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The incidence of hypoglycaemia in children with type 1 diabetes and treated asthma
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**Aims:** To investigate whether treatment of coexisting asthma has any effect on the incidence of hypoglycaemia and on glycaemic control in children with type 1 diabetes.

**Methods:** An observational study of children attending the paediatric diabetes clinics of five hospitals in the North Trent Region. Information on the frequency of hypoglycaemia in the preceding three months, treatment for asthma, and the individual’s latest HbA1c, was recorded when they attended for review.

**Results:** Data were collected on 226 children, of whom 27 (12%) had treated asthma. Only 11/27 children with asthma were taking their prescribed inhaled steroids. All used β agonists at least once a week. There was a reduction of 72% of those with only diabetes. There was no difference in the proportion of children experiencing nocturnal or severe hypoglycaemia. Although not significant, those with asthma and diabetes also had better overall control (HbA1c 8.8%) compared to those with diabetes alone (HbA1c 9.3%).

**Conclusions:** Diabetic children with treated asthma have significantly fewer episodes of hypoglycaemia and better glycaemic control compared to children with diabetes alone. This observation needs further investigation but raises an interesting question. Do the drugs used to treat asthma, in particular β agonists, have the therapeutic potential to reduce hypoglycaemia and facilitate an improvement in glycaemic control?

**RESULTS**

Data were collected on 226 children with diabetes. Twenty seven children were on treatment for asthma and the prevalence of asthma reflects that of the general population at 12%. Interestingly only 11/27 children prescribed inhaled steroids were using them regularly, although they used β agonists at least once a week. The mean age for those with both asthma and diabetes was 11.3 years (SD 3.3) compared to 11.5 years (SD 3.6) for those with diabetes alone. Table 1 presents details of the impact of treated asthma on hypoglycaemia and glycaemic control. There was a statistically significant reduction of 20% in the incidence of hypoglycaemia in those diabetic children with treated asthma. Of those children with both diabetes and treated asthma, 52% reported an episode of hypoglycaemia in the previous three months compared to 72% of those with diabetes alone (p < 0.05). There was no difference between the groups in the proportion of children experiencing nocturnal hypoglycaemia or severe hypoglycaemia. Although not statistically significant, those with asthma and diabetes also tended to have better overall control (HbA1c 8.8%, 95% CI 8.4 to 9.3) compared to those with diabetes alone (HbA1c 9.3%, 95% CI 9.0 to 9.5).

Analysis of the influence of age, frequency of β agonist use, and of inhaled steroids on hypoglycaemia in asthmatics was limited by the small sample size. There were no statistically significant differences, but this may be a consequence of the small numbers. Hypoglycaemia was reported by only 30% (3/10) of frequent users of β agonists compared to 58% (7/12) of less frequent users (p = 0.18). Of those using inhaled steroids, 63% (7/11) reported hypoglycaemia compared to 38% (5/13) of those not taking inhaled steroids (p = 0.29). Mean HbA1c was 9.0% (0.6%) and 8.6% (0.7%) respectively.

**DISCUSSION**

Given the tendency of both steroids and β agonists to elevate blood glucose, we had anticipated that if coexistent treatment for asthma were to influence diabetic control, we would...
perhaps see a reduction in the incidence of hypoglycaemia but at the expense of an elevation in HbA1c. We observed a significant reduction in hypoglycaemic episodes associated with a tendency to improvement in HbA1c. It may be that it is the pathological process associated with asthma that facilitates a reduction in hypoglycaemia, but we are not aware of a mechanism to explain this phenomenon. It is more likely that there is a beneficial effect of the treatment associated with asthma. This finding, if confirmed, has potential for the management of type 1 diabetes.

The study does have methodological weaknesses, which need to be acknowledged, but which should not adversely affect the results. This was an observational study of our local paediatric population and different laboratories were used for HbA1c measurements. As the proportion of children with treated asthma was similar between the centres, any discrepancy in HbA1c assays should be equally represented in both groups and should not influence the study’s findings. Similarly we adopted a pragmatic definition of hypoglycaemia, relying on children and their families to define an episode. Any variation in definition of hypoglycaemia should again be consistent across the patient population and should not bias the results.

An alternative explanation is that the relation between asthma and diabetes may in some way modify compliance with insulin therapy, alter patterns of exercise, and influence parental involvement. Children with symptomatic asthma may exercise less and as a consequence experience less exercise related hypoglycaemia. Having two chronic conditions may increase parental input and hence improve diabetic control. It is possible that these factors rather than treatment for asthma may be influencing hypoglycaemia, but we did not attempt to address them in this study.

Considering the potent direct and indirect glycaemic effects of β agonists, we would suggest that they are the most probable candidate as mediator of the reduction in hypoglycaemia we observed. Fewer than half the children in the study with asthma were using inhaled steroids, and although not statistically significant, there was an increased incidence of hypoglycaemia in those asthmatics using inhaled steroids compared to those who did not. Frequent users of β agonists had fewer episodes of hypoglycaemia than less frequent users. Reducing hypoglycaemia may facilitate an improvement in overall glycaemic control, perhaps by reducing hypoglycaemia unawareness. Such a mechanism would explain the small improvement in HbA1c we observed.

Hypoglycaemia remains one of the principle obstacles to tight glycaemic control. The evidence is by no means conclusive and these observations need further investigation, but they raise an interesting question. Do the drugs used to treat asthma, in particular β agonists, have the therapeutic potential to reduce hypoglycaemia and facilitate an improvement in glycaemic control?
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Table 1 Differences between diabetic children with treated asthma and the rest of the paediatric diabetic clinic population in the incidence of hypoglycaemia and HbA1c

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Children with asthma and diabetes (n=27)</th>
<th>Children with diabetes alone (n=176)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean age, y (SD)</td>
<td>11.3 (3.3)</td>
<td>11.5 (3.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of children reporting daytime hypoglycaemia in the past 3 months</td>
<td>52%*</td>
<td>72%*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of children reporting nocturnal hypoglycaemia in the past 3 months</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of children reporting severe hypoglycaemia in the past 3 months</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean HbA1c (95% CI)</td>
<td>8.8% (8.4 to 9.3)</td>
<td>9.3% (9.0 to 9.5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p<0.05.
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