

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-12105-9

OPFN

Publisher Correction: Measuring the ionisation fraction in a jet from a massive protostar

R. Fedriani ^{1,2}, A. Caratti o Garatti ^{1,2}, S.J.D. Purser¹, A. Sanna³, J.C. Tan^{4,5}, R. Garcia-Lopez¹, T.P. Ray¹, D. Coffey ^{1,2}, B. Stecklum⁶ & M. Hoare⁷

Correction to: Nature Communications https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-11595-x, published online 9 August 2019.

The original version of this Article contained an error in last sentence of the legend to Table 4, which incorrectly read 'aAssuming a tangential velocity of $150-300 \, \mathrm{km}^{-1}$ from the proper motions of ref. ²²' The correct version states ' $150-300 \, \mathrm{km} \, \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ ' in place of ' $150-300 \, \mathrm{km}^{-1}$ '.

The original version also contained errors in Table 5, in which the headings of the fifth, sixth and seventh rows incorrectly read, ' $\dot{M}_{\rm ionised}$ (×10⁻⁶ M_{\odot} y⁻¹)', ' $\dot{M}_{\rm ejec}$ (×10⁻⁵ M_{\odot} y⁻¹)' and ' $\dot{P}_{\rm ionised}$ (×10⁻⁴ M_{\odot} y⁻¹ km⁻¹)', instead of the correct ' $\dot{M}_{\rm ionised}$ (×10⁻⁶ M_{\odot} yr⁻¹)', ' $\dot{M}_{\rm ejec}$ (×10⁻⁵ M_{\odot} yr⁻¹)' and ' $\dot{P}_{\rm ionised}$ (×10⁻⁴ M_{\odot} yr⁻¹ km s⁻¹)', respectively.

The last sentence of the legend to Table 5 originally incorrectly read 'a Assuming a tangential velocity of $150-300 \, \mathrm{km}^{-1}$ from the proper motions of ref. ²²' The correct version states ' $150-300 \, \mathrm{km} \, \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ ' in place of ' $150-300 \, \mathrm{km}^{-1}$ '.

The original version also contained an error in the third sentence of the first paragraph of the 'Ionised mass-loss rate on source' section of the Methods, which incorrectly read 'From our observations we obtain the following parameters for core B: $S_{5.8}=0.794\pm0.03$ mJy, v=5.8 GHz, $v_j=600\pm100$ km $^{-1}$, $\theta_0=52.3^{\circ}\pm4.4^{\circ}$, D=2.2 kpc, T=10,000 K, the resulting ionised mass-loss rate is $1.81\pm0.33\times10^{-6}$ M $_{\odot}$ yr $^{-1}$, consistent with ref. ²².' The correct version states ' $v_j=600\pm100$ km s $^{-1}$ ' in place of ' $v_j=600\pm100$ km $^{-1}$ '.

This has been corrected in both the PDF and HTML versions of the Article.

Published online: 03 September 2019

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2019

1

¹Dublin Institute for Advanced Studies, School of Cosmic Physics, Astronomy & Astrophysics Section, 31 Fitzwilliam Place, Dublin D02 XF86, Ireland. ²School of Physics, University College Dublin, Belfield, Dublin 4, Ireland. ³ Max-Planck-Institut für Radioastronomie, Auf dem Hügel 69, 53121 Bonn, Germany.

⁴ Department of Space, Earth & Environment, Chalmers University of Technology, SE-412 93 Gothenburg, Sweden. ⁵ Department of Astronomy, University of Virginia, 530 McCormick Road, Charlottesville, VA 22904-4325, USA. ⁶ Thüringer Landessternwarte Tautenburg, Sternwarte 5, 07778 Tautenburg, Germany. ⁷ School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Leeds, Leeds LS2 9JT, UK. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to R.F. (email: fedriani@cp.dias.ie)