

This is a repository copy of Foreign policies or culture: What shapes Muslim public opinion on political violence against the United States?.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/77829/

Version: Supplemental Material

### Article:

Berger, LG orcid.org/0000-0001-7846-804X (2014) Foreign policies or culture: What shapes Muslim public opinion on political violence against the United States? Journal of Peace Research, 51 (6). pp. 782-796. ISSN 0022-3433

https://doi.org/10.1177/0022343314527983

#### Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

### Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/ promoting access to White Rose research papers



# Universities of Leeds, Sheffield and York http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/

This is an author produced version of a paper published in **Journal of Peace Research.** 

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:

http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/77829/

### Paper:

Berger, LG (2014) Foreign policies or culture – What shapes Muslim public opinion on political violence against the United States? Journal of Peace Research: an interdisciplinary and international quarterly of scholarly work in peace research.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0022343314527983

White Rose Research Online eprints@whiterose.ac.uk

## <u>Online Appendix –</u>

## Foreign Policies or Culture –

## What shapes Muslim Public Opinion on Political Violence against the United States?

# Table A1 – Correlation between educational achievement and ability/willingness to offer an opinion on US policies and culture

Variable	Country	Correlation
US policies toward Middle East democracy	Pakistan	121***
	Egypt	128***
	Indonesia	141***
US policies toward Middle East oil	Pakistan	201***
-	Egypt	148***
	Indonesia	136***
US policies toward Israel	Pakistan	182*
-	Egypt	121***
	Indonesia	121***
US culture	Pakistan	208***
	Egypt	068*
	Indonesia	038
US freedom of expression	Pakistan	179***
L	Egypt	070*
	Indonesia	032
** completion cignificant at the 01 level (2 toiled)	I	

\*\*correlation significant at the .01 level (2-tailed)

How often do you perform prayer (%)?	Egypt	Indonesia	Pakistan
Five times a day	92	74	66
More than once a day	5	20	19
At least once a day	0	2	3
At least once a month	1	0	4
Only during certain holidays or events	1	1	4
Almost never	0	0	0
Don't know/Refused	1	3	4
Education (%)?	Egypt	Indonesia	Pakistan
Illiterate	20	11	43
Some High School	18	55	36
Finished High School or equivalent	26	26	9
Some/Finished College/BA+	37	5	12
Don't Know/Refused	0	4	0
Household Income (%)	Egypt	Indonesia	Pakistan
Very low	22	69	28
Low	30	17	23
Average/Median	37	5	24
High/Very High	3	2	4
Refused	8	7	21

## Table A2

(N: Egypt=1041; Indonesia=967; Pakistan=1131, Muslims Only)

	Factor 1	Factor 2
Attacks on US troops based in Afghanistan	.973	002
Attacks on US troops based in Iraq	.963	043
Attacks on US troops based in Persian Gulf	.946	.047
Attacks on civilians in Europe	010	.957
Attacks on civilians in the United States	.004	.944
Attacks on US civilians working for US companies in	.007	.898
Islamic countries		

# Table A3 – Factor Analysis, dependent variables

	Factor 1	Factor 2	Factor 3
View of laws permitting freedom of expression in US	.826	.040	145
View of American Culture	.825	.015	099
View of American People	.761	.013	.117
US policies toward Middle East democracy	.298	074	.239
Confidence in the national government	010	855	.055
Confidence in the justice system	.054	832	130
Confidence in the local police	075	812	.024
US goal to weaken and divide Islamic world	066	.038	.812
US goal to expand the geographic borders Of Israel	016	.016	.787
US goal to control the oil resources of the Middle East	.011	.001	.751

## Table A4 – Factor Analysis, independent variables

The Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin measure verified the sampling adequacy for the analysis, KMO = .685.

	Egypt B	Exp	Pakistan B	Evn	Indonesia Exp B		
	ы (S.E.)	(B)	ы (S.E.)	(B)	ы (S.E.)	Exp (B)	
Rural	279	.756	195	.822	059	.943	
	(.277)		(.285)		(.354)		
Women	109	.897	-1.089**	.336	.031	1.031	
	(.282)		(.345)		(.314)		
Single	.040	1.040	103	.902	076	.926	
-	(.378)		(.401)		(.495)		
Under 30	138	.871	.020	1.020	.226	1.254	
	(.388)		(.372)		(.395)		
Incomplete School	520	.594	001	.999	.344	1.410	
	(.335)		(.317)		(.353)		
Below average income	449	.638	277	.758	.358	1.430	
	(.282)		(.276)		(.688)		
Five Daily Prayer	-1.309***	.270	1.534***	4.636	.427	1.533	
	(.370)		(.381)		(.391)		
No confidence in	.138**	1.148	018	.982	.124	1.132	
national institutions	(.050)		(.059)		(.083)		
US opposes Middle East	1.015***	2.760	063	.939	.806*	2.238	
democracy in general	(.299)		(.298)		(.336)		
Negative views on US	029	.972	024	.976	.066	1.069	
foreign policy	(.112)		(.079)		(.108)		
Negative views on US	.246***	1.279	.155**	1.167	.227*	1.255	
culture	(.061)		(.057)		(.109)		
Constant	-4.207**	.015	-3.149*	.043	-7.054	.001	
	(1.526)		(1.287)		(1.594)		
Ν	735		410		440		
Cox/Snell	.094		.105		.060		
Nagelkerke	.203		.165		.117		
Chi square	72.462***		45.303***		27.441**		

# Table A5 - Binomial Regression Analysis of Support for Attacks on US civiliansworking for US companies in the Islamic world

	Egypt		Pakistan		Indonesia	
	B	Exp	В	Exp	В	Exp
	(S.E.)	(B)	(S.E.)	(B)	(S.E.)	(B)
Rural	268	.765	541	.582	018	.982
	(.273)		(.325)		(.408)	
Women	384	.681	984*	.374	.053	1.054
	(.280)		(.401)		(.363)	
Single	180	.836	457	.633	.179	1.196
	(.379)		(.486)		(.582)	
Under 30	069	.934	.018	1.019	.054	1.056
	(.390)		(.430)		(.486)	
Incomplete School	213	.808	1.020*	2.774	.683	1.979
	(.312)		(.447)		(.435)	
Below average income	.027	1.027	086	.918	086	.918
	(.282)		(.315)		(.705)	
Five Daily Prayer	-1.180**	.307	1.474**	4.368	.324	1.383
	(.376)		(.434)		(.442)	
No confidence in	.181***	1.198	.046	1.047	.148	1.160
national institutions	(.050)		(.069)		(.097)	
US opposes Middle East	1.440***	4.222	005	.995	1.153**	3.168
democracy in general	(.315)		(.337)		(.400)	
Negative views on US	102	.903	.048	1.049	.067	1.070
foreign policy	(.104)		(.095)		(.127)	
Negative views on US	.276***	1.318	.118	1.125	.192	1.212
culture	(.062)		(.065)		(.125)	
Constant	-4.484**	.011	-5.163**	.006	-7.227***	.001
	(1.444)		(1.550)		(1.769)	
Ν	734		402		437	
Cox/Snell	.123		.089		.065	
Nagelkerke	.255		.152		.145	
Chi square	96.581***		37.522***		29.320**	

# Table A6 - Binomial Regression Analysis of Support forAttacks on civilians in Europe

	Egypt		Pakistan		Indonesia	
	B	Exp	В	Exp	В	Exp
	(S.E.)	(B)	(S.E.)	(B)	(S.E.)	(B)
Rural	200	.819	.213	1.237	.095	1.099
	(.291)		(.224)		(.243)	
Women	075	.928	935***	.392	.128	1.137
	(.292)		(.253)		(.216)	
Single	298	.742	.607	1.835	031	.969
	(.382)		(.317)		(.338)	
Under 30	.002	1.002	446	.640	173	.841
	(.394)		(.294)		(.279)	
Incomplete School	.118	1.125	.167	1.182	.159	1.173
	(.327)		(.253)		(.234)	
Below average income	.135	1.145	341	.711	310	.733
	(.294)		(.221)		(.445)	
Five Daily Prayer	.114	1.120	.753**	2.124	254	.776
	(.570)		(.238)		(.245)	
No confidence in	.041	1.042	001	.999	.285***	1.330
national institutions	(.056)		(.048)		(.060)	
US opposes Middle East	.876**	2.401	.283	1.328	.159	1.173
democracy in general	(.329)		(.235)		(.237)	
Negative views on US	.174	1.190	.056	1.058	.273***	1.314
foreign policy	(.102)		(.065)		(.072)	
Negative views on US	.098	1.103	083*	.920	.155*	1.167
culture	(.052)		(.039)		(.071)	
Constant	855	.425	402	.669	-6.297***	.002
	(1.445)		(1.006)		(1.105)	
Ν	731		416		436	
Cox/Snell	.028		.094		.144	
Nagelkerke	.066		.126		.195	
Chi square	20.453*		41.037***		67.829***	

# Table A7 - Binomial Regression Analysis of Support forAttacks on US troops in Afghanistan

	Egypt		Pakistan		Indonesia	
	В	Exp	В	Exp	В	Exp
	(S.E.)	(B)	(S.E.)	(B)	(S.E.)	(B)
Rural	.070	1.073	.346	1.414	.080	1.083
	(.233)		(.236)		(.245)	
Women	.142	1.152	804**	.448	.070	1.073
	(.239)		(.269)		(.219)	
Single	385	.680	.598	1.818	139	.870
	(.305)		(.331)		(.344)	
Under 30	.230	1.259	164	.849	125	.883
	(.315)		(.304)		(.279)	
Incomplete School	.209	1.232	.235	1.265	.073	1.075
	(.266)		(.261)		(.236)	
Below average income	.144	1.155	460*	.631	036	.965
	(.237)		(.231)		(.447)	
Five Daily Prayer	.028	1.028	.810**	2.247	.019	1.019
	(.479)		(.251)		(.251)	
No confidence in	.083	1.086	.009	1.009	.292***	1.339
national institutions	(.046)		(.052)		(.061)	
US opposes Middle East	1.124***	3.078	.097	1.102	064	.938
democracy in general	(.272)		(.250)		(.243)	
Negative views on US	.126	1.134	.010	1.010	.317***	1.373
foreign policy	(.087)		(.069)		(.074)	
Negative views on US	.107*	1.113	070	.933	.170*	1.185
culture	(.042)		(.040)		(.072)	
Constant	-1.564	.209	308	.735	-7.131***	.001
	(1.225)		(1.059)		(1.147)	
Ν	729		376		422	
Cox/Snell	.060		.088		.152	
Nagelkerke	.112		.117		.204	
Chi square	45.278***		34.515***		69.403***	

 Table A8 - Binomial Regression Analysis of Support for Attacks on US troops in the

 Persian Gulf

	Egypt		Pakistan		Indonesia	
	В	Exp	В	Exp	В	Exp
	(S.E.)	(B)	(S.E.)	(B)	(S.E.)	(B)
Rural	251	.778	.066	1.069	266	.766
	(.249)		(.244)		(.324)	
Women	177	.838	276	.759	.040	1.040
	(.253)		(.257)		(.294)	
Single	011	.989	.402	1.495	864	.421
	(.336)		(.336)		(.520)	
Under 30	.013	1.013	370	.691	.526	1.691
	(.345)		(.312)		(.349)	
Incomplete School	220	.803	.216	1.242	.944*	2.569
	(.289)		(.312)		(.369)	
Below average income	005	.995	.312	1.366	.405	1.499
	(.257)		(.259)		(.662)	
Five Daily Prayer	-1.200**	.301	1.424***	4.154	.922*	2.513
	(.354)		(.327)		(.398)	
No confidence in	.696**	2.005	013	.987	1.438***	4.213
national government	(.254)		(.235)		(.302)	
US opposes Middle East	1.408***	4.088	.019	1.020	1.135***	3.110
democracy in general	(.271)		(.260)		(.303)	
US helps Israel expand	.459	1.582	.063	1.065	.609	1.838
its borders	(.550)		(.277)		(.351)	
Negative views on US	1.266***	3.547	1.175***	3.238	.478	1.614
freedom of expression	(.284)		(.270)		(.422)	
Constant	-3.282***	.038	-4.063***	.017	-6.149***	.002
	(.734)		(.564)		(.936)	
Ν	907		729		705	
Cox/Snell	.100		.070		.095	
Nagelkerke	.214		.133		.214	
Chi square	95.325***		53.161***		70.666***	

# Table A9 - Binomial Regression Analysis of Support for Attacks on Civilians in theUnited States (including DKs on Israel, national government, US freedom of expression,US democracy promotion)

	Egypt		Pakistan		Indonesia	
	В	Exp	В	Exp	В	Exp
	(S.E.)	(B)	(S.E.)	(B)	(S.E.)	(B)
Rural	243	.784	.055	1.057	258	.773
	(.250)		(.244)		(.324)	
Women	157	.855	338	.713	003	.997
	(.253)		(.262)		(.292)	
Single	005	.995	.396	1.487	785	.456
	(337)		(.336)		(.514)	
Under 30	.014	1.014	365	.694	.469	1.599
	(.346)		(.312)		(.346)	
Incomplete School	203	.816	.213	1.237	.924*	2.519
	(.289)		(.312)		(.367)	
Below average income	016	.984	.274	1.316	.367	1.443
	(.258)		(.257)		(.662)	
Five Daily Prayer	-1.216**	.297	1.433***	4.191	.906*	2.474
	(.354)		(.328)		(.397)	
No confidence in	.686**	1.985	009	.992	1.441***	4.224
national government	(.255)		(.235)		(.301)	
US opposes Middle East	1.419***	4.135	.034	1.035	1.197***	3.309
democracy in general	(.271)		(.262)		(.302)	
US seeks to control	1.050	2.858	178	.837	.161	1.175
Middle Eastern oil	(.749)		(.301)		(.417)	
Negative views on US	1.272***	3.567	1.184***	3.267	.593	1.809
freedom of expression	(.284)		(.269)		(.418)	
Constant	-3.866***	.021	-3.845***	.021	-5.879***	.003
	(.899)		(.585)		(.964)	
Ν	907		729		705	
Cox/Snell	.102		.071		.091	
Nagelkerke	.218		.134		.205	
Chi square	97.149***		53.455***		67.611***	

## Table A10 - Binomial Regression Analysis of Support for Attacks on Civilians in the United States (including DKs on oil, national government, US freedom of expression, US democracy promotion)

	Egypt		Pakistan		Indonesia	
	В	Exp	В	Exp	В	Exp
	(S.E.)	(B)	(S.E.)	(B)	(S.E.)	(B)
Rural	238	.788	.078	1.081	286	.752
	(.249)		(.244)		(.324)	
Women	180	.836	200	.818	.015	1.015
	(.253)		(.255)		(.293)	
Single	020	.980	.399	1.490	792	.453
	(.336)		(.337)		(.517)	
Under 30	.015	1.015	364	.695	.489	1.631
	(.346)		(.312)		(.348)	
Incomplete School	230	.795	.196	1.217	.939*	2.558
	(.288)		(.312)		(.367)	
Below average income	.005	1.005	.369	1.447	.238	1.268
	(.257)		(.258)		(.669)	
Five Daily Prayer	-1.233***	.291	1.424***	4.155	.962*	2.616
	(.353)		(.328)		(.400)	
No confidence in	.695**	2.004	012	.988	1.446***	4.244
national government	(.254)		(.236)		(.301)	
US opposes Middle East	1.423***	4.150	012	.988	1.158***	3.183
democracy in general	(.271)		(.261)		(.302)	
US weakens/divides	.142	1.153	.619	1.857	.642	1.899
Islamic world	(.516)		(.411)		(.438)	
Negative views on US	1.268***	3.552	1.204***	3.335	.547	1.727
freedom of expression	(.284)		(.271)		(.419)	
Constant	-2.974***	.051	-4.631***	.010	-6.171***	.002
	(.696)		(.669)		(.953)	
Ν	907		729		705	
Cox/Snell	.099		.073		.094	
Nagelkerke	.213		.139		.212	
Chi square	94.633***		55.628***		69.851***	

## Table A11 - Binomial Regression Analysis of Support for Attacks on Civilians in the United States (including DKs on US policies toward Islamic world, national government, US freedom of expression, US democracy promotion)

	Egypt		Pakistan		Indonesia	
	В	Exp	В	Exp	В	Exp
	(S.E.)	(B)	(S.E.)	(B)	(S.E.)	(B)
Rural	235	.791	250	.779	405	.667
	.243		.207		.295	
Women	123	.884	233	.792	024	.977
	.248		.222		.262	
Single	.036	1.037	.122	1.129	506	.603
	.324		.308		.488	
Under 30	089	.915	030	.971	.530	1.698
	.334		.332		.340	
Incomplete School	397	.672	.256	1.292	.608§	1.837
	.286		.303		.361	
Below average income	.028	1.028	.081	1.085	.491	1.634
	.250		.250		.661	
Five Daily Prayer	954**	.385	1.020**	2.772	.661§	1.936
	.345		.336		.349	
US opposes ME democracy	1.410***	4.096	.103	1.109	.828**	2.288
	.277		.271		.280	
No confidence national institutions	.184***	1.202	.054	1.055	.221**	1.247
	.043		.047		.072	
Negative views US foreign policies	077	.925	.121	1.129	.014	1.014
	.091		.072		.090	
Negative views US culture	.236***	1.266	.197***	1.218	.197*	1.217
	.056		.048		.083	
Constant	-4.762***		-6.496***	.002	-7.828***	.000
	1.283		1.155		1.508	
Ν	1041		1131		968	
Cox/Snell	.098		.051		.060	
Nagelkerke	.218		.098		.141	
Chi square	107.976		59.146		60.425	

# Table A12 - Imputed Dataset - Binomial Regression Analysis ofSupport of Attacks on Civilians in the United States

	Egypt		Pakistan		Indonesia	
	В	Exp	В	Exp	В	Exp
	(S.E.)	(B)	(S.E.)	(B)	(S.E.)	(B)
Rural	059	.943	.106	1.112	.497**	1.644
	.223		.163		.157	
Women	.007	1.007	629**	.533	030	.970
	.225		.182		.159	
Single	.051	1.052	.122	1.130	429	.651
	.285		.249		.264	
Under 30	114	.893	171	.843	.071	1.073
	.283		.188		.190	
Incomplete School	001	.999	.252	1.286	.130	1.138
	.234		.191		.176	
Below average income	.177	1.194	160	.852	253	.776
	.222		.172		.309	
Five Daily Prayer	.451	1.569	.332*	1.394	.201	1.223
	.381		.165		.179	
US opposes ME democracy	.572*	1.772	.309§	1.363	.278§	1.321
	.258		.176		.158	
No confidence national institutions	.078§	1.081	.012	1.012	.218***	1.244
	.045		.030		.049	
Negative views US foreign policies	.299**	1.349	.279***	1.322	.273***	1.314
	.086		.052		.060	
Negative views US culture	.039	1.040	.018	1.019	.060	1.062
	.046		.030		.043	
Constant	-2.835*	.059	-4.147***	.016	-5.450**	.004
	1.217		.665		.822	
Ν	1041		1131		968	
Cox/Snell	.038		.088		.111	
Nagelkerke	.075		.124		.151	
Chi square	40.614		104.830		114.009	
Percent Correct	88.8		69.0		59.5	

# Table A13 - Imputed Dataset - Binomial Regression Analysis ofSupport of Attacks on US troops in Iraq

	Egypt		Pakistan		Indonesia	
	В	Exp	В	Exp	В	Exp
	(S.E.)	(B)	(S.E.)	(B)	(S.E.)	(B)
Rural	217	.805	386	.680	063	.939
	(.253)		(.293)		(.360)	
Women	118	.889	394	.674	.259	1.295
	(.255)		(.337)		(.325)	
Single	083	.921	018	.982	520	.595
	(.345)		(.417)		(.575)	
Under 30	.113	1.119	127	.881	.070	1.072
	(.354)		(.384)		(.408)	
Incomplete School	204	.816	.168	1.183	.715§	2.045
_	(.288)		(.345)		(.389)	
Below average income	.101	1.106	.047	1.049	.401	1.493
2	(.260)		(.289)		(.704)	
Five Daily Prayer	497**	.609	.458§	1.580	032	.969
	(.155)		(.235)		(.237)	
No confidence in	.297*	1.346	002	.998	.618**	1.855
national institutions	(.122)		(.138)		(.221)	
US opposes Middle East	1.355***	3.875	031	.969	.620§	1.859
democracy in general	(.284)		(.308)		(.352)	
Negative views on US	056	.945	.095	1.099	048	.953
foreign policy	(.100)		(.089)		(.110)	
Negative views on US	.225***	1.252	.159**	1.172	.319**	1.376
culture	(.055)		(.060)		(.115)	
Constant	-2.178	.113	-6.633**	.001	-7.154***	.001
	(1.588)		(1.923)		(2.055)	
Ν	743		423		438	
Cox/Snell	.098		.042		.078	
Nagelkerke	.194		.073		.155	
Chi square	76.648***		18.283§		35.640***	

# Table A14 - Binomial Regression Analysis of Support forAttacks on Civilians in the United States (original prayer)

	Egypt		Pakistan		Indonesia	
	В	Exp	В	Exp	В	Exp
	(S.E.)	(B)	(S.E.)	(B)	(S.E.)	(B)
Rural	232	.793	413	.662	051	.951
	(.254)		(.297)		(.358)	
Women	187	.830	464	.629	.195	1.215
	(.261)		(.341)		(.326)	
Single	259	.772	396	.673	716	.489
	(.322)		(.376)		(.560)	
Under 30	019	.981	019	.981	020	.980
	(.013)		(.013)		(.017)	
Incomplete School	025	.975	119	.888	269§	.764
	(.100)		(.105)		(.155)	
Below average income	.041	1.042	.157	1.170	.150	1.161
	(.154)		(.158)		(.246)	
Five Daily Prayer	500**	.607	.488*	1.629	.016	1.016
	(.155)		(.236)		(.235)	
No confidence in	.269*	1.308	.041	1.042	.558*	1.747
national government	(.123)		(.139)		(.219)	
US opposes Middle East	1.378***	3.968	004	.996	.643§	1.902
democracy in general	(.286)		(.309)		(.351)	
Negative views on US	053	.948	.093	1.098	029	.971
foreign policy	(.100)		(.090)		(.108)	
Negative views on US	.234***	1.263	.157**	1.170	.315**	1.370
culture	(.055)		(.060)		(.115)	
Constant	-1.391	.249	-6.034**	.002	-5.201**	.006
	(1.840)		(1.893)		(1.908)	
Ν	743		423		438	
Cox/Snell	.100		.050		.077	
Nagelkerke	.198		.086		.152	
Chi square	78.410***		21.641*		34.975***	

# Table A15 - Binomial Regression Analysis of Support forAttacks on Civilians in the United States (all original variables)

Observed		Predicted No	Yes	Percentage Correct
Approve attacks	No	647	4	99.4
	Yes	77	7	8.3 89.0

## Table A16a - Classification Table Egypt - Support for attacks on US civilians

Area under the Curve

Area	Std. Error	Asymptotic Significance	Interval Lower Bound	Upper Bound
.784	.026	.000	.733	.834

The area under the curve is significantly different from 0.5 since the p-value is .000. This means that the logistic regression classifies the group significantly better than by chance.

## Table A16b - Classification Table Egypt - Support for attacks on US military

Observed		Predicted No	Yes	Percentage Correct
Approve attacks	No	0	61	0.0
	Yes	1	670	99.9
				91.5

Area under the Curve

Area	Std. Error	Asymptotic Significance	Interval Lower Bound	Upper Bound
.673	.036	.000	.601	.744

The area under the curve is significantly different from 0.5 since the p-value is .000. This means that the logistic regression classifies the group significantly better than by chance.

Observed		Predicted No	Yes	Percentage Correct
Approve attacks	No	354	0	100.0
	Yes	66	0	0
				84.3

## Table A17a - Classification Table Pakistan - Support for attacks on US civilians

Area under the Curve

Area	Std. Error	Asymptotic Significance	Interval Lower Bound	Upper Bound
.703	.035	.000	.633	.772

The area under the curve is significantly different from 0.5 since the p-value is .000. This means that the logistic regression classifies the group significantly better than by chance.

## Table A17b - Classification Table Pakistan - Support for attacks on US military

Observed		Predicted No	Yes	Percentage Correct
Approve attacks	No	217	31	87.5
	Yes	105	63	37.5
				67.3

Area under the Curve

Area	Std. Error	Asymptotic Significance	Interval Lower Bound	Upper Bound
.666	.028	.000	.612	.720

The area under the curve is significantly different from 0.5 since the p-value is .000. This means that the logistic regression classifies the group significantly better than by chance.

Observed		Predicted No	Yes	Percentage Correct
Approve attacks	No	385	1	99.7
	Yes	49	0	0.0
				88.5

## Table A18a - Classification Table Indonesia - Support for attacks on US civilians

Area under the Curve

Area	Std. Error	Asymptotic Significance	Interval Lower Bound	Upper Bound
.736	.038	.000	.662	.811

The area under the curve is significantly different from 0.5 since the p-value is .000. This means that the logistic regression classifies the group significantly better than by chance.

## Table A18b - Classification Table Indonesia - Support for attacks on US military

Observed		Predicted No	Yes	Percentage Correct
Approve attacks	No	196	51	79.4
	Yes	95	95	50.0
				66.6

Area under the Curve

Area	Std. Error	Asymptotic Significance	Interval Lower Bound	Upper Bound
.693	.025	.000	.644	.743

The area under the curve is significantly different from 0.5 since the p-value is .000. This means that the logistic regression classifies the group significantly better than by chance.