

This is a repository copy of *Uptake of antibiotics and their toxicity to lettuce following routine irrigation with contaminated water in different soil types*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:

<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/150985/>

Version: Accepted Version

---

**Article:**

Sallach, Jonathan Brett orcid.org/0000-0003-4588-3364, Bartelt-Hunt, Shannon L., Snow, Daniel D. et al. (2 more authors) (2018) Uptake of antibiotics and their toxicity to lettuce following routine irrigation with contaminated water in different soil types. *Environmental Engineering Science*. pp. 887-896. ISSN 1557-9018

<https://doi.org/10.1089/ees.2017.0376>

---

**Reuse**

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

**Takedown**

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing [eprints@whiterose.ac.uk](mailto:eprints@whiterose.ac.uk) including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.

1 **Uptake of antibiotics and their toxicity to lettuce following routine irrigation with**  
2 **contaminated water in soils with increasing sand content**

3 J. Brett Sallach<sup>a,1,\*</sup>, Shannon L. Bartelt-Hunt<sup>a</sup>, Daniel D. Snow<sup>b,c</sup>, Xu Li<sup>a</sup>, and Laurie Hodges<sup>d</sup>

4 <sup>a</sup>Department of Civil Engineering, University of Nebraska-Lincoln, PO BOX 886105, Lincoln,  
5 NE, 68588-6105 USA

6 <sup>b</sup>Nebraska Water Center, University of Nebraska-Lincoln, 202 Water Sciences Laboratory Bldg.  
7 Lincoln, NE, 68583-0844 USA

8 <sup>c</sup>School of Natural Resources, University of Nebraska-Lincoln, 3310 Holdrege St. Lincoln, NE,  
9 68583-0961 USA

10 <sup>d</sup>Department of Agronomy & Horticulture, University of Nebraska-Lincoln, 202 Keim Hall,  
11 Lincoln, NE, 68583-0915 USA

12 \*corresponding author: brett.sallach@york.ac.uk, telephone 01904 322999

13 **Present Address**

14 <sup>1</sup>Environment Department, University of York, Wentworth Way, Heslington, York YO10 5NG,  
15 UK

16 **Key Words**

17 Antibiotic, uptake, toxicity, soil texture, water reuse

18 **Running Title**

19 Antibiotic uptake and toxicity to lettuce

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21

**Abstract**

To address the issue of global freshwater shortages, wastewater has become an increasingly valuable alternative for crop irrigation. As a result, trace levels of emerging contaminants, including antibiotics, may occur in water used for food production. The objective of this study was to investigate how soil texture affected the availability and uptake of three chemically diverse antibiotics (lincomycin, oxytetracycline, and sulfamethoxazole) by lettuce grown in soils comprised of a silt clay and increasing percentages of sand. Lettuce was irrigated routinely with antibiotic amended water (1 mg/L) from seed germination through the first harvest (40 days), switched to control water, and fate monitored at day 45 and 50. Sulfamethoxazole was the only compound where tissue concentrations increased with increasing sand concentrations to 24.7 ng/g fresh weight (FW). Lincomycin was most readily accumulated with increasing concentrations observed at the second harvest in both the loam (68.3 ng/g FW) and sandy soils (66.6 ng/g FW). Apparent toxicity of the antibiotic mixture resulted in decreasing plant mass (37-72 %) with increasing sand content. Results from this study show that soil texture impacts plant growth, contaminant transport, plant uptake, and toxic effects, which all contribute to, observed concentrations in edible plant portions.

# 1 Introduction

2 Wastewater is an increasingly valuable resource as a potential alternative to freshwater  
3 owing to the fact that population growth and climate change have depleted water supplies  
4 necessary for crop irrigation (Boxall, 2010; Monteiro and Boxall, 2010; Michael *et al.*, 2013).  
5 The growing use of wastewater for crop irrigation coupled with increasing use of  
6 pharmaceuticals, such as antibiotics, increases the potential for agroecosystem contamination by  
7 these emerging contaminants (Toze, 2006; Du and Liu, 2012; Williams-Nguyen *et al.*, 2016).  
8 While the practice of wastewater reuse for agriculture has long been implemented in Israel,  
9 Jordan, Peru, and Saudi Arabia (WHO, 1989; Azov and Shelef, 1991), its increasing acceptance  
10 is demonstrated by recent studies evaluating its viability in other regions including India (Salidas  
11 *et al.*, 2015), Tanzania (Kahila *et al.*, 2014), and Vietnam (Trinh *et al.*, 2013). Typically, some  
12 form of treatment is recommended prior to use of recycled wastewater; however, it has been  
13 estimated that 20 million hectares of agricultural land is irrigated directly with untreated  
14 wastewater (WHO, 2006). In some cities in developing countries, up to 60 % of vegetables  
15 consumed locally have been grown with untreated wastewater which was valued significantly  
16 higher than traditional sources of irrigation water by area farmers (Ensick and vander Hoek,  
17 2007). Once introduced into the agroecosystem, pharmaceutical contaminants present in  
18 wastewater are capable of transport and uptake into plants (Thiele-Bruhn, 2003; Fatta-Kassinos  
19 *et al.*, 2011; Pan and Chu, 2017; Sallach *et al.*, 2015).

20 In the case of treated wastewater recycling, treatment technologies are not entirely  
21 effective for removal of these chemicals. The efficacy in removal of antibiotics from wastewater  
22 in the treatment process is dependent on physicochemical properties, which vary considerably  
23 between antibiotic compounds. The result is a range of removal efficiencies from 4 % for

1 oxytetracycline to 100 % for sulfadimethazine (Verlicchi *et al.*, 2012). However, one of the  
2 primary advantages in wastewater reuse is the recycling of nutrients, otherwise removed, with  
3 great expense, in the treatment process (Duran-Alvarez and Jimenez-Cisneros, 2014). Life cycle  
4 assessment studies have evaluated the use of wastewater management strategies that include the  
5 separation and application of toilet fractions, a source of pharmaceutical contamination in raw  
6 municipal wastewater, to agricultural applications with minimal treatment (Spangberg *et al.*,  
7 2014). Furthermore, management practices associated with concentrated animal feeding  
8 operations (CAFOs) often involve the application of highly contaminated wash and runoff water  
9 to agricultural lands. While some regulation exists regarding treatment requirements necessary  
10 for the reuse of wastewater, including recent EU regulations on the topic (European  
11 Commission, 2016), they have traditionally focused on nutrient management rather than  
12 contaminant control, with very limited consideration of emerging contaminants including  
13 antibiotics and resulting antibiotic resistance (Paranychianakis *et al.*, 2015). As a result,  
14 agricultural wastewater reuse provides an additional pathway for antibiotics and other  
15 pharmaceutical contaminants to move within the agroecosystem (Bradford *et al.*, 2008).

16         The combination of direct irrigation with untreated wastewater, insufficient management  
17 of agricultural wastewater, and the potential for nutrient reuse in municipal sourced wastewater  
18 may lead to increased exposure of pharmaceutical contamination, greater than the levels  
19 typically observed in wastewater treatment effluent. For example, antibiotics in raw agricultural  
20 wastewater have been detected at mg/L levels (Zilles *et al.*, 2005, Bartelt-Hunt *et al.*, 2011), with  
21 concentrations as high as 20 mg/L in wastewater lagoons (Peak *et al.*, 2007).

22         Hydroponic studies, where plants are exposed to antibiotics in a nutrient solution, have  
23 been conducted to characterize the mechanisms of root uptake and translocation of compounds in

1 staple vegetables (Chuang *et al.*, 2015; Herklotz *et al.*, 2010; Liu *et al.*, 2013; Wu *et al.*, 2013).  
2 Incorporating soil-compound interactions and bioavailability, uptake from spiked soil regimes  
3 has also been investigated (Boxall *et al.*, 2006; Hawker *et al.*, 2013; Carter *et al.*, 2014, Chung *et*  
4 *al.*, 2017). Uptake resulting from other known exposure routes including the land application of  
5 manure (Kumar *et al.*, 2005; Dolliver *et al.*, 2007; Kang *et al.*, 2013) and municipal biosolids  
6 (Wu *et al.*, 2010; Holling *et al.*, 2012; Sabourin *et al.*, 2012; Wu *et al.*, 2015), as well as  
7 irrigation with contaminated water at concentrations representing various degrees of treatment  
8 (Azanu *et al.*, 2016; Jones-Lepp *et al.*, 2010; Tanoue *et al.*, 2012; Wu *et al.*, 2013; Goldstein *et*  
9 *al.*, 2014; Sallach *et al.*, 2015) have also been investigated for a number of pharmaceutical  
10 contaminants and antibiotic compounds.

11 The degree of uptake is dependent upon environmental factors, properties of the  
12 compounds, and the plants themselves (Briggs *et al.*, 1982; Wu *et al.*, 2013; Carter *et al.*, 2014;  
13 Goldstein *et al.*, 2014). Of the studies that have investigated uptake via soil systems, most have  
14 investigated only a single soil type, with a few exceptions (Kang *et al.*, 2013; Goldstein *et al.*,  
15 2014; Zhang *et al.*, 2015). Of the few studies that have investigated the impact of soil properties  
16 on plant uptake, conclusions have been inconsistent. For example, in two studies investigating  
17 the uptake of sulfamethoxazole, increased (Kang *et al.*, 2013) and decreased (Goldstein *et al.*,  
18 2014) uptake was attributed to higher clay contents of the respective soils in each study.

19 The aim of this study was to investigate the soil sorption behavior and corresponding  
20 uptake of chemically diverse antibiotics by leaf lettuce, *Lactuca sativa* cv. Greenstar, to establish  
21 relationships between soil texture and antibiotic uptake at concentrations of 1 mg/L representing  
22 the reuse of untreated wastewater. The hypothesis is that an increasing proportion of sand  
23 compared to clay in soil would increase the bioavailability and subsequent uptake of antibiotics

1 by lettuce. Batch sorption experiments with three antibiotics (lincomycin, oxytetracycline and  
2 sulfamethoxazole) individually and as a mixture were conducted to determine soil-water  
3 partitioning coefficients ( $K_d$ ). Unlike a previous study, where contaminants were inoculated in a  
4 single irrigation event (Zhang et al. 2015), in the current study lettuce grown in three soils of  
5 varying textures were exposed to the antibiotics via irrigation water routinely throughout the 40  
6 day growth period under greenhouse conditions. Analysis of lettuce shoots, and soil collected  
7 from the top and bottom of the soil profile were used to ascertain relationships between sorption  
8 and accumulation/translocation to the edible plant portions. In addition, after the first lettuce  
9 harvest, irrigation with contaminated water was replaced with clean dechlorinated water and a  
10 second and third harvest was conducted 5 and 10 days later to track the fate and mobility of each  
11 compound in the soil-plant system.

## 12 **Materials and Methods**

### 13 *Chemicals and Reagents.*

14 Lincomycin, roxithromycin, doxycycline hyclate, and demeclocycline hydrochloride  
15 were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). Sulfamethoxazole and oxytetracycline  
16 were obtained from MP Biomedicals, LLC (Solon, OH).  $^{13}\text{C}_6$ -Sulfamethazine was purchased  
17 from Cambridge Isotope Laboratories (Andover, MA). Standard stock solutions were prepared  
18 with HPLC grade methanol and stored dark at  $-20^\circ\text{C}$ . Surrogate and internal standard spiking  
19 solutions were prepared in methanol at the University of Nebraska-Lincoln (UNL) Water  
20 Sciences Laboratory. Calibration standards (0.1 – 5 ng/ $\mu\text{L}$ ) were prepared prior to each analysis  
21 in 3:1 (v:v) solution of Nanopure water (Barnstead, Dubuque, IA) and methanol.

### 22 *Batch Sorption Study.*

1 For each soil, duplicate batch sorption reactors were prepared for each compound  
2 individually as well as together as a mixture. For lincomycin and sulfamethoxazole, 5 g of soil  
3 was combined with 25 mL of water with antibiotic concentrations of 10, 50, 100, 500, and 1,000  
4 µg/L in 50 mL polypropylene tubes. A soil to water ratio of 0.5 g in 40 mL water was used for  
5 oxytetracycline at concentrations of 100, 500, 1000, 1500, 5000 µg/L. Reactors containing a  
6 mixture of all three antibiotics were prepared with the same concentrations and soil to water ratio  
7 as lincomycin and sulfamethoxazole. To provide the most accurate comparison of greenhouse  
8 experimental conditions, de-chlorinated water was taken from the greenhouse and, along with  
9 soil, was sterilized at 125°C and 15 psi. Soil and water were then mixed and allowed to  
10 equilibrate for 24 hrs at 20°C prior to spiking with antibiotics. Concentrations in eluent solution  
11 were measured using liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) analysis.  
12 Additional details and validation are provided in Supplementary Material.

### 13 *Greenhouse Study.*

14 Three soils were prepared by mixing coarse sand and Sharpsburg silt clay at 75:25, 50:50 and  
15 25:75 ratios by weight. The resulting soil properties were characterized at Midwest Laboratories  
16 (Omaha, NE) and reported in Table 1. Soils were classified as sand, sandy loam, and loam.  
17 Vegetable production flats, comprised of six 17-cm x 12-cm x 7-cm pots, were prepared in  
18 triplicate for each soil type. Each flat represented a single treatment unit. An additional flat was  
19 prepared for each soil type for control samples with no antibiotic exposure. Seeds of a leafy  
20 lettuce, *Lactuca sativum* cv. Greenstar, were planted in each soil type at an initial density of 8  
21 seeds per pot. Upon germination, lettuce was thinned to 4 plants per pot. A final thinning, to two  
22 plants per pot, took place upon the emergence of the plumule and first true leaves. Plants were  
23 grown in a greenhouse with temperature controlled at 15-18°C and 16 h of daily light.



1 Flats were sub-irrigated with 2 L of water to stimulate germination followed by 1 L  
2 watering events at the first indication of leaf wilting throughout the growth cycle. Sub-irrigation  
3 was conducted to simulate various types of furrow irrigation. Amended irrigation water was  
4 prepared by spiking dechlorinated tap water with the lincomycin, oxytetracycline, and  
5 sulfamethoxazole at a final concentration of 1 mg/L. Antibiotic spiking solutions were prepared  
6 weekly and stored frozen at -20°C, and amended irrigation water was prepared fresh,  
7 immediately before use for all irrigation events. Control flats were irrigated with the same  
8 volume of dechlorinated water with no antibiotic amendment. Treatment and control flats were  
9 ordered randomly on greenhouse benches and rotated at each watering to reduce biases related to  
10 variations in greenhouse microclimates and samples of soil and plant tissues were taken in  
11 triplicate at each harvest.

12 After 40 days, a single pot from each flat was randomly selected for harvest. Remaining  
13 lettuce continued to grow, however, antibiotics were not added to the irrigation water after the  
14 first harvest. A second and third harvest of lettuce and soil were collected at 45 and 50 days  
15 respectively. At all harvests, lettuce plants were cut at the cotyledonary node, just above the soil  
16 surface. Plant material was weighed, rinsed, and blotted dry prior to storage in plastic sample  
17 bags. Soil was carefully removed from the pot and the top and bottom 1.5 cm of the soil profile  
18 was collected separately in a sample storage bag for analysis. A subset of top and bottom soil  
19 was used to determine moisture content. Collected samples were immediately taken to the UNL  
20 Water Science Laboratory for further processing. A diagram detailing the subirrigation method  
21 as well as soil and lettuce harvesting is provided in the supplementary material (Supplementary  
22 Fig. S2).

1 *Lettuce and Soil Extraction.* Antibiotics were solvent extracted from lettuce and quantified by  
2 LC-MS/MS following the method described in previous studies (Zhang *et al.*, 2015, Sallach *et*  
3 *al.*, 2016), and soil extraction followed a two-step organic solvent – aqueous extraction from  
4 methods that are also described in previous work (Sallach *et al.*, 2015). Additional details of the  
5 extraction and analytical methods are provided in the Supplementary Material. Concentrations  
6 are reported on a fresh weight (FW) basis, as moisture content in lettuce tissues were not  
7 influenced by soil type or harvest date.

8 *Data Analysis.*

9 Statistical analysis was performed using Graphpad Prism V6 (Graphpad Software, Inc., La Jolla,  
10 CA, USA) using 2-way ANOVA and Tukey's multiple comparisons test to determine  
11 significance.

## 12 **Results and Discussion**

13 *Uptake as a function of soil texture.*

14 Measured concentrations in lettuce and soils were evaluated as a function of soil texture  
15 and time. Sulfamethoxazole was the only compound that followed the hypothesis that increased  
16 percentage of sand in the soil mixture would result in increased bioavailability and subsequent  
17 uptake in the lettuce shoots when exposed to the antibiotic mixture in all irrigation events at the  
18 time of the first harvest (Figure 1). The concentration of sulfamethoxazole in lettuce grown in  
19 sand (25 ng/g FW) was greater than that grown in sandy loam (8.1 ng/g FW) or loam (3.3 ng/g  
20 FW). Similar to our previous work in a different soil (Sallach *et al.*, 2015), lincomycin was  
21 detected at the highest concentration of the three compounds in all three soils. The higher uptake  
22 of lincomycin results from its ionic speciation at the soil pH range in the current study (7.1-7.4).

1 Lincomycin, with a  $pK_a$  of 7.6) existed in its cationic and neutral species. Sulfamethoxazole (1.6,  
2 5.7) and oxytetracycline (3.57, 7.49, and 9.44) were dominated by their anionic and zwitterionic  
3 forms. Uptake of positively charged ions has been demonstrated to be higher than other charged  
4 organic ions (Goldstein *et al.*, 2014). Unlike sulfamethoxazole, plant concentrations of  
5 lincomycin did not increase with increasing sand content in the soil. The highest concentration at  
6 the first harvest (40 days) was in the lettuce grown in the sandy loam soil (58 ng/g FW).  
7 Oxytetracycline concentrations were also highest in the lettuce grown in the sandy loam soil  
8 (18.3 ng/g FW).

9 As shown in Table 2, leaf bioconcentration factors (BCFs) were determined by dividing  
10 the concentration in the lettuce leaves by the average concentration measured in the soil (Figure  
11 2 ). BCFs represent an uptake efficiency that incorporates translocation from roots to the edible  
12 lettuce portion of the plant. BCFs for lincomycin and oxytetracycline are similar in both the loam  
13 and sandy soils with values ranging from 0.023-0.028. Increased uptake efficiency was observed  
14 for both compounds in lettuce grown in the sandy loam soil resulting in greater BCFs of 0.076  
15 for lincomycin and 0.054 for oxytetracycline. Unlike the other two compounds,  
16 sulfamethoxazole uptake efficiency increased with increasing sand soil content from a low of  
17 0.010 in loam to a high of 0.111 in the sand soil. Sulfamethoxazole BCFs determined in this  
18 study are consistent with BCF values reported in hydroponic systems where uptake and  
19 translocation were also found to be low (Herklotz *et al.*, 2010; Wu *et al.*, 2013).

20 To add further insight into the influence of soil texture on the mobility of these  
21 antibiotics, batch sorption experiments for each compound in each soil were performed. Batch  
22 sorption experiments of the three compounds as a mixture also were conducted to replicate  
23 conditions in the greenhouse trial. The resulting isotherms are provided in the supplementary

1 information (Supplementary Fig. S4) while a summary of soil partitioning coefficients ( $K_d$ ) and  
2  $R^2$  values of the linear regressions are shown in Table 2. As a single solute, oxytetracycline was  
3 most influenced by soil texture with  $K_d$  values of 1107, 485, and 260 L/Kg in loam, sandy loam,  
4 and sand respectively. Lincomycin sorption was highest in the loam soil with a  $K_d$  of 10 L/Kg,  
5 but was slightly lower in sandy loam compared to the sand at 5.5 and 5.9 L/Kg respectively.  
6 Sulfamethoxazole sorption to soils was not measureable at low concentrations (10-100 ng/mL) in  
7 any of the soils (Supplementary Fig. S4), with all of the compound accounted for in solution,  
8 which is supported by the findings of Huang and Weber (1998) who found that aqueous phase  
9 concentrations within two orders of magnitude in difference could increase the time to reach  
10 sorption equilibrium from a few hours for higher concentrations to several months at low  
11 residual solution phase concentrations. Surprisingly, sulfamethoxazole at the higher solution  
12 concentrations showed no difference in  $K_d$  value between the three soils. When all compounds  
13 were present at the same concentrations in the multi-solute isotherms, the range of  $K_d$  values for  
14 lincomycin increased to 3.9-15.3 L/Kg where the least amount of sorption occurred in sandy  
15 loam and highest sorption in loam soils. An apparent decrease in sorption to both loam (1.9 L/Kg  
16 to 0.4 L/Kg) and sand (1.9 L/Kg to 1.0 L/Kg) soils occurred for sulfamethoxazole when all  
17 compounds were present in the mixture. However, reductions in  $R^2$  values may indicate a  
18 deviation from linear sorption for sulfamethoxazole when in a mixture. For this reason,  
19 Freundlich isotherms were also modelled to the data for mixtures of antibiotics (Table 2).  
20 Generally, the two parameter model ( $K_F$  and  $n$ ) better represented the mixture data and yielded  
21  $R^2$  values  $\geq 0.98$  in all instances except for sulfamethoxazole in loam ( $R^2=0.49$ ). For  
22 lincomycin,  $n$  values approaching one in all three soils, confirms linearity. Oxytetracycline was  
23 not detected in solution, indicating that all of the compound present adsorbed to the soil. This

1 was not unexpected as the concentration range and soil-water ratio necessary to determine the  
2 individual oxytetracycline sorption isotherms were far greater than the other two compounds due  
3 to its highly sorptive behavior. These results show the influence of competitive sorption when  
4 compounds are present as a mixture. In practical applications, multiple compounds are likely to  
5 occur as mixtures and these results suggest that sorption behavior is likely to be impacted.

6 Overall, the confluence of data collected in this study shows that sorption is not the  
7 driving factor behind the accumulation of antibiotics in lettuce shoots. Even in the case of  
8 sulfamethoxazole, where increasing lettuce concentrations corresponded with increasing sand  
9 content, this behavior was not supported by the batch sorption isotherms that showed that  
10 changes in soil texture had no measurable effect on sulfamethoxazole sorption. However, the  
11 results do show that growth in a soil system, in general, does have a large impact on uptake  
12 trends compared to hydroponic systems. For example, Chuang *et al.*, (2015) showed that uptake  
13 and translocation of oxytetracycline in lettuce grown hydroponically resulted in leaf  
14 concentrations twice that of lincomycin which were, again, twice as high as sulfamethoxazole.  
15 While similar, sulfamethoxazole in this study was found at low concentrations (3.3-24.7 ng/g  
16 FW), oxytetracycline leaf concentrations (11.3-18.4 ng/g FW) were lower than lincomycin  
17 concentrations (23.5-29.5 ng/g FW). This difference is partially explained by the high sorption  
18 partitioning of oxytetracycline, resulting from the dominant cation exchange mechanism for  
19 tetracycline compounds (Sassman and Lee, 2005), in all three soils which acts to reduce its  
20 mobility and corresponding bioavailability to the plant, factors not accounted for in hydroponic  
21 studies.

22 Partitioning coefficients did correlate strongly with the distribution of the antibiotics  
23 throughout the soil profile. Comparing the concentrations in the top and bottom of the soil profile

1 (Figure 2) shows that oxytetracycline, with highest  $K_d$  values in all three soils, remained mostly  
2 in the bottom layer. In comparison, the compound with the lowest sorption and lowest  $K_d$  values  
3 for all three soils was sulfamethoxazole which was detected at higher concentrations in the top  
4 soil layer.

5 *Fate in soil and lettuce.*

6 After the first harvest, all remaining lettuce pots were irrigated with the control dechlorinated tap  
7 water and samples were collected 5 (harvest 2) and 10 (harvest 3) days later. The leaf  
8 concentration of lincomycin grown in the sandy loam soil decreased at both subsequent harvests  
9 (Figure 1).Lettuce grown in the sand and loam soils showed highest concentrations detected at  
10 the second harvest. Even without additional amendment, the relatively high solubility of  
11 lincomycin (13 g/L) likely allowed for desorption and resuspension into the uncontaminated pore  
12 water, making it available for uptake in the irrigation events following the first harvest.

13 The lettuce concentrations of sulfamethoxazole in the sandy loam soil remained constant  
14 at all three harvests at around 8 ng/g FW. In the loam soil, the concentration increased slightly at  
15 each harvest while the opposite occurred in the sand soil where a decreasing concentration trend  
16 was observed.

17 Oxytetracycline concentrations in lettuce were highest at the first harvest for all three  
18 soils. However, a sharp decrease in concentration was observed at harvest 2 before a slight  
19 increase in concentration at harvest 3. In fact, concentrations in lettuce harvested at 45 days were  
20 below the detection limit for a number of the replicates in all three soils (Figure 2).

21 Oxytetracycline, even as a zwitterion, maintains a positively charged functional group and as a  
22 result, cation exchange is more favorable than hydrophobic partitioning, which results in high

1 sorption affinity (Sassman and Lee, 2005). High sorption and low solubility (0.022 g/L) limit its  
2 ability to desorb and reincorporate into the uncontaminated irrigation water that was used  
3 following the first harvest.

4         While the concentration of toxicants in edible plant portions is an important measure for  
5 the understanding of human exposure of emerging contaminants, it is not enough to reveal all of  
6 the behaviors of the dynamic soil-plant system over time. This is because the measure of  
7 concentration is dependent upon both the rate of uptake of the contaminant as well as the rate of  
8 growth of the plant. Therefore, examining the total mass of accumulation, or net accumulation,  
9 provides insight into the movement of the antibiotics with time. Net accumulation was calculated  
10 by multiplying the contaminant concentrations in the lettuce plants by the average plant mass at  
11 the time of harvest (Figure 3). For both sulfamethoxazole and lincomycin, even in instances  
12 when the concentration decreased, antibiotic uptake continued in the five days between harvest 1  
13 and 2. This result highlights how increasing plant mass effectively dilutes contaminant  
14 concentrations, an observation noted in a previous study (Sallach *et al.*, 2015). Net lincomycin  
15 uptake continued to increase from harvest 2 to harvest 3 in the sand soils. However, in the loam  
16 and sandy loam soil the total accumulated mass of lincomycin decreased from harvest 2 to 3.  
17 This indicates that degradation of lincomycin occurred within the lettuce plant at a rate that  
18 exceeded uptake. Degradation of sulfamethoxazole is also apparent in lettuce grown in the sand  
19 soil where net accumulation decreased between harvest 2 and 3. While pharmaceutical  
20 degradation is known to occur in the environment, few studies have demonstrated its occurrence  
21 in vegetable production (Goldstein *et al.*, 2014). Further, this highlights the importance of the  
22 significant research gap where the fundamental understanding of the fate and biological impact of  
23 antibiotic metabolites is not well known (Williams-Nguyen *et al.*, 2016).

1 In soil, antibiotic transport and degradation both factor into the soil concentrations over  
2 the course of the three harvests. Generally, concentrations of each of the three compounds in  
3 both the top and bottom soil profile were reduced over the course of the ten days during which  
4 no additional antibiotics were added to the system. First order decay functions were generated  
5 for the 10-day time period between harvest 1 and 3 and degradation rate constants,  $k$ , and  
6 compound half-lives,  $t_{1/2}$ , were calculated. Values are summarized in Table 2 while isotherms  
7 and calculations are provided in the supplemental information. Based upon the partition  
8 coefficient  $K_d$  (Table 2), both sulfamethoxazole and oxytetracycline distributions in the soil  
9 profile behaved as expected. Because subirrigation requires irrigation water to flow from the  
10 bottom, up through the soil profile, we would expect the more sorptive compounds to be  
11 concentrated in the bottom soil layer. Oxytetracycline concentrations in the top profile were far  
12 lower than concentrations found in the bottom for all three soils. The least sorptive compound,  
13 sulfamethoxazole ( $K_d=0.4-1.9$ ) was found at higher concentrations in the top soil as compared  
14 with the bottom in all soils and at all harvests. Both of these compound specific trends are  
15 supported by transport studies that show tetracycline mobility to be limited while sulfonamides  
16 may pose a risk to surface and groundwater contamination (Blackwell *et al.*, 2007; Watanabe *et*  
17 *al.*, 2010; Kim *et al.*, 2012; Srinivasan and Sarmah *et al.*, 2014). With a half-life ranging 3.4-3.7  
18 days, lincomycin demonstrated the most rapid and consistent decay in all three soils. Although  
19 soils were exposed to the same concentrations of three antibiotics, higher initial concentrations of  
20 lincomycin were detected at the first harvest. This high concentration of the most degradable  
21 compound in our system may be a result of an initial lag phase in biodegradation, whereby the  
22 compound was able to build up in the soil during the first 40 days where irrigation with  
23 contaminated water retarded degradation via alteration in the microbial community. Irrigation



1 with uncontaminated water over the course of days 41-50 may have allowed the native bacteria  
2 population to recover leading to the rapid degradation of the compound. This lag phase behavior  
3 has been observed in other soil degradation studies and was attributed to the presence of a  
4 sulfonamide, also included in our study, which has been shown to temporarily disrupt soil  
5 bacteria populations (Monteiro and Boxall, 2009). Lincomycin and sulfamethoxazole  
6 degradation rate decreased with increasing sand content from 8.3 days in loam to 14.6 days in  
7 sand. This was expected as biological activity is known to decrease with increasing coarseness of  
8 soil texture (Wardle, 1992). Oxytetracycline degradation was most rapid in the loam soil ( $t_{1/2}$ =  
9 6.6 days) but unlike sulfamethoxazole, was most persistent in sandy loam ( $t_{1/2}$ =20.9 days).  
10 Compared to other values reported, half-lives of oxytetracycline and sulfamethoxazole were on  
11 the same order of magnitude, but higher, than the biodegradation rates of a sulfonamide  
12 (sulfamethazine) and tetracycline (chlortetracycline) antibiotic in a silt loam soil (Topp *et al.*,  
13 2013). In strong agreement with our work, half-lives have been reported for sulfamethoxazole  
14 under aerobic and anaerobic conditions ranging from 9.0 to 18.3 days (Lin and Gan, 2011).

#### 15 *Effects of routine irrigation with contaminated water*

16 In a previous study, which evaluated the uptake of these three compounds by lettuce in  
17 the same soil mixtures, a single exposure event was conducted with water spiked 5x higher than  
18 the antibiotic concentrations in the current study (Zhang *et al.*, 2015). Results from the prior  
19 study showed that 48 hours after exposure, only sulfamethoxazole was detected in lettuce leaves  
20 above detection limits (Zhang *et al.*, 2015). Consistent with results from the current study,  
21 sulfamethoxazole concentrations in lettuce increased with increasing percentage of sand in the  
22 soil mixture. However, when routine irrigation with contaminated water occurred throughout the  
23 growth cycle of the lettuce, both lincomycin and oxytetracycline were detected in leaves, and

1 their concentrations in lettuce leaves exceeded sulfamethoxazole concentrations. The  
2 significance of this, which was revealed by differences in exposure regimes between the two  
3 studies, suggests that the processes by which oxytetracycline and lincomycin are internalized by  
4 lettuce roots and translocated throughout the shoots are more time dependent than the kinetics  
5 involved with sulfamethoxazole uptake. As discussed previously, this is supported by results in  
6 the fate investigation for lincomycin where the total mass taken up by lettuce shoots increased  
7 significantly in the five days following the final irrigation with spiked water (Figure 3).

#### 8 *Toxicity.*

9         The growth of lettuce was affected by the soil texture, where the sand soil mixture  
10 resulted in significantly ( $P < 0.0001$ ) reduced mass of lettuce compared to both the loam and  
11 sandy loam soil (Figure 4). The difference in lettuce plant mass between loam and sandy loam  
12 was not statistically significant in the control group ( $P = 0.146$ ). For all soil types, irrigation with  
13 antibiotic amended water resulted in significantly decreased lettuce growth compared with its  
14 respective control ( $P < 0.0001$ ). The relative impact of the spiked water on the mass of lettuce  
15 increased with increasing sand content in the soil. A decrease of 37 %, 55 %, and 72 % of plant  
16 mass between controlled and treated plants was determined for lettuce grown in loam, sandy  
17 loam, and sand soil respectively. High percentage decreases in plant material (up to 60%) have  
18 also been associated with the pharmaceutical carbamazepine at similar soil concentrations  
19 (Carter *et al.*, 2015). Furthermore, leaf discoloration and reduction in photosynthetic pigments  
20 resulted from carbamazepine exposure, consistent with the discoloration, yellowing, of leaves  
21 from lettuce grown in the sandy soil from the antibiotic spiked water. Lettuce was able to recover  
22 as soil concentrations declined in the 10 days between harvest 1 and harvest 3 where leaves from  
23 all three soils showed no signs of stress. These significant growth reductions suggest that

1 agricultural productivity may be negatively impacted by the use of recycled wastewater, a  
2 significant research gap, recently identified, relating to antibiotics in the agroecosystem  
3 (Williams-Nguyen *et al.*, 2016).

4 Sulfonamide antibiotics, including sulfamethoxazole, have been shown to inhibit the  
5 growth of rice at a concentration of 0.1 mg/L and maize grown in soil at 10 mg/kg (Liu *et al.*,  
6 2009; Michelini *et al.*, 2012). However, rice sensitivity to tetracyclines was less acute as  
7 concentrations in soil as high as 300 mg/kg, tetracyclines did not affect plant growth but did  
8 effect seed germination (Liu *et al.*, 2009). This likely is attributed to tetracycline's high  
9 adsorption to soils (Table 2) and is supported by the findings of Norman where root growth was  
10 inhibited by oxytetracycline in a hydroponic system, but had no effect in soils (Norman, 1955).  
11 Oxytetracycline in hydroponic systems has also been shown to reduce plant growth in alfalfa;  
12 however, at concentrations of 1 mg/L, the concentration of oxytetracycline in our irrigation  
13 water, no effect was observed (Kong *et al.*, 2007). Lincomycin has been shown to be toxic to a  
14 number of algae strains at the  $\mu\text{g/L}$  level (Andreozzi *et al.*, 2006). Attributing toxicity to specific  
15 antibiotics in a mixture is not possible, as mixture toxicities can have unpredictable and  
16 concentration dependent synergistic or antagonistic effects (Liu *et al.*, 2008; Yang *et al.*, 2008;  
17 Gonzalez-Pleiter *et al.*, 2013). Consistent with our study, antibiotic toxic effects have also been  
18 shown to be dependent upon soil characteristics; where plants were more sensitive in sandy loam  
19 than with a high clay soil (Batchelder, 1982). Not only was the sand soil, without antibiotic, the  
20 least ideal for optimal plant growth, it also amplified the toxic effect of the antibiotics to lettuce.

## 21 **Conclusions**

1           This study confirmed that soil texture plays an important role in the uptake of antibiotics  
2 by lettuce. However, correlation between increasing sand content and subsequent uptake and  
3 translocation was only observed for sulfamethoxazole. This is because soil composition not only  
4 affected the bioavailability of the contaminants but also the health of the plant. When irrigation  
5 was switched to non-contaminated water, lettuce recovery was observed resulting in an increase  
6 in growth rate. In addition, examination of the net accumulation of antibiotic compounds by  
7 lettuce plants over time revealed that degradation of lincomycin and sulfamethoxazole within the  
8 lettuce leaves occurred over the 10-day harvesting period. Results from this study should help in  
9 the evaluation of best management practices for the use of recycled wastewater for irrigation.  
10 Areas with sandy soil should pay particularly close attention to plant toxicity resulting in  
11 decreased yield. Furthermore, due to the persistence and mobility of antibiotic compounds in the  
12 soil-plant system, a “finishing” period, utilizing uncontaminated irrigation water, may be suitable  
13 to reduce the concentrations of antibiotics in vegetables meant for consumption. The time needed  
14 to realize this reduction is dependent upon both contaminant and soil characteristics.

15 **Supplementary Material.** Equilibration time study of antibiotic batch sorption reactors  
16 (Supplementary Fig. S1). Method Validation for soil and lettuce samples (Supplementary Table  
17 S1). Schematic of soil-plant system using subirrigation (Supplementary Fig. S2), average of top  
18 and bottom soil concentrations (Supplementary Table S2), equations related to decay functions  
19 (Equations 1-2), antibiotic decay in soils (Supplementary Fig. S3), and linear sorption isotherms  
20 (Supplementary Fig. S4).

21 **Acknowledgements.**

1 The authors recognize the contribution of Emily Hoehn and Lindsey Knight for assistance with  
2 sample processing, and separation chemist Dave Cassada for analytical assistance. This work  
3 was supported by the United States Department of Agriculture NIFA program [project number  
4 2011-67019-20052].

#### 5 **Author Disclosure Statement.**

6 No competing financial interests exist.

7

8

#### 9 **References**

- 10 Aga, D. S., Lenczewski, M., Snow, D., Muurinen, J., Sallach, J. B., Wallace, J. S. (2016).  
11 Challenges in the measurement of antibiotics and in evaluating their impacts in  
12 agroecosystems: A Critical Review. *J. Environ. Qual.* 45, 407.
- 13
- 14 Andreozzi, R., Canterino, M., Lo Giudice, R., Marotta, R., Pinto, G., Pollio, A. (2006).  
15 Lincomycin solar photodegradation, algal toxicity and removal from wastewaters by means  
16 of ozonation. *Water Res.* 40, 630.
- 17
- 18 Azanu, D., Mortey, C., Darko, G.; Weisser, J. J., Styrihave, B., Abaidoo, R. C. (2016). Uptake  
19 of antibiotics from irrigation water by plants. *Chemosphere* 157, 107.
- 20
- 21 Azov, Y., Shelef, G. (1991). Effluents quality along a multiple-state waste-water reclamation  
22 system for agricultural reuse. *Water Sci. Technol.* 23, 2119.
- 23
- 24 Bartelt-Hunt, S., Snow, D. D., Damon-Powell, T., Miesbach, D. (2011). Occurrence of steroid  
25 hormones and antibiotics in shallow groundwater impacted by livestock waste control  
26 facilities. *J. Contam. Hydrol.* 123, 94.
- 27 Batchelder, A. R. (1982). Chlortetracycline and oxytetracycline effects on plant-growth and  
28 development in soil systems. *J. Environ. Qual.* 11, 675.
- 29 Blackwell, P. A., Kay, P., Boxall, A. B. A. (2007). The dissipation and transport of veterinary  
30 antibiotics in a sandy loam soil. *Chemosphere* 67, 292.

31

- 1 Boxall, A. B. A. (2010). Veterinary medicines and the environment. *Comparative and*  
2 *Veterinary Pharmacology*. 199, 291.  
3
- 4 Boxall, A. B. A., Johnson, P., Smith, E. J., Sinclair, C. J., Stutt, E., Levy, L. S. (2006). Uptake  
5 of veterinary medicines from soils into plants. *J. Agri. Food Chem.* 54, 2288.  
6
- 7 Bradford, S. A., Segal, E., Zheng, W., Wang, Q. Q., Hutchins, S. R. (2008). Reuse of  
8 concentrated animal feeding operation wastewater on agricultural lands. *J. Environ. Qual.*  
9 37, S97.
- 10 Briggs, G. G., Bromilow, R. H., Evans, A. A. (1982). Relationships between lipophilicity and  
11 root uptake and translocation of non-ionized chemicals by barley. *Pestic. Sci.* 13, 495.  
12
- 13 Carter, L. J., Harris, E., Williams, M., Ryan, J. J., Kookana, R. S., Boxall, A. B. A. (2014). Fate  
14 and uptake of pharmaceuticals in soil-plant systems. *J. Agri. Food Chem.* 62, 816.  
15
- 16 Carter, L. J., Williams, M., Bottcher, C., Kookana, R. S. (2015). Uptake of Pharmaceuticals  
17 Influences Plant Development and Affects Nutrient and Hormone Homeostases. *Environ.*  
18 *Sci. Technol.* 49, 12509.  
19
- 20 Chuang, Y. H., Zhang, Y. J., Zhang, W., Boyd, S. A., Li, H. (2015). Comparison of accelerated  
21 solvent extraction and quick, easy, cheap, effective, rugged and safe method for extraction  
22 and determination of pharmaceuticals in vegetables. *J. Chromatogr. A.* 1404-1.  
23
- 24 Chung, H. S., Lee, Y. J.; Rahman, M. M., Abd El-Aty, A. M., Lee, H. S., Kabir, M. H., Kim, S.  
25 W., Park, B. J., Kim, J. E., Hacımuftüoğlu, F., et al. (2017). Uptake of the veterinary  
26 antibiotics chlortetracycline, enrofloxacin, and sulphathiazole from soil by radish. *Sci. Total*  
27 *Environ.* 605–606, 322.  
28
- 29 Dolliver, H., Kumar, K., Gupta, S. (2007). Sulfamethazine uptake by plants from manure-  
30 amended soil. *J. Environ. Qual.* 36, 1224.  
31
- 32 Du, L. F., Liu, W. K. (2012). Occurrence, fate, and ecotoxicity of antibiotics in agro-  
33 ecosystems. A review. *Agron. Sustain. Dev.* 32, 309.  
34
- 35 Duran-Alvarez, J. C., Jimenez-Cisneros, B. (2014). Beneficial and negative impacts on soil by  
36 the reuse of treated/untreated municipal wastewater for agricultural irrigation - A Review of  
37 the current knowledge and future perspectives. In Hernandez-Soriano, M.C., *Environmental*  
38 *Risk Assessment of Soil Contamination.* 137.  
39
- 40 Ensink, J. H. J., van der Hoek, W. (2007). Editorial: New international guidelines for  
41 wastewater use in agriculture. *Trop. Med. Int. Health.* 12, 575.  
42
- 43 European Commission. (2016). Development of minimum quality requirements for water reuse  
44 in agricultural irrigation and aquifer recharge October 2016.  
45

- 1 Fatta-Kassinos, D., Kalavrouziotis, I. K., Koukoulakis, P. N., Vasquez, M. I. (2011). The risks  
2 associated with wastewater reuse and xenobiotics in the agroecological environment. *Sci.*  
3 *Total Environ.* 409-3555.  
4
- 5 Goldstein, M., Shenker, M., Chefetz, B. (2014). Insights into the uptake processes of  
6 wastewater-borne pharmaceuticals by vegetables. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 48, 5593.  
7
- 8 Gonzalez-Pleiter, M., Gonzalo, S., Rodea-Palomares, I., Leganes, F., Rosal, R., Boltes, K.,  
9 Marco, E., Fernandez-Pinas, F. (2013). Toxicity of five antibiotics and their mixtures  
10 towards photosynthetic aquatic organisms: Implications for environmental risk assessment.  
11 *Water Res.* 47, 2050.  
12
- 13 Hawker, D. W., Cropp, R., Boonsaner, M. (2013). Uptake of zwitterionic antibiotics by rice  
14 (*Oryza sativa* L.) in contaminated soil. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 263, 458.  
15
- 16 Herklotz, P. A., Gurung, P., Heuvel, B. V., Kinney, C. A. (2010). Uptake of human  
17 pharmaceuticals by plants grown under hydroponic conditions. *Chemosphere.* 78, 1416.  
18
- 19 Holling, C. S., Bailey, J. L., Heuvel, B. V., Kinney, C. A. (2012). Uptake of human  
20 pharmaceuticals and personal care products by cabbage (*Brassica campestris*) from fortified  
21 and biosolids-amended soils. *J. Environ. Monitor.* 14, 3029.  
22
- 23 Huang, W. L., Weber, W. J. (1998). A distributed reactivity model for sorption by soils and  
24 sediments. 11. Slow concentration dependent sorption rates. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 32, 3549.  
25
- 26 Jones-Lepp, T. L., Sanchez, C. A., Moy, T., Kazemi, R. (2010). Method development and  
27 application to determine potential plant uptake of antibiotics and other drugs in irrigated crop  
28 production systems. *J. Agri. Food Chem.* 58, 11568.  
29
- 30 Kang, D. H., Gupta, S., Rosen, C., Fritz, V., Singh, A., Chander, Y., Murray, H., Rohwer, C.  
31 (2013). Antibiotic uptake by vegetable crops from manure-applied soils. *J. Agri. Food*  
32 *Chem.* 61, 9992.  
33
- 34 Kihila, J., Mtei, K. M., Njau, K. N. (2014). Wastewater treatment for reuse in urban agriculture,  
35 The case of Moshi Municipality, Tanzania *Phys. Chem. Earth.* 72, 104.  
36
- 37 Kim, Y., Lim, S., Han, M., Cho, J. (2012). Sorption characteristics of oxytetracycline,  
38 amoxicillin, and sulfathiazole in two different soil types. *Geoderma.* 185, 97.  
39
- 40 Kong, W. D., Zhu, Y. G., Liang, Y. C., Zhang, J., Smith, F. A., Yang, A. (2007). Uptake of  
41 oxytetracycline and its phytotoxicity to alfalfa (*Medicago sativa* L.). *Environ. Pollut.* 147,  
42 187.  
43
- 44 Kumar, K., Gupta, S. C., Baidoo, S. K., Chander, Y., Rosen, C. J. (2005). Antibiotic uptake by  
45 plants from soil fertilized with animal manure. *J. Environ. Qual.* 43, 2082.  
46

- 1 Lin, K. D., Gan, J. (2011). Sorption and degradation of wastewater-associated non-steroidal  
2 anti-inflammatory drugs and antibiotics in soils. *Chemosphere*. 83, 240.  
3
- 4 Liu, F., Ying, G. G., Tao, R., Jian-Liang, Z., Yang, J. F., Zhao, L. F. (2009). Effects of six  
5 selected antibiotics on plant growth and soil microbial and enzymatic activities. *Environ.*  
6 *Pollut.* 157, 1636.  
7
- 8 Liu, L., Liu, Y. H., Liu, C. X., Wang, Z., Dong, J., Zhu, G. F., Huang, X. (2013). Potential effect  
9 and accumulation of veterinary antibiotics in *Phragmites australis* under hydroponic  
10 conditions. *Ecol. Eng.* 53, 138.  
11
- 12 Liu, Y., Zhang, J., Gao, B. Y., Feng, S. P. (2014). Combined effects of two antibiotic  
13 contaminants on *Microcystis aeruginosa*. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 279, 148.  
14
- 15 Michael, I., Rizzo, L., McArdell, C. S., Manaia, C. M., Merlin, C., Schwartz, T., Dagot, C.,  
16 Fatta-Kassinos, D. (2013). Urban wastewater treatment plants as hotspots for the release of  
17 antibiotics in the environment: A review. *Water Res.* 47, 957.  
18
- 19 Michelini, L., Reichel, R., Werner, W., Ghisi, R., Thiele-Bruhn, S. (2012). Sulfadiazine uptake  
20 and effects on *salix fragilis* L. and *zea mays* L. plants. *Water Air Soil Poll.* 223, 5243.  
21
- 22 Monteiro, S. C., Boxall, A. B. A. (2009). Factors affecting the degradation of pharmaceuticals in  
23 agricultural soils. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* 28, 2546.  
24
- 25 Monteiro, S. C., Boxall, A. B. A. (2010). Occurrence and fate of human pharmaceuticals in the  
26 environment. *Rev. Environ. Contam. T.* 202, 53.  
27
- 28 Norman, A. G. (1955). Terramycin and plant growth. *Agron. J.* 47, 585.  
29
- 30 Pan, M. Chu, L. M. (2017). Fate of antibiotics in soil and their uptake by edible crops. *Sci. Total*  
31 *Environ.* 500.  
32
- 33 Paranychianakis, N. V, Salgot, M., Snyder, S. A., Angelakis, A. N. (2015) Water reuse in EU  
34 states: Necessity for uniform criteria to mitigate human and environmental risks. *Crit. Rev.*  
35 *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2015, pp 1409–1468.  
36
- 37 Peak, N., Knapp, C. W., Yang, R. K., Hanfelt, M. M., Smith, M. S., Aga, D. S., Graham, D. W.  
38 (2007). Abundance of six tetracycline resistance genes in wastewater lagoons at cattle  
39 feedlots with different antibiotic use strategies. *Environ. Microbiol.* 9, 143.  
40
- 41 Sabourin, L., Duenk, P., Bonte-Gelok, S., Payne, M., Lapen, D. R., Topp, E. (2012). Uptake of  
42 pharmaceuticals, hormones and parabens into vegetables grown in soil fertilized with  
43 municipal biosolids. *Sci. Total Environ.* 431, 233.  
44



- 1 Saldias, C., Speelman, S., Amerasinghe, P., van Huylbroeck, G. (2015). Institutional and  
2 policy analysis of wastewater (re)use for agriculture: case study Hyderabad, India. *Water*  
3 *Sci. Technol.* 72, 322.  
4
- 5 Sallach, J. B., Zhang, Y. P., Hodges, L., Snow, D., Li, X., Bartelt-Hunt, S. (2015). Concomitant  
6 uptake of antimicrobials and Salmonella in soil and into lettuce following wastewater  
7 irrigation. *Environ. Pollut.* 197, 269.  
8
- 9 Sallach, J. B., Snow, D., Hodges, L., Li, X., Bartelt-Hunt, S. (2016). Development and  
10 comparison of four methods for the extraction of antibiotics from a vegetative matrix.  
11 *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* 35, 889.  
12
- 13 Sassman, S. A., Lee, L. S. (2005). Sorption of three tetracyclines by several soils: Assessing the  
14 role of pH and cation exchange. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 39, 7452.  
15
- 16 Spangberg, J., Tidaker, P., Jonsson, H. (2014). Environmental impact of recycling nutrients in  
17 human excreta to agriculture compared with enhanced wastewater treatment. *Sci. Total*  
18 *Environ.* 493, 209.  
19
- 20 Srinivasan, P., Sarmah, A. K. (2014). Assessing the sorption and leaching behaviour of three  
21 sulfonamides in pasture soils through batch and column studies. *Sci. Total Environ.* 493,  
22 535.  
23
- 24 Tanoue, R., Sato, Y., Motoyama, M., Nakagawa, S., Shinohara, R., Nomiya, K. (2012). Plant  
25 uptake of pharmaceutical chemicals detected in recycled organic manure and reclaimed  
26 wastewater. *J. Agri. Food Chem.* 60, 10203.  
27
- 28 Thiele-Bruhn, S. (2003). Pharmaceutical antibiotic compounds in soils - a review. *J. Plant Nutr.*  
29 *Soil Sc.* 166, 145.  
30
- 31 Topp, E., Chapman, R., Devers-Lamrani, M., Hartmann, A., Marti, R., Martin-Laurent, F.,  
32 Sabourin, L., Scott, A., Sumarah, M. (2013). Accelerated biodegradation of veterinary  
33 antibiotics in agricultural soil following long-term exposure, and isolation of a  
34 sulfamethazine-degrading microbacterium sp. *J. Environ. Qual.* 42, 173.  
35
- 36 Toze, S. (2006). Reuse of effluent water - benefits and risks. *Agr. Water Manage.* 80, 147.  
37
- 38 Trinh, L. T., Duong, C. C., Van der Steen, P., Lens, P. N. L. (2013). Exploring the potential for  
39 wastewater reuse in agriculture as a climate change adaptation measure for Can Tho City,  
40 Vietnam. *Agr. Water Manage.* 128, 43.  
41
- 42 Verlicchi, P., Al Aukidy, M., Zambello, E. (2012). Occurrence of pharmaceutical compounds in  
43 urban wastewater: Removal, mass load and environmental risk after a secondary treatment-A  
44 review. *Sci. Total Environ.* 429, 123.  
45

- 1 Wardle, D. A. (1992). A comparative-assessment of factors which influence microbial biomass  
2 carbon and nitrogen levels in soil. *Biol. Rev.* 67, 321.  
3
- 4 Watanabe, N., Bergamaschi, B. A., Loftin, K. A., Meyer, M. T., Harter, T. (2010). Use and  
5 environmental occurrence of antibiotics in freestall dairy farms with manured forage fields.  
6 *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 44, 6591.  
7
- 8 Williams-Nguyen, J., Sallach, J. B., Bartelt-Hunt, S., Boxall, A. B., Durso, L. M., McLain, J. E.,  
9 Singer, R. S., Snow, D. D., Zilles, J. L. (2016). Antibiotics and antibiotic resistance in  
10 agroecosystems: State of the science. *J. Environ. Qual.* 45, 394.  
11
- 12 World Health Organization (WHO). (1989). Health guidelines for the use of wastewater in  
13 agriuculture and aquaculture. In WHO: Geneva.  
14
- 15 World Health Organization (WHO). (2006). Guidelines for the safe use of wastewater, excreta  
16 and greywater. In WHO: Geneva.  
17
- 18 Wu, C. X., Spongberg, A. L., Witter, J. D., Fang, M., Czajkowski, K. P. (2010). Uptake of  
19 pharmaceutical and personal care products by soybean plants from soils applied with  
20 biosolids and irrigated with contaminated water. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 44, 6157.  
21
- 22 Wu, X. Q., Ernst, F., Conkle, J. L., Gan, J. (2013). Comparative uptake and translocation of  
23 pharmaceutical and personal care products (PPCPs) by common vegetables. *Environ. Int.* 60,  
24 15.  
25
- 26 Wu, X. Q., Dodgen, L. K., Conkle, J. L., Gan, J. (2015). Plant uptake of pharmaceutical and  
27 personal care products from recycled water and biosolids: a review. *Sci. Total Environ.* 536,  
28 655.  
29
- 30 Yang, L. H., Ying, G. G., Su, H. C., Stauber, J. L., Adams, M. S., Binet, M. T. (2008). Growth-  
31 inhibiting effects of 12 antibacterial agents and their mixtures on the freshwater microalga  
32 *Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata*. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* 27, 1201.  
33
- 34 Zhang, Y., Sallach, J.B, Hodges, L., Snow, D.D., Bartelt-Hunt, S., Eskridge, K.M., Li, X. (2015).  
35 Effects of soil texture and drought stress on the uptake of antibiotics and the internalization  
36 of Salmonella in lettuce following wastewater irrigation. *Environ. Pollut.* 208, 523.  
37
- 38 Zilles, J., Shimada, T., Jindal, A., Robert, M., Raskin, L. (2005). Presence of macrolide-  
39 lincosamide-streptogramin B and tetracycline antimicrobials in swine waste treatment  
40 processes and amended soil. *Water Environ. Res.* 77, 57.  
41
- 42
- 43 \*corresponding author: brett.sallach@york.ac.uk, telephone 01904 322999