

This is a repository copy of *The role of cardiac MRI in the management of ventricular arrhythmias in ischaemic and non-ischaemic dilated cardiomyopathy*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/150427/

Version: Published Version

Article:

Nelson, T., Garg, P. orcid.org/0000-0002-5483-169X, Clayton, R.H. orcid.org/0000-0002-8438-7518 et al. (1 more author) (2019) The role of cardiac MRI in the management of ventricular arrhythmias in ischaemic and non-ischaemic dilated cardiomyopathy. Arrhythmia & Electrophysiology Review, 8 (3). pp. 191-201. ISSN 2050-3369

10.15420/aer.2019.5.1

Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial (CC BY-NC) licence. This licence allows you to remix, tweak, and build upon this work non-commercially, and any new works must also acknowledge the authors and be non-commercial. You don't have to license any derivative works on the same terms. More information and the full terms of the licence here: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/

The Role of Cardiac MRI in the Management of Ventricular Arrhythmias in Ischaemic and Non-ischaemic Dilated Cardiomyopathy

Tom Nelson,^{1,2} Pankaj Garg,^{1,2} Richard H Clayton^{3,4} and Justin Lee^{1,2}

 Sheffield Teaching Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Sheffield, UK; 2. Department of Immunity, Infection and Cardiovascular Disease, University of Sheffield, Sheffield, UK; 3. INSIGNEO Institute for In-Silico Medicine, University of Sheffield, Sheffield, UK;
 Department of Computer Science, University of Sheffield, UK

Abstract

Ventricular tachycardia (VT) and VF account for the majority of sudden cardiac deaths worldwide. Treatments for VT/VF include anti-arrhythmic drugs, ICDs and catheter ablation, but these treatments vary in effectiveness and carry substantial risks and/or expense. Current methods of selecting patients for ICD implantation are imprecise and fail to identify some at-risk patients, while leading to others being overtreated. In this article, the authors discuss the current role and future direction of cardiac MRI (CMRI) in refining diagnosis and personalising ventricular arrhythmia management. The capability of CMRI with gadolinium contrast delayed-enhancement patterns and, more recently, T1 mapping to determine the aetiology of patients presenting with heart failure is well established. Although CMRI imaging in patients with ICDs can be challenging, recent technical developments have started to overcome this. CMRI can contribute to risk stratification, with precise and reproducible assessment of ejection fraction, quantification of scar and 'border zone' volumes, and other indices. Detailed tissue characterisation has begun to enable creation of personalised computer models to predict an individual patient's arrhythmia risk. When patients require VT ablation, a substrate-based approach is frequently employed as haemodynamic instability may limit electrophysiological activation mapping. Beyond accurate localisation of substrate, CMRI could be used to predict the location of re-entrant circuits within the scar to guide ablation.

Keywords

Cardiac MRI, risk stratification, cardiomyopathy, ventricular tachycardia ablation

Disclosure: The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Received: 18 January 2019 Accepted: 25 April 2019 Citation: Arrhythmia & Electrophysiology Review 2019;8(3):191–201. DOI: https://doi.org/10.15420/aer.2019.5.1 Correspondence: Tom Nelson, Northern General Hospital, Herries Rd, Sheffield S5 7AU, UK. E: tomnelson@doctors.org.uk

Open Access: This work is open access under the CC-BY-NC 4.0 License which allows users to copy, redistribute and make derivative works for non-commercial purposes, provided the original work is cited correctly.

Ventricular tachycardia (VT) and VF occur mainly in people with impaired cardiac function and/or ischaemic heart disease, and account for the majority of sudden cardiac deaths worldwide.¹ Treatment with anti-arrhythmic drugs such as amiodarone may be at best neutral in terms of mortality and carries significant long-term risks.^{2.3} While ICDs significantly improve survival for patients with significantly impaired left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF), the devices also carry risks of infection and inappropriate shocks being given.^{4,5}

Some patients may present with a 'secondary prevention' ICD indication such as sustained VT or VF arrest, but, in the primary prevention setting, the risk of arrhythmia is based on the presence and severity of structural heart disease. Selection of patients in this way lacks precision and fails to identify some at-risk patients while leading to overtreatment in others. Current guidelines recommend echocardiography as the first-line investigation for cardiac function due to ease of access, because the echocardiographic equipment is usually available in heart clinics, whereas cardiac MRI (CMRI) services currently tend to only be available in specialist (tertiary) centres.⁶ However, CMRI is superior in terms of both accuracy and reproducibility when quantifying LVEF and myocardial mass, and can overcome limitations

of inadequate echocardiographic windows. CMRI offers a one-stop investigation for accurately establishing cardiac structure, function and myocardial tissue characterisation.

Understanding the Substrate for Ventricular Arrhythmia

Ischaemic Cardiomyopathy

Studies of cardiac tissue obtained before transplantation or following left ventricular (LV) aneurysm surgery, as well as more recent human and animal CMRI studies, have confirmed our understanding of the structural changes that occur in ischaemic cardiomyopathy (ICM). Strands of surviving tissue within and at the periphery of the infarct region form tortuous and slowly-conducting channels which support re-entry, so the infarct border zone frequently has a heterogeneous appearance on CMRI.⁸⁻¹⁰

Fenoglio et al. demonstrated there were bundles of surviving myocytes in endocardial resection samples; some of these had a diameter of <100 μ m, but it was not known which of these channels were mechanistically important.¹¹ De Bakker et al. showed that differential slow conduction occurs with multiple tracts <200 μ m.⁹ Recently, ultra-

high (submillimetre) resolution *ex vivo* CMRI of infarcted porcine hearts showed conducting pathways were mainly subendocardial.¹² However, in this study, a significant minority of pathways were observed to be entirely epicardial and would be inaccessible for endocardial catheter ablation.

Urgent reperfusion (by either thrombolysis or angioplasty) for MI reduces infarct size and the incidence of subsequent chronic VT. In observational studies, VT cycle lengths were shorter, possibly suggesting a smaller circuit, in patients who had received revascularisation than in those who had not been revascularised.^{13–15} This would suggest that reperfusion strategies can introduce greater substrate heterogeneity within the infarcted area.

Techniques that characterise and quantify the scar border zone or identify channels could improve risk stratification and treatment planning. However, while larger conducting channels may be identified using CMRI, it is likely that others are missed because of the limited spatial resolution of current clinical imaging. Where channels are too small to be visualised, measures of tissue heterogeneity may act as surrogates for the presence of 'sub-resolution' channels.

Non-ischaemic Cardiomyopathy

The aetiology of VT in patients with non-ischaemic cardiomyopathy (NICM) is less well understood, partly because of the heterogeneity of underlying pathological processes in NICM. When regional fibrosis is detectable, it is often midwall or subepicardial, making access for catheter ablation challenging. These factors may explain why outcomes from NICM VT ablation are worse than those in ischaemic cardiomyopathy.¹⁶

In contrast to macro re-entrant VT, polymorphic VT or VF may occur due to distinct (but related) mechanisms. Replacement fibrosis can be patchy and/or diffuse, with disruption of the left ventricular microarchitecture.¹⁷ This diffuse fibrosis provides the substrate for conduction block and micro re-entry resulting in VF.^{18,19} This substrate is often dynamic with progressive fibrosis, reducing the long-term efficacy of targeted substrate modification.

Cardiac MRI Tissue Characterisation

Late Gadolinium Enhancement

Late gadolinium enhanced (LGE) CMRI imaging has become the de facto standard for imaging myocardial fibrosis. This approach uses gadolinium as a contrast agent to highlight areas of heterogeneity within the myocardium (e.g. fibrotic versus normal areas). In normal tissue, the washout of gadolinium is rapid, whereas in areas of myocardial fibrosis the washout is slower. By timing the image acquisition to occur 'late' when washout has occurred in normal tissue but not in fibrotic tissue, regions of normal and fibrosed tissue can be differentiated. This technique relies on setting the inversion time to 'null' distant normal myocardium, making it appear black. Areas of enhancement have been demonstrated to correlate well with both acute myocardial necrosis and chronic fibrosis in ischaemic pathological specimens as well as replacement fibrosis in non-ischaemic dilated cardiomyopathy.^{20,21} Typical image resolution is $1.4 \times 1.4 \times 10$ mm (the 10 mm distance is the gap between slices).

Quantification of Late Gadolinium Enhancement

Although a narrative report of scar distribution is typically given in clinical use, the volume of abnormal tissue can also be quantified based on signal intensity (SI). Manual planimetry requires the operator to

manually identify areas of fibrosis, whereas semi-automated standard deviation (SD) or full width at half maximum (FWHM) techniques require less user input. The SD method defines abnormal voxels with more than 2, 3, 4, 5 or 6 standard deviations greater than the SI in a user-defined region of 'normal' myocardium. The FWHM method identifies tissues that fall below the SI of a user-defined area of fibrosis. Typical FWHM thresholds define a dense scar as one with >50% peak SI and a border zone between 35% and 50%.²²⁻²⁴ These techniques generate either a mass or percentage value of affected myocardium for the total scar burden, or for subdivisions of border zone and scar core. Although these techniques are reproducible, depending on the method and threshold chosen, significant inter-method variation is seen, and there is limited comparison with the gold standard of pathological specimens.²⁵ In a small series, FWHM method correlates best with pathological specimens in animals and with manual segmentation in humans with ICM.^{24,26}

T1 Mapping

Conditions with diffuse tissue fibrosis are more challenging to detect with LGE if there are no unaffected myocardial segments. Measurement of absolute T1 relaxation values sidesteps the requirement for tissue inhomogeneity in LGE imaging. Spatial resolution is inferior to LGE imaging at approximately $1.4 \times 1.9 \times 6$ mm and is challenging at higher heart rates, though native T1 mapping does not require the use of a contrast agent.²⁷ As imaging protocols, field strength and acquisition methods vary, reference T1 values are specific to the vendor/manufacturer.

Unlike LGE, native T1 values are frequently abnormal in diffuse diseases of the myocardium, giving insights into the aetiology of NICM. T1 values are increased by tissue oedema and fibrosis, and are reduced by lipid overload (e.g. in Anderson-Fabry disease) and iron overload.²⁸ For clinical use, mid-myocardial septal values for T1 are reported, though a map can be generated showing the native T1 values across an imaging slice. The T1 map may highlight focal areas of oedema as seen in acute myocarditis, acute myocardial infarction or takotsubo cardiomyopathy.^{29,30}

Extracellular Volume

Contrast-enhanced T1 mapping allows the extracellular volume (ECV) to be estimated. By comparing pre- and post-contrast T1 values (referencing the T1 values of the blood pool and the patient's haematocrit), a value for ECV is obtained. This is expressed as a fraction of the tissue volume; published normal values for ECV are approximately 25%.^{31,32} While native T1 values examine entire tissues, ECV characterises only the extracellular matrix and is therefore less affected by acute oedema. Higher ECV values are seen with expansion of the interstitium due to fibrosis or deposition and therefore correlate well with fibrotic changes at endomyocardial biopsy.^{33,34}

As with native T1, ECV can be expressed as a global value or as a map highlighting regional variation. While ECV is raised in areas of chronic infarction, its main advantage over LGE for arrhythmic risk stratification is its potential to identify diffuse myocardial fibrosis in NICM.^{28,35}

T2 Imaging

Acute myocardial injury results in interstitial oedema. This occurs rapidly after myocardial infarction, and T2-weighted CMRI sequences, which identify oedema, can predict final infarct size.³⁶ In chronic conditions such as cardiac sarcoidosis, myocarditis, transplant rejection and toxic cardiomyopathies, T2-weighted imaging accurately

| | Measurement | Scar identification/ quantification | Scar density estimation | Quantification of scar border zone | Identification of diffuse fibrosis | Evidence for use as a decision aid for risk stratification |
|------------------------------|-------------------|--|-------------------------|--|--|--|
| Late gadolinium enhancement | Semi-quantitative | +++ | - | ++ | - | ++ (ICM) +++ (NICM) |
| T1 mapping | Quantitative | + | + | - | + | + |
| Extracellular volume mapping | Quantitative | ++ | +++ | + | +++ | +/- |

Table 1: Comparison of Myocardial Tissue Characterisation Techniques

ICM = ischaemic cardiomyopathy; NICM = non-ischaemic cardiomyopathy.

identifies myocardial oedema.³⁷ While arrhythmic complications in these conditions may be predicted using CMRI, there is limited data to support T2 imaging for arrhythmic risk stratification in patients with ICM or NICM.³⁸

Comparison of Techniques

LGE CMRI identifies the aetiology of left ventricular systolic dysfunction (LVSD), and permits the identification and quantification of myocardial fibrosis. As a semi-quantitative technique, LGE can demonstrate only relative differences between fibrotic and non-fibrotic myocardium. As a result, diffuse diseases of the myocardium may be missed with this technique. Newer techniques such as T1 and ECV mapping have the advantage of being quantitative and, as such, can be used to identify such diffuse myocardial fibrosis seen in some forms of NICM. *Table 1* demonstrates these differences. Examples of these techniques are shown in *Figure 1* and *Figure 2*.

Current Clinical Application of Cardiac MRI

Current guidelines recommend echocardiography as the firstline investigation in patients presenting with heart failure or VT, although CMRI gains a class I recommendation if an infiltrative cause is suspected.^{39,40} With echocardiography or CMRI, regional wall motion abnormalities and wall thinning suggest an ischaemic aetiology, while global hypokinesis supports a non-ischaemic cause. However, assessment is highly dependent on image quality and CMRI can overcome inadequate echocardiographic windows.³⁹ In patients presenting with VT, CMRI is particularly useful for identifying inflammatory or infiltrative aetiology as well as ischaemic and non-ischaemic cardiomyopathies. In one series, CMRI changed the working diagnosis in 50% of patients presenting with VT/VF.41 Myocardial infarction shows a subendocardial to full thickness pattern of LGE, which will conform to one or more coronary territories. Conversely, non-ischaemic dilated cardiomyopathy often has a more diffuse pattern of fibrosis.¹⁷ As a result, the location of regions highlighted by LGE in such patients is variable, but it is more commonly located in the midwall or epicardial regions of anteroseptal or inferolateral segments.42

Revascularisation of hypokinetic non-infarcted chronically ischaemic tissues may result in functional recovery.⁴³ Hyperenhancement transmurality in LGE CMRI correlates well with myocardial recovery after revascularisation. In a series of 50 patients, regions with \leq 25% transmurality were likely to demonstrate improved contractility, while those with >50% transmurality showed poor functional recovery after revascularisation.²⁰

When myocardial ischaemia causes polymorphic VT/VF, revascularisation is indicated. However, in patients with sustained monomorphic VT, revascularisation is more contentious, since monomorphic VT usually reflects established substrate that may not be altered by revascularisation.

Figure 1: Ischaemic Cardiomyopathy: Image Comparison



Ischaemic cardiomyopathy secondary to an anterior ST-elevation MI. In this short axis slice, there is subendocardial LGE in the left ventricular anterior wall. T1 native values are elevated in the same region. ECV demonstrates this is a dense scar (ECV >55%). ECV = extracellular volume: LGE = late gadolinium enhancement.

Indeed, in a case series of 65 patients with coronary disease and VT/ VF, surgical revascularisation did not appear to affect inducibility of

Figure 2: Non-ischaemic Cardiomyopathy: Image Comparison



These two cases of non-ischaemic cardiomyopathy highlighting the utility of LGE, T1 and ECV. LGE+ is a case with mid-myocardial fibrosis (orange arrows), with globally high ECV. LGE- did not demonstrate any fibrosis on LGE imaging but had high native T1 and globally raised ECV, confirming the diagnosis of non-ischaemic cardiomyopathy. ECV = extracellular volume; LGE = late gadolinium enhancement.

arrhythmia, but was associated with good long-term outcomes.⁴⁴ Several other observational studies have similarly found that a reduction in mortality is associated with either PCI or surgical revascularisation in patients presenting with VT/VF.⁴⁵⁻⁴⁹

Cardiac MRI Risk Stratification

Current European Society of Cardiology guidelines for ICD implantation (in both ICM and NICM) are based upon LVEF and New York Heart Association class but not formal scar quantification.⁶ The more recent 2017 American Heart Association guidelines differ slightly, with a class IIa recommendation given for the use of CMRI imaging to aid risk stratification in patients with suspected NICM.⁴⁰

To investigate the role of CMRI as a tool for risk stratification, PubMed was searched using the terms ('Risk Assessment'[Mesh] OR 'Prognosis'[Mesh] OR 'Predictive Value of Tests'[Mesh]) AND ('Myocardial Ischemia'[Mesh] OR 'Dilated Cardiomyopathy'[Mesh]) AND ('Magnetic Resonance Imaging'[Mesh]) OR 'Gadolinium'[Mesh]) to identify studies using CMRI to guide risk stratification. These studies are summarised in *Table 2*.

Ischaemic Cardiomyopathy

The presence of LGE with CMRI imaging strongly predicts mortality in patients with ischaemic cardiomyopathy, independently of LVEF,

including in patients without detectable LVSD.⁵⁰⁻⁵² Total scar burden correlates with mortality and ICD discharges, even in multivariate models including LVSD (*Table 2*).⁵¹⁻⁵⁶ Quantification of the scar border zone (rather than scar core) or quantifying the number of peri-infarct channels are alternative approaches to predicting VT/VF events.⁵⁷⁻⁶⁰

Non-ischaemic Cardiomyopathy

As in ICM, the presence of LGE on CMRI in patients with NICM strongly predicts mortality and arrhythmic events across the spectrum of LV impairment.^{21,61-63} Patients with fibrosis identified by LGE are also less likely to achieve reverse remodelling with medical therapy.⁶⁴ The spatial distribution of fibrosis is also important, with septal scarring conferring a higher risk of sudden cardiac death (SCD) than inferolateral variants, and subepicardial scarring conferring a higher risk than linear mid-wall fibrosis.⁶⁵

Although patients with a low LVEF (<35%) have the highest individualised risk of SCD, this accounts for only ~20% of all cardiac arrests. The great majority of cardiac arrests occur outside this high-risk category.¹ Patients with fibrosis identified by LGE have worse outcomes than those without and risk stratification of individuals based on the presence or absence of LGE rather than on LVEF alone may aid patient selection for ICDs.⁶⁶ For example, compared with all those with LVEF <35% (i.e. using echocardiographic risk stratification alone), those with an LVEF >35% and LGE have similar risks of SCD.⁶³ Moreover, these selected patients with preservation of pump function will often have a lower competing risk of non-arrhythmic death.

In contrast with ICM, where scar-related monomorphic VT predominates, patients with NICM are more likely to experience polymorphic VT and VF.⁶⁷ On a review of the literature, most studies examining CMRI for risk stratification in NICM do not differentiate between VT and VF (*Table 2*). This practical approach is helpful for treatment decisions. However, Piers et al. found that scarring predicts monomorphic VT but not polymorphic VT or VF, suggesting that factors other than macroscopic anatomical substrate may be important in arrhythmogenesis in NICM.⁶⁸

Patients with NICM with no evidence of fibrosis on CMRI have fewer arrhythmic events, a lower risk of death and a higher likelihood of reverse remodelling. Careful patient selection for prophylactic ICD implantation in this population is required, and it therefore seems logical that identification of fibrosis using CMRI could more accurately identify those who would benefit, particularly patients with NICM and those with an LVEF >35%. However, no trial data exist to supports this approach.

Late Enhancement

There is now persuasive evidence that quantification of the scar and/ or border zone burden can be used to help risk stratify patients with both ICM and NICM, in addition to measures of LVEF. The fact that this relationship exists across the range of LVSD suggests that fibrosis itself is an important determinant of arrhythmic risk, rather than being simply a marker of end-stage disease.

While the presence of any degree of LGE predicts risk in both NICM and ICM, quantification of scar *extent* only appears to add substantial incremental risk prediction benefit in patients with ICM. However, the clinical applicability of fibrosis quantification is limited by a lack of consensus over which scar metrics and thresholds are the best predictors of outcomes, or how to apply these metrics to individuals.⁶⁹

Table 2: Prognostic Impact of Cardiac MRI

| Author | n | Method for Scar Quantification | HR for Adverse Outcome (95% CI) | Result |
|--|-------|---|---|---|
| Ischaemic Cardiomyopath | у | | | |
| Bello et al. 2005 ⁵⁴ | 48 | ≥2 SD above remote normal myocardium | Not given, p=0.02 | Greater infarct mass and infarct surface area predicts inducible VT at EPS |
| Yan et al. 2006 ⁵⁸ | 144 | ≥2 SD above remote normal myocardium | 1.45 (1.15–1.84) per 10% increase in scar border zone | Extent of the peri-infarct zone defined by delayed-enhancement CMRI is an independent predictor of post-myocardial infarction all-cause and cardiovascular mortality, after adjusting for LV volumes or LVEF |
| Schmidt et al. 2007 ¹¹⁹ | 47 | FWHM | Not given, p=0.02 | Border zone mass was higher in those with inducible VT than those with no inducibility, but there was no difference in scar core mass |
| Roes et al. 2009 ¹²⁰ | 91 | FWHM (35-50%) | 1.49 1.01–2.20) per 10 g increase in scar border zone. | Extent of infarct border zone is the strongest predictor of subsequent ICD therapy |
| Kwon et al. 200950 | 349 | ≥2 SDs above remote normal myocardium | 1.02 (1.003–1.03) per 1% increase in LV scar | Scar mass predicts mortality or transplantation |
| Kelle et al. 2009 ¹²¹ | 177 | Number of AHA 17 segment model with enhancement | 1.27 (1.064–1.518) per additional enhanced segment | Number of AHA segments involved predicts death and non-fatal myocardial infarction. |
| Heidary et al. 2010 ⁵⁷ | 70 | FWHM border zone (remote max to 50%), FWHM scar core (>50%) | Not given, p=0.03 | Total scar mass and border zone mass (but not scar core mass) predict adverse outcomes |
| Scott et al. 2011 ⁵³ | 64 | The number of transmural scar segments (using AHA 17 segment model) | 1.48 (1.18–1.84) in multivariate analysis | The number of transmural scar segments predicts subsequent ICD therapies |
| Krittayaphong et al. 2011 ¹²² | 1,148 | Visual presence of LGE | 3.92 (1.98–7.76) in multivariate analysis | LGE predicts MACE in a cohort with normal wall motion. |
| Boyé et al. 2011 ¹²³ | 52 | ≥5 SD | Not given, p=0.02 | Infarct mass expressed as a percentage of LV mass predicts appropriate device therapy |
| Rubenstein et al. 2013 ⁵⁹ | 47 | Between 2 and 3 SD above remote normal myocardium | 1.97 (1.04–3.73) per 1% change in border zone mass in multivariate analysis | Border zone mass higher in those with VT inducibility (2.64% of LV mass) than those without (1.35%) |
| Alexandre et al. 2013 ¹²⁴ | 49 | Scar mass by manual planimetry | 1.08 (1.04–1.12) unadjusted, 3.15 (1.35-7.33) in multivariate analysis (per 1g extra scar mass) | Scar mass predicts appropriate device therapy |
| Kwon et al. 2014 ¹²⁵ | 450 | ≥2 SD above remote normal myocardium | 1.34 (1.15–1.55) in multivariate analysis | Scar percentage strongly predicts mortality |
| Demirel et al. 2014 ¹²⁶ | 99 | FWHM | 2.01 (1.17–3.44) in multivariate analysis | Ratio of peri-infarct border zone to scar core is associated with appropriate ICD therapy |
| Rijnierse et al. 2016 ¹²⁷ | 52 | FWHM (>50%) | Not given, p=0.07 | Trend towards higher scar burden in those with inducible VT (not significant) |
| Non-ischaemic Cardiomyo | pathy | | | |
| Assomull et al. 200662 | 101 | Visual presence of midwall LGE | 3.4 (1.4–8.7) for presence of LGE | Presence of midwall fibrosis predicts death or hospitalisation |
| Wu et al. 200861 | 65 | Visual presence of LGE | 8.2 (2.2–30.9) in multivariate analysis | Presence of LGE predicts cardiovascular death, ICD therapy and HF hospitalisation |
| lles et al. 2011 ¹²⁸ | 61 | Visual presence of LGE | Not given, p=0.01 | Patients with LGE had significantly higher rates of appropriate ICD therapy |
| Lehrke et al. 2011 ¹²⁹ | 184 | Visual presence of LGE, SD >2 for quantification | 3.5 for presence of scar. 5.28 using threshold of scar >4.4% total LV mass | Presence of LGE predicts cardiac death, ICD therapy or HF hospitalisation |
| Neilan et al. 2013 ¹³⁰ | 162 | Both FWHM and SD methods used | 14.5 (6.1–32.6) for LGE presence, 1.15 (1.12–1.18) for each 1% increase in scar volume | Presence and volume of LGE predicts cardiovascular death or ICD therapy |
| Gulati et al. 2013 ¹³¹ | 472 | Visual presence, FWHM | 2.96 (1.87–4.69) for presence of LGE, 1.1 (1.06–1.17) per 1% extra LGE | LGE presence, extent predicts mortality, independently of LVEF |
| Machii et al. 2014 ¹³² | 72 | Visual presence of LGE | Not given, p=0.02 for extensive LGE versus no LGE | Lower event-free survival in patients with extensive LGE |

(Continued)

Electrophysiology and Ablation

| Table 2: Cont. | | | | |
|---|---------|---|--|---|
| Perazzolo-Marra et al. 2014 ¹³³ | 137 | Visual presence of LGE | 3.8 (1.3–10.4) in multivariate analysis | LGE presence, but not extent, predicts adverse arrhythmic outcome |
| Masci et al. 2014 ¹³⁴ | 228 | Visual presence of LGE | 4.02 (2.08–7.76) in multivariate analysis | LGE presence predicts adverse outcomes in patients with asymptomatic LVSD |
| Piers et al. 201568 | 87 | Visual presence, FWHM | 2.71 (1.10-6.69) for LGE presence | LGE predicts monomorphic VT, but not polymorphic VT/VF |
| Shin et al. 2016 ¹³⁵ | 365 | FWHM | 8.45 (2.91–24.6) for LGE extent \ge 8%, increasing to 6.98 (1.74–28.0) for those with subepicardial pattern of disease | Presence of LGE strongly predicts arrhythmic events, risk varies with location of fibrosis |
| Mueller et al. 2016 ¹³⁶ | 56 | Visual presence of LGE | 1.9 (1.1–3.4) | Presence of LGE predicts VT inducibility |
| Puntmann et al. 2016 ¹³⁷ | 637 | T1 mapping | 1.1 (1.07–1.17) per 10 ms change in T1 time, multivariate analysis | Higher T1 values predict mortality and HF outcomes |
| Halliday et al. 2017 ⁶³ | 399 | Visual presence of LGE, FWHM for quantification | 9.2 (3.9–21.8) in patients with LVEF > 40% | A 17.8% event rate (median follow-up 4.6 years) in patients with LGE |
| Halliday et al. 201665 | 874 | FWHM | LGE extent of 0 to 2.55%, 2.55% to 5.10%, and >5.10%, respectively, were 1.59 (0.99 to 2.55), 1.56 (0.96 to 2.54), and 2.31 (1.50 to 3.55) for all-cause mortality | The presence and pattern, rather than the extent, of LGE predicts all-cause mortality |
| Studies Including Both | ICM and | NICM | | |
| Kwong et al. 2006 ¹³⁸ | 195 | ≥2 SD | 8.29 (3.92–17.5) unadjusted, 8.65 (2.45–30.5) in multivariate analysis | Presence of LGE predicts cardiac events in patients with suspected CAD |
| Klem et al. 2011⁵1 | 1560 | Number of segments with LGE | 1.007 (1.005–1.009) unadjusted, 1.004 (1.002–1.007) in multivariate analysis | Number of segments with LGE incrementally prediction of all-cause mortality over LVSF and clinic parameters |
| Gao et al. 201256 | 124 | ≥2 SD | 1.4 (1.21–1.62) unadjusted | Scar quantification predicts arrhythmic events |
| Dawson et al. 2013 ¹³⁹ | 373 | Visual presence of LGE, FWHM for quantification | 3.5 (2.01–6.13) for presence of LGE, 1.12 per 5% extra LGE | In patients presenting with VT, LGE predicts arrhythmic events |
| Almehmadi et al. 2014 ¹⁴⁰ | 318 | ≥5 SD | 2.4 (1.2–4.6) in multivariate analysis | Midwall striation predicts sudden death or appropriate ICD therapy |
| Chen et al. 2015 ⁷⁰ | 130 | Native T1 value | 1.1 (1.04–1.16) per 10 ms change in T1 time, multivariate analysis | Myocardial T1 predicts ventricular arrhythmia independently of scar quantification |
| Mordi et al. 2015 ¹⁴¹ | 539 | Visual presence of LGE | 2.14 (1.06–4.33) in multivariate analysis | LGE predicts MACE in all-comers attending for CMRI |
| Acosta et al. 2018 ⁶⁰ | 217 | FWHM 40–60% (border zone), >60% (scar core) | 1.06 (1.04–1.08) for border zone mass (g) | Scar mass, border zone mass and border zone channel mass all predict ICD therapy or SCD |
| Olausson et al. 2018 ³⁵ | 215 | ECV | 2.17 (1.17–4.00) for each 5% increase in FCV | Diffuse fibrosis (as evidenced by ECV) |

Studies showing the prognostic effect of CMRI data in ischaemic cardiomyopathy and non-ischaemic cardiomyopathy. AHA = American Heart Association; CMRI = cardiac MRI; EPS = electrophysiology study; ECV = extracellular volume; FWHN = full width at half maximum; HF = heart failure; LGE = late gadolinium enhancement; LV = left ventricle; LVEF = left ventricular ejection fraction; MACE = major adverse cardiac event; VT = ventricular tachycardia.

Extracellular Volume and T1 Mapping for Risk Stratification

Alternative metrics, such as native T1 values and ECV, measure diffuse myocardial fibrosis. In patients with both ICM and NICM, myocardial T1 values (at sites spatially discrete from areas of LGE) incrementally improved risk stratification in a model that already included LVEF, QRS duration, and metrics of scar core and border zone (using LGE).⁷⁰ In a similar study using ECV rather than T1, high ECV values correlated with mortality.⁷¹ In two small case series, high ECV values correlated with ICD therapies.^{35,72} These studies suggest that, when dense scar is surrounded by diffusely fibrotic myocardium, VT/VF is more likely than if the scar is encompassed by normal myocardium.

ECV and T1 mapping techniques have a sound physiological basis for identifying diffusely abnormal myocardium not identified with LGE imaging. ECV is of particular interest as a marker of risk in patients

with NICM who do not have identifiable LGE, since it offers the ability to identify diffuse interstitial fibrosis. Complementary assessment of diffuse and regional disease by ECV mapping and LGE respectively may provide incremental benefit for risk stratification in both ICM and NICM. ECV may also have value in further characterising the density of discrete scars, although data to support this use are limited.

Ablation for Ventricular Tachycardia

For patients with a high burden of VT, catheter ablation can successfully reduce ICD shocks.⁷³⁻⁷⁵ These procedures can be challenging, with significant morbidity and mortality, since VT is frequently poorly tolerated and precise localisation of re-entrant circuits using traditional electrophysiological techniques is often challenging. VT ablation therefore often targets the myocardial scar substrate.⁷⁶ Differing approaches to substrate ablation have been described – linear transection, core isolation, scar homogenisation or abolition of late

potentials.^{77–80} Often, this requires extensive, time-consuming ablation in haemodynamically fragile individuals, which could be streamlined with a more detailed appreciation of the underlying substrate. CMRI can be used to predict the location of re-entrant circuits and channels within the scar to guide ablation lesions, the success of which can be predicted by computer modelling.^{81,82}

Planning

The configuration of LGE on CMRI allows the operator to estimate the likelihood of successful ablation and identify whether epicardial access is required. Predominantly subendocardial ischaemic scarrelated VT is usually treatable with endocardial ablation.⁷⁵ Conversely, VT ablation in NICM may be hampered by inaccessibility of the substrate, and epicardial access may be required for patients with inferolateral and/or subepicardial scarring.⁸³ Epicardial access is typically not required for patients with VT originating from a septal intramural scar, although outcomes from ablation of 'deep' substrate are poorer, as might be expected.⁸⁴

Image Fusion

Conventional 3D electroanatomical maps (EAMs) generated during ablation procedures may be inaccurate because of poor catheter contact or reach, and contact mapping of entire cardiac chambers is time consuming.

Clinical CMRI studies can be reconstructed into 3D geometries demonstrating the distribution of a scar (Figure 3). With further refinement using 3D acquisition and image-processing methods, channels that might facilitate re-entry can be identified in advance (Figure 4).85 These geometries can be used simply as a road map for the operator during ablation procedures. Alternatively, fusion of these 3D geometries with the EAM system can leverage the accurate and high resolution anatomical detail of clinical imaging, allowing the operator to observe CMRI (and/or CT) images directly in the mapping software to reduce the time spent generating EAMs.^{86–89} Contact mapping can be focused on regions of interest determined in advance, e.g. by using algorithms for localising the VT origin based on 12-lead ECG morphology or by non-invasive mapping (ECGI) techniques.⁹⁰⁻⁹⁵ While image fusion has the potential to streamline ablation procedures, as yet, the benefits of such an approach have not been formally evaluated, and widespread applicability is not assured since it requires significant clinical and imaging expertise.

Future Directions

Overcoming Technical Limitations of Cardiac MRI

Many patients at risk of VT/VF have cardiac implantable electronic devices (CIEDs).⁹⁶ Historically, MRI has been contraindicated in patients with CIEDs due to safety concerns. However, with advances in CIED technology such as MRI-conditional devices, growing experience and appropriate precautions and monitoring, CMRI can often be performed safely even in patients with historic non-conditional devices.^{69,97,98} Nevertheless, images may be significantly degraded by the presence of CIEDs, particularly the anteroseptal regions of the left ventricle in patients with left-sided pulse generators that lie in close proximity to the heart. Wideband sequences are described which can reduce these artefacts.⁹⁹

LGE imaging is usually obtained by multiple short axis planes through the heart. This results in excellent in-plane resolution, but a large slice width (approximately 10 mm) between images. Reconstructions of the heart can suffer with a 'partial voluming' artefact that can overestimate the infarct

Figure 3: Image Post-processing of 2D Cardiac MRI Images



Short axis late gadolinium enhancement images (A) are contoured to identify endo- and epicardial boundaries, before a full width at half maximum thresholding approach identifies areas of dense scar (red) and border zone (yellow), then (B) the short axis stack is reconstructed to form a 3D volume (C) which can be imported into electroanatomical maps software.

Figure 4: 3D Multiplanar Reconstruction



Conventional clinical 2D late gadolinium enhancement imaging can pose challenges for reconstruction including slice alignment. This example of 3D multiplanar reconstruction (performed at our own institution) yields more realistic geometry with areas of dense scar (red) and border zone (blue). Putative conducting channels have been indicated and numbered in preparation for ventricular tachycardia ablation.

border zone.¹⁰⁰ This effect can be mitigated by evolving techniques such as 3D image acquisition or super-resolution image reconstruction.^{101,102}

Histological studies have demonstrated myocyte fibre disarray at the border zone of a chronic infarction.¹⁰³ Due to anisotropic conduction of myocytes, knowledge of fibre orientation is potentially important to understand propensity to arrhythmia. Diffusion tensor imaging can demonstrate fibre direction and may therefore inform computer models of arrhythmia, although this use of CMRI is in its infancy.^{12,104,105}

Ventricular Tachycardia Stimulation and Modelling

Inducibility of VT during an electrophysiology study (EPS) by programmed ventricular stimulation (PVS) pacing from a right ventricular site predicts arrhythmic events in ICM.¹⁰⁶ This meta-analysis demonstrated PVS had the power to predict subsequent arrhythmic events (pooled OR 4.00, 95% CI [2.30–6.96]). Depending on patient selection and the number of extrastimuli used, the sensitivity, specificity and predictive value of this test varies, although is not commonly used clinically due to its invasiveness, cost and insufficient negative predictive value. In NICM, assessment with PVS is less well studied and probably less effective than with ICM.¹⁰⁷

Scar-related re-entry often relies upon functional block as well as anatomical barriers to conduction.¹⁰⁸ Scar quantification methods do not account for these complex mechanisms, but computer modelling has the potential to improve risk stratification by combining a personalised anatomical model with simulation of tissue electrophysiology. This method allows simulated PVS performed from multiple sites in both ventricles. In a retrospective study of 41 patients with severe LVSD, by comparing these patient-specific simulations with clinical outcomes, a positive 'virtual-heart arrhythmia risk predictor' simulation was associated with adverse outcomes (OR 4.05 (95% CI [1.20–13.8]), which is similar to published results from invasive PVS. Work is ongoing to determine the utility of such simulations in preserved LVSF.^{82,109}

Simulated PVS methods are computationally significantly more challenging in NICM where myocardial fibrosis is less confluent and more heterogeneous, and the microscopic nature of the substrate is difficult to fully characterise with clinical imaging. Moreover, the substrate in NICM is often progressive and, as such, risk stratification at a single time point may fail to accurately estimate lifetime risk.

These methods are promising but are potentially limited by simplifications and assumptions in models of cell and tissue electrophysiology, the computational resources required, and the resolution of currently available clinical imaging. Despite encouraging preliminary studies, there are significant obstacles to be overcome before these approaches can be used routinely in clinical practice.¹¹⁰ Constructing a personalised computational model of anatomy and electrophysiology requires calibration from clinical images and data that are often noisy and incomplete, so methods for embedding uncertainties and variability into computational models are an area of active research.¹¹¹ Whether these approaches can be used to guide ICD implantation in the future remains to be seen. Technological advances in imaging and modelling, along with clinical studies of their utility, will help advance this promising concept.

Future Clinical Studies

Tissue characterisation to determine who needs and, perhaps more importantly, who does not need an ICD is a complex but evolving field. Estimates of risk currently do not allow for disease progression, and it is unclear how frequently investigations should be repeated, particularly for the dynamic substrate that occurs in some forms of NICM. The effect of dynamic conditions such as electrolyte disturbance, volume overload and myocardial ischaemia on arrhythmic risk remains unknown.

In the DANish Randomized, Controlled, Multicenter Study to Assess the Efficacy of Implantable Cardioverter Defibrillator in Patients With Nonischemic Systolic Heart Failure on Mortality (DANISH) trial (NCT00542945), investigators found no overall mortality benefit for primary prevention ICD implantation in patients with NICM.¹¹² However, outcomes were improved by ICD implantation for those in prespecified subgroups – namely younger patients and those with lower levels of N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide (NT-proBNP) – who, presumably, had a lower risk of non-sudden death. Since CMRI studies have consistently demonstrated a higher arrhythmic burden in those with evidence of LGE, a clinical trial that used CMRI-based risk stratification in NICM patients with LVEF <35% would provide clinically useful information.

Similarly, the Cardiac Magnetic Resonance GUIDEd Management of Mild-moderate Left Ventricular Systolic Dysfunction (CMR_GUIDE) trial

(NCT01918215) will identify patients who have evidence of LGE but do not qualify for ICD treatment under current guidelines (LVEF 35–50%), to determine whether prophylactic ICD implantation is beneficial.¹¹³ The Programmed Ventricular Stimulation to Risk Stratify for Early Cardioverter-Defibrillator (ICD) Implantation to Prevent Tachyarrhythmias Following Acute Myocardial Infarction (PROTECT-ICD) trial will examine whether a multiparametric risk stratification algorithm (including echocardiography, CMRI and PVS) used post-infarction will identify those who may benefit from early ICD implantation.¹¹⁴

Contribution to Novel Therapies

Recent developments in CMRI and electrophysiology mapping systems have shown real-time tracking and visualisation of catheter position during ablation procedures to be feasible and safe for an 'anatomical' ablation of the cavo-tricuspid isthmus.^{115,116} Advantages of such a system include 3D visualisation of catheter position within complex anatomical structures (including the ability to see surrounding structures) and real-time lesion evaluation. This technology has the potential to improve outcomes in ablation procedures, but significant technological challenges remain for its use in ventricular arrhythmia.

Stereotactic body radiotherapy has recently been reported as a novel, non-invasive treatment for VT.^{117,118} It is dependent on accurate anatomical localisation of arrhythmic substrate to determine the radiotherapy target. CMRI imaging is the ideal modality for treatment planning.

Conclusion

CMRI imaging can accurately quantify cardiac function, and characterise the myocardial substrate to refine risk stratification to identify people who may benefit from ICD implantation and revascularisation. Although large-scale trials in this area are required, it is likely that measures of scar quantification will become increasingly recognised by guidelines in future.

A multiparametric approach using imaging and other criteria may provide the most accurate risk assessment in the future, although the interaction between each of the metrics discussed is complex and requires careful study. Advanced techniques such as automated image segmentation and channel detection, or computer simulation of electrophysiology, offer significant potential, but are still in the early stages of development.

Significant challenges remain in overcoming technological barriers and understanding how best to use the considerable information gained from a CMRI study. Nevertheless, CMRI offers clinicians and researchers an increasingly comprehensive way to diagnose, risk stratify and tailor the treatment of patients with cardiomyopathy.

Clinical Perspective

- Cardiac MRI (CMRI) is the gold standard imaging modality for ejection fraction and myocardial tissue characterisation.
- CMRI evidence of fibrosis independently predicts arrhythmic risk, even in multiparametric models which include clinical risk factors and ejection fraction, in both ischaemic and nonischaemic cardiomyopathies.
- CMRI can be used to inform and guide ablation procedures by characterising the ventricular tachycardia substrate.
- Novel metrics such as extracellular volume mapping and channel identification have the potential to aid the electrophysiologist and provide a more robust method of risk stratification.

- Huikuri HV, Castellanos A, Myerburg RJ. Sudden death due to cardiac arrhythmias. N Engl J Med 2001;345:1473–82. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMra000650; PMID: 11794197.
- Singh SN, Fletcher RD, Fisher SG, et al. Amiodarone in patients with congestive heart failure and asymptomatic ventricular arrhythmia. N Engl J Med 1995;333:77–82. https://doi. org/10.1056/NEJM199507133330201; PMID: 7539890.
- Bokhari F, Newman D, Greene M, et al. Long-term comparison of the implantable cardioverter defibrillator versus amiodarone: eleven-year follow-up of a subset of patients in the Canadian implantable Defibrillator Study (CIDS). *Circulation* 2004;110:112–6. https://doi.org/10.1161/01. CIR.0000134957.51747.6E; PMID: 15238454.
 Moss AJ, Zareba W, Hall WJ, et al. Prophylactic implantation
- Moss AJ, Zareba W, Hall WJ, et al. Prophylactic implantation of a defibrillator in patients with myocardial infarction and reduced ejection fraction. N Engl J Med 2002;346:877–83. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa013474; PMID: 11907286.
- Bardy GH, Lee KL, Mark DB, et al. Amiodarone or an implantable cardioverter-defibrillator for congestive heart failure. *N Engl J Med* 2005;352:225–37. https://doi.org/10.1056/ NEJMoa043399; PMID: 15659722.
- Priori SG, Blomström-Lundqvist C, Mazzanti A, et al. 2015 ESC Guidelines for the management of patients with ventricular arrhythmias and the prevention of sudden cardiac death. Eur Heart J 2015;36:2793–867. https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ ehv316; PMID: 27029760.
- Bellenger NG, Davies LC, Francis JM, et al. Reduction in sample size for studies of remodeling in heart failure by the use of cardiovascular magnetic resonance. *J Cardiovasc Magn Reson* 2000;2:271–8. https://doi. org/10.3109/10976640009148691; PMID: 11545126.
- Josephson ME. Clinical Cardiac Electrophysiology: Techniques and Interpretations. 4th ed. Philadelphia, PA: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, 2008.
- V de Bakker JM, van Capelle FJ, Janse MJ, et al. Slow conduction in the infarcted human heart. 'Zigzag' course of activation. *Circulation* 1993;88:915–26. https://doi.org/10.1161/01. CIR.88.3.915; PMID: 8353918.
- Estner HL, Zviman MM, Herzka D, et al. The critical isthmus sites of ischemic ventricular tachycardia are in zones of tissue heterogeneity, visualized by magnetic resonance imaging. *Heat Rhythm* 2011;8:1942–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. httpm 2011.07.027. PMID: 2129226
- hrthm. 2011.07.027; PMID: 21798226.
 Fenoglio JJ, Pham TD, Harken AH, et al. Recurrent sustained ventricular tachycardia: structure and ultrastructure of subendocardial regions in which tachycardia originates. *Circulation* 1983;68:518–33. https://doi.org/10.1161/01. CIR.68.3.518: PMID: 6223722.
- Pashakhanloo F, Herzka DA, Halperin H, et al. Role of 3-dimensional architecture of scar and surviving tissue in ventricular tachycardia: insights from high-resolution ex vivo porcine models. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol* 2018;11:e006131. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCEP117.006131; PMID: 29880529.
- Nalliah CJ, Zaman S, Narayan A, et al. Coronary artery reperfusion for ST elevation myocardial infarction is associated with shorter cycle length ventricular tachycardia and fewer spontaneous arrhythmias. *Europace* 2014;16:1053– 60. https://doi.org/10.1093/europace/eut307; PMID: 24158256.
 Piers SRD, Wijinmaalen A, Borleffs C, et al. Early reperfusion
- Piers SRD, Wijnmaalen A, Borleffs C, et al. Early reperfusion therapy affects inducibility, cycle length, and occurrence of ventricular tachycardia late after myocardial infarction. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol* 2011;4:195–201. https://doi.org/10.1161/ CIRCEP.110.959213; PMID: 21285394.
- CIRCEP.110.959213; PMID: 21285394.
 15. Wijnmaalen A, Schalij MJ, von der Thüsen JH, et al. Early reperfusion during acute myocardial infarction affects ventricular tachycardia characteristics and the chronic electroanatomic and histological substrate. *Circulation* 2010;121:1887–95. https://doi.org/10.1161/ CIRCULATIONAHA.109.891242; PMID: 20404255.
- Dinov B, Fiedler L, Schönbauer R, et al. Outcomes in catheter ablation of ventricular tachycardia in dilated nonischemic cardiomyopathy compared with ischemic cardiomyopathy. *Circulation* 2014;129:728–36. https://doi.org/10.1161/ CIRCULATIONAHA.113.003063; PMID: 24211823.
 Glashan CA, Androulakis AFA, Tao Q, et al. Whole human
- Glashan CA, Androulakis AFA, Tao Q, et al. Whole human heart histology to validate electroanatomical voltage mapping in patients with non-ischaemic cardiomyopathy and ventricular tachycardia. Eur Heart J 2018;39:2867–75. https://doi.org/10.1093/eurbearti-byh168: Pbth: 2961776.
- https://doi.org/10.1093/eurhearti/ehy168; PMID: 29617764.
 Wu TJ, Ong JJ, Hwang C, et al. Characteristics of wave fronts during ventricular fibrillation in human hearts with dilated cardiomyopathy: role of increased fibrosis in the generation of reentry. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1998;32:187–96. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0735-1097(98)00184-3; PMID: 9669269.
- Nash MP, Mourad A, Clayton RH, et al. Evidence for multiple mechanisms in human ventricular fibrillation. *Circulation* 2006;114:536–42. https://doi.org/10.1161/ CIRCULATIONAHA.105.602870; PMID: 16880326.
 Kim RJ, Fieno DS, Parrish TB, et al. Relationship of MRI
- Kim RJ, Fieno DS, Parrish TB, et al. Relationship of MRI delayed contrast enhancement to irreversible injury, infarct age, and contractile function. *Circulation* 1999;100:1992– 2002. https://doi.org/10.1161/01.CIR.100.19.1992; PMID: 10556226.
- 21. Venero JV, Doyle M, Shah M, et al. Mid wall fibrosis on CMR with late gadolinium enhancement may predict prognosis for LVAD and transplantation risk in patients with newly diagnosed dilated cardiomyopathy-preliminary observations from a high-volume transplant centre. ESC Heart Fail 2015;2:150–9. https://doi.org/10.1002/ehf2.12041;

PMID: 27708858.

- Hsu L-Y, Natanzon A, Kellman P, et al. Quantitative myocardial infarction on delayed enhancement MRI. Part I: animal validation of an automated feature analysis and combined thresholding infarct sizing algorithm. J Magn Reson Imaging 2006;23:298–308. https://doi.org/10.1002/jmri.20496. PMID: 16450367.
- Khan JN, Nazir SA, Horsfield MA, et al. Comparison of semiautomated methods to quantify infarct size and area at risk by cardiovascular magnetic resonance imaging at 1.5T and 3.0T field strengths. *BMC Res Notes* 2015;8. https://doi. org/10.1186/s13104-015-1007-1; PMID: 25889795.
- Amado LC, Gerber BL, Gupta SN, et al. Accurate and objective infarct sizing by contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging in a canine myocardial infarction model. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2004;44:2383–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jacc.2004.09.020; PMID: 15607402.
- Jablonowski R, Chaudhry U, van der Pals J, et al. Cardiovascular magnetic resonance to predict appropriate implantable cardioverter defibrillator therapy in ischemic and nonischemic cardiomyopathy patients using late gadolinium enhancement border zone: comparison of four analysis methods. *Circ Cardiovasc Imaging* 2017;10. https://doi. org/10.1161/CIRCIMAGING.116.006105; PMID: 28838961.
 Flett AS, Hasleton J, Cook C, et al. Evaluation of techniques
- Flett AS, Hasleton J, Cook C, et al. Evaluation of techniques for the quantification of myocardial scar of differing etiology using cardiac magnetic resonance. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging* 2011;4:150–6. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcmg.2010.11.015; PMID: 21329899.
- Kellman P, Arai AE, Xue H. T1 and extracellular volume mapping in the heart: estimation of error maps and the influence of noise on precision. *J Cardiovasc Magn Reson* 2013;15:56. https://doi.org/10.1186/1532-429X-15-56.
 PMID: 23800276.
- Haaf P, Garg P, Messroghli DR, et al. Cardiac T1 mapping and extracellular volume (ECV) in clinical practice: a comprehensive review. J Cardiovasc Magn Reson 2016;18:89. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12988-016-0308-4; PMID: 2789913
- Completelinster Jeview Jacobios Imagines Internation (1867).
 Chelbert EB, Messroghi DR, State of the art: clinical applications of cardiac T1 mapping. *Radiology* 2016;278:658–76. https://doi.org/10.1148/radiol.2016141802; PMID: 26885733.
- Germain P, El Ghannudi S, Jeung M-Y, et al. Native T1 mapping of the heart – a pictorial review. *Clin Med Insights Cardiol* 2014;8:1–11. https://doi.org/10.4137/CMC.S19005.
- Dabir D, Child N, Kalra A, et al. Reference values for healthy human myocardium using a T1 mapping methodology: results from the International T1 Multicenter cardiovascular magnetic resonance study. J Cardiovasc Magn Reson 2014;16:69. https:// doi.org/10.1186/s12968-014-0069-x; PMID: 25384607.
- Sado DM, Flett AS, Banypersad SM, et al. Cardiovascular magnetic resonance measurement of myocardial extracellular volume in health and disease. *Heart* 2012;98:1436–41. https:// doi.org/10.1136/heartjnl-2012-302346; PMID: 22936681.
- Sibley CT, Noureldin RA, Gai N, et al. T1 mapping in cardiomyopathy at cardiac MR: comparison with endomyocardial biopsy. *Radiology* 2012;265:724–32. https://doi. org/10.1148/radiol.12112721; PMID: 23091172.
- org/10.1148/radiol.12112721; PMID: 23091172.
 Salerno M, Kramer CM. Advances in parametric mapping with CMR imaging. *IACC Cardiovasc Imaging* 2013;6:806–22. https://doi.org/10.1016/i.jcmg.2013.05.005; PMID: 23845576.
- Olausson E, Fröjdh F, Maanja M, et al. Diffuse myocardial fibrosis measured by extracellular volume associates with incident ventricular arrhythmia in implantable cardioverter defibrillator recipients more than focal fibrosis. J Am Coll Cardiol 2018;71(suppl 11):A1454. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0735-1097(18)31995-8.
- 1097(18)31995-8.
 Tada Y, Yang PC. Myocardial edema on T2-weighted MRI. *Circ Res* 2017;121:326–8. https://doi.org/10.1161/ CIRCRESAHA.117.311494; PMID: 28775009.
- Lota AS, Gatehouse PD, Mohiaddin RH. T2 mapping and T2* imaging in heart failure. *Heart Fail Rev* 2017;22:431–40. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s10741-017-9616-5; PMID: 28497231.
- Mavrogeni S, Apostolou D, Argyriou P, et al. T1 and T2 mapping in cardiology: 'mapping the obscure object of desire'. Cardiology 2017;138:207–17. https://doi. org/10.1159/000478901; PMID: 28813699.
- Ponikowski P, Voors AA, Anker SD, et al. 2016 ESC guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure. *Eur Heart J* 2016;37:2129–200. https://doi.org/10.1093/ eurhearti/ehw128; PMID: 27206819.
 AI-Khatib SM, Stevenson WG, Ackerman MJ, et al. 2017
- Al-Khatib SM, Stevenson WG, Ackerman MJ, et al. 2017 AHA/ACC/HRS guideline for management of patients with ventricular arrhythmias and the prevention of sudden cardiac death. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2018;72:e91–220. PMID: 29097296.
 White JA, Fine NM, Gula L, et al. Utility of cardiovascular
- White JA, Fine NM, Gula L, et al. Utility of cardiovascular magnetic resonance in identifying substrate for malignant ventricular arrhythmias. *Circ Cardiovasc Imaging* 2012;5:12–20. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCIMAGING.111.966085; PMID: 22038987.
- Piers SRD, Tao Q, van Huls van Taxis CF, et al. Contrastenhanced MRI-derived scar patterns and associated ventricular tachycardias in nonischemic cardiomyopathy: implications for the ablation strategy. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol* 2013;6:875–83. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCEP.113.000537; PMID: 24036134.
- Braunwald E, Rutherford JD. Reversible ischemic left ventricular dysfunction: Evidence for the 'hibernating myocardium. J Am Coll Cardiol 1986;8:1467–70. https://doi. org/10.1016/S0735-1097(86)80325-4; PMID: 3782649.
- 44. Brugada J, Aguinaga L, Mont L, et al. Coronary artery

revascularization in patients with sustained ventricular arrhythmias in the chronic phase of a myocardial infarction: effects on the electrophysiologic substrate and outcome. J Am Coll Cardiol 2001;37:529–33. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0735-1097(00)01133-5; PMID: 11216974.

- Ngaage DL, Cale ARJ, Cowen ME, et al. Early and late survival after surgical revascularization for ischemic ventricular fibrillation/tachycardia. Ann Thorac Surg 2008;85:1278–81. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. athoracsur.2007.12.035; PMID: 18355509.
- Kelly P, Ruskin JN, Vlahakes GJ, et al. Surgical coronary revascularization in survivors of prehospital cardiac arrest: Its effect on inducible ventricular arrhythmias and longterm survival. J Am Coll Cardiol 1990;15:267–73. https://doi. org/10.1016/S0735-1097(10)80046-4; PMID: 2299065.
- org/10.1016/S0735-1097(10)80046-4; PMID: 2299065.
 47. Geelen P, Primo J, Wellens F, Brugada P. Coronary artery bypass grafting and defibrillator implantation in patients with ventricular tachyarrhythmias and ischemic heart disease. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol*y 1999;22:1132–9. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-8159.1999.tb00591.x; PMID: 10461287.
 48. Dumas F, Bougouin W, Geri G, et al. Emergency percutaneous
- Dumas F, Bougouin W, Geri G, et al. Emergency percutaneous coronary intervention in post-cardiac arrest patients without st-segment elevation pattern: insights from the PROCAT II Registry. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv* 2016;9:1011–8. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.jcin.2016.02.001; PMID: 27131438.
 Cook JR, Rizo-Patron C, Curtis AB, et al. Effect of surgical
- Cook JR, Rizo-Patron C, Curtis AB, et al. Effect of surgical revascularization in patients with coronary artery disease and ventricular tachycardia or fibrillation in the Antiarrhythmics Versus Implantable Defibrillators (AVID) Registry. *Am Heart J* 2002;143:821–6. https://doi.org/10.1067/mhj.2002.121732; PMID: 12040343.
- Kwon DH, Halley CM, Carrigan TP, et al. Extent of left ventricular scar predicts outcomes in ischemic cardiomyopathy patients with significantly reduced systolic function: a delayed hyperenhancement cardiac magnetic resonance study. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging* 2009;2:34–44. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.jcmg.2008.09.010; PMID: 19356530.
- Klem I, Shah DJ, White RD, et al. Prognostic value of routine cardiac magnetic resonance assessment of left ventricular ejection fraction and myocardial damage: an international, multicenter study. *Circ Cardiovasc Imaging* 2011;4:610–9. https:// doi.org/10.1161/CIRCIMAGING.111.964965; PMID: 21911738.
- Cheong BYC, Muthupillai R, Wilson JM, et al. Prognostic significance of delayed-enhancement magnetic resonance imaging: survival of 857 patients with and without left ventricular dysfunction. *Circulation* 2009;120:2069–76. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.109.852517; PMID: 19901193.
- Scott PA, Morgan JM, Carroll N, et al. The extent of left ventricular scar quantified by late gadolinium enhancement MRI is associated with spontaneous ventricular arrhythmias in patients with coronary artery disease and implantable cardioverter-defibrillators. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol* 2011;4:324–30. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCEP.110.959544; PMID: 21493964.
- Bello D, Fieno DS, Kim RJ, et al. Infarct morphology identifies patients with substrate for sustained ventricular tachycardia. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2005;45:1104–8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jacc.2004.12.057; PMID: 15808771.
 Disertori M, Rigoni M, Pace N, et al. Myocardial fibrosis
- Disertori M, Rigoni M, Pace N, et al. Myocardial fibrosis assessment by LGE is a powerful predictor of ventricular tachyarrhythmias in ischemic and nonischemic LV dysfunction: a meta-analysis. JACC Cardiovasc Imaging 2016;9:1046–55. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcmg.2016.01.033; PMID: 27450871.
- 56. Gao P, Yee R, Gula L, et al. Prediction of arrhythmic events in ischemic and dilated cardiomyopathy patients referred for implantable cardiac defibrillator: evaluation of multiple scar quantification measures for late gadolinium enhancement magnetic resonance imaging. *Circ Cardiovasc Imaging* 2012;5:448–56. https://doi.org/10.1161/ CIRCIMAGING.111.971549; PMID: 22572740.
- Heidary S, Patel H, Chung J, et al. Quantitative tissue characterization of infarct core and border zone in patients with ischemic cardiomyopathy by magnetic resonance is associated with future cardiovascular events. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2010;55:2762–8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2010.01.052; PMID: 20538171.
- Yan AT, Shayne AJ, Brown KA, et al. Characterization of the peri-infarct zone by contrast-enhanced cardiac magnetic resonance imaging is a powerful predictor of post-myocardial infarction mortality. *Circulation* 2006;114:32–9. https://doi. org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.106.613414; PMID: 16801462.
- Rubenstein JC, Lee DC, Wu E, et al. A comparison of cardiac magnetic resonance imaging peri-infarct border zone quantification strategies for the prediction of ventricular tachyarrhythmia inducibility. *Cardiol J* 2013;20:68–77. https://doi.org/10.5603/CI.2013.0011; PMID: 23558813.
- Acosta J, Fernández-Armenta J, Borrás R, et al. Scar characterization to predict life-threatening arrhythmic events and sudden cardiac death in patients with cardiac resynchronization therapy. *IACC Cardiovasc Imaging* 2018;11:561– 72. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcmg.2017.04.021; PMID: 28780194.
- Wu KC, Weiss RG, Thiemann DR, et al. Late gadolinium enhancement by cardiovascular magnetic resonance heralds an adverse prognosis in nonischemic cardiomyopathy. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2008;51:2414–21. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jacc.2008.03.018; PMID: 18555399.
- 62. Assomull RG, Prasad SK, Lyne J, et al. Cardiovascular magnetic

resonance, fibrosis, and prognosis in dilated cardiomyopathy. J Am Coll Cardiol 2006;48:1977–85. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jacc.2006.07.049; PMID: 17112987.

- Halliday BP, Gulati A, Ali A, et al. Association between midwall late gadolinium enhancement and sudden cardiac death in patients with dilated cardiomyopathy and mild and moderate left ventricular systolic dysfunction. *Circulation* 2017;135:2106– 15. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.116.026910; PMID: 28351901.
- 64. Becker MAJ, Cornel JH, van de Ven PM, et al. The prognostic value of late gadolinium-enhanced cardiac magnetic resonance imaging in nonischemic dilated cardiomyopathy: a review and meta-analysis. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging* 2018;11:1274–84. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcmg.2018.03.006; PMID: 29680351.
- Halliday BP, Baksi AJ, Gulati A, et al. Outcome in dilated cardiomyopathy related to the extent, location, and pattern of late gadolinium enhancement. *JACC Cardiovasc imaging* 2018. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcmg.2018.07.015; PMID: 30219397; epub ahead of press.
 Kuruvilla S, Adenaw N, Katwal AB, et al. Late gadolinium
- Kuruvilla S, Adenaw N, Katwal AB, et al. Late gadolinium enhancement on CMR predicts adverse cardiovascular outcomes in non-ischemic cardiomyopathy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Circ Cardiovasc Imaging* 2014;7:250–8. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCIMAGING.113.001144; PMID: 24363358.
- Ermis C, Zhu AX, Vanheel L, et al. Comparison of ventricular arrhythmia frequency in patients with ischemic cardiomyopathy versus nonischemic cardiomyopathy treated with implantable cardioverter defibrillators. *Am J Cardiol* 2005;96:233–8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amjcard.2005.03.051; PMID: 16018849.
- Piers SRD, Everaerts K, van der Geest RJ, et al. Myocardial scar predicts monomorphic ventricular tachycardia but not polymorphic ventricular tachycardia or ventricular fibrillation in nonischemic dilated cardiomyopathy. *Heart Rhythm* 2015;12:2106–14. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hrthm.2015.05.026; PMID: 26004942.
- 69. Messroghli DR, Moon JC, Ferreira VM, et al. Clinical recommendations for cardiovascular magnetic resonance mapping of T1, T2, T2* and extracellular volume: a consensus statement by the Society for Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance (SCMR) endorsed by the European Association for Cardiovascular Imaging (EACVI). J Cardiovasc Magn Reson 2017;19:75. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12968-017-0389-8; PMID: 28992817.
- Chen Z, Sohal M, Voigt T, et al. Myocardial tissue characterization by cardiac magnetic resonance imaging using T1 mapping predicts ventricular arrhythmia in ischemic and non-ischemic cardiomyopathy patients with implantable cardioverter-defibrillators. *Heart Rhythm* 2015;12:792–801. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hrthm.2014.12.020; PMID: 25533585.
- Wong TC, Piehler K, Meier CG, et al. Association between extracellular matrix expansion quantified by cardiovascular magnetic resonance and short-term mortality. *Circulation* 2012;126:1206–16. https://doi.org/10.1161/ CIRCULATIONAHA.111.089409; PMID: 22851543.
- Barison A, Torto AD, Chiappino S, et al. Prognostic significance of myocardial extracellular volume fraction in nonischaemic dilated cardiomyopathy. J Cardiovasc Med 2015;16. https://doi. org/10.2459/JCM.0000000000275; PMID: 26090916.
- Kuck K-H, Schaumann A, Eckardt L, et al. Catheter ablation of stable ventricular tachycardia before defibrillator implantation in patients with coronary heart disease (VTACH): a multicentre randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2010;375:31– 40. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(09)61755-4; PMID: 20109864.
 Sapp JL, Wells GA, Parkash R, et al. Ventricular tachycardia
- Sapp JL, Wells GA, Parkash R, et al. Ventricular tachycardia ablation versus escalation of antiarrhythmic drugs. N Engl J Med 2016;375:111–21. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1513614; PMID: 27149033.
- Marchlinski FE, Haffajee CI, Beshai JF, et al. Long-term success of irrigated radiofrequency catheter ablation of sustained ventricular tachycardia: post-approval THERMOCOOL VT Trial. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2016;67:674–83. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2015.11.041; PMID: 26868693.
 Reddy VY, Reynolds MR, Neuzil P, et al. Prophylactic catheter
- Reddy VY, Reynolds MR, Neuzil P, et al. Prophylactic catheter ablation for the prevention of defibrillator therapy. N Engl J Med 2007;357:2657–65. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa065457; PMID: 18160685.
- Marchlinski FE, Callans DJ, Gottlieb CD, Zado E. Linear ablation lesions for control of unmappable ventricular tachycardia in patients with ischemic and nonischemic cardiomyopathy. *Circulation* 2000;101:1288–96. https://doi.org/10.1161/01. CIR.101.11.1288; PMID: 10725289.
- CIR. 101.1.1288; PMID: 10725289.
 78. Tzou WS, Frankel DS, Hegeman T, et al. Core isolation of critical arrhythmia elements for treatment of multiple scarbased ventricular tachycardias. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol* 2015;8:353–61. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCEP.114.002310; PMID: 25681389.
- Gökoğlan Y, Mohanty S, Gianni C, et al. Scar homogenization versus limited-substrate ablation in patients with nonischemic cardiomyopathy and ventricular tachycardia. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2016;68:1990–8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jacc.2016.08.033; PMID: 27788854.
- Jaïs P, Maury P, Khairy P, et al. Elimination of local abnormal ventricular activities: a new end point for substrate modification in patients with scar-related ventricular tachycardia. *Circulation* 2012;125:2184–96. https://doi.

org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.111.043216; PMID: 22492578.

- Andreu D, Berruezo A, Ortiz-Pérez JT, et al. Integration of 3D electroanatomic maps and magnetic resonance scar characterization into the navigation system to guide ventricular tachycardia ablation. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol* 2011;4:674–83. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCEP.111.961946; PMID: 21880674.
- Arevalo HJ, Vadakkumpadan F, Guallar E, et al. Arrhythmia risk stratification of patients after myocardial infarction using personalized heart models. *Nat Commun* 2016;7:11437. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms11437; PMID: 27164184.
- Cano O, Hutchinson M, Lin D, et al. Electroanatomic substrate and ablation outcome for suspected epicardial ventricular tachycardia in left ventricular nonischemic cardiomyopathy. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2009;54:799–808. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jacc.2009.05.032; PMID: 19695457.
- Oloriz T, Silberbauer J, Maccabelli G, et al. Catheter ablation of ventricular arrhythmia in nonischemic cardiomyopathy: anteroseptal versus inferolateral scar sub-types. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol* 2014;7:e14–23. https://doi.org/10.1161/ CIRCEP.114.001568; PMID: 24785410.
- Andreu D, Penela D, Acosta J, et al. Cardiac magnetic resonance-aided scar dechanneling: Influence on acute and long-term outcomes. *Heart Rhythm* 2017;14:1121–8. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.hrthm.2017.05.018; PMID: 28760258.
- Njeim M, Desjardins B, Bogun F. Multimodality imaging for guiding EP ablation procedures. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging* 2016;9:873–86. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcmg.2016.03.009; PMID: 27388666.
 Yamashita S, Sacher F, Mahida S, et al. Image integration
- Yamashita S, Sacher F, Mahida S, et al. Image integration to guide catheter ablation in scar-related ventricular tachycardia. J Cardiovase Electrophysiol 2016;27:69–708. https://doi.org/10.1111/jce.12963; PMID: 26918883.
- Kelland NF, Metherall P, Sugden J, et al. Multimodality image reconstruction and fusion to guide VT ablation. *Europace* 2017;19 (suppl 1):i17. https://doi.org/10.1093/europace/ eux283.047.
- Wijnmaalen AP, van der Geest RJ, van Huls van Taxis CFB, et al. Head-to-head comparison of contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging and electroanatomical voltage mapping to assess post-infarct scar characteristics in patients with ventricular tachycardias: real-time image integration and reversed registration. *Eur Heart J* 2011;32:104– 14. https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehq345; PMID: 20864488.
- Sapp JL, Bar-Tal M, Howes AJ, et al. Real-time localization of ventricular tachycardia origin from the 12-lead electrocardiogram. *JACC Clin Electrophysiol* 2017;3:687–99. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacep.2017.02.024; PMID: 29759537
- Andreu D, Fernández-Armenta J, Acosta J, et al. A QRS axis-based algorithm to identify the origin of scar-related ventricular tachycardia in the 17-segment American Heart Association model. *Heart Rhythm* 2018;15:1491–7. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.hrthm.2018.06.013; PMID: 29902584.
- Segal OR, Chow AWC, Wong T, et al. A novel algorithm for determining endocardial VT exit site from 12-lead surface ECG characteristics in human, infarct-related ventricular tachycardia. J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol 2007;18:161–8. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-8167.2007.00721.x; PMID: 17338765.
- Miller JM, Marchlinski FE, Buxton AE, Josephson ME. Relationship between the 12-lead electrocardiogram during ventricular tachycardia and endocardial site of origin in patients with coronary artery disease. *Circulation* 1988;77:759-66. https://doi.org/10.1161/01.CIR.77.4.759; PMID: 3349580.
- Kuchar DL, Ruskin JN, Garan H. Electrocardiographic localization of the site of origin of ventricular tachycardia in patients with prior myocardial infarction. J Am Coll Cardiol 1989;13:893–903. https://doi.org/10.1016/0735-1097(89)90232-5; PMID: 2926041.
- 95. Wang Y, Cuculich PS, Zhang J, et al. Noninvasive electroanatomic mapping of human ventricular arrhythmias with electrocardiographic imaging. *Sci Transl Med* 2011;3:98ra84. https://doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed.3002152; PMID: 21885406.
- Kalin R, Stanton MS. Current clinical issues for MRI scanning of pacemaker and defibrillator patients. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol* 2005;28:326–8. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-8159.2005.50024.x; PMID: 15826268.
- Nazarian S, Hansford R, Roguin A, et al. A prospective evaluation of a protocol for magnetic resonance imaging of patients with implanted cardiac devices. *Ann Intern Med* 2011;155:415–24. https://doi.org/10.7326/0003-4819-155-7-201110040-00004; PMID: 21969340.
- Russo RJ, Costa HS, Silva PD, et al. Assessing the risks associated with MRI in patients with a pacemaker or defibrillator. *N Engl Med* 2017;37:755-64. https://doi. org/10.1056/NEJMoa1603265; PMID: 28225684.
- Do DH, Eyvazian V, Bayoneta AJ, et al. Cardiac magnetic resonance imaging using wideband sequences in patients with nonconditional cardiac implanted electronic devices. *Heart Rhythm* 2018;15:218–25. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. hrthm.2017.10.003; PMID: 29017930.
- 100. Schelbert EB, Hsu L-Y, Anderson SA, et al. Late gadoliniumenhancement cardiac magnetic resonance identifies postinfarction myocardial fibrosis and the border zone at the near cellular level in ex vivo rat heart. *Circ Cardiovasc Imaging* 2010;3:743–52. https://doi.org/10.1161/ CIRCIMAGING.108.835793; PMID: 20847191.
- 101. Amano Y, Yanagisawa F, Tachi M, et al. Three-dimensional

cardiac MR imaging: related techniques and clinical applications. *Magn Reson Med Sci* 2017;16:183–9. https://doi. org/10.2463/mrms.rev.2016-0116

- Dzyubachyk O, Tao Q, Poot DHJ, et al. Super-resolution reconstruction of late gadolinium-enhanced MRI for improved myocardial scar assessment. J Magn Reson Imaging 2015;42:160– 7. https://doi.org/10.1002/jmri.24759; PMID: 25236764.
- 2. https://outing/ioi.tokg/of.tokg/ 103. Hervas A, Ruiz-Sauri A, de Dios E, et al. Inhomogeneity of collagen organization within the fibrotic scar after myocardial infarction: results in a swine model and in human samples. *J Anat* 2016;228:47–58. https://doi.org/10.1111/joa.12395; PMID: 26510481.
- 104. León DG, López-Yunta M, Alfonso-Almazán JM, et al. Three-dimensional cardiac fibre disorganization as a novel parameter for ventricular arrhythmia stratification after myocardial infarction. Europace 2019. https://doi.org/10.1093/ europace/euy306; PMID: 30649290; epub ahead of press. 105. Mekkaoui C, Reese TG, Jackowski MP, et al. Diffusion MRI
- Mekkaoui C, Reese TG, Jackowski MP, et al. Diffusion MRI in the heart. NMR Biomed 2017;30:3. https://doi.org/10.1002/ nbm.3426; PMID: 26484848.
- nbm.3426; PMID: 26484848.
 106. Disertori M, Masè M, Rigoni M, et al. Ventricular tachycardiainducibility predicts arrhythmic events in post-myocardial infarction patients with low ejection fraction. A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Cardiol Heart Vasc* 2018;20:7–13. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijcha.2018.06.002; PMID: 29942854.
 107. Plummer C. Implantable cardioverter defibrillator therapy
- Plummer C. Implantable cardioverter defibrillator therapy for non-ischaemic cardiomyopathy. What is the role of programmed electrical stimulation? *Europace* 2009;11:273–5.
- https://doi.org/10.1093/europace/eun392; PMID: 19164361.
 108. Segal OR, Chow AWC, Peters NS, et al. Mechanisms that initiate ventricular tachycardia in the infarcted human heart. *Heart Rhythm* 2017;757–64. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. hrthm.2009.09.025; PMID: 20129286.
- Deng D, Arevalo HJ, Prakosa A, et al. A feasibility study of arrhythmia risk prediction in patients with myocardial infarction and preserved ejection fraction. *Europace* 2016;18:iv60–6. https://doi.org/10.1093/europace/euw351; PMID: 28011832.
- 110. Prakosa A, Arevalo HJ, Deng D, et al. Personalized virtualheart technology for guiding the ablation of infarct-related ventricular tachycardia. *Nat Biomed Eng* 2018;2:732–40. https:// doi.org/10.1038/s41551-018-0282-2; PMID: 30847259.
- 111. Mirams GR, Pathmanathan P, Gray RA, et al. Uncertainty and variability in computational and mathematical models of cardiac physiology. J Physiol 2016;594:6833–47. https://doi. org/10.1113/JP271671; PMID: 26990229.
- Køber L, Thune JJ, Nielsen JC, et al. Defibrillator implantation in patients with nonischemic systolic heart failure. N Engl J Med 2016;375:1221–30. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1608029; PMID: 27571011.
- 113. Selvanayagam JB, Hartshorne T, Billot L, et al. Cardiovascular magnetic resonance-GUIDEd management of mild to moderate left ventricular systolic dysfunction (CMR GUIDE): study protocol for a randomized controlled trial. Ann Noninasive Electrocardiol 2017;22. https://doi.org/10.1111/ anec.12420; PMID: 28117536.
- 14. Zaman S, Taylor AJ, Stiles M, et al. Programmed Ventricular Stimulation to Risk Stratify for Early Cardioverter-Defibrillator Implantation to Prevent Tachyarrhythmias following Acute Myocardial Infarction (PROTECT-ICD): trial protocol, background and significance. *Heart Lung Circ* 2016;25:1055–62. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hlc.2016.04.007; PMID: 27522511.
- Chubb H, Williams SE, Whitaker J, et al. Cardiac electrophysiology under MRI guidance: an emerging technology. Arrhythm Electrophysiol Rev 2017;6:85–93. https://doi. org/10.15420/aer.2017.1.2; PMID: 28845235.
- org/10.15420/aer.2017.1.2; PMID: 28845235.
 116. Hilbert S, Sommer P, Gutberlet M, et al. Real-time magnetic resonance-guided ablation of typical right atrial flutter using a combination of active catheter tracking and passive catheter visualization in man: initial results from a consecutive patient series. Europace 2016;18:572–7. https://doi.org/10.1093/ europace/euv249; PMID: 26316146.
- 117. Cuculich PS, Schill MR, Kashani R, et al. Noninvasive cardiac radiation for ablation of ventricular tachycardia. *N Engl J Med* 2017;377:2325–36. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1613773; PMID: 29236642.
- Robinson CG, Samson PP, Moore KM, et al. Phase I/II trial of electrophysiology-guided noninvasive cardiac radioablation for ventricular tachycardia. *Circulation* 2019;139:313-321. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.118.038261; PMID: 30586734.
- 119. Schmidt A, Azevedo CF, Cheng A, et al. Infarct tissue heterogeneity by magnetic resonance imaging identifies enhanced cardiac arrhythmia susceptibility in patients with left ventricular dysfunction. *Circulation* 2007;115:2006–14. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.106.653568; PMID: 17389270.
- 120. Roes SD, Borleffs CJW, van der Geest RJ, et al. Infarct tissue heterogeneity assessed with contrast-enhanced MRI predicts spontaneous ventricular arrhythmia in patients with ischemic cardiomyopathy and implantable cardioverter-defibrillator. *Circ Cardiovasc Imaging* 2009;2:183–90. https://doi.org/10.1161/ CIRCIMAGING.108.826529; PMID: 19808591.
- 121. Kelle S, Roes SD, Klein C, et al. Prognostic value of myocardial infarct size and contractile reserve using magnetic resonance imaging. J Am Coll Cardiol 2009;54:1770–7. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.jacc.2009.07.027; PMID: 19874990.
- 122. Krittayaphong R, Saiviroonporn P, Boonyasirinant T, et al. Prevalence and prognosis of myocardial scar in patients with known or suspected coronary artery disease and normal

wall motion. J Cardiovasc Magn Reson 2011;13:2. https://doi. org/10.1186/1532-429X-13-2; PMID: 21211011. 123. Boyé P, Abdel-Aty H, Zacharzowsky U, et al. Prediction of

- 123. Boyé P, Abdel-Aty H, Zacharzowsky U, et al. Prediction of life-threatening arrhythmic events in patients with chronic myocardial infarction by contrast-enhanced CMR. JACC Cardiovasc Imaging 2011;4:871–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jcmg.2011.04.014; PMID: 21835379.
- 124. Alexandre J, Saloux E, Dugué AE, et al. Scar extent evaluated by late gadolinium enhancement CMR: a powerful predictor of long term appropriate ICD therapy in patients with coronary artery disease. J Cardiovasc Magn Reson 2013;15:12. https://doi.org/10.1186/1532-429X-15-12; PMID: 23331500.
- 125. Kwon DH, Hachamovitch R, Adeniyi A, et al. Myocardial scar burden predicts survival benefit with implantable cardioverter defibrillator implantation in patients with severe ischaemic cardiomyopathy: influence of gender. *Heart* 2014;100:206–13. https://doi.org/10.1136/heartjnl-2013-304261; PMID: 24186562.
- 126. Demirel F, Adiyaman A, Timmer JR, et al. Myocardial scar characteristics based on cardiac magnetic resonance imaging is associated with ventricular tachyarrhythmia in patients with ischemic cardiomyopathy. *Int J Cardiol* 2014;177:392–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijcard.2014.08.132; PMID: 25440471.
- Rijnierse MT, Allaart CP, Haan S de, et al. Non-invasive imaging to identify susceptibility for ventricular arrhythmias in ischaemic left ventricular dysfunction. *Heart* 2016;102:832–40. https://doi.org/10.1136/heartjnl-2015-308467;
 PMID: 26843532.
- 128. Iles L, Pfluger H, Lefkovits L, et al. Myocardial fibrosis predicts appropriate device therapy in patients with implantable cardioverter-defibrillators for primary prevention of sudden cardiac death. J Am Coll Cardiol 2011;57:821–8. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.jacc.2010.06.062; PMID: 21310318.
- 129. Lehrke S, Lossnitzer D, Schöb M, et al. Use of cardiovascular

magnetic resonance for risk stratification in chronic heart failure: prognostic value of late gadolinium enhancement in patients with non-ischaemic dilated cardiomyopathy. *Heart* 2011;97:727–32. https://doi.org/10.1136/hrt.2010.205542; PMID: 21097819.

- 130. Neilan TG, Coelho-Filho OR, Danik SB, et al. CMR Quantification of myocardial scar provides additive prognostic information in nonischemic cardiomyopathy. *IACC Cardiovasc Imaging* 2013;6:944–54. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jcmg.2013.05.013; PMID: 23932642.
- 131. Gulati A, Jabbour A, Ismail TF, et al. Association of fibrosis with mortality and sudden cardiac death in patients with nonischemic dilated cardiomyopathy. JAMA 2013;309:896–908. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2013.1363; PMID: 23462786.
- 132. Machii M, Satoh H, Shiraki K, et al. Distribution of late gadolinium enhancement in end-stage hypertrophic cardiomyopathy and dilated cardiomyopathy. differential diagnosis and prediction of cardiac outcome. Magn Reson Imaging 2014;32:118–24. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. mri.2013.10.011; PMID: 24315973.
- mri.2013.10.011; PMID: 24315973.
 133. Perazzolo Marra M, De Lazzari M, Zorzi A, et al. Impact of the presence and amount of myocardial fibrosis by cardiac magnetic resonance on arrhythmic outcome and sudden cardiac death in nonischemic dilated cardiomyopathy. *Heart Rhythm* 2014;11:856–63. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. hrthm.2014.01.014; PMID: 24440822.
- Masci PG, Doulaptsis C, Bertella E, et al. Incremental prognostic value of myocardial fibrosis in patients with non-ischemic cardiomyopathy without congestive heart failure. *Circ Heart Fail* 2014;7:448–56. https://doi.org/10.1161/ CIRCHEARTFAILURE.113.000996; PMID: 24647118.
 Shin DG, Lee H-J, Park J, et al. Pattern of late gadolinium
- 135. Shin DG, Lee H-J, Park J, et al. Pattern of late gadolinium enhancement predicts arrhythmic events in patients with non-ischemic cardiomyopathy. Int J Cardiol 2016;222:9–15.

- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijcard.2016.07.122; PMID: 27458824.
 136. Mueller KAL, Heck C, Heinzmann D, et al. Comparison of ventricular inducibility with late gadolinium enhancement and myocardial inflammation in endomyocardial biopsy in patients with dilated cardiomyopathy. *PLoS One* 2016;11:e0167616. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal. pone.0167616; PMID: 27930686.
- Puntmann VO, Carr-White G, Jabbour A, et al. T1-mapping and outcome in nonischemic cardiomyopathy: allcause mortality and heart failure. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging* 2016;9:40–50. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcmg.2015.12.001; PMID: 26762873.
- 138. Kwong RY, Chan AK, Brown KA, et al. Impact of unrecognized myocardial scar detected by cardiac magnetic resonance imaging on event-free survival in patients presenting with signs or symptoms of coronary artery disease. *Circulation* 2006;113:2733–43. https://doi.org/10.1161/ CIRCULATIONAHA.105.570648; PMID: 16754804.
- Dawson DK, Hawlisch K, Prescott G, et al. Prognostic role of CMR in patients presenting with ventricular arrhythmias. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging* 2013;6:335–44. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jcmg.2012.09.012; PMID: 23433931.
 Almehmadi F, Joncas SX, Nevis I, et al. Prevalence of
- Almehmadi F, Joncas SX, Nevis I, et al. Prevalence of myocardial fibrosis patterns in patients with systolic dysfunction: prognostic significance for the prediction of sudden cardiac arrest or appropriate implantable cardiac defibrillator therapy. *Circ Cardiovasc Imaging* 2014;7:593–600. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCIMAGING.113.001768; PMID: 24902587.
- 141. Mordi I, Bezerra H, Carrick D, Tzemos N. The combined incremental prognostic value of LVEF, late gadolinium enhancement, and global circumferential strain assessed by CMR. JACC Cardiovasc Imaging 2015;8:540–9. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.jcrng.2015.02.005; PMID: 25890580.