

This is a repository copy of *Potential for air disinfection technologies to contribute to airborne infection control.*

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: <u>https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/79033/</u>

Version: Accepted Version

Proceedings Paper:

McDonagh, A, Noakes, CJ and Fletcher, LA Potential for air disinfection technologies to contribute to airborne infection control. In: UNSPECIFIED Public Health England (PHE) Annual Conference, 10-11 Sep 2013, University of Warwick, Coventry, UK.

Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/

Potential for Air Disinfection Technologies to Contribute to Airborne Infection Control

A.McDonagh¹, C.J. Noakes¹, L.A. Fletcher¹

¹Pathogen Control Engineering Institute, School of Civil Engineering, University of Leeds

Abstract

Increasing awareness of indoor air quality, including potential for airborne disease transmission, have led to development of numerous devices to remove or inactivate indoor air pollutants. These incorporate a range of approaches including filtration, UV-C irradiation, air ions, hydroxyl radicals, hydrogen peroxide and ozone. Devices may be applied in ventilation ducts to treat supply/extract air, or located within a room to tackle locally generated pollutants. While some devices have credible data to support performance claims, many lack data on efficacy and appropriate application.

This paper presents data from laboratory studies and ventilation models to explore the effectiveness and potential for application of a number of these devices. Experiments conducted in a room scale bioaerosol chamber compare the performance of devices based on filtration and UV-C technologies. A pure culture bacterial aerosol generated using a collision nebuliser was introduced to the room under steady-state ventilation conditions and samples taken with and without the devices operational using an Anderson sampler. Ventilation mixing models are used to explore the predicted concentrations under a range of ventilation and device performance scenarios.

Results show that even in controlled laboratory conditions, reliably quantifying performance is difficult as it is dependent on the location and specific design of the device as well as the experimental test conditions. Ventilation models suggest that some devices may be very effective in poorly ventilated environments, but they are likely to be constrained by the ability to pass sufficient contaminated air through the active components of the device in many cases.