



UNIVERSITY OF LEEDS

This is a repository copy of *A two-step approach for the investigation of a Clostridium difficile outbreak by molecular methods*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:  
<http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/152613/>

Version: Accepted Version

---

**Article:**

Krutova, M, Wilcox, MH [orcid.org/0000-0002-4565-2868](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4565-2868) and Kuijper, EJ (2019) A two-step approach for the investigation of a Clostridium difficile outbreak by molecular methods. Clinical Microbiology and Infection, 25 (11). pp. 1300-1301. ISSN 1198-743X

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmi.2019.07.022>

---

© 2019 European Society of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. This manuscript version is made available under the CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>.

**Reuse**

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND) licence. This licence only allows you to download this work and share it with others as long as you credit the authors, but you can't change the article in any way or use it commercially. More information and the full terms of the licence here: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/>

**Takedown**

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing [eprints@whiterose.ac.uk](mailto:eprints@whiterose.ac.uk) including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



[eprints@whiterose.ac.uk](mailto:eprints@whiterose.ac.uk)  
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/>

1    Commentary

2    **A two-step approach for the investigation of a *Clostridium difficile* outbreak by**  
3    **molecular methods.**

4  
5    Marcela Krutova<sup>1,4</sup>, Mark Wilcox<sup>2,4</sup> and Ed Kuijper<sup>3,4</sup>

6    1. Department of Medical Microbiology, Charles University, 2nd Faculty of Medicine and  
7    Motol University Hospital, Prague, Czech Republic.

8    2. Leeds Teaching Hospitals NHS Trust & University of Leeds, Leeds, United Kingdom.

9    3. Department of Medical Microbiology, Leiden University Medical Centre, Leiden, the  
10    Netherlands.

11    4. European Society of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases (ESCMID) study group  
12    for *Clostridioides difficile* (ESGCD).

13  
14  
15  
16    **Corresponding author:**

17    MSc. Marcela Krutova, Ph.D.

18    Department of Medical Microbiology

19    Charles University, 2nd Faculty of Medicine and Motol University Hospital

20    V Uvalu 84, 150 06 Prague 5, Czech Republic

21    Tel: +420 732 532 499, E-mail: marcela.krutova@lfmotol.cuni.cz

Clostridium difficile is a leading pathogen of healthcare-associated infections with an increasing incidence monitored between 2005-2013 in Europe [1]. In order to characterize C. difficile isolates, a wide range of molecular methods that target different regions in the C. difficile genome were developed [2]. The comparison of different typing approaches revealed differences in discriminatory power, turnaround time and cost [2]. According to the results from a survey on the typing capacity for C. difficile in Europe, the most widely applied method for characterisation of C. difficile isolates for surveillance purposes is PCR ribotyping (25 countries) followed by Multi-Locus Variable-number tandem-repeats Analysis (MLVA, 13 countries); Whole Genome Sequencing (WGS) was available only in 5 countries in 2017 [1].

The recent review “How to: molecular investigation of a hospital outbreak” written by Nutman and Marchaim proposed WGS as “the initial test and a single molecular test” for the characterisation of C. difficile isolates in a suspected outbreak situation [3]. Although WGS can be considered as an ultimate typing method [2], at present, it is usually used for fingerprinting, when comparing selected C. difficile isolates retrospectively, rather than prospective typing per se, probably because of its limited availability and high cost relative to more accessible methods. These obstacles could be the reason why healthcare institutions are reluctant to employ this method when dealing with a suspected C. difficile outbreak. Based on the experiences of three National reference laboratories for C. difficile, and recent publications of supporting data, we would like to propose a two-step approach for the investigation of a suspected CDI outbreak by molecular methods. This two-step approach would provide an epidemiological relevant timeframe for confirmation of a suspected CDI outbreak as well as being cost-effective by selecting highly related C. difficile isolates for further investigation.

Step one: The typing of *C. difficile* isolates by capillary electrophoresis polymerase chain reaction (PCR) ribotyping.

The capillary electrophoresis PCR ribotyping is recommended for characterisation of *C. difficile* isolates for CDI surveillance purposes, and indeed is the currently favoured approach by European centre for disease prevention and control (ECDC) [1]. This method is based on the variability in length and number of copies of intergenic spacer region (ISR) between genes encoding 16S and 23S ribosomal RNA. Using a validated protocol for capillary electrophoresis PCR ribotyping, a high inter-laboratory reproducibility of results is obtained [4]. PCR ribotyping enables the characterisation of a large number of *C. difficile* isolates in a short time to determine if a potential cluster of CDI cases, i.e. caused by *C. difficile* strain with the same ribotype, is present. When a common ribotype is identified among CDI cases with a strong epidemiological link (time and place), these *C. difficile* isolates should be investigated further using a more discriminatory, molecular fingerprinting method [5].

Step two: The subtyping of *C. difficile* isolates by Multi-Locus Variable-number tandem-repeats Analysis (MLVA) and/or Whole Genome Sequencing (WGS).

MLVA is targeted to variable regions in the *C. difficile* genome. The selected genome loci with short tandem repeats are amplified and separated by capillary electrophoresis. The difference between two isolates is defined as the sum of tandem repeats differences (STRD). A clonal complex (CC) was defined as  $\text{STRD} \leq 2$  and genetically related clusters are identified by an  $\text{STRD} \leq 10$  [6]. In *C. difficile* isolates of the same ribotype, MLVA enables the identification of CDI cases with indistinguishable, very highly related *C. difficile* strains, or unrelated CDI cases [5]. The technical requirements for MLVA are the similar to capillary-electrophoresis ribotyping, thus there is the capacity to introduce MLVA into laboratories where capillary-electrophoresis PCR ribotyping is available.

Using WGS, the comparison of genetic relatedness between *C. difficile* isolates is often based on single nucleotide variants (SNV) calling [7], when the genomic data of index *C. difficile* isolates are compared with a reference *C. difficile* genome. A more recent bioinformatics approach is core genome Multi-Locus Sequence Typing (cgMLST), where a defined set of *C. difficile* genes is analysed in order to determine the allelic profile of index *C. difficile* isolate [8]. The application of cgMLST for CDI surveillance seems to be an interesting alternative for laboratories that do not have the equipment for capillary electrophoresis PCR ribotyping and MLVA, but have access to the next generation sequencing platforms. Currently, the backward compatibility of cgMLST with PCR ribotyping is being investigated by a consortium of scientists. In addition to determining genetic relatedness between individual *C. difficile* isolates, WGS provides information on antimicrobial resistance determinants, mobile genetic elements and virulence factors (e.g. toxin genes).

The MLVA and WGS fingerprinting methods exhibit similarly high discriminatory power for *C. difficile*, although they target different parts of the genome [2, 7]; when compared in CDI outbreak/clusters settings, 95% concordance was observed [7]. For instance, through a combination of PCR ribotyping, MLVA and WGS, an outbreak and cross-national transmission of ribotype 018 was identified by in Germany [9].

## **Conclusion**

For the purposes of retrospective epidemiologic investigations, *C. difficile* isolates or *C. difficile* positive stool samples should be stored [10] at microbiological departments of individual hospitals. When a *C. difficile* infection outbreak/cluster is suspected, the national or reference or central laboratory for *C. difficile* should be contacted [1]. For a molecular investigation of a suspected CDI outbreak/cluster, we recommend the use of capillary electrophoresis ribotyping as the initial short turnaround time typing method. When a

common *C. difficile* ribotype is identified, the second subtyping method, WGS or MLVA, should be applied.

#### **Transparency declaration:**

All authors report no conflict of interest relevant to this article.

No financial support was received for this study.

#### **References:**

[1] Krutova M, Kinross P, Barbut F, Hajdu A, Wilcox MH, Kuijper EJ; survey contributors. How to: Surveillance of *Clostridium difficile* infections. *Clin Microbiol Infect*. 2018;24:469-75. doi: 10.1016/j.cmi.2017.12.008.

[2] Knetsch CW, Lawley TD, Hensgens MP, Corver J, Wilcox MW, Kuijper EJ. Current application and future perspectives of molecular typing methods to study *Clostridium difficile* infections. *Euro Surveill*. 2013;18:20381.

[3] Nutman A, Marchaim D. How to: molecular investigation of a hospital outbreak. *Clin Microbiol Infect*. 2019;25:688-95. doi: 10.1016/j.cmi.2018.09.017

[4] Fawley WN, Knetsch CW, MacCannell DR, Harmanus C, Du T, Mulvey MR, et al. Development and validation of an internationally-standardized, high-resolution capillary gel-based electrophoresis PCR-ribotyping protocol for *Clostridium difficile*. *PLoS One*. 2015;10:e0118150. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0118150.

[5] Fawley WN, Wilcox MH; *Clostridium difficile* Ribotyping Network for England and Northern Ireland. An enhanced DNA fingerprinting service to investigate potential *Clostridium difficile* infection case clusters sharing the same PCR ribotype. *J Clin Microbiol*. 2011;49:4333-7. doi: 10.1128/JCM.05873-11.

- [6] van den Berg RJ, Schaap I, Templeton KE, Klaassen CH, Kuijper EJ. Typing and subtyping of *Clostridium difficile* isolates by using multiple-locus variable-number tandem-repeat analysis. *J Clin Microbiol* 2007;45:1024-8.
- [7] Eyre DW, Fawley WN, Best EL, Griffiths D, Stoesser NE, Crook DW, et al. Comparison of multilocus variable-number tandem-repeat analysis and whole-genome sequencing for investigation of *Clostridium difficile* transmission. *J Clin Microbiol*. 2013;51:4141-9. doi: 10.1128/JCM.01095-13.
- [8] Bletz S, Janezic S, Harmsen D, Rupnik M, Mellmann A. Defining and Evaluating a Core Genome Multilocus Sequence Typing Scheme for Genome-Wide Typing of *Clostridium difficile*. *J Clin Microbiol*. 2018;56. pii: e01987-17. doi: 10.1128/JCM.01987-17.
- [9] Berger FK, Gfrörer S, Becker SL, Baldan R, Cirillo DM, Frentrup M, et al. Hospital outbreak due to *Clostridium difficile* ribotype 018 (RT018) in Southern Germany. *Int J Med Microbiol*. 2019;309:189-93. doi: 10.1016/j.ijmm.2019.03.001.
- [10] Freeman J, Wilcox MH. The effects of storage conditions on viability of *Clostridium difficile* vegetative cells and spores and toxin activity in human faeces. *J Clin Pathol*. 2003;56:126-8.