This is a copy of the final published version of a paper published via gold open access in *Current Biology*.

This open access article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Licence (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/79426

**Published paper**

phytochrome B Is Required for Light-Mediated Systemic Control of Stomatal Development

Stuart A. Casson1,* and Alistair M. Hetherington2
1Department of Molecular Biology and Biotechnology, University of Sheffield, Firth Court, Western Bank, Sheffield S10 2TN, UK
2School of Biological Sciences, University of Bristol, Woodland Road, Bristol BS8 1UG, UK

Summary

Stomata are pores found on the surfaces of leaves, and they regulate gas exchange between the plant and the environment [1]. Stomatal development is highly plastic and is influenced by environmental signals [2]. Light stimulates stomatal development, and this response is mediated by plant photoreceptors [3–5], with the red-light photoreceptor phytochrome B (phyB) having a dominant role in white light [3]. Light also regulates stomatal development systemically, with the irradiance perceived by mature leaves modulating stomatal development in young leaves [6, 7]. Here, we show that phyB is required for this systemic response. Using a combination of tissue-specific expression and an inducible expression system in the loss-of-function phyB-9 mutant [8], we show that phyB expression in the stomatal lineage, mesophyll, and phloem is sufficient to restore wild-type stomatal development. Induction of PHYB in mature leaves also rescues stomatal development in young untreated leaves, whereas phyB mutants are defective in the systemic regulation of stomatal development. Our data show that phyB acts systemically to regulate cell fate decisions in the leaf epidermis.

Results

Plants regulate gas exchange in the short term by adjusting the aperture of the stomatal pore in response to biotic and abiotic signals [1]. In addition, plants regulate the number of stomata that develop on leaves [2]. This involves changes in epidermal cell fate that result in alterations to the stomatal index (SI; the ratio of the number of stomata in a given area divided by the total number of stomata and other epidermal cells in that area) and changes in stomatal density (SD). Genes involved in the basal signaling pathway underlying stomatal development include the basic-helix-loop-helix (bHLH) transcription factors SPEECHLESS (SPCH), MUTE, and FAMA, which control consecutive steps in the differentiation of mature guard cells, and various peptides such as STOMAGEN [9–12]. Increased expression of these factors during the early stages of leaf development leads to increased recruitment of epidermal cells into the stomatal lineage and changes in SI and SD [9–12]. Light regulates plant development [13], and increased photon irradiances, regulated by plant photoreceptors, result in increases in SI [3–5]. phyB has a dominant role in white light, with phyB mutants having reduced SI at higher photon irradiances [3, 4]. phyB is expressed widely throughout the life cycle of Arabidopsis and throughout the leaf, including in early stomatal lineage cells, guard cells, and pavement cells [5, 14]. Although this would suggest that phyB can act cell autonomously to regulate phyB-dependent responses, mesophyll-specific expression was found to be sufficient to suppress flowering, indicating that some phyB responses are regulated non-cell autonomously [15]. To investigate in which tissues and cells phyB is required to mediate stomatal development, we used tissue-specific promoters to drive the expression of a PHYB-YFP fusion protein (Figure S1A available online). They included a promoter that drives expression within the stomatal lineage, a promoter that directs expression within nonepidermal leaf tissues, and a constitutive promoter. Constructs were stably introduced into the phyB-9 mutant (Col-0 background) by Agrobacterium tumefaciens-mediated transformation, and two independent transformed lines were analyzed per promoter construct and gave similar expression patterns. The spatial expression of the PHYB-YFP fusion protein was determined by confocal microscopy in the first pair of true leaves of 7-day-old soil-grown seedlings (Figures 1A–1F). For representative lines, the level of transgene expression was also determined by quantitative PCR (qPCR) using primers specific to YFP (Figure 1G). The phenotype of mature plants is shown in Figure S1B.

PHYB-YFP Expression within the Stomatal Lineage Complements the phyB-Deficient Stomatal Development Phenotype

When grown in white light at a photon irradiance of 250 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹, mature leaves of the loss-of-function phyB-9 mutant had a significantly reduced SI compared with the Col-0 control (Figure 1H). Under these conditions, SD was also significantly reduced (Col-0 SD: 228.3 mm² ± SEM 4.7; phyB-9 SD: 201.8 mm² ± SEM 5.4; p = 0.0005). This reduced SI phenotype could be rescued when the PHYB-YFP fusion protein was expressed constitutively in the phyB-9 background using the CaMV35SS promoter, which included epidermal expression (Figures 1A and 1H). To determine whether the expression of PHYB within the stomatal lineage is required for ensuring that the appropriate SI is achieved, we expressed the PHYB-YFP fusion protein using the SPCH promoter (Figures 1B and S1A) that directs expression throughout the stomatal lineage [9]. The presence of the PHYB-YFP fusion protein (Figure 1B; SPCH promoter) resulted in rescue of the phyB-9 stomatal mutant phenotype (Figure 1H). Expression of PHYB-YFP using the jca1 promoter also rescued the phyb-9 stomatal mutant phenotype. This promoter directed PHYB-YFP expression most strongly in mesophyll cells; however, weak expression was also detected in guard cells [16] (Figures 1C, 1D, and 1H). Analysis of promoter PHYB::YFP transgenic lines indicated that SI was not directly associated with transgene level; for example, SPCHproPHYB::YFP and CaMV35SproPHYB::YFP lines have almost identical SIs, and, yet, transgene expression is 16-fold higher in the CaMV35SproPHYB::YFP line (Figure 1G). Furthermore, although tissue-specific expression of PHYB::YFP led to changes in leaf size (Figure S1B), these...
changes did not correlate with the ability to rescue the SI defect of phyB-9 plants. This agrees with recent work showing that differentiation in the stomatal lineage is independent of pavement cell expansion, which makes the major contribution to overall leaf size [17].

PHYB-YFP Expression in the Phloem Rescues the phyB Mutant Stomatal Development Phenotype

phyB can act in a non-cell-autonomous manner to regulate flowering in Arabidopsis [15]. To determine whether this is the case for stomatal development, we expressed PHYB-YFP in nonepidermal cells using the SUC2 promoter (phloem companion cells; Figures 1E and 1F). Confocal microscopy confirmed that PHYB-YFP expression was not observed in the epidermis (including guard cells) and was restricted to the phloem (Figure 1F), whereas leaf impressions revealed that expression of PHYB-YFP in the phloem is sufficient to rescue stomatal development in the phyB-9 mutant (Figure 1H).

phyB Acts Systemically to Control Stomatal Development

Although the analysis of tissue-specific PHYB::YFP lines reveals the spatial requirement for phyB during stomatal development, it does not distinguish between a local requirement within a developing leaf and a systemic role in existing mature leaves. To address this issue, an inducible PHYB system was constructed in the phyB-9 mutant background. A line (i-PHYB) was chosen that was phenotypically indistinguishable from the phyB-9 mutant (indicating that if there was any leaky PHYB expression in this line, it was insufficient to complement the mutant phenotype; Figures S2A and 2A, untreated). To test for functionality, we sprayed all leaves of i-PHYB plants with 5 μM 1-estradiol. This resulted in increased PHYB-YFP expression and rescue of both the gross phyB-9 mutant phenotype and stomatal development (Figures S2A, S2B, and 2A). 1-estradiol treatment alone did not significantly alter stomatal development of Col-0 and phyB-9 plants (Figure S2C). The 1-estradiol-inducible expression system shows tight spatial regulation of transgene induction [18]. PHYB expression was monitored by qPCR, and this confirmed that the induction of phyB expression was restricted to leaves treated with 1-estradiol (and that this treatment did not result in induction or spread of PHYB expression in nontreated tissue; Figures 2B and 2C).

To determine whether phyB can act systemically to influence stomatal development, we treated leaves (L) 1–12 daily by applying 1-estradiol to them. This treatment began once leaves were greater than 5 mm in length (7 days postgermination for L1) and continued for another 3 weeks as new leaves formed lines per construct with error bars indicating mean ± SEM. Symbols indicate significant difference in SI compared to phyB-9; *p < 0.05, #p < 0.005. See also Figure S1.
developed. Young leaves were left untreated (Figure S2D). SI was measured in both the β-estradiol-treated leaves (L10–12) and the younger untreated leaves (L13–15). Both the treated leaves (TL) and the young untreated leaves (UL) showed significant increases in SI compared with the mock-treated control (Mock) (Figure 2D).

The expression of several genes known to be involved in the control of stomatal developmental is reduced in phyB mutants [4, 5]. To determine whether the changes in SI in i-PHYB plants are due to changes in the expression of one or more positive regulators of stomatal development, we analyzed the expression of the transcription factors SPCH, MUTE, and FAMA [9–11] by qPCR following β-estradiol treatment in both TL and young UL from the same plants. Compared with equivalent mock-treated plants, FAMA expression was elevated in TL, whereas SPCH expression increased in new leaves (Figures 2E and 2F).

**phyB Mutants Are Defective in Systemic Regulation of Light-Induced Stomatal Development**

Mature leaves can signal to developing leaves to regulate stomatal development in response to light and CO₂ [6, 7]. Both the tissue-specific expression of PHYB-YFP and inducible expression of PHYB reported here support a role for phyB in the systemic regulation of stomatal development. We therefore investigated whether the phyB-9 mutant shows defects in this process. Wild-type (WT) and phyB-9 were grown at 250 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹ until the initiation of L14 primordia. Maturing L1–13 were then shaded using a neutral density filter, (resulting in a photon irradiance of 50 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹), while L14 was exposed to and continued to develop at high light (250 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹; Figure S3A). Consistent with similar experiments [6, 7], L14 of Col-0 plants had a reduced SI compared with equivalent high-light-grown leaves (Figure 3A). In contrast, there was no significant reduction of SI of L14 in phyB-9 mutants, indicating that phyB-9 mutants are defective in this systemic signaling pathway. Gene expression in L14 was compared with the equivalent leaf from plants grown at high light (250 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹). At 6 hr after the shading of mature leaves, the expression of the positive regulators of stomatal development SPCH, MUTE, and STMAGEN (STOM) [9–12] was reduced in the exposed L14 of Col-0 plants. phyB-9 mutants did not show equivalent reductions in the expression of these key regulators (Figure 3B).
As expression throughout the stomatal lineage rescues the reduced SI phenotype of the phyB-9 mutant, phyB may therefore act locally at the earliest stages of stomatal development to modulate epidermal cell fate decisions. Changes in stomatal number correlate with leaf transpiration rate, suggesting that perturbations in guard cell function can affect stomatal development [19]. In this context, it is important to note that phyB is required for red-light-induced stomatal opening [20]. It is possible, therefore, that the reduced SI in phyB-9 mutants is the result of reductions in stomatal aperture at high light, a phenotype that is likely complemented in the SPCHproPHYB::YFP, βCA1proPHYB::YFP, and CaMV35SproPHYB::YFP lines, which all direct PHYB::YFP expression in guard cells (as well as in other cell types). However, such a possibility is not consistent with the ability of phloem-expressed PHYB-YFP to complement the phyB-9 phenotype (Figure 1H). Instead, our data suggest that there is phyB-mediated intertissue signaling that regulates cell fate changes in the epidermis.

To determine whether phyB is part of a systemic signaling pathway, we engineered the phyB-9 mutant to express WT PHYB inducibly (i-PHYB plants). The induction of PHYB led to an initial increase in FAMA expression in TL (Figure 2E), consistent with previous reports showing that phyB regulates FAMA expression [4]. In the epidermis of phyB-9 leaves grown at high light (250 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹), some amplifying divisions are seen to terminate prematurely without differentiating into satellite stomata (Figures S3B and S3C). This would be consistent with a defect in the latter stages of stomatal development, which are regulated by FAMA. Given the experimental timescale (6 hr posttreatment), phyB-mediated signaling may directly control an upstream regulator of FAMA expression.

Treatment of only the mature leaves of i-PHYB plants with β-estradiol resulted in significant increases in SI of the young UL (Figure 2D) and increased SPCH expression in young UL (Figure 2F). Because SPCH is required for initiation of stomatal development [9], these data indicate that changes in stomatal development in young leaves are likely to occur de novo in response to a phyB-mediated signal from mature leaves. When mature leaves of WT plants are shaded, young leaves develop with reduced SI compared with those growing at high light (250 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹), some amplifying divisions are seen to terminate prematurely without differentiating into satellite stomata (Figures S3B and S3C). This reduction in SI correlated with downregulated expression of the positive regulators of stomatal development SPCH, MUTE, FAMA, and STOMAGEN. EF1, like STOMAGEN, is a member of the EPIDERMAL PATTERNING FACTOR-LIKE (EPFL) family and regulates one-cell spacing [21]. The expression of EF1 was not significantly affected. This result might reflect differences in the function of individual genes influenced by the systemic signaling pathway or may be due to the sampling time series chosen for this experiment. In contrast, phyB-9 mutant young leaves are indistinguishable from high-light-grown controls and do not show downregulation in expression of these positive regulators of stomatal development (Figure 3B). Taken together with the i-PHYB data, our results demonstrate that phyB is required for light-mediated systemic regulation of stomatal development, and this systemic mechanism is likely dependent on changes in the expression of stomatal development genes in young leaves.

A question remains as to the nature of the systemic signal generated in response to light perception by phyB. It has been reported that phyB acts upstream of the MAPK signaling

Discussion

Previous work showed that light controls stomatal development [3, 5–7] and that this involves phyB [3, 4]. It was also known that this is a systemic response [6, 7]. Here, we demonstrate that phyB acts both within the stomatal lineage and in nonepidermal tissue to regulate cell fate changes during stomatal development. phyB also acts in the systemic pathway to modulate stomatal development in young leaves in response to light signals perceived by mature leaves.

As expression throughout the stomatal lineage rescues the reduced SI phenotype of the phyB-9 mutant, phyB may therefore act locally at the earliest stages of stomatal development to modulate epidermal cell fate decisions. Changes in stomatal number correlate with leaf transpiration rate, suggesting that perturbations in guard cell function can affect stomatal development [19]. In this context, it is important to note that phyB is required for red-light-induced stomatal opening [20]. It is possible, therefore, that the reduced SI in phyB-9 mutants is the result of reductions in stomatal aperture at high light, a phenotype that is likely complemented in the SPCHproPHYB::YFP, βCA1proPHYB::YFP, and CaMV35SproPHYB::YFP lines, which all direct PHYB::YFP expression in guard cells (as well as in other cell types). However, such a possibility is not consistent with the ability of phloem-expressed PHYB-YFP to complement the phyB-9 phenotype (Figure 1H). Instead, our data suggest that there is phyB-mediated intertissue signaling that regulates cell fate changes in the epidermis.

To determine whether phyB is part of a systemic signaling pathway, we engineered the phyB-9 mutant to express WT PHYB inducibly (i-PHYB plants). The induction of PHYB led to an initial increase in FAMA expression in TL (Figure 2E), consistent with previous reports showing that phyB regulates FAMA expression [4]. In the epidermis of phyB-9 leaves grown at high light (250 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹), some amplifying divisions are seen to terminate prematurely without differentiating into satellite stomata (Figures S3B and S3C). This would be consistent with a defect in the latter stages of stomatal development, which are regulated by FAMA. Given the experimental timescale (6 hr posttreatment), phyB-mediated signaling may directly control an upstream regulator of FAMA expression.

Treatment of only the mature leaves of i-PHYB plants with β-estradiol resulted in significant increases in SI of the young UL (Figure 2D) and increased SPCH expression in young UL (Figure 2F). Because SPCH is required for initiation of stomatal development [9], these data indicate that changes in stomatal development in young leaves are likely to occur de novo in response to a phyB-mediated signal from mature leaves. When mature leaves of WT plants are shaded, young leaves develop with reduced SI compared with those growing at high light (250 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹), some amplifying divisions are seen to terminate prematurely without differentiating into satellite stomata (Figures S3B and S3C). This reduction in SI correlated with downregulated expression of the positive regulators of stomatal development SPCH, MUTE, FAMA, and STOMAGEN. EF1, like STOMAGEN, is a member of the EPIDERMAL PATTERNING FACTOR-LIKE (EPFL) family and regulates one-cell spacing [21]. The expression of EF1 was not significantly affected. This result might reflect differences in the function of individual genes influenced by the systemic signaling pathway or may be due to the sampling time series chosen for this experiment. In contrast, phyB-9 mutant young leaves are indistinguishable from high-light-grown controls and do not show downregulation in expression of these positive regulators of stomatal development (Figure 3B). Taken together with the i-PHYB data, our results demonstrate that phyB is required for light-mediated systemic regulation of stomatal development, and this systemic mechanism is likely dependent on changes in the expression of stomatal development genes in young leaves.

A question remains as to the nature of the systemic signal generated in response to light perception by phyB. It has been reported that phyB acts upstream of the MAPK signaling...
cascade headed by the MAPKKK YDA [5, 22] that targets the SPCH protein and potentially MUTE and FAMA [23, 24]. Although the data presented here show that transcriptional changes in SPCH, MUTE, and FAMA occur in young leaves during systemic signaling, our data do not exclude additional posttranscriptional regulatory signaling mechanisms. Integration of signals through the MAPK module is emerging as a common mechanism for regulating stomatal development with YODA and SPCH targeted by brassinosteroid [25, 26]. It will therefore be interesting to investigate whether phyB operates through this module, and if it does, whether it forms part of the systemic system investigated here. Interestingly, PHYTOCHROME-INTERACTING FACTOR 4 (PIF4) acts with phyB to mediate light-regulated changes in stomatal development [3]. PIF4 interacts with the brassinosteroid-activated transcription factor BZR1 [27]; however, the integration point between brassinosteroid signaling and stomatal development is both upstream and independent of BZR1 [25, 26]. PIF4 also regulates auxin biosynthesis [28], and auxin has recently been shown to be required for correct stomatal development [29]. Although PIF4 regulation of auxin biosynthesis presents a potential mechanism by which light may mediate changes in stomatal development, this requires further work.

Experimental Procedures

PHYB Induction
For inducible PHYB experiments, phyB-9 plants containing pMDC150-3SS and pMDC221-PHYB were treated with 5 μM (R)-estradiol (Sigma-Aldrich) dissolved in water containing 0.01% (v/v) Silwet L-77 (Lehle Seeds). For Figures 2A and S2A–S2C, whole plants were treated by spraying leaves from 7 days postgermination for 3 weeks. For Figure 2B, 5 μM (R)-estradiol was applied to mature leaves (TL; L1–12) of 3-week-old plants with a fine paintbrush, and PHYB expression was monitored 48 hr later on both TL and UL on the same plant. For Figures 2C, 2E, and 2F, 5 μM (R)-estradiol was applied daily for 5 days to mature leaves (TL; L1–12) of 3-week-old plants with a fine paintbrush, with gene expression analysis performed on both treated (L1–12) and untreated (L13–15) leaves at 1, 3, and 5 days after treatment. For Figure 2D, 5 μM (R)-estradiol was applied to mature leaves (TL; L1–12) once a leaf was >5 mm in length (7 days postgermination for L1). Treatment was continued daily as new leaves developed for 3 weeks. Mock treatments were performed with 0.01% Silwet L-77. Treatments were applied 30 min predawn (Figure S2A).

Shading Experiments
Plants were germinated at a photon irradiance of 250 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹. Once L14 primordia were visible (<2 mm), existing leaves (greater than 5 mm; designated mature) were shaded to 50 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹ using neutral density filters (Lee Filters), with L14 exposed to 250 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹ (Figure S3A). Equivalent leaves (L14) from unshaded plants were used as controls.
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