680	Bischoff, A. and Srinivasan, G. (2003) <sup>26</sup> Mg excess in hibonites of the Rumuruti chondrite Hughes
681	030. Meteoritics and Planetary Science, 38, 5-12.
682	
683	Burns, R.G. and Burns, V.M. (1984) Crystal chemistry of meteoritic hibonites. Journal of
684	Geophysical Research, 89, 313-321.
685	
686	Cramer, C. J. and Truhlar, D. G. (2009) Density functional theory for transition metals and
687	transition metal chemistry. Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics, 11, 10757-10816.
688	
689	Curien, H., Guillemin, C., Orcel, J. and Sternberg, M. (1956) La hibonite, nouvelle espèce minérale.
690	Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences, Paris. 2845-2847.
691	
692	Davis, A.M., Tanaka, T., Grossman, L., Lee, T. and Wasserburg, G.J. (1982) Chemical composition
693	of HAL, an isotopically-unusual Allende inclusion. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 46, 1627-
694	1651.
695	
696	De Aza, A.H., Iglesias, J.E., Pena, P. and De Aza, S. (2000) Ternary system Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> -MgO-CaO: Part
697	II, phase relationships in the subsystem $Al_2O_3$ -Mg $Al_2O_4$ -Ca $Al_4O_7$ . Journal of the American Ceramic
698	Society, 83, 919-927.
699	
700	Doyle, P.M. (2012) Ti substitution mechanisms in hibonite and the determination of $Ti^{3+}/Ti^{4+}$ by X-
701	ray absorption spectroscopy for use as an oxybarometer with application to early Solar System
702	processes. PhD Thesis, Imperial College London.
703	
704	Du, L.L. and Stebbins, J.F. (2004) Calcium and strontium hexaluminates: NMR evidence that
705	"pentacoordinate" cation sites are four-coordinated. The Journal of Physical Chemistry. B,
706	Condensed Matter, Materials, Surfaces, Interfaces & Biophysical, 108, 3681-3685.
707	
708	Fuchs, L.H., Olsen, E. and Jensen, K.J. (1973) Mineralogy, mineral-chemistry, and composition of
709	the Murchison (C2) meteorite. Smithsonian Contributions to the Earth Sciences, 10, 1-39.
710	

711	Graetsch, H.H. and Gebert, W. (1995) Cation distribution in magnetoplumbite type SrTi <sub>6</sub> Co <sub>6</sub> O <sub>19</sub> .
712	Zeitschrift für Kristallographie, Kristallgeometrie, Kristallphysik, Kristallchemie, 210, 9-13.
713	
714	Graetsch, H.H. and Gebert, W. (1996) Short Cr <sup>3+</sup> -Cr <sup>3+</sup> distances in magnetoplumbite type
715	SrCr <sub>9</sub> Ga <sub>3</sub> O <sub>19</sub> . Zeitschrift für Kristallographie, Kristallgeometrie, Kristallphysik, Kristallchemie,
716	211, 25-30.
717	
718	Grey, I.E., Madsen, I.C. and Haggerty, S.E. (1987) Structure of a new upper-mantle,
719	magnetoplumbite-type phase, Ba[Ti <sub>3</sub> Cr <sub>4</sub> Fe <sub>4</sub> Mg]O <sub>19</sub> . American Mineralogist, 72, 633-636.
720	
721	Grossman, L., Beckett, J.R., Fedkin, A.V., Simon, S.B. and Ciesla, F.J. (2008) Redox conditions in
722	the solar nebula: observational, experimental, and theoretical constraints. Mineralogical Society of
723	America: Reviews in Mineralogy and Geochemistry 68, 93-140.
724	
725	Hohenberg, P. and Kohn, W. (1964) Inhomogeneous electron gas. Physical Review, 136, 864-871.
726	
727	Hofmeister, A.M., Wopenka, B. and Locock, A.J. (2004) Spectroscopy and structure of hibonite,
728	grossite, and CaAl <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> : Implications for astronomical environments. Geochimica et Cosmochimica
729	Acta 68, 4485-4503.
730	
731	Holtstam, D. (1996) Iron in hibonite: a spectroscopic study. Physics and Chemistry of Minerals, 23,
732	452-460.
733	
734	Ibberson, R.M., David, W.I.F. and Knight, K.S. (1992) The high resolution neutron powder
735	diffractometer (HRPD) at ISIS - A user guide. Report RAL-92-031.
736	
737	Ihinger, P.D. and Stolper, E. (1986) The color of meteoritic hibonite: an indicator of oxygen
738	fugacity. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 78, 67-79.
739	
740	Ireland, T.R. (1988) Correlated morphological, chemical, and isotopic characteristics of hibonites
741	from the Murchison carbonaceous chondrite. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 52, 2827-2839.
742	
743	Iyi, N., Takekawa, S. and Kimura, S. (1989) Crystal chemistry if hexaaluminates: $\beta$ -alumina and
744	magnetoplumbite structures. Journal of Solid State Chemistry, 83, 8-19.
745	

	(DOI will not work until issue is live.) DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.2138/am.2014.4532 2/26
746	Junquera, J., Paz, O., Sánchez-Portal, D. and Artacho, E. (2001) Numerical atomic orbitals for
747	linear-scaling calculations. Physical Review B, 64, art. no. 235111.
748	doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.64.235111.
749	
750	Kato, K. and Saalfeld, H. (1968) Verfeinerung der Kristallstruktur von CaO.6Al2O3. Neues
751	Jahrbuch für Mineralogie, Abhandlungen, 109, 192-200.
752	
753	Kohn, J.A. and Eckart, D.W. (1964) New hexagonal ferrite, establishing a second structural series.
754	Journal of Applied Physics, 35, 968-969.
755	
756	Kohn, W. and Sham, L.J. (1965) Self-consistent equations including exchange and correlation
757	effects. Physical Review, 140, 1133–1138.
758	
759	Kreber, E.E. and Gonser, U.U. (1976) Determination of cation distribution in $Ti^{4+}$ and $Co^{2+}$
760	substituted barium ferrite by Mössbauer spectroscopy. Applied Physics, 10, 175-180.
761	
762	Krot, A.N., Fagan, T.J., Keil, K., McKeegan, K.D., Sahijpal, S., Hutcheon, I.D., Petaev, M.I. and
763	Yurimoto, H. (2004) Ca, Al-rich inclusions, amoeboid olivine aggregates, and Al-rich chondrules
764	from the unique carbonaceous chondrite Acfer 094: I. mineralogy and petrology. Geochimica et
765	Cosmochimica Acta, 68, 2167-2184.
766	
767	Krot, A., McKeegan, K., Huss, G., Liffman, K., Sahijpal, S. and Hutcheon, I. (2006) Aluminium-
768	magnesium and oxygen isotope study of relict Ca-Al-rich inclusions in chondrules. Astrophysical
769	Journal, 639, 1227-1237
770	
771	Larson, A.C. and Von Dreele, R.B. (1994) General Structure Analysis System (GSAS), Los Alamos
772	National Laboratory Report. LAUR 86-748 (revised version).
773	
774	Leslie, M. and Gillan, M.J. (1985) The energy and elastic dipole tensor of defects in ionic crystals
775	calculated by the supercell method. Journal of Physics C: Solid State Physics, 18, 973-982.
776	
777	Lodders, K. (2003) Solar System abundances and condensation temperatures of the elements.
778	Astrophysical Journal, 591, 1220-1247.
779	

780 Nagashima, M., Armbruster, T. and Hainschwang, T. (2010) A temperature-dependent structure

781 782	study of gem-quality hibonite from Myanmar. Mineralogical Magazine, 74, 871-885.
783	Paglia, G., Rohl, A.L., Buckley, C.E. and Gale, J.D. (2005) Determination of the structure of $\gamma$ -
784	alumina from interatomic potential and first-principles calculations: The requirement of significant
785	numbers of nonspinel positions to achieve an accurate structural model. Physical Review B, 71 art.
786	no. 224115. doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.71.224115.
787	
788	Palin, E.J., Walker, A.M. and Harrison, R.J. (2008) A computational study of order-disorder
789	phenomena in Mg <sub>2</sub> TiO <sub>4</sub> spinel (qandilite). American Mineralogist, 93, 1363-1372.
790	doi:10.2138/am.2008.2896.
791	
792	Perdew, J.P., Burke, K. and Emzerhof, M. (1996) Generalized gradient approximation made simple.
793	Physical Review Letters, 77, 3865–3868.
794	
795	Rager, H., Geiger, C.A. and Stahl, A. (2003) Ti(III) in synthetic pyrope: A single-crystal electron
796	paramagnetic resonance study. European Journal of Mineralogy, 15, 697-699.
797	
798	Rakotondrazafy, M.A.F., Moine, B. and Cuney, M. (1996) Mode of formation of hibonite
799	(CaAl <sub>12</sub> O <sub>19</sub> ) within the U-Th skarns from the granulites of S-E Madagascar. Contributions to
800	Mineralogy and Petrology, 123, 190-201.
801	
802	Rietveld, H.M. (1969) A profile refinement method for nuclear and magnetic structures. Journal of
803	Applied Crystallography, 2, 65-71.
804	
805	Rietveld, H.M. (2000) The early days: a retrospective view, in Young, R.A. ed., The Rietveld
806	Method: Oxford University Press, 39-42.
807	
808	Rout, S.S. and Bischoff, A. (2008) Ca, Al-rich inclusions in Rumurud (R) chondrites. Meteoritics
809	and Planetary Science, 43, 1439-1464.
810	
811	Rout, S.S., Bischoff, A., Nagashima, K., Krot, A.N., Huss, G.R. and Keil, K. (2009) Oxygen- and
812	magnesium-isotope compositions of calcium-aluminum-rich inclusions from Rumuruti (R)
813	chondrites. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 73, 4264-4287.
814	

815	Sanchez, A., Strauss, A.J., Aggarwal, R.L. and Fahey, R.E. (1988) Crystal-growth, spectroscopy,
816	and laser characteristics of Ti-Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> . IEEE Journal of Quantum Electronics, 24, 955-1002.
817	
818	Schofield, P.F., Knight, K.S., Covey-Crump, S.J., Cressey, G. and Stretton, I.C. (2002) Accurate
819	quantification of the modal mineralogy of rocks when image analysis is difficult. Mineralogical
820	Magazine, 66, 189–200.
821	
822	Schofield, P.F., Smith, A.D., Mosselmans, J.F.W., Ohldag, H., Scholl, A., Raoux, S., Cressey, G.,
823	Cressey, B.A., Quinn, P.D., Kirk, C.A. and Hogg, S.C. (2010) X-ray spectromicroscopy of mineral
824	intergrowths in the Santa Catharina meteorite. Geostandards and Geoanalytical Research, 34, 145-
825	159.
826	
827	Sears, V.F. (1992) Neutron scattering lengths and cross sections. Neutron News, 3, 26-37.
828	
829	Shannon, R.D. (1976) Revised effective ionic radii and systematic studies of interatomic distances
830	in halides and chalcogenides. Acta Crystallographica, A32, 751-767.
831	
832	Simon, S.B., Sutton, S.R. and Grossman, L. (2007) Valence of titanium and vanadium in pyroxene
833	in refractory inclusion interiors and rims. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 71, 3098-3118.
834	
835	Smith, A.D., Schofield, P.F., Cressey, G., Cressey, B.A. and Read, P.D. (2004) The development of
836	X-ray photo-emission electron microscopy (XPEEM) for valence-state imaging of mineral
837	intergrowths. Mineralogical Magazine, 68, 859-869.
838	
839	Soler, J.M., Artacho, E., Gale, J.D., García, A., Junquera, J., Ordejón, P. and Sánchez-Portal, D.
840	(2002) The SIESTA method for ab initio order-N materials simulation. Journal of Physics
841	Condensed Matter, 14, 2745–2776.
842	
843	Tailby, N.D., Walker, A.M., Berry, A.J., Hermann, J., Evans, K.A., Mavrogenes, J.A., O'Neill,
844	H.St.C., Rodina, I.S., Soldatov, A.V., Rubatto, D. and Sutton, S.R. (2011) Ti site occupancy in
845	zircon. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 75, 905-921. doi:10.1016/j.gca.2010.11.004.
846	
847	Toby, B.H. (2001) EXPGUI, a graphical user interface for GSAS. Journal of Applied
848	Crystallography, 34, 210-221.

25

850	Ushikubo, T., Hiyagon, H. and Sugiura, N. (2007) A FUN-like hibonite inclusion with a large
851	<sup>26</sup> Mg-excess. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 254, 115-126.
852	
853	Utsunomiya, A.A., Tanaka, K., Morikawa, H., Marumo, F. and Kojima, H. (1988) Structure
854	refinement of CaO.6Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> . Journal of Solid State Chemistry, 75, 197-200.
033 056	Vézquez D.A. Caballara A and Dana D. (2002) Quatamany system ALO. Mao SiO - L. Study of
830 857	the crustellization volume of ALO – Journal of the American Caramia Society, 86, 2105, 2100
858	the crystallization volume of Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> . Journal of the American Cerainic Society, 80, 2195-2199.
859	Walker, A.M., Hermann, J., Berry, A.J. and O'Neill, H.St.C. (2007) Three water sites in upper
860	mantle olivine and the role of titanium in the water weakening mechanism. Journal of Geophysical
861	Research, 112, art. no. B05211. doi:10.1029/2006JB004620.
862	
863	Warren, M.C., Dove, M.T., Myers, E.R., Bosenick, A., Palin, E.J., Sainz-Diaz, C.I., Guiton, B.S.
864	and Redfern, S.A.T. (2001) Monte Carlo methods for the study of cation ordering in minerals.
865	Mineralogical Magazine, 65, 221–248.
866	
867	Weber, D. and Bischoff, A. (1994) The occurrence of grossite (CaAl <sub>4</sub> O <sub>7</sub> ) in chondrites. Geochimica
868	et Cosmochimica Acta, 58, 3855-3877.
869	
870	Wittmann, A., Seifert, K. and Nowotny, H. (1958) Ein Beitrag zum Aufbau des Kalzium-Titan(III)-
871	Aluminats. Monatshefte für Chemie, 89, 225-228.
872	
873	Woodley, S.M., Gale, J.D., Battle, P.D. and Catlow, C.R.A. (2003) Oxygen ion migration in
874	orthorhombic LaMnO <sub>3-δ</sub> . Journal of Chemical Physics. 119, 9737-9744.
875	
876	Yamaga, M., Yosida, T., Hara, S., Kodama, N. and Henderson, B. (1994) Optical and electron spin
877	resonance spectroscopy of $Ti^{3+}$ and $Ti^{4+}$ in Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> . Journal of Applied Physics, 75, 1111-1117.
878	
879	Yoneda, S. and Grossman, L. (1995) Condensation of CaO-MgO-Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> -SiO <sub>2</sub> liquids from cosmic
880	gases. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 59, 3413-3444.
881	
882	Young, R.A. (1993) Introduction to the Rietveld method, in Young, R.A. ed., The Rietveld Method:
883	Oxford University Press, Oxford, pp. 1-38.
884	

885	List of Figures
886	Figure 1. The two structural layers, R-block and S-block, of hibonite with the c axis vertical, shown
887	as a composite of both ball-and-stick and polyhedral representations. The R-block comprises the
888	Ca site, the trigonal bipyramidal M2 site and the octahedral face-sharing M4 site. The S-block
889	comprises layers of M5 octahedra interspersed with a layer containing the M3 tetrahedra and the
890	M1 octahedra.
891	
892	Figure 2. Ti per formula unit (pfu) against Mg pfu for the synthetic samples of this study and
893	meteoritic hibonite. The meteoritic data are from Fuchs et al. (1973), Allen et al. (1978),
894	Armstrong et al. (1982), Davis et al. (1982), Burns and Burns (1984), Ireland (1988), Weber and
895	Bischoff (1994), Bischoff and Srinivasan (2003), Krot et al. (2004), Krot et al. (2006), Ushikubo et
896	al. (2007), Rout et al. (2009), and Doyle (2012).
897	
898	Figure 3. Observed, calculated and difference profiles for (a) the Ti <sup>3+</sup> end-member hibonite
899	(0.20,1.0) and (b) the Ti <sup>4+</sup> end-member hibonite (0.98,0). The dots represent the observed data and
900	the solid lines the calculated profiles.
901	
902	Figure 4. Ti and Mg pfu of the synthetic hibonite samples determined from Rietveld refinement
903	and energy dispersive spectrometry (EDS).
904	
905	<b>Figure 5.</b> The occupancy of $Ti^{4+}$ as a fraction of 1 on (a) M2 and (b) M4 as a function of $Ti^{4+}$ pfu.
906	
907	Figure 6. Unit-cell parameters of Ti-bearing hibonite samples as a function of Ti pfu derived from
908	the Rietveld refinements (solid symbols). (a) a cell parameter, (b) c cell parameter and (c) c/a ratio.
909	The values for $CaAl_{12}O_{19}$ , terrestrial $Ti^{4+}$ hibonite (open circle) and synthetic $CaAl_{10.1}Ti_{1.9}O_{19}$ (open
910	square) are taken from Hofmeister et al. (2004), Nagashima et al. (2010) and Wittmann et al. (1958)
911	respectively. For Figures 6-11, where error bars are not visible then symbols are larger than the
912	errors.
913	
914	<b>Figure 7.</b> Unit-cell parameters of Ti-bearing hibonite samples as a function of $Ti^{4+}$ pfu. (a) <i>a</i> cell
915	parameter and (b) c cell parameter.
916	
917	Figure 8. The (a) M3-O2 and (b) M3-O4 distances as a function of Ti <sup>4+</sup> pfu.
918	
919	Figure 9. The (a) M5-O1, (b) M5-O2, (c) M5-O4 and (d) M5-O5 distances as a function of $Ti^{4+}$

This is a preprint, the final version is subject to change, of the American Mineralogist (MSA)
Cite as Authors (Year) Title. American Mineralogist, in press.
(DOI will not work until issue is live.) DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.2138/am.2014.4532

920	pfu.
921	
922	Figure 10. The axial (a) M2-O1a and (b) M2-O1b distances as a function of Ti pfu and (c) the M2-
923	O1a distance as a function of $Ti^{4+}$ pfu.
924	
925	Figure 11. The (a) M4-M4, (b) M4-O3 and (c) M4-O5 distances as a function of Ti pfu.
926	

927 Table 1 Substitution sites of selected cations in natural and synthetic hibonite and various structural

928 analogues.

Site	Hibonite <sup>1,2,3,4,5</sup>	Magnetoplumbite <sup>3,6</sup>	β, β" alumina <sup>7,8</sup>	Sr Y <sub>12</sub> O <sub>19</sub> <sup>9,10</sup>	Ba Y <sub>12</sub> O <sub>19</sub> <sup>11</sup>
M1	Cr <sup>3+</sup>	$Al^{3+}Cr^{3+}$	$Al^{3+}Ti^{3+}$	$Cr^{3+}Ti^{4+}$	$\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}\mathrm{Ti}^{4+}$
M2	$Fe^{2+} Fe^{3+} V^{3+} Ti^{3+} Ti^{4+}$	$Mn^{4+} Fe^{3+}$	$Al^{3+}$	${\rm Co}^{2^+}{\rm Ti}^{4^+}{\rm Ga}^{3^+}$	${\rm Fe}^{3+}  {\rm Co}^{2+}  {\rm Ti}^{4+}$
M3	$Mg^{2+} Fe^{2+} Fe^{3+} Zn^{2+} Si^{4+}$	$Mn^{2+} Zn^{2+} Fe^{3+} Fe^{2+} Mg^{2+}$	$\mathrm{Al}^{3+}\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$	$\mathrm{Co}^{2^+}\mathrm{Ga}^{3^+}$	$\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}\mathrm{Co}^{2+}$
M4	$Fe^{2+}$ $Cr^{3+}$ $Ti^{4+}$ $Si^{4+}$	${\rm Fe}^{3+} {\rm Mn}^{4+} {\rm Sb}^{5+} {\rm Ti}^{4+}$	$Al^{3+}$	$Cr^{3+} Ti^{4+}$	Fe <sup>3+</sup> Ti <sup>4+</sup>
M5	Cr <sup>3+</sup>	$Al^{3+} Mn^{3+} Cr^{3+} Fe^{3+} Ti^{4+}$	$\mathrm{Al}^{3+}\mathrm{Ti}^{3+}$	$Cr^{3+} Ti^{4+} Co^{2+}$	${\rm Fe}^{3+}  {\rm Co}^{2+}  {\rm Ti}^{4+}$

929 References: (1) Burns and Burns (1984); (2) Beckett et al. (1988); (3) Bermanec et al. (1996); (4) Holtstam (1996); (5)

Nagashima et al. (2010); (6) Grey et al. (1987); (7) Bettman and Peters (1969); (8) Barret et al. (1985); (9) Graetsch and

931 Gebert (1995); (10) Graetsch and Gebert (1996); (11) Kreber and Gonser (1976)

932 Table 2 Chemical composition of synthetic hibonite samples determined by energy dispersive X-ray spectrometry (EDS). The sample nomenclature is of the form

933 (Ti pfu,  $Ti^{3+}/\Sigma Ti$ ). Secondary phases are those identified by NPD and are less than 3 wt%. Standard deviations are in parentheses.

No. analyses	CaO	$Al_2O_3$	MgO	TiO <sub>2</sub> *	Total	Stoichiometry	Secondary phases
37	8.8 (0.4)	88.2 (0.6)	-	2.4 (0.5)	99.4 (0.7)	$Ca_{1.07}Al_{11.69}Ti_{0.20}O_{19}$	Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>
30	8.8 (0.3)	86.7 (0.6)	-	4.0 (0.4)	99.5 (0.8)	$Ca_{1.07}Al_{11.51}Ti_{0.33}O_{19}$	Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>
27	8.7 (0.3)	82.8 (0.5)	1.5 (0.1)	7.3 (0.5)	100.2 (0.7)	$Ca_{1.05}Al_{10.99}Ti_{0.62}Mg_{0.24}O_{19}$	-
42	8.8 (0.3)	79.9 (1.0)	2.9 (0.2)	8.6 (0.7)	100.2 (0.9)	$Ca_{1.07}Al_{10.66}Ti_{0.73}Mg_{0.49}O_{19}$	$Al_2O_3$
41	8.6 (0.3)	78.6 (2.5)	3.5 (0.6)	9.6 (2.2)	100.3 (0.9)	$Ca_{1.05}Al_{10.48}Ti_{0.82}Mg_{0.60}O_{19}$	MgAl <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>
18	8.6 (0.3)	71.0 (1.1)	4.9 (0.2)	15.9 (0.9)	100.4 (0.8)	$Ca_{1.05}Al_{9.59}Ti_{1.37}Mg_{0.83}O_{19}$	MgAl <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> , Ti <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>
26	8.5 (0.3)	83.0 (1.9)	2.5 (0.6)	5.2 (1.4)	99.2 (0.6)	$Ca_{1.04}Al_{11.10}Ti_{0.44}Mg_{0.43}O_{19}$	MgAl <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>
28	8.3 (0.4)	74.8 (0.7)	5.4 (0.2)	11.4 (0.7)	100.0 (0.9)	$Ca_{1.01}Al_{10.07}Ti_{0.98}Mg_{0.91}O_{19}$	MgAl <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> , CaTiO <sub>3</sub>
	No. analyses 37 30 27 42 41 18 26 28	No.         CaO           analyses         37         8.8 (0.4)           30         8.8 (0.3)         27           27         8.7 (0.3)         42           41         8.6 (0.3)         18           26         8.5 (0.3)         28	No.         CaO $Al_2O_3$ analyses         37         8.8 (0.4)         88.2 (0.6)           30         8.8 (0.3)         86.7 (0.6)           27         8.7 (0.3)         82.8 (0.5)           42         8.8 (0.3)         79.9 (1.0)           41         8.6 (0.3)         78.6 (2.5)           18         8.6 (0.3)         71.0 (1.1)           26         8.5 (0.3)         83.0 (1.9)           28         8.3 (0.4)         74.8 (0.7)	No.         CaO         Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> MgO           analyses         37 $8.8 (0.4)$ $88.2 (0.6)$ -           30 $8.8 (0.3)$ $86.7 (0.6)$ -           27 $8.7 (0.3)$ $82.8 (0.5)$ $1.5 (0.1)$ 42 $8.8 (0.3)$ $79.9 (1.0)$ $2.9 (0.2)$ 41 $8.6 (0.3)$ $78.6 (2.5)$ $3.5 (0.6)$ 18 $8.6 (0.3)$ $71.0 (1.1)$ $4.9 (0.2)$ 26 $8.5 (0.3)$ $83.0 (1.9)$ $2.5 (0.6)$ 28 $8.3 (0.4)$ $74.8 (0.7)$ $5.4 (0.2)$	No.         CaO $Al_2O_3$ MgO $11O_2^*$ analyses         37         8.8 (0.4)         88.2 (0.6)         -         2.4 (0.5)           30         8.8 (0.3)         86.7 (0.6)         -         4.0 (0.4)           27         8.7 (0.3)         82.8 (0.5)         1.5 (0.1)         7.3 (0.5)           42         8.8 (0.3)         79.9 (1.0)         2.9 (0.2)         8.6 (0.7)           41         8.6 (0.3)         78.6 (2.5)         3.5 (0.6)         9.6 (2.2)           18         8.6 (0.3)         71.0 (1.1)         4.9 (0.2)         15.9 (0.9)           26         8.5 (0.3)         83.0 (1.9)         2.5 (0.6)         5.2 (1.4)           28         8.3 (0.4)         74.8 (0.7)         5.4 (0.2)         11.4 (0.7)	No. analysesCaO $Al_2O_3$ MgO $TiO_2^*$ Total378.8 (0.4)88.2 (0.6)-2.4 (0.5)99.4 (0.7)308.8 (0.3)86.7 (0.6)-4.0 (0.4)99.5 (0.8)278.7 (0.3)82.8 (0.5)1.5 (0.1)7.3 (0.5)100.2 (0.7)428.8 (0.3)79.9 (1.0)2.9 (0.2)8.6 (0.7)100.2 (0.9)418.6 (0.3)78.6 (2.5)3.5 (0.6)9.6 (2.2)100.3 (0.9)188.6 (0.3)71.0 (1.1)4.9 (0.2)15.9 (0.9)100.4 (0.8)268.5 (0.3)83.0 (1.9)2.5 (0.6)5.2 (1.4)99.2 (0.6)288.3 (0.4)74.8 (0.7)5.4 (0.2)11.4 (0.7)100.0 (0.9)	No. analysesCaO $Al_2O_3$ MgO $TiO_2^*$ TotalStoichiometry378.8 (0.4)88.2 (0.6)-2.4 (0.5)99.4 (0.7) $Ca_{1.07}Al_{11.69}Ti_{0.20}O_{19}$ 308.8 (0.3)86.7 (0.6)-4.0 (0.4)99.5 (0.8) $Ca_{1.07}Al_{11.51}Ti_{0.33}O_{19}$ 278.7 (0.3)82.8 (0.5)1.5 (0.1)7.3 (0.5)100.2 (0.7) $Ca_{1.05}Al_{10.99}Ti_{0.62}Mg_{0.24}O_{19}$ 428.8 (0.3)79.9 (1.0)2.9 (0.2)8.6 (0.7)100.2 (0.9) $Ca_{1.07}Al_{10.66}Ti_{0.73}Mg_{0.49}O_{19}$ 418.6 (0.3)78.6 (2.5)3.5 (0.6)9.6 (2.2)100.3 (0.9) $Ca_{1.05}Al_{10.48}Ti_{0.82}Mg_{0.60}O_{19}$ 188.6 (0.3)71.0 (1.1)4.9 (0.2)15.9 (0.9)100.4 (0.8) $Ca_{1.05}Al_{1.1.0}Ti_{0.44}Mg_{0.43}O_{19}$ 268.5 (0.3)83.0 (1.9)2.5 (0.6)5.2 (1.4)99.2 (0.6) $Ca_{1.01}Al_{11.10}Ti_{0.44}Mg_{0.43}O_{19}$ 288.3 (0.4)74.8 (0.7)5.4 (0.2)11.4 (0.7)100.0 (0.9) $Ca_{1.01}Al_{10.07}Ti_{0.98}Mg_{0.91}O_{19}$

· · ·

/ / /

948

**Table 3** Lattice parameters and Mg and Ti site occupancies (as fractions of 1) derived from the Rietveld refinements. The occupancies of  $Ti^{3+}$  and  $Ti^{4+}$  on M4 were calculated assuming that  $Ti^{4+}$  pfu = Mg<sup>2+</sup> pfu,  $Ti^{3+}$  only occupies M4 and all the Ti on M2 is  $Ti^{4+}$ .

951

Sample	a (Å)	c (Å)	Volume (Å <sup>3</sup> )	Mg on M3	Ti on M2*	Ti on M4	Ti <sup>3+</sup> on M4	Ti <sup>4+</sup> on M4	$\mathrm{Ti}^{4+}\mathrm{M2/M4}^{\dagger}$
(0.20,1.0)	5.567260 (6)	21.92612 (4)	588.539 (1)	-	-	0.096 (1)	0.096 (1)	-	-
(0.33,1.0)	5.570159 (9)	21.93871 (6)	589.491 (2)	-	-	0.144 (1)	0.144 (1)	-	-
(0.62,0.60)	5.586154 (7)	21.98939 (5)	594.251 (1)	0.12 (1)	0.020 (5)	0.250 (5)	0.15 (1)	0.10(1)	0.20(1)
(0.73,0.33)	5.596009 (8)	22.01478 (5)	597.038 (1)	0.26 (1)	0.040 (5)	0.310 (5)	0.09(1)	0.22 (1)	0.18 (1)
(0.82,0.27)	5.603373 (9)	22.03238 (6)	599.089 (2)	0.33 (1)	0.065 (3)	0.360 (5)	0.09(1)	0.27(1)	0.24 (1)
(1.37,0.39)	5.62894 (1)	22.12121 (7)	607.006 (2)	0.42 (1)	0.050 (5)	0.600 (5)	0.23 (1)	0.37(1)	0.14 (1)
(0.44,0)	5.58641 (1)	21.99031 (8)	594.329 (4)	0.21 (1)	0.030 (5)	0.190 (5)	-	0.190 (5)	0.16(1)
(0.98,0)	5.607263 (7)	22.07468 (5)	601.072 (1)	0.46 (1)	0.065 (3)	0.403 (3)	-	0.403 (5)	0.16(1)

952 \* Site is half occupied.

953 † For the hibonite structure M2/M4 calculated in terms of occupancy is equivalent to M2/M4 pfu.

954

**Table 4** Atomic coordinates and isotropic displacement parameters ( $U_{iso} \times 100$ ).

957

		(0.20.1.0)	(0.33.1.0)	(0.62.0.60)	(0.73.0.33)	(0.82.0.27)	(1 37 0 39)	(0.44.0)	(0.98.0)
Ca	x	0.6667	0.6667	0.6667	0.6667	0.6667	0.6667	0.6667	0.6667
Cu	v	0.3333	0.3333	0.3333	0.3333	0.3333	0.3333	0.3333	0.3333
	y	0.2500	0.3555	0.2500	0.2500	0.3333	0.3535	0.3535	0.3333
	IL.	1.14(3)	0.2300	2.09(3)	2.03(3)	2.04(4)	3.03(1)	3.15(1)	2.77(4)
	Uiso	1.14(3)	0.99(3)	2.09(3)	2.05(5)	2.04(4)	5.05(1)	5.15(1)	2.77(4)
M1	х	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	v	Õ	Ő	Ő	Ő	Ő	Ő	Ő	Ő
	, 7	Õ	Ő	Ő	Ő	Ő	Ő	Ő	Ő
	Т.	0.73(3)	1 11(5)	0.89(3)	0.82(3)	0.76(4)	0.71(1)	1.06(1)	0.88(4)
	U <sub>150</sub>	0.75(5)	1.11(5)	0.07(3)	0.02(5)	0.70(4)	0.71(1)	1.00(1)	0.00(+)
M2	x	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	v	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	z	0.2591(1)	0.2598(2)	0.2621(1)	0.2620(1)	0.2620(2)	0.2657(1)	0.2612(1)	0.2641(1)
	Uisa	1 71(5)	1.84(8)	2.02(6)	1.92(7)	2.03(9)	1.75(1)	2.02(1)	1 90(4)
	0 150	1.71(0)	1.0 ((0)	2.02(0)	1.)=(/)	2.00())	1.,0(1)	2.02(1)	1.50(1)
M3	х	0.3333	0.3333	0.3333	0.3333	0.3333	0.3333	0.3333	0.3333
	y	0.6667	0.6667	0.6667	0.6667	0.6667	0.6667	0.6667	0.6667
	z	0.02781(5)	0.02878(7)	0.02849(4)	0.02821(4)	0.02861(4)	0.02921(1)	0.02701(1)	0.02791(6)
	Uiso	1.07(2)	1.37(3)	1.23(2)	1.15(2)	1.09(2)	0.88(1)	1.17(1)	1.32(4)
M4	х	0.3333	0.3333	0.3333	0.3333	0.3333	0.3333	0.3333	0.3333
	У	0.6667	0.6667	0.6667	0.6667	0.6667	0.6667	0.6667	0.6667
	Z	0.19044(5)	0.19029(9)	0.18900(8)	0.1879(1)	0.1875(2)	0.18789(9)	0.1901(1)	0.1865(1)
	U <sub>iso</sub>	0.65(5)	0.80(9)	1.28(5)	1.07(7)	1.2(1)	1.07(1)	1.13(1)	1.23(4)
			/						
M5	х	0.16863(7)	0.1693(1)	0.16908(7)	0.16870(7)	0.16902(9)	0.16774(4)	0.16855(3)	0.16872(2)
	У	0.3372(1)	0.3384(2)	0.3380(1)	0.33/3(1)	0.3379(2)	0.3354(1)	0.33/1(1)	0.33/32(4)
	Z	-0.10904(2)	-0.10883(2)	-0.10846(2)	-0.10809(2)	-0.10786(2)	-0.10651(1)	-0.10894(1)	-0.10/31(6)
	U <sub>iso</sub>	1.04(1)	1.30(2)	1.38(1)	1.28(1)	1.27(1)	1.21(1)	1.20(1)	1.40(4)
01	v	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
01	v	Ő	0 0	0 0	0 0	0	0 0	0	0
	у 7	-0 14940(3)	-0.14985(4)	-0 14960(3)	-0 15003(3)	-0.15054(4)	-0.14927(1)	-0.15001(8)	-0.15037(6)
	II.	0.11710(3)	1 20(3)	1.27(2)	0.13003(3)	0.65(3)	0.11927(1)	1.54(1)	1 29(4)
	0150	0.72(2)	1.20(3)	1.27(2)	0.70(2)	0.05(5)	0.00(1)	1.5 ((1)	1.29(1)
02	х	0.6667	0.6667	0.6667	0.6667	0.6667	0.6667	0.6667	0.6667
	v	0.3333	0.3333	0.3333	0.3333	0.3333	0.3333	0.3333	0.3333
	z	0.05403(3)	0.05280(6)	0.05458(3)	0.05542(3)	0.05593(4)	0.05668(1)	0.05597(1)	0.05753(6)
	Uiso	0.88(2)	0.89(2)	1.17(2)	1.25(2)	1.14(2)	1.38(1)	1.18(5)	1.30(4)
		× /	~ /	× /	~ /		~ /	~ /	× /
03	х	0.18166(6)	0.1817(1)	0.18033(6)	0.18049(6)	0.18094(7)	0.17842(4)	0.17986(3)	0.18101(2)
	У	0.3634(1)	0.3635(2)	0.3608(1)	0.3611(1)	0.3620(1)	0.3570(1)	0.3598(1)	0.3621(1)
	Z	0.2500	0.2500	0.2500	0.2500	0.2500	0.2500	0.2500	0.2500
	Uiso	1.24(1)	1.70(2)	1.67(1)	1.65(1)	1.58(2)	1.09(1)	1.48(1)	1.58(4)
04	х	0.15600(4)	0.15542(6)	0.15465(3)	0.15400(4)	0.15341(4)	0.15303(4)	0.15398(3)	0.15251(2)
	У	0.31200(8)	0.3108(1)	0.30931(7)	0.30801(7)	0.30682(8)	0.30608(8)	0.30797(7)	0.30502(4)
	Z	0.05210(1)	0.05261(2)	0.05238(1)	0.05278(1)	0.05300(2)	0.05329(1)	0.05263(1)	0.05332(1)
	$U_{iso}$	0.97(1)	1.27(1)	1.37(1)	1.34(1)	1.34(1)	0.86(1)	1.24(1)	1.48(4)
<u> </u>		0							
05	х	0.50357(5)	0.50303(8)	0.50412(4)	0.50455(4)	0.50469(5)	0.50609(4)	0.50367(3)	0.50419(2)
	У	1.0072(1)	1.0061(2)	1.00833(8)	1.00918(8)	1.0095(1)	1.01225(8)	1.00743(7)	1.00846(4)
	Z	0.14899(1)	0.14887(1)	0.14893(1)	0.14935(1)	0.14934(2)	0.14949(1)	0.14922(1)	0.14978(1)
	U <sub>iso</sub>	1.00(1)	1.27(1)	1.32(1)	1.35(1)	1.29(1)	0.75(1)	1.13(1)	1.26(4)

958

# **Table 5** Energies of isolated defects at 0 K.

Defect (Kröger-Vink notation)	Energy (eV / 256 atom cell)	Notes
No defect	-88959.2740	CaAl <sub>12</sub> O <sub>19</sub> hibonite, 256 atoms
${\rm Ti}_{{\rm Al}(1)}{}^{\bf x}$	-90393.3591	Ti <sup>3+</sup> on M1
${\rm Ti}_{{\rm Al}(2)}{}^{x}$	-90394.1357	Ti <sup>3+</sup> on M2
${\rm Ti}_{{\rm Al}(3)}{}^{x}$	-90393.8763	Ti <sup>3+</sup> on M3
${\rm Ti}_{{\rm Al}(4)}{}^{x}$	-90393.8734	Ti <sup>3+</sup> on M4
${\rm Ti}_{{\rm Al}(5)}{}^{x}$	-90393.7426	Ti <sup>3+</sup> on M5
Ti <sub>Al(1)</sub> •	-90387.3766	Ti <sup>4+</sup> on M1
Ti <sub>Al(2)</sub>	-90389.3807	Ti <sup>4+</sup> on M2
Ti <sub>Al(3)</sub>	-90387.9251	Ti <sup>4+</sup> on M3
Ti <sub>Al(4)</sub> •	-90389.0742	Ti <sup>4+</sup> on M4
Ti <sub>Al(5)</sub> •	-90388.5411	Ti <sup>4+</sup> on M5
$Mg_{Al(1)}$	-89836.9945	Mg <sup>2+</sup> on M1
Mg <sub>Al(2)</sub> ′	-89836.2080	Mg <sup>2+</sup> on M2
Mg <sub>Al(3)</sub> ′	-89837.8229	Mg <sup>2+</sup> on M3
Mg <sub>Al(4)</sub> ′	-89836.0045	Mg <sup>2+</sup> on M4
$Mg_{Al(5)}$	-89836.6277	Mg <sup>2+</sup> on M5

966

967 **Table 6** Energies of clustered defect structures including Ti-Mg and Ti-Ti interactions at 0 K. Defects

968 labeled with (a) and (b) subscripts occur as multiple symmetrically distinct clusters, which can be

969 distinguished using the Ti-Mg distances.

Defect (Kröger-Vink notation)	Energy (eV / 256 atom cell)	Ti-Mg distance (Å)	Notes
No defect	-88959.2740	-	CaAl <sub>12</sub> O <sub>19</sub> hibonite, 256 atoms
${Ti_{Al(1)} Mg_{Al(3)}}$	-91267.2759	3.30	${\rm Ti}^{4+}  and  Mg^{2+}  on  M1$ and $M3$
${Ti_{Al(1)} Mg_{Al(5)}}$	-91266.0809	2.19	${\rm Ti}^{4+}$ and ${\rm Mg}^{2+}$ on M1 and M5
${Ti_{Al(2)} Mg_{Al(4)}}$	-91267.5971	3.45	$Ti^{4+} and  Mg^{2+} on  M2$ and $M4$
${Ti_{Al(2)} Mg_{Al(4)}}'_{(b)}$	-91267.6954	3.54	${\rm Ti}^{4+}  {\rm and}  {\rm Mg}^{2+}  {\rm on}  {\rm M2}  {\rm and}  {\rm M4}$
${Ti_{Al(2)} Mg_{Al(5)}}'_{(a)}$	-91268.1237	3.40	$\mathrm{Ti}^{4+}\text{and}\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}\text{on}\mathrm{M2}$ and $\mathrm{M5}$
$\{Ti_{Al(2)} Mg_{Al(5)} \}_{(b)}$	-91268.1161	3.61	${\rm Ti}^{4+}  and  {\rm Mg}^{2+}  on  {\rm M2}  and  {\rm M5}$
${Ti_{Al(3)} Mg_{Al(1)}'}$	-91266.9784	3.30	$Ti^{4+} and  Mg^{2+} on  M3$ and $M1$
${Ti_{Al(3)} Mg_{Al(5)}})_{(a)}$	-91266.4905	3.44	${\rm Ti}^{4+}  and  {\rm Mg}^{2+}  on  {\rm M3}  and  {\rm M5}$
${Ti_{Al(3)}}^{\bullet}Mg_{Al(5)}{}^{\prime}{}_{(b)}$	-91267.1033	3.33	$\rm Ti^{4+}$ and $\rm Mg^{2+}$ on M3 and M5
${Ti_{Al(4)} Mg_{Al(5)}'}$	-91267.8774	3.33	${\rm Ti}^{4+}  {\rm and}  {\rm Mg}^{2+}  {\rm on}  {\rm M4}  {\rm and}  {\rm M5}$
${Ti_{Al(4)} Mg_{Al(4)}}$	-91267.5227	2.60	$\rm Ti^{4+}$ and $\rm Mg^{2+}$ on M4 and M4
${Ti_{Al(4)} Mg_{Al(2)}}'_{(a)}$	-91267.5280	3.45	$\mathrm{Ti}^{4+}  \mathrm{and}  \mathrm{Mg}^{2+}  \mathrm{on}  \mathrm{M4}  \mathrm{and}  \mathrm{M2}$
$\{Ti_{Al(4)} \cdot Mg_{Al(2)} '\}_{(b)}$	-91267.5610	3.54	${\rm Ti}^{4+}  \text{and}  {\rm Mg}^{2+}  \text{on}  {\rm M4}  \text{and}  {\rm M2}$
$\{Ti_{Al(5)}`Mg_{Al(5)}'\}_{(a)}$	-91267.2759	2.77	${\rm Ti}^{4+}  {\rm and}  {\rm Mg}^{2+}  {\rm on}  {\rm M5}  {\rm and}  {\rm M5}$
${Ti_{Al(5)} Mg_{Al(5)}}'_{(b)}$	-91267.5073	2.84	$\rm Ti^{4+}$ and $\rm Mg^{2+}$ on M5 and M5
${Ti_{Al(5)} Mg_{Al(4)}}$	-91266.9258	3.33	$\mathrm{Ti}^{4+}  \mathrm{and}  \mathrm{Mg}^{2+}  \mathrm{on}  \mathrm{M5}  \mathrm{and}  \mathrm{M4}$
${Ti_{Al(5)} Mg_{Al(2)}}'_{(a)}$	-91266.8811	3.40	$\rm Ti^{4+}$ and $\rm Mg^{2+}$ on M5 and M2
${Ti_{Al(5)} Mg_{Al(2)}}'_{(b)}$	-91266.8884	3.61	$\mathrm{Ti}^{4+}  \mathrm{and}  \mathrm{Mg}^{2+}  \mathrm{on}  \mathrm{M5}  \mathrm{and}  \mathrm{M2}$
$\{Ti_{Al(5)} Mg_{Al(1)}'\}$	-91267.5402	2.91	$\rm Ti^{4+}$ and $\rm Mg^{2+}$ on M5 and M1
${Ti_{Al(5)} Mg_{Al(3)}}'_{(a)}$	-91268.3199	3.43	$\rm Ti^{4+}$ and $\rm Mg^{2+}$ on M5 and M3
$\{Ti_{Al(5)} \cdot Mg_{Al(3)} '\}_{(b)}$	-91268.3299	3.32	${\rm Ti}^{4+}  {\rm and}  {\rm Mg}^{2+}  {\rm on}  {\rm M5}  {\rm and}  {\rm M3}$
${Ti_{Al(2)}}^{\bullet}Mg_{Al(3)}'{}_{(a)}$	-91269.1850	5.76	$Ti^{4+} \text{ and } Mg^{2+} \text{ on } M2 \text{ and } M3$
$\{Ti_{Al(2)} Mg_{Al(3)} \}_{(b)}$	-91269.2066	5.97	${\rm Ti}^{4+}  {\rm and}  {\rm Mg}^{2+}  {\rm on}  {\rm M2}  {\rm and}  {\rm M3}$
${Ti_{Al(4)} Mg_{Al(3)}}$	-91268.8648	3.59	${\rm Ti}^{4 \scriptscriptstyle +}$ and ${\rm Mg}^{2 \scriptscriptstyle +}$ on M4 and M3
$\{Ti_{Al(4)}{}^{x}Ti_{Al(4)}{}^{x}\}$	-91830.7191	-	Ti <sup>3+</sup> on M4
$\{Ti_{Al(4)} \bullet Ti_{Al(4)} \bullet Mg_{Al(3)} `Mg_{Al(3)} 'Mg_{Al(3)} '\}$	-93579.4590	3.51 and 3.53	${\rm Ti}^{4+}{\rm and}{\rm Mg}^{2+}{\rm on}{\rm M4}$ and ${\rm M3}$

970

972 **Table 7** Selected interatomic distances (Å); R = R-block, S = S-block.

973

	(0.20,1.0)	(0.33,1.0)	(0.62,0.60)	(0.73,0.33)	(0.82,0.27)	(1.37,0.39)	(0.44,0)	(0.98,0)
Ca-O3 (×6)	2.78798(3)	2.78945(5)	2.79681(3)	2.80182(3)	2.80573(3)	2.81742(4)	2.79673(2)	2.80769(1)
Ca-O5 (×6)	2.7160(3)	2.7228(5)	2.7224(3)	2.7161(3)	2.7181(4)	2.7190(6)	2.7197(2)	2.7171(2)
M1-O4 (×6)	1.8889(4)	1.8921(6)	1.8883(3)	1.8916(3)	1.8923(4)	1.9016(6)	1.8866(3)	1.8920(2)
M2-O1 <sub>a</sub>	2.006(3)	1.983(4)	1.941(2)	1.937(3)	1.926(4)	1.881(4)	1.951(1)	1.888(3)
M2-O1 <sub>b</sub>	2.406(3)	2.411(4)	2.474(2)	2.465(3)	2.457(4)	2.575(3)	2.447(3)	2.510(3)
M2-O3 (×3)	1.7636(7)	1.766(1)	1.7655(6)	1.7697(7)	1.7765(9)	1.7744(8)	1.7584(3)	1.7857(2)
M3-O2	1.794(1)	1.790(2)	1.8266(9)	1.8411(9)	1.863(1)	1.8998(8)	1.8247(3)	1.8859(3)
M3-O4 (×3)	1.7912(5)	1.7945(7)	1.8070(4)	1.8205(4)	1.8272(5)	1.8369(8)	1.8247(3)	1.8438(4)
M4-O3 (×3)	1.9605(8)	1.964(2)	1.997(1)	2.016(2)	2.021(3)	2.0416(6)	1.985(1)	2.038(2)
M4-O5 (×3)	1.8766(6)	1.873(1)	1.8730(9)	1.864(1)	1.864(2)	1.8865(6)	1.877(1)	1.8471(2)
M5-O1	1.8509(7)	1.864(1)	1.8689(7)	1.8774(8)	1.890(1)	1.8887(8)	1.8643(3)	1.8939(2)
M5-O2	1.9948(8)	2.005(1)	1.9828(7)	1.9730(8)	1.963(1)	1.9555(8)	1.9747(3)	1.9407(3)
M5-O4 (×2)	2.0044(4)	1.9965(7)	1.9970(5)	1.9854(5)	1.9809(6)	1.9609(8)	1.9959(3)	1.9673(4)
M5-O5 (×2)	1.8075(5)	1.8089(7)	1.8148(4)	1.8261(5)	1.8288(6)	1.8527(8)	1.8165(3)	1.8450(4)
M4-M4	2.612(2)	2.620(4)	2.683(4)	2.734(5)	2.754(8)	2.748(4)	2.635(3)	2.803(3)
O1-O1 (R)	4.412(1)	4.394(2)	4.415(1)	4.402(1)	4.383(2)	4.456(4)	4.398(1)	4.398(3)
01-01 (S)	6.551(1)	6.575(2)	6.579(1)	6.606(1)	6.634(2)	6.604(4)	6.598(3)	6.639(3)

974

975

976







Always consult and cite the final, published document. See http://www.minsocam.org or GeoscienceWorld

Figure 2 Doyle et al 2013



Figure 3 Doyle et al. (2013)



Figure 4



Doyle et al. 2013

Doyle et al. 2013

Figure 5





Figure 7 Doyle et al. 2013









Always consult and cite the final, published document. See http://www.minsocam.org or GeoscienceWorld



Always consult and cite the final, published document. See http://www.minsocam.org or GeoscienceWorld

