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Abstract—In nuclear science, density functional theory (DFT) is a powerful tool to model the complex interactions within the atomic nucleus, and is the primary theoretical approach used by physicists seeking a better understanding of fission. However DFT simulations result in complex multivariate datasets in which it is difficult to locate the crucial ‘scission’ point at which one nucleus fragments into two, and to identify the precursors to scission. The Joint Contour Net (JCN) has recently been proposed as a new data structure for the topological analysis of multivariate scalar fields, analogous to the contour tree for univariate fields. This paper reports the analysis of DFT simulations using the JCN, the first application of the JCN technique to real data. It makes three contributions to visualization: (i) a set of practical methods for visualizing the JCN, (ii) new insight into the detection of nuclear scission, and (iii) an analysis of aesthetic criteria to drive further work on representing the JCN.

Index Terms—Topology, scalar fields, multifields.

1 INTRODUCTION

Problems in science, engineering and medicine rarely involve just one property of a system. Simulations of combustion, turbulence, seismic movements, meteorology, astrophysics, and molecular physics all compute multiple properties simultaneously, such as temperature, pressure, velocity, vorticity, shear, combustion rate, and so on. To date, scientific visualization for such data has focused on techniques for representing individual properties. Visual exploration of multiple properties requires careful use of methods such as probing, glyphing, or multidimensional transfer functions. All of these approaches are ad hoc, relying on careful study and exploration to piece together a global understanding of the relationships from local, fragmented, models.

In physics, this problem is well illustrated by many-body systems such as molecules, atoms or nuclei where the system as a whole is the product of complex interactions among many constituents, the properties of which may not be easily isolated. In this paper, we focus on nuclear fission, the process by which an atomic nucleus splits into two (or more) fragments. Although it was discovered more than 70 years ago, physicists are still working on a comprehensive description of this complex phenomenon. One goal is to replace current phenomenological models with a predictive model grounded in the theory of the strong interaction. This would yield deeper insight into the formation of elements in the universe, and also help address pressing societal questions related to energy production or stockpile stewardship [27].

A particularly challenging aspect within the theory of fission is the ability to identify accurately, in a continuous $N$—dimensional manifold, the points where the original nucleus ceases to be whole, and where it is justified to introduce two separate density distributions corresponding to the fission fragments. This identification is conventionally done manually and relies on the physicists’ intuition rather than clear mathematical arguments. By nature, however, this problem is an excellent candidate for multifield analysis.

Recent work [8] sets out a clear mathematical basis for multifield analysis: the Joint Contour Net (JCN). JCNs generalise the Contour Tree (and Reeb Graph) from one to an arbitrary number of scalar properties. Underlying this approach is a key assumption: that, by visualizing the JCN it will be possible to gain global insight into the relationship between the fields, and/or to identify important changes in the topological structure of the full system in terms of features in the JCN. This has been demonstrated on small synthetic datasets, but not yet on real data linked to a specific scientific problem.

This paper makes two principal contributions:

1. We apply graph visualization tools to the JCN to analyse simulation data from nuclear physics, leading to new insight into the nuclear fission process, and a new general method for the future.
2. We demonstrate the utility of the JCN to real data, and explore the relationship between JCN analysis of multifields and contour tree analysis of single scalar fields.

The remainder of the paper is structured as follows. Section 2 describes nuclear density functional theory and its treatment of nuclear fission, introduces some useful vocabulary, and concludes with the key domain question addressed in this paper, namely finding the scission point in simulations of nuclear fission. These datasets are multivariate, and Sections 3 through 5 set out relevant formalisms and prior work including the JCN. Our visualization tools are described in Section 6: these include implementation of the JCN, and methods for drawing it. The subsequent two sections, 7 and 8, present our analyses of two substantial datasets. The first study, a simulation of fermium fission, serves to calibrate our approach. The second dataset, modeling fission in the plutonium nucleus, is more challenging, and our analysis contributes new insight into physicists’ understanding of this system. Section 9 then reviews the contributions, and considers future research in this area.

2 NUCLEAR FISSION IN DENSITY FUNCTIONAL THEORY

Early models of fission were based on an empirical liquid-drop picture of the nucleus: fission occurs when one "stretches" the drop up to the point where it breaks in two [4]. Modern approaches aim at deriving an understanding of the fission process from the nucleon-nucleon interactions that make atomic nuclei possible. In this context, the major theoretical approach is nuclear density functional theory (DFT) [2]. Its central assumption is that the complex interactions of protons and neutrons within the nucleus can be hierarchized. In first approximation, everything happens as if all nucleons were moving independently of one another in some average quantum potential, the nuclear mean-field. Spontaneous symmetry breaking is invoked to deform the mean-field, introducing a first class of correlations. Beyond this first order approximation, corrections are required to account for quantum fluctuations but the mean-field approximation alone is surprisingly successful: it typically accounts for 99.9% of the atomic mass of elements [19, 20]. The DFT approach has three major advantages over other approaches: (i) it provides a simple yet rigorous framework based only on an interaction between nucleons, (ii) it only depends on a handful of free parameters, and (iii) it is the only computationally tractable approach of the structure of heavy nuclei.

Because fission involves 'stretching' the nucleus, the DFT treatment of the problem begins with identifying the relevant deformation degrees of freedom $q$ of the mean-field. A realistic description of fission involves at least $N \geq 4$ degrees of freedom such as elongation, triaxiality, mass asymmetry, degree of necking, etc. [30, 34]. The list of degrees of freedom defines what is called the "collective space". The next task is to take a (not necessarily uniform) sample grid of this $N$-dimensional collective space and compute the total energy $E$ at each point of this space. As the dimensionality $N$ of the collective space increases, the number of points may quickly become very large: high-performance computing is needed. The scalar field $E(q_1, \ldots, q_N)$ defines the "potential energy surface" (PES). At each point on the PES, the nucleus is characterized by properties such as the spatial density of protons and neutrons (scalar field $R^3 \rightarrow R$), the density of spin of each type of particle (vector fields $R^3 \rightarrow R^3$), etc. A given set of such properties is nothing but a particular realization of a multifield.

The PES themselves are the cornerstone of the microscopic theory of fission. They have some topology with a minimum at small deformations, the "ground-state" of the nucleus, together with secondary minima, ridges and valleys. Starting from the ground-state and following a path of least energy on the PES, we may observe at some point a discontinuity with a sharp drop of the energy: this defines the scission point, the precise moment where the nucleus fragments and can not be considered as whole any longer. To know precisely where the split occurs is essential in practical applications: it is what will define the properties of the fission fragments, such as their charge, mass, kinetic energy and excitation energy; all quantities that can be measured experimentally and test the predictive power of the model.

In many DFT simulations, the PES contains discontinuities and the identification of scission is therefore straightforward. Even then, serious conceptual difficulties arise because the properties of the fission fragments can change totally across the scission point precisely because of the discontinuity. To avoid such inconsistencies, it is possible to remove all discontinuities by enlarging the scission point. These datasets are multivariate, and Sections 3 through 5 set out relevant formalisms and prior work including the JCN. Our visualization tools are described in Section 6: these include implementation of the JCN, and methods for drawing it. The subsequent two sections, 7 and 8, present our analyses of two substantial datasets. The first study, a simulation of fermium fission, serves to calibrate our approach. The second dataset, modeling fission in the plutonium nucleus, is more challenging, and our analysis contributes new insight into physicists’ understanding of this system. Section 9 then reviews the contributions, and considers future research in this area.

2 SCALAR TOPOLOGICAL ANALYSIS

In recent years, topological analysis has increasingly been applied to the analysis, visualization and comprehension of scientific data sets [6]. Two complementary approaches have been developed - contour-based analysis [10] and gradient-based analysis [14]. Of these, contour-based analysis detects objects and their relationships, while gradient-based analysis also detects regions of common behaviour. At the same time, contour-based analysis is computationally cheaper and simpler than gradient-based analysis: we therefore start with scalar topological analysis using the contour tree.

![Fig. 2. A small multifield example. In the upper row, the first scalar field, with contours, slabs and contour tree. In the lower, the second scalar field, with contours, slabs and contour tree.](image-url)
3.1 Contour Trees

Given a scalar field \( f : M \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) over a manifold domain \( M \), a level set \( f^{-1}(h) \) is the pre-image of a given isovalue \( h \), and a contour is a single connected component of a level set. We note that each contour is (on standard assumptions) of one dimension lower than the original data set, because we have restricted it with respect to one variable.

Contracting each contour to a single point results in a graph called the Reeb graph [23]. If \( M \) is homeomorphic to a disk, this is the contour tree [5]: an example of a contour tree is shown in Figure 2. In this figure, a small triangulated scalar field is shown with some contours (on the left), and a heat map to its right. Note that the contour tree captures the relationship between the maxima in red, the minima in blue, and the saddle point in the centre. All extrema are represented as leaf nodes and saddle points as interior nodes: all other points map to points on edges of the tree. Moreover, regions bounded by contours map to subsets of the tree, and branches of the tree therefore represent regions of the data.

The contour tree can be computed in \( O(N\log N) \) time for triangulated scalar fields [9], and has been used for feature detection [10], volume rendering [33], and contour extraction [10].

To compute contour trees and other topological abstractions, the first step is to reduce the input data to a combinatorial form, commonly a graph, for efficient algorithmic processing. This can be done through simplifying assumptions, which have the side effect of making computations more complex. In particular, if \( f \) is defined by piecewise linear interpolation on a triangulated (simplicial) mesh [1] with no two vertex isovalue identical [15], computation can be performed on the graph defined by the edges of the input mesh. Alternately, graphs can be defined directly from digital image connectivity rules [21]. More recently, Forman’s Discrete Morse Theory [16] replaced gradient computation with a rigorous combinatorial approximation, allowing efficient approximation of the Morse-Smale Complex.

We will see in section 5 how to reduce multivariate data to a graph approximation called the contour net, but first review what multivariate data is and how it is visualized.

4 Multifield Visualization

Compared to a scalar field, a multifield can be thought of as a collection of scalar fields with a shared domain or as a generalisation of a scalar field to a multi-dimensional range: \( f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m \). And, while \( \mathbb{R}^n \) is usually taken to be Euclidean space, both \( \mathbb{R}^n \) and \( \mathbb{R}^m \) may in general be continuous parameter spaces. For example, a record of temperature, pressure, and humidity over the surface of the Earth defines a function \( f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \), while a record of heat and gaseous concentration in a fluid defines a function \( f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2 \). We will consider each of the samples in the data domain individually to be scalar functions, i.e. we do not address the case where observations explicitly include vector or tensor components.

We can construct a small running example by combining the scalar field from Figure 2 with a second scalar field on the same domain in Figure 2. If we combine the two to construct a function \( f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2 \), we instantly run into the major problem with multifield visualization: how to construct separate visual encodings for each field. Figure 3 illustrates this problem, with a heat map based on the sum of the two fields. Broadly speaking, multifield visualization is in its infancy, with methods that either reduce the multifield to a scalar field or map each element of the multifield to different visual channels.

5 Multifield Topological Analysis

As we have seen, successful tools have been developed for scalar topological analysis. It has been an open question how to extend these tools to multifields, either by treating the properties as separate scalar fields, or by analysing the entire multifield at once. Moreover, recent work [11] has demonstrated that, for many purposes, quantized contours are a more appropriate form of analysis for the sampled and meshed data typical of scientific and engineering simulations. We therefore consider these three sets of research before proceeding.

Multiple Scalar Analysis: One approach has been to analyse each scalar field separately (e.g. in contour trees), then overlap the corresponding features to determine which features are simultaneously represented in two fields [25]. Extending this to more than two fields, however, results in defining a graph of relationships between features in the scalar fields, then searching for cliques representing large overlapping regions [24]. However, this approach only identifies features that are independently visible in each property.

Jacobi Sets: A second approach has been to generalise scalar topology to higher dimensions. A first step here was the introduction of Jacobi sets [12], which analyse the behaviour of critical points of one property on contours of another, but do not necessarily divide the domain of the function into regions of full dimension that identify features in the data. More recently, Reeb graphs were extended to Reeb spaces [13] for multifields, but efficient practical algorithms have been lacking, in part due to the complexity of the Reeb spaces.

Joint Contour Nets: Joint Contour Nets (JCN)s [7, 8] approximate the Reeb space for sampled multivariate data. As with scalar topology, the first step is to reduce the data to a graph - in this case, based on quantization of the input data. Based on recent work on the relationship between histograms, sampling, isosurfaces and quantization [11], the Joint Contour Net is constructed in three stages. In the first, each cell of the mesh is explicitly subdivided along contours (isosurfaces) of the individual variables to decompose the domain into slabs of constant (quantized) values in each cell. In the second, the adjacency graph of the slabs is extracted: i.e. the graph representation of isovalued regions. Finally, adjacent slabs (in neighbouring cells) with identical isovalue are collapsed to compute the Joint Contour Net [8]. This representation then encapsulates the topological structure of the multifield at the chosen level of quantization. Moreover, varying the coarseness of quantization can be used to simplify the Joint Contour Net on demand, and the contour tree turns out to be a special case.

We illustrate this in Figure 2 and Figure 3. In the simple case (a contour tree), we divide the scalar fields in Figure 2 along the contour boundaries shown to obtain a set of slabs, then extract the adjacency graph and collapse it as shown in the right half of the figure to obtain the contour trees of the individual scalar fields. If we wish to compute the Joint Contour Net for both variables, we simply intersect the slabs as shown in Figure 3 and obtain the Joint Contour Net shown therein.

Given that the Joint Contour Net computation is explicitly based on quantizing the isovalue in the range of the function, we can vary the level of quantization as a crude method of simplifying the data and/or reducing the computational cost. For example, in Figure 2, the contour tree in the upper row can be computed correctly with slabs of size 2 rather than 1, while the contour tree in the lower row can be computed correctly with slabs of size 8. Similarly, in Figure 3, the key features of the Joint Contour Net show up with slabs of size 2.
6 IMPLEMENTATION

In the previous sections, we identified that nuclear fission naturally gives rise to multi-variate data sets which lend themselves to topological analysis, and that the Joint Contour Net can potentially be applied. We therefore constructed an experimental visualization system to test this hypothesis, with two principal components - computation of the JCN and custom rendering using the Visualization Toolkit (VTK) [26].

6.1 Visualization Framework

Our visualization pipeline was implemented in version 5.8 of the Visualization Toolkit (VTK) [26], taking advantage of VTK’s integrated support for both scientific and information visualization techniques, and adding a small number of custom filters:

- A filter that extracts the JCN with the algorithm described in [7, 8]. This takes a simplicial mesh as input, and produces two outputs, (i) a graph dataset encoding the topological structure of the network, and (ii) a set of 3D polyhedra (or 2D polygons) stored as an unstructured grid, representing the slabs.
- A filter for converting the unstructured polyhedral cells into polygonal data that can be rendered.
- A filter for generating viewpoint-aligned (“billboard”) glyphs that show, pointwise, the value of each component of a multi-scalar field. This filter is used in displaying the JCN, and is discussed in detail later in this section.

The JCN filter used in this paper was implemented as a testbed for multifield topology, and has not been optimized for performance. For example, it explicitly generates all of the slabs. While this capability was useful in the work reported here in relating the topological abstractions to the underlying physics, it is a significant performance bottleneck that will be addressed in subsequent work.

6.2 Drawing Joint Contour Nets

Trees and other networks from topological analysis are non-trivial for graph drawing. The (apparently) simple case of the contour tree is complicated as (a) the structure is an unrooted tree, and (b) in drawing the tree, there are often conflicting aesthetics – e.g. vertical positioning in 2D of nodes according to the isovalue of the corresponding contours, and horizontal positioning to reflect the branch hierarchy.

Topological structure can be shown by (i) positioning nodes in the underlying manifold, or (ii) positioning the structure in a separate space (usually $R^2$ or $R^3$), as shown by Pascucci et al. [22], whose layout for contour trees in $R^3$ was inspired by orrerries. Layout in $R^3$ is more difficult: Heine et al. [18] report an algorithm that uses heuristic search to reduce penalties arising from conflicting layout criteria. Neither approach applies to the JCN, as both rely on properties of trees.

Absent a layout technique specific to JCNs, we have identified three generic methods that provide complementary insights into their structure. Given a multifield function $f : R^n \to R^m$,

**Domain-space placement** positions each node at the centre of the corresponding slab in $R^n$: an example of this can be seen in Figure 3. For small $m$, the resulting layout can be visualized directly; for $m > 3$ some form of dimensional scaling will be required. Although simple to compute node positions while building the JCN, in our experience it is difficult to discern features via this layout, and in particular difficult to identify combinatorial events within a sequence of JCNs.

**Range-space placement** positions nodes at the point in $R^n$ defined by the threshold of the corresponding slab. This generalises the contour-tree drawing convention where CT node isovalue is mapped to one axis of the drawing space, and can be seen as a form of scatterplot, where samples in the data domain are connected by edges based on adjacency in the spatial domain. However, where two slabs have the same isovalue, the corresponding nodes will be co-located.

**Force-directed placement**: given the construction of the JCN from adjacent slabs, we expect these networks to have a mesh-like structure. Prior work [17] has shown that force-directed layouts can be effective for such graphs, and these algorithms avoid the problem of co-located vertices. Although there are issues of scalability for larger graphs, for the datasets used in this study force-directed placement was found to be practical. Our graphs are laid out using vtkForceDirectedLayoutStrategy, an implementation within VTK of a spring embedder.

Having placed the nodes, the next challenge is to relate nodes to the $n$-tuple of values for the corresponding slab. Our solution was a multi-variate glyph similar to pie glyphs [32], but adapted for multi-field scalar data. Geometrically, for an $n$-field dataset, each glyph is constructed by subdividing a circle into $n$ segments of equal area, and building a single polygonal approximation to these segments. Each segment then corresponds to a single field value, and is rendered by colour-mapping that value through a standard VTK lookup table.

The remaining difficulty was to relate nodes in the JCN to slabs in visualizations of the spatial domain. For analysis of DFT data, we do not need to make exact matches; our concern rather was to correlate features in the topological structure with regions of the data. As an expedient approach, we used the fact that the surfaces were subject to interpolation shading. For the surface of a slab defined by $(v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n)$, we randomly assign one of the scalar values $(v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n)$ to each vertex, and then pass the resulting single scalar field through a colour map. We make no claims that this is a perceptually good approach for multifield visualization in general, but for the specific task of identifying the scission point, it provided adequate support for relating the topological and spatial displays.

7 FERMIUM DATASET

Using datasets representing known fission pathways in fermium-258 [30], the primary aim of the first study was calibration: whether the visualization tools revealed behaviour known a priori by the physicists to be present, allowing the visualization members of the team to tune visual representation, and simplifying the task of understanding how the structure of the JCN relates to underlying physical phenomena.

Three datasets were provided by the physicists on the team for analysis. Each dataset corresponded to a trajectory in the N-dimensional collective space defined in DFT, see section 2. These were:

- **aEF**: asymmetric elongated fission, where the fermium nucleus elongates asymmetrically, then splits into a large and a small fragment;
- **sCF**: symmetric compact fission, where the fermium nucleus splits fairly abruptly into two approximately equal fragments, and
- **sEF**: symmetric elongated fission, where the fermium nucleus elongates symmetrically.

Each of the trajectories is made of 56 sample points, or sites, corresponding to different values of the collective coordinates $(q_1, \ldots, q_N)$. At each site, three scalar fields on a regular $19 \times 19 \times 19$ grid were generated by the DFT solver. Data for each field was provided in ’raw’ format: 8-bit unsigned integers. The three fields used in this and the subsequent plutonium study are:

- **p**: spatial density of protons in the nucleus, $\rho_p(x, y, z)$;
- **n**: spatial density of neutrons, $\rho_n(x, y, z)$;
- **t**: spatial density of nucleons (protons and neutrons); $\rho_t(x, y, z) = \rho_n(x, y, z) + \rho_p(x, y, z)$

As discussed in section 2, the conventional approach to detecting the scission point relies on looking for evidence of a sudden drop in the energy. In figure 4, such a drop is clearly visible for the aEF trajectory; for sCF, there seems to be a change of slope rather than a genuine discontinuity; finally, for sEF, only a gradual decline in energy is visible, and, from the figure alone, it is not certain if there is any scission point at all. This information was not provided by the physicists prior to application of the JCN.

7.1 Protocol and Interpretation

To identify the scission points in the three datasets, we used scripts to generate MPEG video and/or JPEG image sets at each site along the
trajectories given. Based on experimentation, we adjusted the parameter settings of the vitkForceDirectedLayoutStrategy filter to use 750 iterations per layout, an initial temperature of 12.0, and a cool-down rate of 5. Other parameters were left at their default setting.

Clearly an important question is the choice of slab width used to construct the JCNs. Since the data domain consists of 8-bit samples, we started with a slab width of 32, then reduced the width to 16, 8 and 4. For combinatorial events in the fermium dataset, we found widths 16 and 8 sufficient to identify the combinatorial events; reducing slab width to 4 did not add further insight, and incurred significantly greater running time due to the size of the resulting graphs; as an illustration, for sample point 47 on the aEF trajectory, width 32 results in 877 and 1708, while width 4 has 2553 and 5048 respectively.

Before reporting the results of our analyses, we use the example in Figure 5 to introduce and explain the output produced by our visualization pipeline. We have arbitrarily selected sample points 20 and 38 along the sCF trajectory, at slab widths 16 and 8. The left-hand side of each figure shows the 3D arrangement of the polyhedra defined by the slabs of constant value, exposed by a cutting-plane. The right-hand figure shows the corresponding JCN.

Fig. 6. Interpreting star-like motifs: (left) schematic of slab-edge boundaries, (right) 2D slice through dataset, coloured by slab identifier. Here a & b are the values of the first field defining its slab boundaries, while x & y are slab boundary values for the second field.

Several features stand out in these images: the ‘shell-like’ arrangement of slabs within the 3D geometric representation, and the recurring chains of star-like ‘motifs’ within the JCNs. Interpreting the shell-like structures is straightforward: nucleon densities are highest at the centre of the dataset grid, and fall off towards the edges of the domain, taking their minimum value at the eight corner points. This interpretation is further supported by contour trees generated from the p and n fields individually, which displayed eight ‘strands’. With respect to the star-like motifs, we noted the following:

1. The centre of the star corresponds to two high-degree nodes, most of whose neighbours are the low-degree nodes making up the remainder (‘fringe’) of the star.

2. Scaling glyphs according to slab triangle count shows that star centers correspond to ‘large’ slabs, and fringes to ‘small’ slabs.

While layout filter parameters affect the aesthetic quality of the JCN drawing, it does not significantly alter the star-like features, i.e. these are not artefacts of the layout. Rather, given the topological structure of these subgraphs, the star-like appearance is a consequence of the spring embedder layout, which tends to cluster low-degree peripheral vertices around more central nodes. More importantly, given the shell-like geometric structures resulting from quantisation of the p and n fields into slabs, we hypothesised that the ‘stars’ were the result of interference-like effects: where a p-shell and an n-shell boundary are in close proximity, overlaps between the fields result in small regions where one or both of the field values cross into the next slab interval; this structure is shown in Figure 6 (left). This was confirmed by taking a 2D slice through the data and colouring the field by slab-id. Figure 6 (right) shows small slabs lying at the boundary between larger slabs (the degree-2 fringe nodes; the degree-1 nodes in the JCN ‘fringe’ are slabs that are fully contained within another).

With this understanding of the link between the JCN and underlying data, Figure 5 shows an example of an interesting combinatorial event. The JCN from position 20 is suggestive of one chain of ‘shells’ with embedded fragments; in contrast, the JCN at site 38 has a bifurcated structure. The colour mapping shows that the two ‘ends’ of the bifurcation corresponded to shells within the centre of the dataset, and further inspection of this suggested the formation of two separate inner structures. The first image (site 20) therefore represents a nucleus before scission, and latter image corresponds to a point beyond scission, where the two fragments are clearly separated. Note that, while in this case the separation might have been identified by judicious placement of the cutting plane, in the general case scission may give rise to multiple fragments.

7.2 aEF: asymmetric Elongated Fission

The aEF analysis represents the simplest case in terms of the underlying physics. Figure 7 shows four key JCNs from the corresponding trajectory. They show a clear bifurcation between sites 46 and 47, and a precursor change between sites 44 and 45; site 44 shows a single ‘tail’ whereas site 45 shows branching into two ‘tails’. In site 47, the JCN shows the central ‘spine’ of the topology splitting into two distinct branches, corresponding to two distinct fission fragments. Site 46 corresponds to the last point before the drop in energy in figure 4 and is, therefore, the scission point. This initial calibration step allowed us to determine signatures of scission prefragments and scission shown through the JCN.
Fig. 5. Example JCNs from the sCF dataset, showing variation both in resolution (slab width) and along a trajectory.

Fig. 7. Scission point in the aEF dataset. Starting from site 44, site 45 shows a break in local symmetry, persisting in site 46. Site 47 shows wholesale change, with the central ‘spine’ of the topology split into two branches.

7.3 sCF: symmetric Compact Fission

The sCF dataset was an effective test of the JCN: scission occurs, but identification of the scission point is not straightforward. In the output images, a significant topological shift is visible between site 25 and site 26, as shown in Figure 8. Site 25 from the sCF resembles site 46 in the aEF, but there is no corresponding feature like site 26 in the aEF.

The aEF fission pathway was abrupt, showing an immediate branching into two fragments, whereas the sCF fission pathway is smooth, with site 26 showing the first indications of branching. This was proposed as the scission point, and subsequently confirmed by the physicists to be the start of the fission pathway. Notably, the markers of scission are visible through the JCN on the trajectory before it shows up in the energy plot of Figure 4. As described in section 2, this information could provide a systematic marker for when global and local degrees of freedom should be applied to the model, and will be further investigated in future work.

7.4 sEF: symmetric Elongated Fission

In the sEF, initial analysis of the JCN at slab width 8 failed to identify a definite scission point, although changes in the ‘backbone’ of the structure suggested that fragments were organizing into discernible strands that could be precursors to fission. However, visual inspection at finer granularity (smaller slab width) still failed to identify any clear fission point, and this was reported to the physicists. This was actually the correct conclusion to draw from the data: the physicists had deliberately posed sEF to see if the JCN would return a false positive. In fact, the sEF trajectory provided does not include a scission point, and the ‘failure’ to identify such a point via the JCN added further confidence in the utility of the multifield analysis.

8 Plutonium Dataset

The spontaneous fission of fermium nuclei confirmed the validity of the JCN to identify scission. We now consider plutonium (Pu) fission: abundant in the spent nuclear fuel generated by nuclear reactors, its properties have been the focus of numerous experiments. Physicists’ understanding of its fission process is thus far more detailed than in the more exotic fermium superheavy element. Figure 9 shows the total energy along the trajectory that minimizes the energy in a 4-d collective space (“most probable fission”). The discontinuity signaling the scission point is clearly visible for an elongation \( Q_{20} = 345 \). As mentioned in section 2, while discontinuities in the PES are useful to identify unambiguously the scission point, they prevent the proper characterization of the fission fragments. A solution consists in adding one or several degrees of freedom to the DFT description such that the pre- and post-scission points will be connected through a continuous path. The right panel of figure 9 illustrates this scenario: for the value of the elongation characteristic of scission (as seen in the left panel), we show the variation of the energy as a function of the number of particles \( Q_N \) in the “neck” of the nucleus, i.e., in between the two fragments. In simpler terms, we maintain the spatial extension of the nucleus (its elongation) while squeezing the center so as to reduce the
The right panel of Figure 9 corresponds to a trajectory with 45 sites along the $Q_N$ axis. A sweep through the data at resolution 8 indicated clearly a discrete point where the multifields topology underwent a significant change. Figure 10 shows the two sites $Q_N=0.4$ and $Q_N=0.5$ on either side of the transition. This putative scission point differs somewhat from that expected by the physicists (for example, scission was assumed to have occurred at $Q_N \leq 1.0$ in [34]), but the situation is in fact more complicated. Figure 11 shows three further points along the $Q_N$ trajectory, corresponding to $Q_N = 1.5$, 2.5, and 3.5. Each JCN has a branching structure: as the sequence progresses, the “neck” becomes progressively larger. The event in Figure 11 is thus only the point where the neck completely disappears, leaving the density fields for two fragments enclosed only by the simulation bounds. This marks one end of a scission region, which starts when the bifurcation first appears. Thus, instead of simply identifying one combinatorial event representing scission, the JCN analysis unexpectedly revealed that scission itself is a process occupying a region within the collective space.

The final point addressed is the relative utility of the JCN compared to contour trees of individual fields. Figure 12 shows contour trees for $p$ and $n$ fields and slab resolutions 16 and 8 for the sites at $Q_N = 0.4$ and 0.5 (either side of the end of the scission region). Although taken individually the contour trees are simpler, the different properties of protons and neutrons mean that neither field on its own provides an unambiguous signal that the scission region has ended.

9 Conclusions

We set out with the intention to demonstrate the utility of JCN analysis in multifield data, and to provide visualization support for nuclear physicists in determining scission points in high-dimensional parameter spaces. In the outcome, we succeeded in showing that:

1. The JCN is an effective tool for studying nuclear fission parameter spaces,
2. The JCN gives a more precise answer than hitherto available to the fundamental question of when scission occurs, and in fact shows that scission does not necessarily occur at points of inflection in the energy plots.

3. Moreover, the JCN provides evidence that scission is best viewed as a region rather than a discrete point.

4. While the contour tree also answers this question, the JCN does so more reliably, and at lower levels of quantisation.

5. Star-like structures can be expected to occur in the JCN, but primarily represent aliasing at the boundary of quantization intervals, and can therefore be disregarded.

In future, we intend to explore both the underlying JCN representation and its applications to nuclear physics and other domains. As with previous topological representations, we would like to relax the current requirement of simplicial meshes, to consider improved algorithms for computing the JCN, to simplify it, and to recognize features in it automatically. We also intend to explore the meaning of motifs in the JCN: we suspect, for example, that the star-like artefacts noted in this paper are related in some way to the phenomenon of aliasing. Further research is also likely to improve visualizations of the JCN, and to build new visualization tools based on features detected in it.

With respect to the nuclear physics, a number of directions are also feasible. In particular, since the simulations come from wavefunctions, particles may be localized in both fragments due to many-body quantum entanglement [35]. We believe that it may be possible to apply multifield techniques directly to the wave functions rather than to the total density of nucleons, and thus provide a criterion as to their degree of localization (left, right, everywhere).
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