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ABSTRACT *Escherichia coli* RNase E, an essential single-stranded specific endoribonuclease, is required for both ribosomal RNA processing and the rapid degradation of mRNA. The availability of the complete sequences of a number of bacterial genomes prompted us to assess the evolutionarily conservation of bacterial RNase E. We show here that the sequence of the N-terminal endoribonucleolytic domain of RNase E is evolutionarily conserved in *Synechocystis* sp. and other bacteria. Furthermore, we demonstrate that the *Synechocystis* sp. homologue binds RNase E substrates and cleaves them at the same position as the *E. coli* enzyme. Taken together these results suggest that RNase E-mediated mechanisms of RNA decay are not confined to *E. coli* and its close relatives. We also show that the C-terminal half of *E. coli* RNase E is both sufficient and necessary for its physical interaction with the 3′–5′ exoribonuclease polynucleotide phosphorylase, the RhlB helicase, and the glycolytic enzyme enolase, which are components of a “degradosome” complex. Interestingly, however, the sequence of the C-terminal half of *E. coli* RNase E is not highly conserved evolutionarily, suggesting diversity of RNase E interactions with other RNA decay components in different organisms. This notion is supported by our finding that the *Synechocystis* sp. RNase E homologue does not function as a platform for assembly of *E. coli* degradosome components.

*E. coli* RNase E is a site-specific endoribonuclease (for review, see ref. 1) that was originally identified as an activity essential for cell viability and the generation of SS rRNA from 9S RNA, a larger precursor (2). The endoribonucleolytic activity of this enzyme is now known also to have an important role in the degradation of a number of mRNAs (for reviews, see refs. 3 and 4) and antisense RNAs that control the replication of CoE1-type and IncFI plasmids (5–7). Very recently, RNase E has been reported to shorten 3′ poly(A) tails (8), which also are involved in determining *E. coli* RNA stability (for review, see ref. 9), suggesting another mechanism by which this enzyme can exercise control over RNA decay.

In *E. coli*, RNase E is a component of the degradosome, a multifunction complex whose other major components are polynucleotide phosphorylase (PNPase), a 3′–5′ exoribonuclease, the RhlB RNA helicase, and the glycolytic enzyme enolase (10–13). Three minor components of the degradosome, DnaK, GroEL, and polyphosphate kinase also have been identified (12, 14). Although RhlB has been shown to assist PNPase-mediated attack of structured RNAs (13), and there are data suggesting that polyphosphate kinase maintains an appropriate microenvironment for the degradosome by removing polyphosphate and NDPs that inhibit PNPase activity (14), the role of DnaK, GroEL, and enolase as they related to degradosome function is not known.

*E. coli* RNase E is a relatively large protein of 1,061 amino acids (15); however, its N-terminal half (Fig. 1) is sufficient *in vitro* for endoribonucleolytic cutting (16) and the removal of poly(A) tails (8). Interestingly, this domain of RNase E has high sequence similarity to the *E. coli* CafA protein (17), implicated in cell division and chromosomal segregation (18). Although, the precise role of CafA has yet to be determined, overexpression of the CafA protein partially complements temperature-sensitive mutations in RNase E (19), suggesting that CafA and the N-terminal half of RNase E may be related functionally. Recently, near the N terminus of RNase E an SI-like RNA-binding domain has been identified (ref. 20, Fig. 1), which is presumably required for the endoribonucleolytic activity of this enzyme.

Analyses of the sequence of the C-terminal half of RNase E have revealed segments rich in arginines, prolines, or acidic residues (Fig. 1; refs. 21 and 22 and this work), and a segment overlapping the centrally located arginine-rich region has been shown to bind RNase E substrates (16, 23) altering overall RNA conformation (23); however, the precise role of this arginine-rich RNA-binding domain in RNA decay has yet to be determined. Kido and associates (24) have isolated mutants containing RNase E truncated at its C terminus and shown that the protein lacking its C-terminal half is not able to interact with PNPase; therefore, although the C-terminal half contains region(s) required for degradosome assembly in addition to an RNA-binding site, it is dispensable for cell viability (24), only the N-terminal catalytic domain appears to be essential in *E. coli*.

Given the central importance of RNase E in *E. coli* RNA processing and decay there is considerable interest in identifying sequence and functional homologues of this enzyme in other organisms (for review, see ref. 1). Sequences with similarity to *E. coli* RNase E have been reported in the genomes of *Haemophilus influenzae Rd* (25), *Rickettsia*
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The putative S1-like RNA-binding domain predicted by Bycroft (26) is indicated by gray, black, and back-hatched and forward-hatched boxes, respectively. Horizontal bars indicate the location of two regions (designated HSR1 and HSR2; see Table 1) within the N-terminal endoribonucleolytic domain of E. coli RNase E (EcoN and EcoC, respectively) also are shown. These bars are horizontally split into segments that are highly conserved in other bacteria, two regions (designated HSR3 and HSR4) within the C-terminal half of E. coli RNase E having significant sequence similarity to the corresponding region of the H. influenzae Rd Rne homologue, and an RNA-binding domain of E. coli RNase E (16). The putative S1-like RNA-binding domain predicted by Bycroft et al. (26) and regions enriched in arginine, proline, and acidic residues (see Table 1) are indicated by gray, black, and back-hatched and forward-hatched boxes, respectively.

prowazekii (S. Andersson, personal communication), and cyanobacterium Synechocystis sp. (26) as well as in the plastid genome of the red algae Porphyra purpurea (27); however, to our knowledge none of these sequence homologues have been reported to have RNase E activity, bind RNA, or to be associated with a degradosome-like complex. Interestingly, although there is no sequence homologue in the genome of Bacillus subtilis (28), there is evidence for an RNase E-like activity in this organism (29). Therefore, not all of the RNase E-like activities discovered in other eubacteria, including the photosynthetic bacterium Rhodobacter capsulatus (30) and Streptomyces species S. lividans and S. coelicolor (31), and in Haloarcula marismortui (32) a member of the archaeabacteria are necessarily evolutionarily related to E. coli RNase E. A functional RNase E homologue also has been identified in human cells (33). Furthermore, a cDNA clone derived from human cells with, however, no similarity to the rne gene has been reported to encode a gene product with an RNase E-like activity (34, 35). To gain insight into the extent to which the multifaceted functions of E. coli RNase E protein are evolutionarily conserved, we have taken both phylogenetic and experimental approaches. We report here that sequences within the N-terminal catalytic domain of E. coli RNase E are highly conserved in eubacteria and that the RNase E sequence homologue in Synechocystis sp. binds RNA and cleaves RNase E substrates at the same sites as the E. coli enzyme, suggesting that RNase E-mediated mechanisms of RNA decay are not a unique feature of E. coli and it closely relatives. However, sequences within the RNase E C-terminal half, which we show here is sufficient for degradosome assembly in E. coli, are not highly conserved in the Synechocystis sp. and other bacteria, suggesting that in other RNase E-containing organisms this enzyme may differ in its interactions with other components of the RNA decay machinery. Support for this notion is provided by our finding that the Synechocystis sp. homologue when expressed in E. coli is unable to associate with any components of the degradosome.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Construction of Expression Plasmids. Construction of pVK–SSP010: a 2047-bp fragment containing the entire sequence of the Synechocystis sp. rne gene was generated by PCR amplification of the corresponding region of cosmid slr1129 (kindly provided by S. Tabata; see ref. 26) by using oligonucleotide primers 5’-GCATCTGTGCATATGCCAAAAA-CAAATTGT-3’ and 5’-CGCAGGATCCTACTCGCTGAA-3’. The PCR product was cut with Ndel and BamHI, gel purified, and ligated into Ndel- and BamHI-cut pRE196 (12). Construction of pRE220 encoding FLAG-tagged C terminus of E. coli RNase E: a DNA fragment of pRE196 spanning the E. coli rne-coding region 2,140–3,648 bp (numbering according to ref. 22) was amplified by using primers: 5’-ATATCATATGGCCTGATGTCG-3’ and 5’-GCC- GCAATTGCAAGGCAAAAGTAG-3’. The resulting PCR product was cut with Ndel and EcoRI and inserted into pRE196 cut with the same enzymes. The missing T7 terminator region was reconstituted by replacing the 573 bp BamHI/EcoRI-fragment with the 1,062-bp BamHI/EcoRI fragment from the original pRE196 (12). Construction of pRE197 encoding FLAG-tagged N terminus of E. coli RNase E: pRE196 was digested with Ndel and NraI, and the resulting ends of the plasmid were filled and religated.

Expression and Purification of Proteins. FLAG-tagged full-length E. coli Rne, its N- and C-terminal segments, and Synechocystis sp. Rne were overexpressed in E. coli BL21(DE3) cells (Novagen) containing pRE196 (12), pRE197, pRE220, and pVK–SSP010, respectively. Cells containing the appropriate plasmid were grown exponentially in Luria–Bertani medium containing carbencillin (100 μg/ml) at 30°C with shaking (150 rpm). At an OD600 of 0.5–0.6, isopropyl β-D-thiogalactoside was added to a final concentration of 1 mM, and the cultures were incubated for an additional period of 2 h. The cells were collected by centrifugation at 4°C, and the FLAG-tagged polypeptide was purified as described previously (12), including the micrococcal nuclease treatment, which prevents the copurification of proteins dependent on the presence of RNA (12).

RNA Synthesis and Labeling. Internally labeled E. coli 9S RNA and RNAI were synthesized by using HaeIII-linearized plasmid pTH90 (36) and a PCR-generated template (23), respectively, and an in vitro transcription kit from Stratagene.

In Vitro RNase E Assay. The RNAI or 9S RNA substrates were incubated separately with equimolar amounts of the E. coli degradosome or Synechocystis sp. Rne protein in RNase E reaction buffer (23) at 30°C. The reaction mixture was supplemented with the EGTA (to a final concentration of 5 mM), which specifically chelates calcium and thereby inhibits the activity of any traces of micrococcal nuclease. Aliquots were removed from the reactions at different time points, extracted with phenol, ethanol precipitated, and mixed with an equal volume of sequencing dye (37). The samples were denatured for 3 min at 85°C before running in a 7% polyacrylamide/urea sequencing gel.

Northwestern Analysis. The preparations of purified E. coli degradosome and Synechocystis sp. Rne protein were mixed separately with 2× SDS/polyacrylamide gel-loading buffer (37) and incubated at 100°C for 5 min, and aliquots of each sample were run on an 8% polyacrylamide/SDS gel (38). The proteins were transferred to poly(vinylidene difluoride) membrane (Millipore) by electroblotting for 2 h at 0.5 A/cm² and...
Table 1. General characteristics of RNase E-like proteins showing sequence similarity to the E. coli Rnase E

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organism, ref. no.</th>
<th>Accession no. in nucleic acid (and/or protein) database</th>
<th>Length, aa</th>
<th>% identity to the N terminus (residues 1–498) of E. coli RNase E</th>
<th>High sequence similarity (HSR1 and HSR2)</th>
<th>Coordinates of the regions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E. coli (22)</td>
<td>X67470 (GenBank)</td>
<td>1,061</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>41–222(HSR1), 278–398(HSR2)</td>
<td>354–391, 604–730, 789–819; 534–568, 743–778; 821–1023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P21513 (SwissProt)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haemophilus influenzae Rd (25)</td>
<td>U3272 (GenBank)</td>
<td>951</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>57–238(HSR1), 293–413(HSR2)</td>
<td>360–406, 598–788, 727–751</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P4443 (SwissProt)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synechocystis sp. PCC6803 (26)</td>
<td>D90899 (GenBank)</td>
<td>674</td>
<td>34.9</td>
<td>37–213(HSR1), 271–391(HSR2)</td>
<td>473–527, 663–670; 428–465, 504–524; 536–660</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porphyra purpurea (27)</td>
<td>P51211 (SwissProt)</td>
<td>511</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>37–213(HSR1), 271–391(HSR2)</td>
<td>No extensive arginine-rich, proline-rich, or acidic stretches</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
anti-FLAG antibodies (Fig. 2B) identified species smaller than 105 kDa, suggesting that minor contaminating species in the preparation are proteolytic products of full-length SynRne. Furthermore, as a control, we showed by using polyclonal antibodies raised against E. coli RNase E that the SynRne preparation does not contain detectable amounts of the E. coli enzyme (Fig. 2B).

To determine whether SynRne has endoribonucleolytic activity, it was incubated with 9S RNA and RNA I, both of which are well characterized substrates of E. coli RNase E. We found that both these RNAs were cut by SynRne (Fig. 3A and B); moreover, the pattern of cleavage products generated by the Synechocystis sp. enzyme was the same as that produced by E. coli RNase E. We therefore conclude that SynRne is an endoribonuclease whose cleavage specificity is indistinguishable from that of E. coli RNase E and that some and possibly all of the other sequence homologues in this study also may have RNase E-like RNA cleavage activity. In addition, by using a Northwestern assay, we detected RNA binding by SynRne (Fig. 3C). Interestingly, however, in the case of E. coli RNase E, this approach successfully detected RNA binding to a centrally located arginine-rich region (16) but not to the N-terminal endoribonucleolytic domain. It should therefore not be assumed for SynRne that the RNA binding we have detected is due to sequences having similarity to the N-terminal half of E. coli RNase E, it could well be due to one or both of its arginine-rich regions.

C-Terminal Half of E. coli RNase E Is Sufficient to Recruit the Major Degradosome Proteins. Our finding that SynRne is unable to recruit any components of the E. coli degradosome even though it has significant sequence similarity to the N-terminal half of RNase E prompted us to investigate whether this was because all of the sites required for degradosome assembly are contained within the C-terminal end of E. coli RNase E. We expressed and purified a FLAG-tagged RNase E polypeptide containing residues 530–1,061 (CRne).
and analyzed the preparation for the other major degradosome components. FLAG-tagged full-length RNase E (Rne) and an Rne polypeptide containing residues 1–634 (NRne) also were purified as controls. As shown in Fig. 4A, we found copurifying with the C-terminal RNase E polypeptide (lane 1) polypeptides having the expected molecular masses of PNPass (85 kDa), RhlB (50 kDa), and enolase (48 kDa). Moreover, the stoichiometry of these polypeptides in the CRne preparation was indistinguishable from that of the full-length RNase E preparation (lane 2). In contrast, we did not detect the stoichiometric association of polypeptides of 85, 50, and 48 kDa with NRne (lane 3). Probing of the preparations with anti-FLAG antibodies (Fig. 4B) confirmed the identity of the CRne (120 kDa), Rne (180 kDa), and NRne (80 kDa) polypeptides and revealed minor proteolytic products of CRne and NRne (lanes 1 and 3, respectively). The identity of PNPass and RhlB helicase and enolase in the CRne and full-length Rne preparations (lanes 1 and 2, respectively) was confirmed by probing the preparation with anti-PNPase antibodies (Fig. 4C), with anti-enolase and anti-RhlB antibodies (data not shown) and/or N-terminal sequencing of the candidate proteins. Taken together the above results provide good evidence that the C-terminal half of RNase E is sufficient as a platform for degradosome assembly. Interestingly, probing of the NRne preparation with antibodies against PNPass revealed the presence of this 3’ exonuclease; however, the actual amount was at least an order of magnitude lower than that found in the full-length or C-terminal preparations suggesting that in the region of overlap between NRne and CRne (residues 530–634) there is a segment(s) that binds PNPass but is not sufficient for the assembly of stable degradosomes.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Over the last few years, the explosion in genome sequencing has facilitated the extent to which genes having important roles in one organism are conserved in others to be determined, thereby, providing important insight into the evolution of cellular processes (cf. 41). The results of the computer-assisted sequence comparisons reported here (Fig. 1 and Table 1) showed that the N-terminal half of the multifaceted *E. coli* RNase E protein is evolutionarily conserved in other bacteria and in a plastid and led us to test whether the RNase E sequence homologues in a cyanobacterium *Synechocystis* sp., which is thought to have diverged from *E. coli* over 3 billion years ago (42), has endoribonuclease activity. Our finding that the *Synechocystis* sp. homologue not only cuts RNA but cuts at the same sites as *E. coli* RNase E (Fig. 2) provides good experimental support for the notion that the factors controlling mRNA processing and decay are ancient and highly conserved evolutionarily (43). However, although homologues of the N-terminal half of RNase E have been identified in the genomes of all the Gram-negative bacteria that have been completely sequenced, there appears to be a sporadic distribution of RNase E sequence homologues in the Gram-positive bacteria. In addition to the *M. tuberculosis* homologue, we have identified sequence similarity to the N-terminal half of RNase E in the partially sequenced genome of *Streptomyces coelicolor* (data not shown), but no sequence homologues of RNase E have been identified in the completely sequenced genomes of *Bacillus subtilis* (28), *Mycoplasma genitalium* and *pneumoniae* (44, 45), *Helicobacter pylori* (46), and *Borrelia burgdorferi* (47). However, although there is no RNase E sequence homologue in *B. subtilis*, there is good evidence that this bacterium does contain an RNase E-like endoribonuclease activity (29), suggesting that in some eubacteria RNase E sequence homologues became redundant through the acquisition of another gene (for review, see ref. 48) encoding RNase E-like activity.

As previous Northwestern analyses of *E. coli* RNase E detected RNA binding to a segment rich in arginine residues, but not to its N-terminal endoribonucleolytic domain (16, 49), the RNA-binding activity we have found for the *Synechocystis* sp. homologue (Fig. 3C) may be conferred by its arginine-rich region rather than the N-terminal region having sequence...
similarity to the endoribonucleolytic domain of *E. coli* RNase E. Whether or not this proves to be correct, our results show that RNA binding is another facet shared by *E. coli* RNase E and the *Synechocystis* sp. homologue. Our finding that the *Synechocystis* sp. homologue does not interact physically with any of the major components of the *E. coli* degradosome (Fig. 2A) reflects the overall lack of evolutionary conservation of the *E. coli* RNase E C-terminal half (Fig. 1), which we have shown here is sufficient as a platform for degradosome assembly in *E. coli* (Fig. 4). This result together with the recent finding that the C-terminal half of RNase E is not essential for cell viability (24), only for the normal rapid decay of RNA in *E. coli*, suggest that during evolution there has been scope for divergence in the interactions between RNase E and other components of the decay machinery.

**Note Added in Proof.** A detailed analysis of the protein–protein interactions with the *E. coli* RNA degradosome is reported in ref. 51.
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