

promoting access to White Rose research papers



Universities of Leeds, Sheffield and York
<http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/>

This is the author's post-print version of an article published in the **Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General**

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:

<http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/id/eprint/76129>

Published article:

Lombardo, S and Mikhailov, AV (2004) *Reductions of integrable equations: dihedral group*. Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General, 37 (31). 7727 - 7742. ISSN 0305-4470

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/37/31/006>

Reductions of integrable equations. Dihedral group

S Lombardo*, A V Mikhailov**

* Department of Applied Mathematics, University of Leeds, Leeds LS2 9JT, UK

+ L.D.Landau Institute for Theoretical Physics, Chernogolovka, Russia (on leave)

E-mail: sara@maths.leeds.ac.uk, sashamik@maths.leeds.ac.uk

Abstract

We discuss algebraic and analytic structure of rational Lax operators. With algebraic reductions of Lax equations we associate a *reduction group* - a group of twisted automorphisms of the corresponding infinite dimensional Lie algebra. We present a complete study of dihedral reductions for $sl(2, \mathbb{C})$ Lax operators with simple poles and corresponding integrable equations. In the last section we give three examples of dihedral reductions for $sl(N, \mathbb{C})$ Lax operators.

PACS 02.30Ik, 02.30Jr, 02.30Zz

1 Introduction

The *inverse spectral transform method* (IST) for integrating nonlinear partial differential equations (PDEs) is based on the *Lax representation* (see for example [1], [2], [3]). In this setting, the nonlinear equation is equivalent to the compatibility condition of a pair of linear equations, called *Lax pair*. In general, given an integrable nonlinear equation, there is no algorithmic way to find its Lax representation. Some progress in the problem of finding a Lax representation for a given nonlinear equation have been made by the Wahlquist and Estabrook method of pseudo-potentials and prolongation structures [4], [5], [6]. In some cases the Painlevé approach provides both integrability conditions and Lax representation (see for example [7]).

A different approach to this problem consists in starting from a quite general Lax representation which yields to a rather big (but integrable) system of equations. In general, such a system has too many degrees of freedom and is very complicated. However, it can contain smaller subsystems, relevant for the applications: many famous integrable equations (such as the sine-Gordon equation and the Tzetzseica equation) are indeed the result of *reductions* of more general integrable systems. This observation suggests to study and classify possible Lax representations and their reductions.

The first attempt to study algebraic reductions of Lax representations has been made in [8], [9], [10] and later in [11]. The principal observation in the papers [8], [9] was that reductions of integrable equations can be associated with a discrete symmetry group (called *reduction group*) of the corresponding linear problems. The simplest example of such a symmetry is the conjugation for self-adjoint operators.

In this paper, which is meant to be the first of a series, we report new developments of this approach. The paper is organised as follows. In Section 2 we revisit the concept of Lax representation and its reductions. We define a quite general family of Lax operators with rational dependence on the spectral parameter λ , on a simple Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . In Section 3 we study reductions of integrable equations corresponding to rational Lax pairs, with simple poles in l and $\mathfrak{g} = sl(2, \mathbb{C})$. Section 4 concerns with the study of possible reductions a fairly general Lax pair whose underlying algebras is $\mathfrak{g} = sl(N, \mathbb{C})$. In both sections, reductions are obtained using realisations of the reduction group isomorphic to the dihedral group \mathbb{D}_N . Some of the systems of equations obtained in these sections are new, to the best of our knowledge. Section 5 is devoted to conclusions and remarks.

2 Lax representation of integrable systems

Let us start this preliminary section reviewing the concept of Lax representation of an integrable system. Here and afterwards subscripts mean partial differentiation.

2.1 Example of a rational matrix Lax pair and gauge transformations

Let us consider two linear equations

$$L\psi = \psi_x - Q(x, t)\psi = 0, \quad M\psi = \psi_t - P(x, t)\psi = 0, \quad (1)$$

where ψ , Q and P are $N \times N$ matrix functions of x and t . This is an over-determined system of equations and its compatibility condition

$$Q_t - P_x + [Q, P] = 0 \quad (2)$$

is equivalent to a system of nonlinear partial differential equations (PDEs). Its general solution is given by

$$Q = g_x g^{-1}, \quad P = g_t g^{-1},$$

where $g = g(x, t)$ is any nonsingular matrix function of x and t . The same problem becomes nontrivial if one assumes that the matrices Q and P depend also on an auxiliary parameter λ , called *spectral parameter*, and are polynomial or rational functions of this parameter. As a consequence, equation (2) has to be satisfied for all values of λ and this requirement leads to a nontrivial system of integrable, partial differential equations. In the latter case, one has to solve a certain matrix Riemann-Hilbert problem to find a suitable matrix function $g(x, t, \lambda)$, which would provide the solution of the system (see for example [1], [12], [13]). In order to receive a nontrivial set of nonlinear equations integrable by the IST method an *essential* dependence of Q and P in (2) on the spectral parameter λ is required, where essential dependence on λ means that it cannot be eliminated by a λ dependent gauge transformation (see below).

As an example, let us consider the case of Q and P given by

$$Q = Q_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{N_1} \frac{Q_n}{\lambda - a_n}, \quad P = P_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{N_2} \frac{P_n}{\lambda - b_n} \quad (3)$$

where it is assumed that the sets of complex constants $\{a_1, \dots, a_{N_1} | a_n \in \mathbb{C}\}$ and $\{b_1, \dots, b_{N_2} | b_n \in \mathbb{C}\}$ have empty intersection and the matrix-coefficients Q_n, P_n may depend on x and t only.

Here and hereafter $l \in \mathbb{C}$. Under these assumptions the compatibility condition (2) leads to a system of $N_1 + N_2 + 1$ equations

$$Q_{0t} - P_{0x} + [Q_0, P_0] = 0 \quad (4)$$

$$Q_{nt} + [Q_n, P_0 + \sum_{m=1}^{N_2} \frac{P_m}{a_n - b_m}] = 0 \quad (5)$$

$$P_{nx} + [P_n, Q_0 - \sum_{m=1}^{N_1} \frac{Q_m}{a_n - b_m}] = 0 \quad (6)$$

for $N_1 + N_2 + 2$ functions, i.e. the system obtained is under-determined.

Lax representations of this type and their reductions will be studied in Section 3.

The system of equation (4)–(6) is invariant with respect to transformations

$$Q \rightarrow g^{-1}Qg - g^{-1}g_x, \quad P \rightarrow g^{-1}Pg - g^{-1}g_t, \quad (7)$$

where g is any nonsingular matrix function of x and t (in principle it may depend on the spectral parameter λ , but in this section we shall assume $g = g(x, t)$). These transformations are called *gauge transformations* [12], [14]. In terms of matrices Q_n and P_n the gauge transformation (7) takes the form

$$Q_0 \rightarrow g^{-1}Q_0g - g^{-1}g_x, \quad P_0 \rightarrow g^{-1}P_0g - g^{-1}g_t, \quad Q_n \rightarrow g^{-1}Q_n g, \quad P_n \rightarrow g^{-1}P_n g, \quad (8)$$

where $n \geq 1$. There are several ways to fix the gauge. The natural or *canonical* choice [12] is to set $Q_0 = P_0 = 0$. This is possible because the equations

$$Q_0g - g_x = 0, \quad P_0g - g_t = 0$$

are compatible and their compatibility condition coincides with (4). In this gauge, the system becomes a well determined system of $N_1 + N_2$ matrix equations (5), (6) for $N_1 + N_2$ matrix functions $Q_n, P_n, n \geq 1$. Another useful way to fix the gauge is to assume that the matrix Q_1 is in a canonical (for instance diagonal) form. If the eigenvalues of Q_1 are distinct, then the remaining gauge freedom, i.e. transformations (8) which do not change Q_1 , consists of nonsingular diagonal matrices g . This remaining freedom can be used to make the matrix Q_0 off-diagonal. Such choice of the gauge is called the *pole* gauge [12] and it also provides a well determined system of equations.

The nature of the gauge transformations is obvious: the compatibility condition (2) is the commutativity condition of two linear differential operators

$$L = \partial_x - Q, \quad M = \partial_t - P.$$

If the operators L and M commute ($[L, M] = 0$), then the transformed operators

$$\hat{L} = g^{-1}Lg, \quad \hat{M} = g^{-1}Mg \quad (9)$$

also commute. Transformations of the form

$$\hat{L} = -h^{-1}L^A h, \quad \hat{M} = -h^{-1}M^A h, \quad (10)$$

where $L^A = -\partial_x + Q^{tr}$, $M^A = -\partial_x + P^{tr}$ stand for formally adjoint operators and “tr” stands for matrix transposition, are also gauge transformations. Gauge transformations form a group, the *gauge group*.

In the gauge transformations (9), (10) the invertible matrices g , h may depend on x , t , l or even be differential or pseudo-differential matrix operators. The Miura and Bäcklund transformations can be viewed as special kind of gauge transformations.

2.2 Lax representations and Lie algebras

Equations (4)–(6) are naturally defined on any Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . Indeed, if $Q_n, P_n \in \mathfrak{g}$, then all commutators and derivatives also belong to \mathfrak{g} . Let \mathfrak{g} be a finite dimensional Lie algebra over \mathbb{C} . It is easy to see that only simple algebras are related to coupled nonlinear equations. Indeed, according to the Levi-Maltsev theorem [15], any finite dimensional Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} over \mathbb{C} can be decomposed as a direct sum

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathcal{R} \oplus \mathcal{S}$$

where \mathcal{R} is a solvable radical of \mathfrak{g} and \mathcal{S} is a semi-simple subalgebra. The semi-simple subalgebra \mathcal{S} , if nontrivial, is a sum of simple subalgebras

$$\mathcal{S} = \oplus \mathcal{S}_k$$

and the following commutation relations hold:

$$[\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{R}] \subset \mathcal{R}, \quad [\mathcal{S}_k, \mathcal{R}] \subset \mathcal{R}, \quad [\mathcal{S}_k, \mathcal{S}_n] = \delta_{k,n} \mathcal{S}_k.$$

If we decompose Q and P in (2) according to the Levi-Maltsev decomposition theorem

$$Q = Q_{\mathcal{R}} + \sum Q_{\mathcal{S}_k}, \quad P = P_{\mathcal{R}} + \sum P_{\mathcal{S}_k}$$

we obviously receive

$$\partial_t Q_{\mathcal{S}_k} - \partial_x P_{\mathcal{S}_k} + [Q_{\mathcal{S}_k}, P_{\mathcal{S}_k}] = 0 \tag{11}$$

$$\partial_t Q_{\mathcal{R}} - \partial_x P_{\mathcal{R}} + [Q_{\mathcal{R}}, P_{\mathcal{R}}] + [Q_{\mathcal{R}}, \sum P_{\mathcal{S}_k}] + [\sum Q_{\mathcal{S}_k}, P_{\mathcal{R}}] = 0. \tag{12}$$

We see that equations (11), corresponding to the semi-simple part, can be treated separately from the rest of the system and there is no coupling between equations corresponding to different simple subalgebras. It is easy to show that, in the radical \mathcal{R} , one can choose a basis in which the system of equations (12) become “triangular”, i.e. it is an ordered chain of equations such that each equation in this chain is a linear equation with respect to its own dependent variable and with coefficients and an inhomogeneous part depending on variables of the preceding equations and variables corresponding to the semi-simple part. Therefore, the problem of integration of the whole system is reduced to the integration of a closed system of the nonlinear equations (11) and then to the integration of a chain of linear equations (12) with variable coefficients.

In this paper we focus our attention on the study of nonlinear equations and therefore we shall always assume that the underline algebra \mathfrak{g} is simple (or semi-simple).

2.3 General rational Lax operators

In this section we define a quite general family of Lax operators with rational dependence on the spectral parameter λ . Let \mathfrak{g} be a finite dimensional simple Lie algebra over \mathbb{C} and let $\{\mathfrak{g}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{g}_N\}$ be a basis of \mathfrak{g}

$$[\mathfrak{g}_i, \mathfrak{g}_j] = \sum_{r=1}^N C_{ij}^r \mathfrak{g}_r, \quad C_{ij}^r \in \mathbb{C}. \quad (13)$$

Let $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$ be a set of independent variables (in the previous example (1) we used x and t variables). With every variable x_k we associate a *divisor of poles* $\Gamma_k = m_1 \cdot \gamma_1 + \dots + m_n \cdot \gamma_n$, i.e. a finite set of points $\hat{\Gamma}_k = \{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n\}$ on the Riemann sphere together with their multiplicities $m_1, \dots, m_n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\mathcal{L}(\Gamma_k)$ denote a linear space of rational functions of the spectral parameter λ such that the only singularities of these functions are poles at the points of the divisor with multiplicity equal or less than the multiplicity of the point. The dimension of this linear space $\dim \mathcal{L}(\Gamma_k)$ is $M_k = m_1 + \dots + m_n + 1$. Let $e_1^k(l), \dots, e_{M_k}^k(l)$ be a basis in $\mathcal{L}(\Gamma_k)$.

With x_k, Γ_k and \mathfrak{g} we associate the *general Lax operator*

$$L_k = \frac{d}{dx_k} - \sum_{r=1}^N \sum_{\beta=1}^{M_k} \mathfrak{g}_r e_{\beta}^k(l) u_{r\beta}^k, \quad (14)$$

where $u_{r\beta}^k$ are smooth functions of variables X in a certain open domain, and if the set X is infinite, we assume that every function depends on a finite number of variables only. A set of such functions is denoted by \mathcal{F} .

The Lax operator L_k is parametrised by $N \times M_k$ functions $u_{r\beta}^k$. Any two Lax operators L_k, L_s form a Lax pair. The commutativity condition $[L_k, L_s] = 0$ is equivalent to an integrable (by the spectral transform method) system of partial differential equations for the functions $u_{r\beta}^k, u_{r'\beta'}^s \in \mathcal{F}$.

In order to write this system of PDEs in explicit form we introduce a basis $h_{\alpha}^{ks}(l)$, $\alpha = 1, \dots, M_k + M_s - 1$ in $\mathcal{L}(\Gamma_k + \Gamma_s)$ and expand elements $e_{\beta}^k(l), e_{\beta'}^s(l) \in \mathcal{L}(\Gamma_k + \Gamma_s)$ and the products $e_{\beta}^k(l)e_{\beta'}^s(l) \in \mathcal{L}(\Gamma_k + \Gamma_s)$ in this new basis

$$\begin{aligned} e_{\beta}^k(l) &= \sum_{\alpha=1}^{M_k+M_s-1} F_{\beta}^{k\alpha} h_{\alpha}^{ks}(l), & e_{\beta'}^s(l) &= \sum_{\alpha=1}^{M_k+M_s-1} F_{\beta'}^{s\alpha} h_{\alpha}^{ks}(l) \\ e_{\beta}^k(l)e_{\beta'}^s(l) &= \sum_{\alpha=1}^{M_k+M_s-1} H_{\beta\beta'}^{ks\alpha} h_{\alpha}^{ks}(l), & F_{\beta}^{k\alpha}, F_{\beta'}^{s\alpha}, H_{\beta\beta'}^{ks\alpha} &\in \mathbb{C}. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Thus we have

$$\sum_{\beta=1}^{M_k} F_{\beta}^{k\alpha} \frac{\partial u_{r\beta}^k}{\partial x_s} - \sum_{\beta'=1}^{M_s} F_{\beta'}^{s\alpha} \frac{\partial u_{r\beta'}^s}{\partial x_k} + \sum_{i,j=1}^N \sum_{\beta=1, \beta'=1}^{M_k, M_s} C_{ij}^r H_{\beta\beta'}^{ks\alpha} u_{i\beta}^k u_{j\beta'}^s = 0, \quad (16)$$

where $r = 1, \dots, N$ and $\alpha = 1, \dots, M_k + M_s - 1$.

Equation (16) is a system of nonlinear PDEs with constant coefficients. The system contains partial derivatives in variables x_k and x_s only, and if we assume that functions $u_{r\beta}^k, u_{r'\beta'}^s$ depend on other variables from the set X we can treat these variables as parameters. The system

obtained is *canonical*, it is uniquely defined by the choice of a simple Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} and two divisors Γ_k and Γ_s (in some cases the divisors may coincide, cf. the Lax pair for the N -wave equations [1]). Systems corresponding to a different choice of the basis of \mathfrak{g} , $\mathcal{L}(\Gamma_k)$, $\mathcal{L}(\Gamma_s)$ and $\mathcal{L}(\Gamma_k + \Gamma_s)$ can be obtained from (16) by a linear invertible transformations of dependent variables.

The system of equations (16) is under-determined, it contains $N \times (M_k + M_s - 1)$ equations on $N \times (M_k + M_s)$ dependent variables. Indeed, on the Riemann sphere, we have $\dim \mathcal{L}(\Gamma_k + \Gamma_s) = \dim \mathcal{L}(\Gamma_k) + \dim \mathcal{L}(\Gamma_s) - 1$ (if we consider a similar setup on an algebraic curve with nonzero genus, then the genus would contribute in the accounting for the dimensions of the corresponding linear spaces of rational functions on the curve [16]). The difference between the number of dependent variables and the number of equations is equal to the dimension of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . Fixing the gauge we finally obtain a well posed problem.

If we have a family of Lax operators L_1, \dots, L_k corresponding to divisors $\Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_k$, then the conditions $[L_i, L_j] = 0$, $1 \leq i < j \leq k$ yield an over-determined system of nonlinear partial differential equations. A general solution and explicit exact partial solutions of this system can be constructed by the inverse transform method.

2.4 The problem of reduction and the reduction group

The integrable system (16) obtained in the previous section is very general. It looks too big and may not resemble useful equations but, up to the best of our knowledge, all known $1 + 1$ dimensional systems of integrable partial differential equations are subsystems (reductions) of (16). The problem to find and classify all subsystems of a general system is known as the *reduction problem*.

Restrictions to subalgebras $\hat{\mathfrak{g}} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ are obvious reductions of (16). For instance, in the system of equations (4)–(6) one can consider all matrices to be skew-symmetric and this is compatible with the dynamics since the commutator of skew-symmetric matrices is skew-symmetric. With this reduction we associate the automorphism $\phi : a \rightarrow -a^{tr}$ of the Lie algebra $sl(N, \mathbb{C})$. The set of all elements of $sl(N, \mathbb{C})$ which are invariant with respect to the automorphisms ϕ is obviously a subalgebra of skew-symmetric matrices $so(N) = \{a \in sl(N, \mathbb{C}) \mid a = \phi(a)\}$. A complete description of automorphisms of finite dimensional semi-simple Lie algebras is well known (see for example [15]). In the case of $sl(N, \mathbb{C})$ automorphisms can be described as follows: for $sl(2, \mathbb{C})$ all automorphisms are inner, i.e. they can be represented as $\phi : a \rightarrow G^{-1}aG$, where $G \in SL(2, \mathbb{C})$. For $sl(N, \mathbb{C})$, $N > 2$ the group of all automorphisms consists of inner $\phi : a \rightarrow G^{-1}aG$ and outer automorphisms $\psi : a \rightarrow -H^{-1}a^{tr}H$ ($G, H \in SL(N, \mathbb{C})$).

Let $\mathcal{R}(\Gamma)$ be a ring of rational functions of variable l with poles at points of Γ and regular elsewhere. Fractional-linear transformations of the complex plane l , which map the divisor Γ into itself, induce automorphisms of the ring $\mathcal{R}(\Gamma)$. We denote by $\text{Aut } \mathcal{R}(\Gamma)$ the group of automorphisms of $\mathcal{R}(\Gamma)$. Any subgroup of $G_R \subset \text{Aut } \mathfrak{g} \times \text{Aut } \mathcal{R}(\Gamma)$ is a group of automorphisms of $\mathfrak{g}(\Gamma) = \mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{R}(\Gamma)$. The group G_R is called the *reduction group*. Elements of the reduction group can be viewed as *twisted* automorphisms, i.e. Lie algebra automorphisms and simultaneous fractional-linear transformations of the spectral parameter l . For example, in the case $sl(N, \mathbb{C})$, the action of elements of the reduction group G_R can be represented either as $a(l) \rightarrow G^{-1}a(\sigma_G(l))G$ or as $a(l) \rightarrow -H^{-1}a^{tr}(\sigma_H(l))H$, where $G, H \in SL(N, \mathbb{C})$ and $\sigma_G(l), \sigma_H(l)$

are the corresponding fractional-linear transformations of the l plane.

The set $\mathfrak{g}_{G_R}(\Gamma) = \{a \in \mathfrak{g}(\Gamma) \mid a = \phi(a), \forall \phi \in G_R\}$ is a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}(\Gamma)$, which we shall call G_R -automorphic subalgebra. The restriction of the general Lax operator to the subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}_{G_R}(\Gamma)$ is a reduction, with reduction group G_R . Restrictions to invariant subalgebras are equivalent to require some symmetry conditions for the Lax operators. For example, in the case $sl(N, \mathbb{C})$ they lead to symmetry conditions of the form

$$L_k(l) = G^{-1}L_k(\sigma_G(l))G, \quad L_k(l) = -H^{-1}L_k^A(\sigma_H(l))H. \quad (17)$$

Comparing with (9) and (10), we see that the operators are invariant with respect to simultaneous gauge transformations¹ and fractional-linear transformations of the spectral parameter l . The reduction group was originally introduced in [8], [9], our recent study of its algebraic structure and the corresponding automorphic Lie algebras will be published soon [17].

3 \mathbb{D}_N -Reductions of Lax operators with simple poles, $\mathfrak{g} = sl(2, \mathbb{C})$.

In this section we study dihedral reductions of integrable equations corresponding to rational Lax pairs with simple poles in the spectral parameter l and $\mathfrak{g} = sl(2, \mathbb{C})$.

The dihedral group \mathbb{D}_N is the group of rotations and reflections of the plane which preserve a regular polygon with N vertices. It contains N rotations, which form a normal subgroup isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}_N and N reflections; its order is $2N$. If we denote by s the rotation through an angle $2\pi/N$ and if r is any of the reflections, then each element of the group can be written uniquely either in the form s^k or rs^k , $0 \leq k \leq N-1$. In a more abstract way, the group \mathbb{D}_N can be defined as the group generated by two elements, s and r , satisfying the identities

$$s^N = r^2 = id, \quad r s r = s^{-1}. \quad (18)$$

In the case $N = 2$ the group \mathbb{D}_2 is abelian and isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$.

On the complex plane of spectral parameter λ the group \mathbb{D}_N can be generated by two fractional-linear transformations

$$\sigma_s : \lambda \rightarrow \omega\lambda, \quad \sigma_r : \lambda \rightarrow \lambda^{-1}, \quad \omega = \exp(2i\pi/N). \quad (19)$$

If a divisor Γ is invariant with respect to transformations (19), it is a union of a finite number of orbits of the group \mathbb{D}_N . The *orbit* of the group \mathbb{D}_N of a point γ is defined as the set of points

$$D_N(\gamma) = \{\sigma_s^n(\gamma), \sigma_r \sigma_s^n(\gamma) \mid n = 1, 2, \dots, N\}.$$

When the point γ is *generic*, i.e. γ is not a fixed point of any (nontrivial) subgroup, the corresponding *generic* orbit has $2N$ points

$$\mathbb{D}_N(\gamma) = \{\gamma, \omega\gamma, \omega^2\gamma, \dots, \omega^{N-1}\gamma, \gamma^{-1}, \omega\gamma^{-1}, \omega^2\gamma^{-1}, \dots, \omega^{N-1}\gamma^{-1}\}. \quad (20)$$

¹As we have already mentioned at the end of section 2.1 there are further generalisations when G and H are co-ordinate and l dependent or even (pseudo) differential operators. We do not consider such generalised reductions in this paper.

Fixed points of the group transformations (19) belong to *degenerated* orbits. There exists a degenerated orbit with two elements

$$\mathbb{D}_N(0) = \{0, \infty\}, \quad (21)$$

and two degenerated orbits with N elements. For odd N they are

$$\mathbb{D}_N(1) = \{\omega^n \mid n = 1, 2, \dots, N\}, \quad \mathbb{D}_N(-1) = \{-\omega^n \mid n = 1, 2, \dots, N\}. \quad (22)$$

For even N $\mathbb{D}_N(1) = \mathbb{D}_N(-1)$ and the second orbit with N points is

$$\mathbb{D}_N(\omega^{1/2}) = \{\omega^{n+1/2} \mid n = 1, 2, \dots, N\}. \quad (23)$$

In the rest of this section we assume that N is odd.

With every orbit we associate a linear space of rational functions with simple poles at the points of the orbit. Let γ be a generic point and $\mathbb{D}_N(\gamma)$ the corresponding generic orbit. A natural way to construct a basis of rational functions in $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{D}_N(\gamma))$ is to start with $e_0 = 1$, $e_1 = 1/(\lambda - \gamma)$ and apply all fractional-linear transformations of the group. As a result we receive a basis of $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{D}_N(\gamma))$

$$e_0(l) = 1, \quad e_k(l) = \frac{1}{\omega^{k-1}\lambda - \gamma}, \quad e_{N+k}(l) = \frac{1}{\lambda - \omega^{k-1}\gamma}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, N.$$

This basis corresponds to the regular representation of the group \mathbb{D}_N . A regular representation can be decomposed into a direct sum of irreducible representations. Such a decomposition suggests another natural and useful basis of $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{D}_N(\gamma))$

$$E_0^\gamma = 1, \quad E_1^\gamma = \frac{\lambda^N + \gamma^N}{\lambda^N - \gamma^N} + \frac{1 + \gamma^N \lambda^N}{1 - \gamma^N \lambda^N}, \quad E_2^\gamma = \frac{\lambda^N + \gamma^N}{\lambda^N - \gamma^N} - \frac{1 + \gamma^N \lambda^N}{1 - \gamma^N \lambda^N},$$

$$E_{4k-1}^\gamma = \frac{\lambda^k}{\lambda^N - \gamma^N}, \quad E_{4k}^\gamma = \frac{\lambda^{N-k}}{1 - \gamma^N \lambda^N}, \quad E_{4k+1}^\gamma = \frac{\lambda^k}{1 - \gamma^N \lambda^N}, \quad E_{4k+2}^\gamma = \frac{\lambda^{N-k}}{\lambda^N - \gamma^N}.$$

$k = 1, \dots, \frac{N-1}{2}$.

The general Lax operator corresponding to a generic orbit $D_N(\gamma)$ is

$$L_\gamma(l) = \frac{d}{dx_\gamma} - \sum_{j=0}^{2N+1} E_j^\gamma(l) U_j^\gamma \quad (24)$$

where U_j^γ are 2×2 traceless matrix functions of independent variables (such as x_γ). Let $L_\mu(l)$ be another Lax operator, corresponding to another generic orbit $D_N(\mu)$ and parametrised by matrix functions U_j^μ . The condition $[L_\gamma(l), L_\mu(l)] = 0$ leads to a nonlinear system of $4N + 1$ matrix partial differential equations. We will reduce this huge system to a simple, well determined system of six scalar partial differential equations.

Let $a(l) \in sl(2, \mathbb{C}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{D}_N(\gamma))$, i.e.

$$a(l) = \sum_{j=0}^{2N+1} E_j^\gamma(l) a_j, \quad a_j \in sl(2, \mathbb{C}),$$

and consider the following linear transformations

$$g_s : a(l) \rightarrow S(h)^{-1}a(\sigma_s(l))S(h), \quad g_r : a(l) \rightarrow R^{-1}a(\sigma_r(l))R. \quad (25)$$

where h is a fixed integer $1 \leq h \leq \frac{N-1}{2}$ that enumerates all different irreducible representations and

$$S = S(h) = \begin{pmatrix} \omega^{-h} & 0 \\ 0 & \omega^{+h} \end{pmatrix}, \quad R = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (26)$$

The transformations g_s and g_r generate a linear representation of \mathbb{D}_N in the linear space $sl(2, \mathbb{C}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{D}_N(\gamma))$. It is easy to check that (25) generate a subgroup of the group of automorphisms of Lie algebra $sl(2, \mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathcal{R}(\mathbb{D}_N(\gamma))$. Let us consider invariant elements of $sl(2, \mathbb{C}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{D}_N(\gamma))$, i.e. elements $a(l)$ such that

$$S(h)^{-1}a(\sigma_s(l))S(h) = a(l), \quad R^{-1}a(\sigma_r(l))R = a(l).$$

For every given h the subspace of invariant elements is three dimensional; a basis of this space can be written as

$$\mathcal{E}_1^\gamma = E_2^\gamma \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{E}_2^\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & E_3^\gamma \\ E_4^\gamma & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{E}_3^\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & E_5^\gamma \\ E_6^\gamma & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where we fix $h = (N-1)/2$ since the final result does not depend on the choice of the representation. Thus the reduced (invariant with respect to \mathbb{D}_N reduction group (25)) Lax operator can be written as

$$L_\gamma(l) = \frac{d}{dx_\gamma} - q_1 \mathcal{E}_1^\gamma - q_2 \mathcal{E}_2^\gamma - q_3 \mathcal{E}_3^\gamma, \quad (27)$$

where q_1, q_2, q_3 are scalar functions of independent variables. If

$$L_\mu(l) = \frac{d}{dx_\mu} - p_1 \mathcal{E}_1^\mu - p_2 \mathcal{E}_2^\mu - p_3 \mathcal{E}_3^\mu, \quad (28)$$

is the second operator in the Lax pair, corresponding to another generic orbit $D_N(\mu)$, then the condition $[L_\gamma, L_\mu]$ leads to a system of integrable equations

$$\begin{aligned} q_{1t} + a(q_2 p_3 - p_2 q_3)/2 + b(q_2 p_2 - q_3 p_3)/2 &= 0 \\ q_{2t} - 2\beta p_1 q_2 + 4\gamma^N (a p_2 + b p_3) q_1 &= 0 \\ q_{3t} + 2\beta p_1 q_3 - 4\gamma^N (a p_3 + b p_2) q_1 &= 0 \\ p_{1x} + a(q_2 p_3 - p_2 q_3)/2 + b(q_2 p_2 - q_3 p_3)/2 &= 0 \\ p_{2x} + 2\alpha q_1 p_2 - 4\mu^N (a q_2 - b q_3) p_1 &= 0 \\ p_{3x} - 2\alpha q_1 p_3 + 4\mu^N (a q_3 - b q_2) p_1 &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

where $x = x_\gamma$, $t = x_\mu$ and a, b, α, β are complex constants

$$\begin{aligned} a &= (\gamma^N - \mu^N)^{-1}, \quad b = (1 - \gamma^N \mu^N)^{-1}, \\ \alpha &= \frac{\gamma^N + \mu^N}{\gamma^N - \mu^N} + \frac{1 + \gamma^N \mu^N}{1 - \gamma^N \mu^N}, \quad \beta = \frac{\gamma^N + \mu^N}{\gamma^N - \mu^N} - \frac{1 + \gamma^N \mu^N}{1 - \gamma^N \mu^N}. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly equations (29) can be rescaled and written in a different form, however they depend on parameters γ^N and μ^N , that cannot be removed by simple rescaling. What is surprising is that the resulting system does not depend on the choice of the representation. It does not depend on N either! Indeed, equations corresponding to N, γ, μ coincide with equations corresponding to

N_1, γ_1, μ_1 , provided the conditions $\gamma^N = \gamma_1^{N_1}$ and $\mu^N = \mu_1^{N_1}$ are satisfied. For the case of even N we would receive equivalent equations, reflecting the fact that the corresponding automorphic Lie algebras are isomorphic [17].

For the orbits $\mathbb{D}_N(\pm 1)$ the bases of $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{D}_N(\pm 1))$ in which the representation are decomposed in a direct sum of irreducible ones can be written as

$$F_0^\pm(l) = 1, F_1^\pm(l) = \frac{\lambda^N \pm 1}{\lambda^N \mp 1}, F_{2k}^\pm(l) = \frac{\lambda^k}{\lambda^N \mp 1}, F_{2k+1}^\pm(l) = \frac{\lambda^{N-k}}{1 \mp \lambda^N}, \quad k = 1, \dots, \frac{N-1}{2}.$$

The corresponding \mathbb{D}_N invariant Lax operators are of the form

$$L_\pm(l) = \frac{d}{dx_\pm} - \frac{1}{2} u_1^\pm F_1^\pm(l) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} - u_2^\pm \begin{pmatrix} 0 & F_2^\pm(l) \\ F_3^\pm(l) & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where again we assume $h = (N-1)/2$. The condition $[L_+, L_-] = 0$ leads in this case to a rather simple system of equations

$$u_{2x_-}^+ = u_1^+ u_2^-, \quad u_{2x_+}^- = u_1^- u_2^+, \quad u_{1x_-}^+ = u_2^+ u_2^-, \quad u_{1x_+}^- = u_2^+ u_2^-. \quad (30)$$

It follows from (30) that

$$u_2^+ u_{2x_-}^+ = u_1^+ u_{1x_-}^+, \quad u_2^- u_{2x_+}^- = u_1^- u_{1x_+}^-,$$

therefore we can partially integrate the equations and introduce the variables ϕ and θ

$$u_1^+ = f(x_+) \cosh \phi, \quad u_2^+ = f(x_+) \sinh \phi, \quad u_1^- = g(x_-) \cosh \theta, \quad u_2^- = g(x_-) \sinh \theta,$$

where $f(x_+)$ and $g(x_-)$ are two arbitrary functions (which can be set to be equal to 1 by a conformal transformation of the independent variables). In terms of ϕ and θ equations (30) are

$$\phi_{x_-} = g(x_-) \sinh \theta, \quad \theta_{x_+} = f(x_+) \sinh \phi, \quad (31)$$

they are nothing but a well known form of Bäcklund transformation for the sinh-Gordon equation. Indeed, equations (31) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} (\theta + \phi)_{x_+ x_-} &= g(x_-) f(x_+) \sinh(\theta + \phi) \\ (\theta - \phi)_{x_+ x_-} &= g(x_-) f(x_+) \sinh(\theta - \phi). \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

Let us take L_γ and L_+ as a Lax pair. The condition $[L_\gamma, L_+] = 0$ is equivalent to the following system of equations

$$u_{1x_\gamma}^+ = \frac{1}{\gamma^N - 1} (q_2 + q_3) u_2^+ \quad (33)$$

$$u_{2x_\gamma}^+ = -\frac{2}{\gamma^N - 1} (q_2 + q_3) u_1^+ \quad (34)$$

$$q_{1x_+} = \frac{1}{2(\gamma^N - 1)} (q_2 + q_3) u_2^+ \quad (35)$$

$$q_{2x_+} = -\frac{4\gamma^N}{\gamma^N - 1} q_1 u_2^+ + \frac{2(\gamma^N + 1)}{\gamma^N - 1} q_2 u_1^+ \quad (36)$$

$$q_{3x_+} = -\frac{4\gamma^N}{\gamma^N - 1} q_1 u_2^+ - \frac{2(\gamma^N + 1)}{\gamma^N - 1} q_3 u_1^+. \quad (37)$$

It follows from (33), (34) that $2(u_1^+)^2 + (u_2^+)^2 = (f(x_+))^2$, where $f(x_+)$ is an arbitrary function and therefore

$$u_1^+ = f(x_+) \cos \theta, \quad u_2^+ = \sqrt{2}f(x_+) \sin \theta.$$

Now equations (33), (34) yield to

$$q_2 + q_3 = \frac{1 - \gamma^N}{\sqrt{2}} \theta_{x_\gamma}.$$

In variables

$$\theta, \quad u = 2q_1, \quad v = -\frac{q_2 - q_3}{\sqrt{2}(\gamma^N + 1)}$$

equations (33)–(37) take the form

$$\begin{aligned} u_{x_+} &= f(x_+) (\cos \theta)_{x_\gamma} \\ v_{x_+} &= f(x_+) (\sin \theta)_{x_\gamma} \\ \theta_{x_+ x_\gamma} &= \alpha f(x_+) u \sin \theta + \beta f(x_+) v \cos \theta \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

where

$$\alpha = \frac{8\gamma^N}{(\gamma^N - 1)^2}, \quad \beta = 4 \left(\frac{\gamma^N + 1}{\gamma^N - 1} \right)^2.$$

Changing the variable x_+ and rescaling x_γ we can set $f(x_+) \rightarrow 1$, $\alpha \rightarrow \hat{\alpha} = \alpha/\beta$ and $\beta \rightarrow 1$.

The case of the orbit $\mathbb{D}_N(0) = \{0, \infty\}$ yields a reducible L_0 operator

$$L_0 = \frac{d}{dx_0} - p \begin{pmatrix} 0 & l \\ l^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad h = \frac{N-1}{2}.$$

For other values of h the operator is trivial. It is not surprising, since we have imposed the condition that the poles are simple. The result become less trivial if we lift this condition (see [17]).

If we take L_γ and L_0 as Lax pair, the condition $[L_\gamma, L_0] = 0$ leads to

$$p_{x_\gamma} = 4q_1 p, \quad q_1 x_0 = \frac{\gamma^N}{2}(q_3 - q_2), \quad q_2 x_0 = -4\gamma^N q_1 p, \quad q_3 x_0 = -4q_1 p. \quad (39)$$

In the new variable $u = \log p$, after simple rescaling and a proper coordinate change, the system of equation (39) can be written as

$$u_{x_\gamma x_0} = e^u \sqrt{1 - (u_{x_\gamma})^2}.$$

The equation obtained is a well known example of the Liouville type equation [18]. Considering L_\pm and L_0 as Lax pair immediately leads to simple C-integrable system of equations.

For all Lax operators considered in this section the gauge freedom is completely fixed by the reduction group. Only scalar l independent gauge transformations commute with all elements of the reduction group.

Equations (29) and (38) are *new* integrable systems of equations, to the best of our knowledge. In particular, (38) may have interesting geometrical applications.

4 Three examples of \mathbb{D}_N reductions, $\mathfrak{g} = sl(N, \mathbb{C})$

Let us consider a fairly general Lax pair

$$L(x, t; \lambda) = \partial_x - X(x, t; \lambda), \quad X = Q_0 + Q\lambda + \bar{Q}\lambda^{-1}, \quad (40)$$

$$M(x, t; \lambda) = \partial_t - T(x, t; \lambda), \quad T = P_0 + P\lambda + \bar{P}\lambda^{-1} + Q^2\lambda^2 + \bar{Q}^2\lambda^{-2}, \quad (41)$$

with $Q_0, Q, \bar{Q}, P_0, P, \bar{P} \in sl(N, \mathbb{C})$. Note that Q_0 can be always set to zero by a gauge transformation. In this setting, the compatibility condition (2) yields to the following set of equations

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^2 : \quad & Q_x^2 = [Q, P] \\ \lambda^1 : \quad & Q_t - P_x + [Q, P_0] + [\bar{Q}, Q^2] = 0 \\ \lambda^0 : \quad & P_{0,x} = [Q, \bar{P}] + [\bar{Q}, P] \\ \lambda^{-1} : \quad & \bar{Q}_t - \bar{P}_x + [\bar{Q}, P_0] + [Q, \bar{Q}^2] = 0 \\ \lambda^{-2} : \quad & \bar{Q}_x^2 = [\bar{Q}, \bar{P}]. \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

The system (42) is a system of $(5(N^2 - 1))$ nonlinear coupled equations for the matrix entries. The group of automorphisms of Lie algebra $sl(N, \mathbb{C})$, $N \geq 3$ has both inner and outer automorphisms, allowing more possibilities for the realisation of the reduction group. Here we consider three different reductions of this system with reduction group isomorphic to \mathbb{D}_N .

4.0.1 Case 1: inner and outer automorphisms.

Let us consider two transformations

$$s : L(l) \mapsto S^{-1} L(\omega l) S, \quad r : L(l) \mapsto -L^A(1/l), \quad (43)$$

where S is a $N \times N$ matrix given by $S_{ij} = \delta_{i,j} \omega^{N-i}$, with $\omega = e^{2i\pi/N}$, and where “ L^A ” stands for formally adjoint operator $L^A = -\partial_x + X^{tr}$. Observe that, neglecting the spectral parameter l , (43) are nothing but two automorphisms of the algebras $sl(N, \mathbb{C})$; in particular, the first one is an inner automorphism, while the second is outer [15]. They satisfy (18) and therefore generate the dihedral group \mathbb{D}_N .

Let us now require that both operators L and M are invariant under (43). That leads to algebraic constraints on the matrices X and T

$$\begin{aligned} X(l) &= S^{-1} X(\omega l) S, & X(l) &= -X^{tr}(1/l), \\ T(l) &= S^{-1} T(\omega l) S, & T(l) &= -T^{tr}(1/l), \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

where “tr” stands for matrix transposition, which imply

$$\begin{aligned} P_0 &= 0, \\ \bar{Q} &= -Q^{tr}, \quad \bar{P} = -P^{tr}, \\ Q &= \mathbf{q}(x, t) \Delta, \quad P = \mathbf{p}(x, t) \Delta, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{q}_{ij} = q_i(x, t) \delta_{i,j}$ and $\mathbf{p}_{ij} = p_i(x, t) \delta_{i,j}$ are diagonal matrices and where Δ is the shift operator $\Delta_{ij} = \delta_{i,j-1}$. Here and hereafter all indexes are counted modulo N . As a consequence, (42) reduces to the following system of $2(N - 1)$ nonlinear coupled equations

$$\begin{aligned} Q_t - P_x + [\bar{Q}, Q^2] &= 0 \\ Q_x^2 &= [Q, P] \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

or, in components,

$$q_{it} - p_{ix} + q_i q_{i+1}^2 - q_{i-1}^2 q_i = 0 \quad (46)$$

$$q_i p_{i+1} - p_i q_{i+1} - (q_i q_{i+1})_x = 0. \quad (47)$$

Solutions of (47) can be parametrised by new variables u_i and v_i (similarly to [8]); indeed, let

$$q_i = \exp(u_i), \quad p_i = v_i \exp(u_i), \quad (48)$$

then

$$v_i = - \sum_{r=1}^N \left(\frac{2 \bmod(i-r-1, N) + 1 - N}{2N} \right) (u_r + u_{r+1})_x. \quad (49)$$

In the new variables (46) reads

$$u_{it} - v_{ix} - u_{ix} v_i + \exp(2u_{i+1}) - \exp(2u_{i-1}) = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, N. \quad (50)$$

In the case $N = 3$ and $Q^3 = I$ (or $\prod q_i = 1$), equation (45) can be solved explicitly

$$P = \frac{1}{3} [Q, QQ_x Q]. \quad (51)$$

In components

$$p_1 = \frac{1}{3} q_1^2 (q_2 q_{3x} - q_{2x} q_3), \quad p_2 = \frac{1}{3} q_2^2 (q_3 q_{1x} - q_{3x} q_1), \quad p_3 = \frac{1}{3} q_3^2 (q_1 q_{2x} - q_{1x} q_2).$$

Hence, substituting p_i into (46) and rewriting the equations in terms of u_i variables we obtain

$$u_{1t} = \frac{1}{3} (u_{3xx} - u_{2xx}) + \frac{1}{3} u_{1x} (u_{3x} - u_{2x}) - \exp(2u_2) + \exp(2u_3) \quad (52)$$

and cyclic permutations of the indexes 1, 2, 3.

4.0.2 Case 2: inner automorphisms.

Let us now turn our attention to a different symmetry conditions

$$L(l) = S^{-1} L(\omega l) S, \quad L(l) = R^{-1} L(1/l) R \quad (53)$$

where $R_{ij} = \delta_{i, N-j}$ (all indexes are counted modulo N). Conditions (53) are twisted inner automorphisms. In this case

$$\begin{aligned} (P_0)_{ij} &= p_{0i} \delta_{i,j}, \\ \bar{Q} &= R Q R, \quad \bar{P} = R P R, \\ Q &= \mathbf{q}(x, t) \Delta, \quad P = \mathbf{p}(x, t) \Delta. \end{aligned}$$

Hence (42) reduces to

$$Q_t - P_x + [Q, P_0] + [\bar{Q}, Q^2] = 0 \quad (54)$$

$$P_{0x} = [Q, \bar{P}] + [\bar{Q}, P] \quad (55)$$

$$Q_x^2 = [Q, P], \quad (56)$$

or, in components

$$q_{it} = p_{ix} + p_{0i}q_i - q_i p_{0i+1} + q_i q_{i+1} q_{N-i-2} - q_{N-i} q_{i-1} q_i \quad (57)$$

$$p_{0ix} = q_i p_{N-i-1} - p_i q_{N-i-1} + q_{N-i} p_{i-1} - p_{N-i} q_{i-1} \quad (58)$$

$$q_i p_{i+1} - p_i q_{i+1} - (q_i q_{i+1})_x = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, N. \quad (59)$$

For $N = 3$ we can use the result (51) for P to solve (55) and find

$$P_0(x, t) = q_2(x, t) q_3(x, t) \text{diag} \{1, 1, -2\}. \quad (60)$$

In u_i variables (48), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} u_{1t} &= \frac{1}{3}(u_{3xx} - u_{2xx}) + \frac{1}{3}u_{1x}(u_{3x} - u_{2x}) \\ u_{2t} &= \frac{1}{3}(u_{1xx} - u_{3xx}) + \frac{1}{3}u_{2x}(u_{1x} - u_{3x}) - \exp(2u_1) + 4\exp(u_3 + u_2) \\ u_{3t} &= \frac{1}{3}(u_{2xx} - u_{1xx}) + \frac{1}{3}u_{3x}(u_{2x} - u_{1x}) - 4\exp(u_3 + u_2) + \exp(2u_1). \end{aligned} \quad (61)$$

4.0.3 Case 3: symplectic automorphisms.

In even dimensions $N = 2n$ we can consider a third case given by

$$L(\lambda) = S^{-1} L(\omega\lambda) S, \quad L(\lambda) = -J^{-1} L^A(1/\lambda) J, \quad (62)$$

where J is the symplectic matrix $J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ -I & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. From (62) it follows that P_0 is a diagonal matrix

$$P_0 = \text{diag} \{p_{01}(x, t), \dots, p_{0n}(x, t), -p_{01}(x, t), \dots, -p_{0n}(x, t)\},$$

while

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & q_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & q_2 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \ddots & q_{2n-1} \\ -q_{2n} & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad P = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & p_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & p_2 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \ddots & p_{2n-1} \\ -p_{2n} & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (63)$$

and

$$\bar{Q} = -J^{-1} Q^{tr} J \quad \bar{P} = -J^{-1} P^{tr} J.$$

The equations for this case read

$$q_{it} = p_{ix} + q_i p_{0i} - p_{0i+1} q_i + q_{n+i-1} q_{i-1} q_i - q_i q_{i+1} q_{n+i+1} \quad (64)$$

$$p_{0ix} = -q_i p_{n+i} + q_{n+i} p_i + q_{i-1} p_{n+i-1} - q_{n+i-1} p_{i-1} \quad (65)$$

$$q_i p_{i+1} - p_i q_{i+1} - (q_i q_{i+1})_x = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, 2n. \quad (66)$$

For $n = 2$ we have $[\bar{Q}, Q^2] = 0$ and equation (64) simplifies. In terms of u_i variables (48) and (49) the system becomes

$$\begin{aligned} w_{1t} + \frac{1}{2}(w_{2x} w_{3x}) &= 0 \\ w_{2t} + w_{3xx} + \frac{1}{2}(w_{3x} w_{1x}) - 2l_2 &= 0 \\ w_{3t} - w_{2xx} + \frac{1}{2}(w_{2x} w_{1x}) - 2l_1 &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (67)$$

$$l_{1x} = 2w_{2x} \exp(-w_1), \quad l_{2x} = -2w_{3x} \exp(w_1), \quad (68)$$

where $w_1 = u_1 + u_3$, $w_2 = u_1 - u_3$, $w_3 = u_2 - u_4$ and where $l_1 = (p_{01} + p_{02})$, $l_2 = (p_{01} - p_{02})$.

System (67) is *new*, to the best of our knowledge. Moreover, for N general all systems of equations obtained in this sections can be regarded as new examples of lattice equations.

5 Conclusions and remarks

All properties of integrable equations are encoded in their Lax representations. Therefore, the description of the variety of integrable equations and their reductions can be pursued starting from the theory of Lax operators. In particular, the problem of reductions can be studied imposing symmetry conditions on the Lax operators, reducing the corresponding nonlinear systems of equations to smaller subsystems. Symmetries of Lax representations form a group - the reduction group [8], [9], [10], [17]. The reduction group approach has been used to describe Lie-algebraic reductions of the N -wave equation [11], to find Lax representation for a number of new Non-linear Schrödinger type equations [19] and to build explicit solutions for the Landau-Lifschits equation [20]. In this paper we have revisited the reduction group approach, illustrated it by a number of examples and motivated our further study. There are several natural directions for development.

The equations obtained in the previous section are integrable for any N . Assuming $N \rightarrow \infty$ and taking continuous limits one can find $2 + 1$ dimensional integrable equations (and corresponding Lax representations - all structures, such as symmetries, conservation laws, etc. can be recomputed in these limits). There are several ways to take a continuous limit, the result depend on the balance of non-linearity and dispersion. For example, the Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equation

$$u_T = \frac{1}{3}u_{yyy} - D_y^{-1}(u_{XX}) + 6uu_y. \quad (69)$$

can be recovered from (46)–(47) as a continuous limit if we assume $q = \exp(h^2u)$, where h is the lattice step, and perform a Galilean transformation. On the other side, performing rather naïve expansions $q_{i\pm 1} = q \pm hq_y + O(h^2)$ and $p_{i\pm 1} = p \pm hp_y + O(h^2)$, we would receive, after proper rescaling, the hydrodynamic type equation

$$u_t = D_y\{(D_y^{-1}D_x)^2 u + \frac{1}{2}(D_y^{-1}D_x u)^2 + e^{(2u)}\}. \quad (70)$$

Similarly, equations (57)–(59) yield to the following systems of equations in $2 + 1$ dimensions

$$\begin{aligned} u_t &= D_y\{(D_y^{-1}D_x)^2 u + \frac{1}{2}(D_y^{-1}D_x u)^2 + D_x^{-1}D_y[e^{(u+v)} D_y^{-1}D_x(u+v)] + e^{(u+v)}\} \\ v_t &= -D_y\{(D_y^{-1}D_x)^2 v + \frac{1}{2}(D_y^{-1}D_x v)^2 + D_x^{-1}D_y[e^{(u+v)} D_y^{-1}D_x(u+v)] + e^{(u+v)}\}, \end{aligned} \quad (71)$$

while and (64)–(66) yield to

$$\begin{aligned} u_t &= D_y\{(D_y^{-1}D_x)^2 u + \frac{1}{2}(D_y^{-1}D_x u)^2 + D_x^{-1}D_y[e^{(u+v)} D_y^{-1}D_x(u-v)] + e^{(u+v)}\} \\ v_t &= D_y\{(D_y^{-1}D_x)^2 v + \frac{1}{2}(D_y^{-1}D_x v)^2 + D_x^{-1}D_y[e^{(u+v)} D_y^{-1}D_x(u-v)] + e^{(u+v)}\}. \end{aligned} \quad (72)$$

Notice that (70) can be obtained from (72) by setting $v = u$.

A simple modification of the procedure enables us to study Lax operators with non commutative (matrix) entries. For example, we can treat elements of $sl(2M, \mathbb{C})$, as 2×2 matrices with $M \times M$ matrix entries. The corresponding reduced systems can be viewed as a system of equations for non abelian variables. The following system of equations

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{q}_{0t} - \mathbf{p}_{0x} + [\mathbf{q}_0, \mathbf{p}_0] + [\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{p}_1] &= 0 \\ \mathbf{q}_{1t} + [\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{p}_0] + \{\mathbf{q}_2, \mathbf{p}_2\}/4 &= 0 \\ \mathbf{q}_{2t} + [\mathbf{q}_2, \mathbf{p}_0] + \{\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{p}_2\} &= 0 \\ \mathbf{p}_{1x} + [\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{q}_0] + \{\mathbf{q}_2, \mathbf{p}_2\}/4 &= 0 \\ \mathbf{p}_{2x} + [\mathbf{p}_2, \mathbf{q}_0] + \{\mathbf{q}_2, \mathbf{p}_1\} &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (73)$$

where the variables $\mathbf{q}_i, \mathbf{p}_i$ are elements of a non commutative free algebra (or matrices of any size) and $\{\mathbf{q}_i, \mathbf{p}_j\} = \mathbf{q}_i\mathbf{p}_j + \mathbf{p}_j\mathbf{q}_i$, is a nonabelian generalisation of (31) (the Bäcklund transformations for the sinh-Gordon equation). In the non abelian case we have to fix the gauge freedom further in order to make equations (73) well determined.

A very important issue is the solution of nonlinear integrable models. The reduction group proves to be not only a very useful tool to find *new* integrable equations and classify Lax pairs but also a necessary instrument in this context. Indeed, without the constraints imposed by the reduction group on the spectral data it is not even possible to formulate the inverse problem, which would lead to explicit solutions of the integrable equation. The general set up of the correspondence between reduction groups and analyticity properties of the spectral data is one of the next issues on our research agenda.

Another challenging problem is the study of automorphic Lie algebras in a pure algebraic way. They can be always linked back to Lax operators, Baxter's R -matrix equations, etc. Moreover, the problem of a complete description of rational automorphic Lie algebras seems to be feasible. Our optimism is based upon a simple group-theoretical observation [17] and a remarkable theorem of Felix Klein [21]: *the complete list of finite groups of fractional-linear transformations is given by the cyclic group \mathbb{Z}_N , the dihedral group \mathbb{D}_N and the groups of symmetry of Plato solids, i.e. the tetrahedral group, the octahedral group and the icosahedral group.* It would be interesting to generalise the theory of automorphic Lie algebras to the cases of elliptic and higher genus algebraic curves. We believe that automorphic Lie algebras will find applications far beyond the theory of Lax operators.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank the Newton Institute for Mathematical Sciences where we started to work on this paper. The initial stage of the work of S L was supported by the University of Leeds *William Wright Smith* scholarship and successively by a grant of the Swedish foundation *Blanceflor Boncompagni-Ludovisi, née Bildt*, for which S L is most grateful. The work of A M was partially supported by RFBR grant 02-01-00431.

References

- [1] Manakov S V Novikov S P Pitajevski L P Zakharov V E 1980 *Theory of soliton. The inverse problem method* Nauka Moskov (Russian) 1984 English translation Plenum New York
- [2] Ablowitz M J Segur H 1981 *Solitons and the Inverse Scattering Transform* SIAM-Studies in Applied Mathematics SIAM Philadelphia
- [3] Calogero F Degasperis A 1982 *Spectral Transform and Solitons* Vol.1 North Holland Publishing Amsterdam
- [4] Wahlquist H D Estabrook F B 1973 *Bäcklund transformation for solution of the Korteweg-de Vries equation* Phys. Rev. Lett. **23** 1386-1389
- [5] Wahlquist H D Estabrook F B 1975 *Prolongation structures and nonlinear evolution equations* J. Math. Phys. **16** 1-7
- [6] Wahlquist H D Estabrook F B 1976 *Prolongation structures and nonlinear evolution equations* J. Math. Phys. **17** 1293-1297

- [7] Flaschka H Newell A C Tabor M 1991 *Integrability* in *What is Integrability?* Zakharov V E editor Springer series in Nonlinear Dynamics 73-114
- [8] Mikhailov A V 1979 *On the integrability of two-dimensional generalisation of the Toda Lattice* Letters in Journal of Experimental and Theoretical Physics **30** 443-448
- [9] Mikhailov A V 1981 *The reduction problem and The inverse scattering method*, in *Soliton Theory, proceedings of the Soviet–American symposium on Soliton Theory Kiev USSR 1979* Physica 3D **1& 2** 73-117
- [10] Mikhailov A V 1980 *Reduction in Integrable Systems. The Reduction Group* Pisma ZETP **32** 2 187-192
- [11] Gerdjikov V S Grahovski G G Kostov A N 2001 *Reductions of N -waves interaction related to low rank simple Lie algebras Z_2 -Reductions* J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **34** 9425-9461
- [12] Mikhailov A V Zakharov V E 1978 *Relativistically Invariant Models of Field Theory, Integrable by Inverse Transform Method* Journal of Experimental and Theoretical Physics **74** 1953-1973
- [13] Shabat A B Zakharov V E 1979 *Integration of nonlinear equations of mathematical physics by the method of the inverse scattering problem. II* Funct. Anal. Appl. **13** 166-174
- [14] Takhtajan L A and Zakharov V E 1979 *Equivalence of the nonlinear Schrodinger equation and the Heisenberg-ferromagnet equation* Theor. Math. Phys. **38** 17-23
- [15] Jacobson N 1961 *Lie Algebras* Interscience Publisher John Wiley and Sons New York-London
- [16] Zakharov V E Mikhailov A V 1983 *Inverse scattering method with spectral parameter on algebraic curves* Funct. Anal. Appl. **17** 1-6
- [17] Lombardo S Mikhailov A V *Reduction groups and automorphic Lie algebras* (in preparation)
- [18] Zhiber A V Sokolov V V 2001 *Exactly integrable hyperbolic equations of Liouville type* Russian Math. Surveys **56** no.1 61–101
- [19] Mikhailov A V Shabat A B Yamilov R I 1987 *The symmetry approach to the classification of non-linear equations. Complete lists of integrable systems* Russian Math. Surveys **42** (4) 1-63
- [20] Mikhailov A V 1982 *The Landau-Lifschitz Equation and the Riemann Boundary Problem on a Torus* Physics Letters A **92** 51
- [21] Klein F 1875 *Über binäre Formen mit linearen Transformationen in sich selbst* Mathematische Annalen Bd. **9**