

This is a repository copy of *Delivery of Oncolytic Reovirus by Cell Carriers*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/153262/

Version: Accepted Version

#### Article:

Ilett, EJ orcid.org/0000-0002-9875-0322 (2020) Delivery of Oncolytic Reovirus by Cell Carriers. Methods in Molecular Biology (Oncolytic Viruses), 2058. pp. 229-236. ISSN 1064-3745

https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4939-9794-7 14

© Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, part of Springer Nature 2020. This is an author produced version of a protocol published in Methods in Molecular Biology. Uploaded in accordance with the publisher's self-archiving policy.

#### Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

#### **Takedown**

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



# Delivery of Oncolytic Reovirus by Cell Carriers

Elizabeth J. Ilett

Leeds Institute of Medical Research at St James'

St James' University Hospital

Leeds

**LS9 7TF** 

e.ilett@leeds.ac.uk

#### **Abstract**

Oncolytic virus therapy is a rapidly expanding branch of cancer immunotherapy and represents a genuine opportunity to improve currently available treatment options.

However, as single agents oncolytic viruses have shown only moderate clinical benefit and many challenges remain before their full potential is realized. Central to this is the efficient delivery of the virus to the tumour site and potentiation of the anti-tumour immune response. This chapter describes the loading of oncolytic reovirus onto monocytes which act as carriers for delivery of the virus to the tumour site and, as antigen presenting cells, may also thereby potentiate the development of an adaptive anti-tumour immune response.

# **Key words**

Cell carriage, immune cells, reovirus, cancer immunotherapy, antibodies

### 1. Introduction

Oncolytic viruses (OVs) are those that preferentially infect and lyse tumour cells while sparing normal tissues. They were first developed as direct cytotoxic agents(1-3) but it is

now known that their anti-tumour activity also depends to a large extent on activation of the immune system(4, 5). The best route of delivery for OVs is not yet known; the route designed to maximize oncolysis may not best facilitate immune-mediated tumour clearance. As of 2018, the only FDA-approved OV (T-VEC) is administered by direct injection into the tumour (melanoma). This route ensures delivery of the full viral dose to the tumour tissue but the degree of anti-tumour immunity generated may be sub-maximal; observed responses to T-VEC were 15% in visceral metastases versus 64% in directly injected lesions(6). Perhaps more important, the use of intra-tumoural administration restricts the use of OV to those tumours that are readily accessible and many are not. Systemic delivery is safe, broadly applicable in a clinical setting, and more suitable for targeting visceral or widespread metastatic disease. However, virus delivered into the bloodstream faces inactivation by several mechanisms including scavenger cells in the blood or reticuloendothelial organs and immune molecules such as complement and virus-specific antibodies. Thus the virus faces rapid neutralization before it can ever reach the tumour. One method that has been investigated to protect the OV within the bloodstream is to load the virus onto or into carrier cells. Various cell types have demonstrated efficacy as carriers for OV delivery to tumours including, transformed cells, endothelial cells and immune cells(7-10). The benefit of using immune cells is the potential for a dual attack on the tumour. For example, using tumour-specific T cells for direct delivery of an oncolytic agent theoretically ensures specific delivery of the virus to the tumour target and, in addition, benefits from the cytotoxic potential of the carrier cell(11). Although expanding endogenous tumour-specific T cells from patients is still problematic, chimeric antigen receptor (CAR) T cells represent an attractive possibility as viral carriers(12). Alternatively, using antigen

presenting cells such as dendritic cells (DC) or monocytes as the viral carriers may enhance the development of an adaptive anti-tumour immune response(10, 13).

More recently, it has been shown that virus-specific neutralizing antibodies are not always a barrier to systemic OV delivery and tumour therapy. Reovirus, a non-enveloped, double stranded RNA virus, is taken up more efficiently by monocytes in the presence of anti-viral antibodies(14); this is dependent on antibody receptors expressed by these cells. Furthermore, while antibody-neutralized reovirus or Coxsackievirus are unable to infect and kill tumour cells directly, when loaded onto human monocytes these viruses can be delivered to tumour cells in a functional/replicative form resulting in cell lysis(15). The protocol for loading monocytes with reovirus or with reovirus-antibody complexes is described below. The same protocol is effective for the loading of other immune cell subtypes following their isolation by magnetic-activated cell sorting (MACS); T cells, DC and natural killer (NK) cells have all been loaded in this manner. After viral loading, the carrier cells can be co-cultured with tumour targets for 72-96 h to elicit tumour cell death.

#### 2. Materials

#### 2.1 Preparation of human cells

- 1. Human buffy coat/leukapheresis cone/fresh blood
- 2. PBS
- 3. Lymphoprep density gradient medium
- 4. Pasteur pipettes
- 5. human anti-CD14 MicroBeads or the Pan Monocyte isolation kit (see Note 1)

- 6. MACS Manual Separator
- 7. Magnetic cell separation LS columns
- 8. MACS buffer: PBS containing 1% (v/v) FBS and 2mM EDTA

# 2.2 Preparation of murine cells

- 1. Dissection equipment: scissors, tweezers, scalpel
- 2. Sterile 70% ethanol
- 3. Complete RPMI: supplement RPMI with 10% (v/v) FBS, 2 mM L-glutamine, 0.05 mM  $\beta$ -mercaptoethanol, Penicillin 100 U/ml, Streptomycin 100  $\mu$ g/ml.
- 4. Mouse monocyte isolation kit (bone marrow)
- 5. MACS Manual Separator
- 6. Magnetic cell separation LS columns
- 7. MACS buffer: PBS containing 1% (v/v) FBS and 2 mM EDTA

# 2.3 Loading immune cells with reovirus

- 1. Complete medium as required for target cells
- 2. Complete RPMI
- 3. Reovirus
- 4. Anti-reoviral antibodies (optional, for generating antibody-neutralized reovirus complexes)

#### 3. Methods

# 3.1 Preparation of cells

# 3.1.1 Preparation of human PBMC by density gradient separation

Carry out all steps at room temperature.

- 1. Dilute blood sample. Fresh blood and buffy coats should be diluted 1:1 with PBS, leukapheresis cones should be diluted with PBS to a final volume of 50 ml.
- 2. Layer blood onto lymphoprep at a ratio of 2:1, blood to lymphoprep. Add 15 ml lymphoprep per 50 ml Falcon tube and carefully layer 25 ml diluted blood on top.
- 3. Centrifuge at 800 g for 25 min with minimum acceleration and brake.
- 4. Remove white buffy layer gently with wide tipped Pasteur pipette. Combine 2 buffy layers into 1 and add PBS to 50 ml.
- 5. Centrifuge at 400 g for 10 min.
- 6. Discard supernatant and flick tube to loosen pellet. Combine cell pellets, add PBS to 50 ml and centrifuge at 300 g for 5 min.
- 7. Discard supernatant, re-suspend cell pellet in 50 ml PBS and make a 1 in 10 dilution for cell counting. Count PMBC.
- 8. Place the required number of PBMC into a fresh 50 ml Falcon tube, make volume up to 50 ml and centrifuge at 300 g for 5 min (*see* Note 2).
- 9. Discard supernatant. Cells are now ready for selection (see Note 3).

# 3.1.2 Preparation of murine bone marrow cells

- Dissect out mouse hind legs removing as much muscle as possible and place in medium, on ice.
- 2. Put bones in 70% ethanol for 1 minute.

- 3. Put bones into fresh complete RPMI in 10 cm dish and separate and strip tibias and femurs, then remove both ends of the bones with a scalpel.
- 4. Transfer into fresh complete RPMI in a new dish and using a 21 G needle and 10 ml syringe. Pull up a few ml of medium and flush the marrow out each of bone into the dish.
- 5. Transfer cells and medium to a 50 ml Falcon tube and pipette up and down repeatedly to dissociate the cells. Pass through a  $40 \mu m$  cell strainer into a fresh tube.
- 6. Add PBS to a final volume of 50 ml, take an aliquot of cells for counting and centrifuge the remainder at 400 g for 5 min, minimum brake (*see* Note 4).
- 7. Discard supernatant. Cells are now ready for selection.

#### 3.2 Selection of Immune Cells (monocytes)

#### 3.2.1 Human monocytes

- Re-suspend the PBMC in the required volume of cold MACS buffer according to the manufacturer's instructions and add the appropriate volume of CD14 MicroBeads (see Note 5).
- 2. Incubate at 4°C for 15 min.
- Add 10 ml cold MACS buffer and centrifuge cells at 300 g for 5 min. Discard the supernatant, re-suspend the cells in an appropriate volume of MACS buffer according to the manufacturer's instructions and add to a prepared LS column (see Note 6).
- 4. Apply cell suspension and allow to run through.
- 5. Rinse through 3 times with 3 ml MACS buffer.

- 6. Add 5 ml of MACS buffer to the column, immediately remove from the magnet and flush positive cells out into a fresh 30 ml Universal tube or 15 ml Falcon tube using the plunger.
- 7. Count CD14 cells. Verification of cell purity should be carried out by FACS analysis.

  The cells are now ready for loading with reovirus (*see* Note 7).

# 3.2.2 Murine monocytes

- 1. Re-suspend cells in 175  $\mu$ l MACS buffer per 5 x 10<sup>7</sup> cells.
- 2. Add 25 µl FcR blocking reagent and mix well.
- 3. Add 50  $\mu$ l antibody cocktail per 5 x 10 $^7$  cells, mix well and incubate at 4 $^\circ$ C for 5 min.
- 4. Add 10 ml MACS buffer per 5 x 10<sup>7</sup> cells and centrifuge at 300 g for 10 min.
- 5. Re-suspend cells in 400  $\mu$ l MACS buffer per 5 x 10 $^7$  cells, add 100  $\mu$ l microbeads per 5 x 10 $^7$  cells and incubate at 4 $^\circ$ C for 10 min.
- 6. Apply this solution directly to a prepared LS column and allow to run through.
- 7. Rinse through with 3 x 3 ml MACS buffer and collect eluate containing the untouched monocytes.
- 8. Count the monocytes. Verification of cell purity should be carried out by FACS analysis. The cells are now ready for loading with reovirus (*see* Note 8).

#### 3.3 Loading immune cells with reovirus

- 1. Place the required number of immune cells into a 15 ml Falcon tube (see Note 9).
- 2. Add 10 ml of PBS to the tube, centrifuge cells at 300 g for 10 min and discard supernatant.

- 3. Add reovirus at the required multiplicity of infection (MOI) to the cells in the residual volume of PBS (*see* Note 10).
- 4. Incubate for 3-4 h at 4°C.
- 5. Add 10 ml PBS to the tube, centrifuge at 300 g for 10 min and discard the supernatant.
- 6. Repeat the PBS wash twice more to ensure complete removal of unbound reovirus.
- 7. Gently re-suspend the cell pellet in the required volume of complete RPMI and add the loaded carrier cells to the tumour targets (*see* Note 11).
- 8. Monitor tumour cell death.

# 3.4 Preparation and loading of reovirus-antibody complexes

Reovirus-neutralizing antibody complexes (reoNAb) have been generated using (i) whole serum from clinical trial patients receiving Reolysin® therapy or (ii) reovirus-specific mouse monoclonal antibodies(15).

- 1. To form the reoNAb complexes, incubate the reovirus with a neutralizing volume of the serum/antibody in a 500  $\mu$ l eppendorf and incubate at 37°C for 2-3 h (see Note 12).
- 2. After incubation, store the reoNAb at 4°C (see Note 13).
- ReoNAb loading onto immune cells is performed in exactly the same manner as described above for reovirus.

### 4. Notes

 If the loaded monocytes are to be processed for electron microscopy the use of negative selection to isolate untouched monocytes is preferable as this avoids the

- problem of bead debris in the preparation which can produce artefacts in the microscopy images.
- 2. Estimate the number of PBMC required from the expected frequency of the cell subset found within the whole PBMC population e.g. monocytes make up approx. 10-20% of human PBMC, T cells up to 70% and NK cells 5-10%. DC are rare (1-2%) but myeloid-derived DC can be obtained by culturing isolated monocytes in medium containing 800 U/ml human recombinant GM-CSF and 500 U/ml human recombinant IL-4 for 5-6 days. Anticipate up to 30% cell loss to occur during the culture period. The cells will have an immature DC phenotype and can be used for viral loading or matured with a suitable stimulus prior to use. We routinely loaded 2 x 106 carrier cells with reovirus for each condition required.
- Cell separation is best carried out using fresh samples rather than frozen. However, buffy coats and leukapheresis cones can be stored at room temperature overnight prior to PBMC preparation.
- 4. Typical count of total BM cells =  $4 5 \times 10^7$  cells per mouse.
- 5. For positive selection of monocytes using CD14 MicroBeads, it is possible to obtain efficient isolation using only 5  $\mu$ l of the beads per  $10^7$  cells rather than the recommended 20  $\mu$ l. We have only verified this for Miltenyi CD14 MicroBeads.
- 6. 2 LS columns are required per whole buffy coat/leukapheresis cone
- 7. If required, isolated cells can be cultured overnight in RPMI/10% FBS/2 mM L-glutamine prior to loading with virus.
- 8. If DC are required the monocytes can be cultured in RPMI complete medium (no Pen/Strep) containing 20% L929 conditioned medium as a source of GM-CSF. The cells should be viewed daily and fresh medium added as required. At day 5 6 the

- cells will have an immature DC phenotype. They can then be used for viral loading or matured with a suitable stimulus prior to use.
- 9. 15 ml polypropylene Falcon tubes give more efficient retrieval of cells following viral loading than 30 ml Universal polystyrene tubes. Fewer than  $2 \times 10^6$  cells per tube is not recommended as the cell pellet is easily lost during the post-loading wash steps.
- 10. MOI ranging from 1-10 with respect to the target cells have been used successfully(10, 13, 15). Coxsackievirus A21 has also been loaded efficiently using this protocol(15).
- 11. Tumour target cells should be seeded into multi-well plates 24 h prior to the addition of the carrier cells. Routinely  $3 \times 10^5$  melanoma cells were seeded into wells in 6-well plates. Immediately prior to adding the loaded carrier cells, the medium in the wells should be removed and replaced with 2 ml fresh complete medium. Virus-loaded carrier cells were then added at a 4:1, 2:1 or 1:1 ratio, carrier cells to targets, in a volume of  $500 700 \,\mu$ l.
- 12. The amount of serum/antibody required should be determined by a standard neutralization assay on L929 cells and confirmed by the absence of cell death in target cells treated directly with reoNAb. In general, a reovirus to serum ratio of 1:10 was used for the formation of reoNAb complexes; this was in excess of the volume that was found to be completely neutralizing for all sera tested.
- 13. Pre-formed reoNAb complexes can be stored at 4°C for up to 12 h prior to use.

#### References

1. Stojdl DF, Lichty B, Knowles S, Marius R, Atkins H, Sonenberg N, et al. Exploiting tumor-specific defects in the interferon pathway with a previously unknown oncolytic virus. Nat Med. 2000;6(7):821-5.

- 2. Brun J, McManus D, Lefebvre C, Hu K, Falls T, Atkins H, et al. Identification of genetically modified Maraba virus as an oncolytic rhabdovirus. Molecular therapy: the journal of the American Society of Gene Therapy. 2010;18(8):1440-9.
- 3. Martuza RL, Malick A, Markert JM, Ruffner KL, Coen DM. Experimental therapy of human glioma by means of a genetically engineered virus mutant. Science. 1991;252(5007):854-6.
- 4. Kaufman HL, Kohlhapp FJ, Zloza A. Oncolytic viruses: a new class of immunotherapy drugs. Nat Rev Drug Discov. 2015;14(9):642-62.
- 5. Prestwich RJ, Errington F, Ilett EJ, Morgan RS, Scott KJ, Kottke T, et al. Tumor infection by oncolytic reovirus primes adaptive antitumor immunity. Clin Cancer Res. 2008;14(22):7358-66.
- 6. Andtbacka RH, Kaufman HL, Collichio F, Amatruda T, Senzer N, Chesney J, et al. Talimogene Laherparepvec Improves Durable Response Rate in Patients With Advanced Melanoma. J Clin Oncol. 2015.
- 7. Power AT, Wang J, Falls TJ, Paterson JM, Parato KA, Lichty BD, et al. Carrier Cell-based Delivery of an Oncolytic Virus Circumvents Antiviral Immunity. Mol Ther. 2007;15(1):123-30.
- 8. Iankov ID, Blechacz B, Liu C, Schmeckpeper JD, Tarara JE, Federspiel MJ, et al. Infected cell carriers: a new strategy for systemic delivery of oncolytic measles viruses in cancer virotherapy. Mol Ther. 2007;15(1):114-22.
- 9. Cole C, Qiao J, Kottke T, Diaz RM, Ahmed A, Sanchez-Perez L, et al. Tumor-targeted, systemic delivery of therapeutic viral vectors using hitchhiking on antigen-specific T cells. Nat Med. 2005;11(10):1073-81.
- 10. Ilett EJ, Prestwich RJ, Kottke T, Errington F, Thompson JM, Harrington KJ, et al. Dendritic cells and T cells deliver oncolytic reovirus for tumour killing despite pre-existing anti-viral immunity. Gene Ther. 2009;16(5):689-99.
- 11. Qiao J, Wang H, Kottke T, Diaz RM, Willmon C, Hudacek A, et al. Loading of oncolytic vesicular stomatitis virus onto antigen-specific T cells enhances the efficacy of adoptive T-cell therapy of tumors. Gene Ther. 2008;15(8):604-16.
- 12. VanSeggelen H, Tantalo DG, Afsahi A, Hammill JA, Bramson JL. Chimeric antigen receptorengineered T cells as oncolytic virus carriers. Mol Ther Oncolytics. 2015;2:15014.
- 13. Ilett EJ, Barcena M, Errington-Mais F, Griffin S, Harrington KJ, Pandha HS, et al. Internalization of Oncolytic Reovirus by Human Dendritic Cell Carriers Protects the Virus from Neutralization. Clinical cancer research: an official journal of the American Association for Cancer Research. 2011;17(9):2767-76.
- 14. Ilett E, Kottke T, Donnelly O, Thompson J, Willmon C, Diaz R, et al. Cytokine Conditioning Enhances Systemic Delivery and Therapy of an Oncolytic Virus. Mol Ther. 2014;22(10):1851-63.
- 15. Berkeley RA, Steele LP, Mulder AA, van den Wollenberg DJM, Kottke TJ, Thompson J, et al. Antibody-Neutralized Reovirus Is Effective in Oncolytic Virotherapy. Cancer immunology research. 2018.