Article:
Andrews, S.J., McFall, G.P., Booth, A. orcid.org/0000-0003-4808-3880 et al. (2 more authors) (2019) Association of Alzheimer's disease genetic risk loci with cognitive performance and decline: A systematic review. Journal of Alzheimer's Disease, 69 (4). pp. 1109-1136. ISSN 1387-2877

https://doi.org/10.3233/JAD-190342

The final publication is available at IOS Press through http://dx.doi.org/10.3233/JAD-190342

Journal of Alzheimer's Disease xx (20xx) x–xx
DOI 10.3233/JAD-190342
IOS Press

Association of Alzheimer's Disease Genetic Risk Loci with Cognitive Performance and Decline: A Systematic Review

Shea J. Andrews[a,b,*], G. Peggy McFall[c,d], Andrew Booth[e], Roger A. Dixon[c,d] and Kaarin J. Anstey[f]
[a]*Centre for Research on Ageing, Health and Wellbeing, Australian National University, Canberra, Australia*
[b]*Department of Neuroscience, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY, USA*
[c]*Department of Psychology, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Canada*
[d]*Neuroscience and Mental Health Institute, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Canada*
[e]*School of Health and Related Research, University of Sheffield, Sheffield, UK*
[f]*School of Psychology, University of New South Wales and Lifecourse Ageing Research Centre, Neuroscience Research Australia, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia*

Accepted 11 April 2019

Abstract. The association of *Apolipoprotein E* (*APOE*) with late-onset Alzheimer's disease (LOAD) and cognitive endophenotypes of aging has been widely investigated. There is increasing interest in evaluating the association of other LOAD risk loci with cognitive performance and decline. The results of these studies have been inconsistent and inconclusive. We conducted a systematic review of studies investigating the association of non-*APOE* LOAD risk loci with cognitive performance in older adults. Studies published from January 2009 to April 2018 were identified through a PubMed database search using keywords and by scanning reference lists. Studies were included if they were either cross-sectional or longitudinal in design, included at least one genome-wide significant LOAD risk loci or a genetic risk score, and had one objective measure of cognition. Quality assessment of the studies was conducted using the quality of genetic studies (Q-Genie) tool. Of 2,466 studies reviewed, 49 met inclusion criteria. Fifteen percent of the associations between non-*APOE* LOAD risk loci and cognition were significant. However, these associations were not replicated across studies, and the majority were rendered non-significant when adjusting for multiple testing. One-third of the studies included genetic risk scores, and these were typically significant only when *APOE* was included. The findings of this systematic review do not support a consistent association between individual non-*APOE* LOAD risk and cognitive performance or decline. However, evidence suggests that aggregate LOAD genetic risk exerts deleterious effects on decline in episodic memory and global cognition.

Keywords: Alzheimer's disease, cognition, genetic predisposition to disease, single nucleotide polymorphism

INTRODUCTION

Cognitive performance generally declines with age, however, the patterns are characterized by 1) differences across cognitive domains and 2) substantial individual variation in level and trajectory [1, 2]. Performance on measures of episodic memory, executive function, reasoning, and processing speed may begin to decline in early adulthood whereas gradual improvement in some verbal and knowledge abilities may continue to the sixth or seventh decade of life [3]. Variation in individual trajectories reflects life-long differences in demographic, lifestyle, medical, environmental, neurobiological, and genetic factors [4].

*Correspondence to: Shea Andrews, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, 1425 Madison Ave, New York, NY, 10029, USA. Tel.: +1 212 659 8632; E-mail: shea.andrews@mssm.edu.

Cognitive decline is a multifactorial process that is likely promoted by the gradual accumulation of neuropathology associated with various chronic conditions of aging [5–7] and in particular late-onset Alzheimer's disease (LOAD) [8]. The accumulation of amyloid-β (Aβ) and neurofibrillary tangles (NFT) begins decades prior to the onset of the clinical symptoms of LOAD [9–12]. In dementia-free individuals a higher burden of LOAD pathology is on average associated with reduced cognitive performance and faster rates of cognitive decline [13–15]. As such, age-related cognitive decline may be mediated by the co-occurrence of Aβ, NFT, and other neuropathologies [16–18].

Genetic factors play an important role in the development of LOAD, accounting for 53% of the total phenotypic variance [19]. The *Apolipoprotein E (APOE)* epsilon (*ε4) allele was the first common genetic variant associated with LOAD [20], with recent genome-wide association studies (GWAS) identifying a further 26 loci associated with LOAD (Supplementary Table 1). GWAS performed separately by four LOAD genetic consortia initially identified 11 loci (*ABCA7, BIN1, CD2AP, CD33, CLU, CR1, EPHA1, MS4A4A, MS4A4E, MS4A6A, and PICALM*) [21–25]. A further 12 loci (*HLA-DRB5, PTK2B, SORL1, SLC24A4-RIN3, INPP5D, MEF2 C, NME8, ZCWPW1, CELF1, FERMT2,* and *CASS4*) were identified in a meta-analysis by the International Genomics of Alzheimer's Project (IGAP) [26]. A meta-analysis of IGAP and a proxy GWAS case-control study of self-reported family history of parental Alzheimer's dementia in 114 564 (14 482 proxy-cases & 100 082 proxy-controls) individuals from the UK Biobank identified a further 4 loci (*HBEGF, ECHDC3, SCIMP*, and *SPPL2A*) [27].

A trio of recent GWAS have identified a further 16 loci. A second meta-analysis of IGAP with an expanded UK Biobank dataset ($n = 314\ 278$) identified three loci (*ADAM10, KAT8*, and *ACE*) [28]. A meta-analysis of UK Biobank proxy case-control status ($n = 376{,}113$), the personality genomics consortium Alzheimer's disease working group of the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium (PGC-ALZ, $n = 17{,}477$), IGAP ($n = 54{,}162$), and the Alzheimer's Disease Sequencing Project (ADSP, $n = 7{,}506$) identified 8 loci (*ADAMTS4, HESX1, CLNK, CNTAP2, APH1B, ABI3, ALPK2*, and *ACO74212.3*) [29]. Finally, an expanded IGAP analysis ($n = 94{,}437$) identified five loci (*OARD1, TREM2, IQCK, WWOX*, and *ADAMTS1*) [30]. *TREM2* and *ABI3,* however, were identified as AD associated loci in an earlier rare variant analysis [31].

There is increasing interest in evaluating the role of LOAD genetic risk variants with cognitive decline. First, the shared cognitive and neuroanatomical characteristics of normal cognitive aging and the early stages of LOAD may be mediated by shared genetic mechanisms. The presence of individual LOAD-associated risk loci may lead to diminished overall cognitive function, in the absence of cognitive impairment or dementia, mediated by the gradual accumulation of LOAD pathology [13, 14]. Second, cognitive decline prior to dementia represents an important endophenotype for LOAD. Cognitive domain-specific variance reflects localized regional brain structures/networks and the connectivity of those networks. Therefore, the differential association of individual loci with specific cognitive domains may reflect associations with particular neuroanatomical structures that influence LOAD onset and progression.

Initial support for the association of LOAD risk loci with cognitive performance was obtained from studies assessing the association of *APOE* with cognition, where the *APOE**ε4 allele was associated with specific deleterious effects on episodic memory, executive functioning, perceptual speed, and global cognitive ability [32, 33]. Further studies examining the association of other LOAD risk loci with cognitive function have been inconsistent and inconclusive. The aim of this systematic review is to evaluate the evidence of the association of non-*APOE* LOAD risk loci with cognitive performance and decline, within the context of both cognitive aging and a LOAD cognitive endophenotype. We provide a narrative synthesis rather than focusing on the relatively few studies that would be amenable to meta-analysis due to the heterogeneity in methodologies between studies.

METHODS

Registration of protocol and reporting

The protocol for the review was registered with the International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews (PROSPERO CRD42017075685) [34] and the review is reported in accordance with the PRISMA checklist (see Supplementary Material).

Table 1
Study Characteristics

Study	Cohort	Sample Size	Age (y)	Education (y)	% Male	Population Studied	Follow-up (y)	Cognitive Status
Andrews 2017 [41]	PATH	1,626	62.51 (1.51)	14.15	50.46	Caucasian	12	1,626 CN
Barral 2012 [90]	NIA-LOAD	1,365	72.9 (8.67)	14.5 (3)	60.1	Caucasian	—	337 AD, 1028 CN
Bressler 2017 [44]	ARIC	8,320	57 (5.6)	>11:86.1%	46.1	Caucasian	6	—
		2,039	55.8 (5.7)	>11:68.2%	33.7	African-American		
Carrasquillo 2015 [42]	Mayo Clinic	2,262	77 (49–98)*	14 (4–20)*	44	Caucasian	3.8 (0.7–17.8)*	At last diagnosis: 1881 CN, 252 MCI, 129 AD
Chibnik 2011 [57]	ROS MAP	791	75.5 (7.3)	18.1 (3.4)	34	Caucasian	7.8 (4.5)	218 incident AD
		875	81.0 (6.7)	14.3 (3.2)	27		4.3 (2.6)	186 incident AD
Christoforou 2014 [69]	NCNG	670	47.6 (18.3)	—	31.8	Caucasian	—	—
Darst 2017 [68]	WRAP	1,200	53.6 (6.6)	16.3 (2.8)	31.1	Caucasian	6.2	CN; enriched with a family history of AD
Davies 2014 [89]	**CAGES**	3,280		—		Caucasian		Non-demented
	LBC1921	453	79.1 (0.6)		41		68	
	LBC1936	932	69.5 (0.8)		51		59	
	ABC1936	347	64.6 (0.9)		52		53	
	Manchester and Newcastle	1,548	65 (44–93)*		29		14 (12–18)*	
Davies 2015 [61]	CHARGE	53,949	66.39 (44.2)	—	42.7	Caucasian	—	53,949 CN
Davies 2016 [58]	UK Biobank	112,151	56.91 (7.93)	30.5% w/ college degree	47.5	Caucasian	—	—
Davies 2018 [39]	UKBB, CHARGE, COGENT	300,486	56.76	—	46.26	Caucasian	—	Dementia Free at baseline
Debette 2015 [56]	CHARGE	29,076	63.6 (7.0)	28.8% w/ college degree	44	Caucasian	—	29,076 CN
DeJager 2012 [78]	ROS	749	75.3 (7.2)	18.2 (3.4)	34	Caucasian	9	CN at Baseline. At last diagnosis: 151 MCI; 152 Dementia
Engelman 2013 [43]	WRAP	1,153	53.6 (6.6)	≥college 62%	31	Caucasian	UTAI 8	CN at baseline; Enriched for a parental history of AD
Ferencz 2014 [70]	SNAC-K	2 480	71.69 (10.3)	12.29 (4.3)	34.1	Swedish	—	CN at baseline
Ge 2018 [75]	ADNI	702	72.8	16.3	54.6	Caucasian	2.83	Baseline: 221 CN; 367 MCI, 114 AD
Gui 2014 [88]	GBCS					Chinese	4	CN at baseline; 198 incident Neurological disease
	Cases	1 325	62.4 (7.0)	≥College 9%	31.5			
	Controls	1 083	65.4 (4.5)	≥College 17.1%	32.4			
Hagenaars 2016 [95]	UK Biobank	112 151	56.9 (7.9)	30.5% w/ degree	47.5	British	—	—
Hagenaars 2017 [50]	UK Biobank	23 822	—	—	—	British	—	—
Hamilton 2011 [47]	LBC1921	505	10.9 (0.28)	—	41.3	Caucasian	68.21	CN
	LBC1936	998	10.9 (0.28)		50.5		58.68	

(*continued*)

Table 1
(*continued*)

Study	Cohort	Sample Size	Age (y)	Education (y)	% Male	Population Studied	Follow-up (y)	Cognitive Status
Harris 2014 [96]	**CAGES**					Caucasian		
	LBC1921	550	79.1 (0.6)	—	42.5		68.21	CN
	LBC1936	1 091	69.5 (0.8)	—	50.2		58.68	
	ABC1936	498	64.6 (0.9)	—	48.8		53.7	
	Manchester and Newcastle	6,063	44–93	—	30.1		20	
Hill 2018 [40]	UKBB	120 934	—	—	—	—	—	—
	SSAGC	329 417						
	Sniekers 2017	78 308						
Houlihan 2009 [62]	LBC1936	1 031	69.5 (0.8)	—	50.3	Scottish	58.68	CN
Keenan 2012 [94]	ROS	817	75.7 (7.4)	18.2 (3.4)	34.4	Caucasian	—	Dementia free at baseline, 240 incident dementia
	MAP	892	81.1 (6.7)	14.7 (2.9)	27.6	Caucasian		27.8% CN; 48.9% MCI; 23.3% AD
	ADNI	746	75.4 (6.9)	15.6 (3.0)	59			11.6% AD
	CHAP	624	71.9 (5.2)	14.9 (3.3)	37	Caucasian		
Liang 2015 [97]	BABRI	780	64.7 (7.2)	11.3 (3.2)	37.1	Chinese	—	Cognitively Normal
Liao 2014 [87]	Taiwan Biobank	307	76.2 (10)	10.7 (4.9)	69.4	Chinese	—	Cognitively Normal
Liebers 2016 [73]	HRS	8 616	60.5 (8.5)	≥college 25.2%	43.8	Caucasian	10 (0–14)	—
Li 2017 [64]	BABRI	780	64.7 (7.3)	11.3 (3.2)	37.1	Chinese	—	CN
Liu 2009 [65]	Rotterdam	2 583	64.0 (5.8)	—	42.9	Caucasian	—	CN
	Study ERF	2 883	48.7 (14.5)		40.0			
Liu 2014 [67]	ADNI	211	75.6 (4.9)	16.1 (2.8)	54	—	—	CN
Marden 2016 [71]	HRS	7 172	63.0 (8.4)	13.1 (2.5)	40.8	Caucasian	12.3	—
		1 081	61.6 (8.0)	11.4 (3.3)	33.7	African-American	11.3	
Marioni 2017 [74]	Generation Scotland	3 495	63 (61–65)†	12 (3–15)†	42.8	Scottish	—	CN
McFall 2016 [92]	VLS	593	70.3 (8.66)	15.3 (2.95)	32.7	Canadian	UTAI 9	CN
Mengel-From 2011 [54]	Danish 1905 Cohort Study	1 380	92–93	—	31	Danish	—	At baseline: 48.64% non-impaired; 32.06% Mildly Impaired; 19.30% Severely Impaired
Mengel-From 2013 [55]	Danish 1905 Cohort Study	1 651	92–93	—	—	Danish	7 10	At baseline: 47.3% CN
	LSADT	573	73–83					At baseline: 80.7% CN
Mormino 2016 [72]	ADNI	526	75.3 (6.5)	15.9 (2.9)	61.8	Caucasian	4.58 (2.74)	36.9% CN; 63.1% MCI
Nettiksimmons 2016 [45]	MrOS SOF	3 267	73.4 (5.7)	56% w/ college degree	100 0	Caucasian	UTAI 10	—
		3 026	71.0 (4.9)	18% w/ college degree			UTAI 10	
Pedraza 2014 [52]	Mayo Clinic	268 2	78.7 (7.4)	12.6 (3.0)	23	African American	—	CN: 224; AD: 44
		651	81.8 (6.3)	14.0 (2.9)	43.7	Caucasian		CN: 2219; AD: 431
Qiu 2016 [93]	—	46	62.96	—	39.1	Chinese	—	Dementia free at baseline
Raj 2017 [59]	CHAP	2 588	70.4 (5.0)	11.9 (3.2)	37	African-American	UTAI 12	Dementia free at baseline
	IIDP	1 178	75.5 (5.5)	11.0 (2.9)	34		UTAI 15	
	ROS/MAP	85	70.5 (7.6)	15.4 (3.4)	16		UTAI 19	
	MARS	113	76.9 (5.1)	14.8 (4.1)	39		UTAI 17	
Reynolds 2013 [66]	SATSA	1,609	72.3 (50.1–93)*	—	42.3	Swedish	7.8 (0–17.8)*	Dementia free at baseline
	OCTO-Twin GENDER							

Savage 2018 [38]	UKBB, Cogent, GENR, S4S, TEDS, DTR, IMAGEN, BLTS, NESCOG, GfG, FHS, STR, HRS/HI IQ, RS, STSA	269 867	52.87	—	46.26	Caucasian	—	—
Shulman 2010 [91]	ROS	414	87.1 (6.9)	16.5 (3.6)	38.9	United States	—	Dementia free at baseline; 98 incident MCI; 185 incident dementia
	MAP							
Sneikers 2017 [60]	UKBB, GENR, TEDS, ALSPAC, QIMR, RAINE, HU, ERF, STR, LBC1921, LBC1936	78 308	44.4	—	—	Caucasian	—	—
Sweet 2012 [53]	CHS	1 831	71.7 (4.7)	39.9% w/ some college	37.5	Caucasian	UPTAI 9	Dementia free at baseline
Thambisetty 2013 [51]	BLSA	599	67.5 (7.5)	16.5 (2.5)	57.1	22.4% African-American	6.6 (4.6)	CN
		95	75.9 (7.1)	16.2 (3.1)	56.8	77.6% Caucasian	5.4 (4.2)	MCI/AD converters
Verhaaren 2013 [48]	Rotterdam Study	5 171	66.2 (11.2)	12.8% primary education only	43.6	Dutch	—	Dementia free at baseline
Vivot 2015 [46]	3C	4 931	74.0 (70.0–78.2)†	36%>9 years	38	French	UTAI 10	Dementia free at baseline
Zhang 2014 [49]	HRS	5 808	64.0 (7.3)	≥college 21.8%	42.8	Caucasian	UTAI 13	—

*Median (range); †Median (IQR); UTAI, Up to and Including.

Search strategy

A PubMed database search (see Supplementary Material) included papers published between January 2009 (the publication year of the first GWAS to identify non-*APOE* genome-wide significant SNPs for LOAD) and April 2018 (inclusive). Articles were restricted to human studies published in English. Reference lists of all articles selected for data extraction were screened for additional articles.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

Studies were included in the review if they met the following inclusion criteria: 1) included genetic data from non-*APOE* genome-wide significant risk loci for LOAD (*ABCA7, BIN1, CD2AP, CD33, CLU, CR1, EPHA1, MS4A4A, MS4A4E, MS4A6A, PICALM, HLA-DRB, PTK2B, SORL1, SLC24A4, RIN3, INPP5D, MEF2 C, NME8, ZCWPW1, CELF1, FERMT2*, *CASS4, HBEGF, ECHDC3, SPPL2A*, and *SCIMP*) or a LOAD genetic risk score (GRS); 2) included at least one test measuring cognitive performance; 3) the publication was in English; 4) it was either cross-sectional or longitudinal. Articles were excluded if they were: 1) case only studies, case reports or review articles; 2) animal studies; or 3) conducted in a clinical population.

Abstract screening and article selection

Article citations and abstracts were imported into Covidence [35], rated against the selection criteria, and nominated independently for inclusion in full-text screening by SJA and GPM. Subsequently, full-text articles were assessed for inclusion in the final review. When the two reviewers differed, the article was discussed until a consensus was reached. Inter-rater reliability was assessed by calculating a two-way consistency average-measures interclass correlation coefficient (ICC).

Data extraction

For articles included in the systematic review, the following variables were extracted: 1) study design (i.e., longitudinal or cross-sectional; candidate SNPs, gene-based or GWAS analysis; statistical test); 2) sample characteristics (i.e., sample size, age, education, gender, ethnicity/population, follow-up, and cognitive status); 3) genetic variants examined; 4) cognitive tests examined; and 5) reported associations (i.e., non-significant result, positive association, negative association). Given the heterogeneity in the measures with which the reviewed articles assessed cognitive performance, all the cognitive tests were coded within conventional cognitive domains [33] (Supplementary Table 2). These domains are based on the typical taxonomy found in the neuropsychological literature and were used in pervious previous meta-analyses on the effect of *APOE* on cognitive performance [33, 36]. Cognitive domains included: attention (AT), episodic memory (EM), executive function (EF), global cognition (GC), perceptual speed (PS), working memory (WM), verbal ability (VA), and visuospatial skill (VS). Two general cognition clusters were included: fluid cognition (Gf) and crystallized cognition (Gc). Study quality was evaluated using the 11-item Quality of Genetic Studies (Q-Genie) Tool [37] (Supplementary Material).

Novel AD loci

The initial screen did not include the 16 novel loci identified by Marioni et al. [28], Janssen et al. [29], and Kunkle et al. [30] (*ADAM10, KAT8, ACE, ADAMTS4, HESX1, CLNK, CNTAP2, APH1B, ABI3, ALPK2*, *ACO74212.3*, *OARD1, TREM2, IQCK, WWOX,* and *ADAMTS1*) as these studies were published after the database search and article screening were conducted. As such, for the loci reported in these studies we limited our search to articles citing either the BioRxiv pre-print article or the published article as of March 2019. Additionally, where GWAS summary statistics were available for cognitive phenotypes, we extracted the reported associations for these loci.

RESULTS

Systematic literature search

The PubMed search identified 2,446 references and follow-up screening of reference lists identified two additional articles. 2,395 references were removed based on the inclusion/exclusion criteria. Seventy-one full-text articles were reviewed, 21 were excluded as follows: 1) fifteen due to selected AD risk loci not reported, 2) one was an updated analysis of a previous study, 3) two because summary statistics were not made publicly available, 4) three as the study was conducted in adolescents. Forty-nine articles were included in the systematic review (Supplementary Figure 1).

Table 2
Description of the Methods used for each study

Study	Study Design	Genetic risk Score	Gene Symbols	Cognitive Domains	Statistical Test
Andrews 2017 [41]	Longitudinal, candidate SNPs	Unweighted & weighted GRS w/ & w/o APOE	ABCA7, BIN1, CD2AP, CD33, CLU, CR1, EPHA1, MS4A4A, MS4A4E, MS4A6A, PICALM, HLA-DRB5, PTK2B, SORL1, SLC24A4-RIN3, INPP5D, MEF2C, NME8, ZCWPW1, CLEF1, FERMT2, CASS4	EM, EF, VA, PS	Linear Mixed Effects Models
Barral 2012 [90]	Cross-sectional, candidate SNPs	—	BIN1, CLU, CR1, PICALM	EM	Logistic Regression
Bressler 2017 [44]	Longitudinal, Candidate SNPs	Unweighted GRS w/ APOE	ABCA7, BIN1, CASS4, CD2AP, CD33, CELF1, CLU, CR1, EPHA1, FERMT2, HLA-DRB1, INPP5D, MEF2C, MS4A4E, NME8, PICALM, PTK2B, SLC24A4, SORL1, ZCWPW1	EM, PS, VA	General Linear Models
Carrasquillo 2015 [42]	Longitudinal, candidate SNPs	Weighted GRS w/ & w/o APOE	ABCA7, BIN1, CD2AP, CD33, CLU, CR1, EPHA1, MS4A6A, PICALM	EM	Linear Mixed Effects Models
Chibnik 2011 [57]	Longitudinal, candidate SNPs	—	CLU, CR1, PICALM	EM, GC, WM, VA, PS, VS cognitive composites	Linear Mixed Effects Models
Christoforou 2014 [69]	Cross-sectional, GWAGS	—	ABCA7, CLU, BIN1, CD2AP, CD33, CR1, EPHA1, MS4A4A, MS4A6A, MS4A4E, PICALM, HLA-DRB5, PTK2B, SORL1, SLC24A4, RIN3, INPP5D, MEF2C, ZCWPW1, FERMT2, CASS4, HBEFG, ECHDC3, SCIMP, SPPL2A, *ADAM10, KAT8, ACE, ADAMTS4, HESX1, CLNK, CNTAP2, APH1B, ABI3, ALPK2*, *OARD1, TREM2, IQCK, WWOX, ADAMTS1*	Gf, Gc	Gene - PLINK permutation-based tests
Darst 2017 [68]	Longitudinal, candidate SNPs	Weighted pathway specific GRS w/ & w/o APOE	ABCA7, BIN1, CD2AP, CLU, CR1, EPHA1, MS4A6A, PICALM, HLA-DRB1, PTK2B, SORL1, SLC24A4, INPP5D, NME8, ZCWPW1, CLEF1, FERMT2, CASS4, MEF2C	EM, WM, PS/EF factor scores	Linear Mixed Effects Models
Davies 2014 [68]	Longitudinal, GWAS	—	ABCA7, BIN1, CD2AP, CD33, CLU, CR1, MS4A6A, PICALM	Gf	Growth Curve Models

(*continued*)

Table 2
(*continued*)

Study	Study Design	Genetic risk Score	Gene Symbols	Cognitive Domains	Statistical Test
Davies 2015 [61]	Cross-sectional, gene-based	—	ABCA7, BIN1, CD2AP, CD33, CLU, CR1, EPHA1, MS4A6A, PICALM, HLA-DRB1, HLA-DRB5, PTK2B, SORL1, SLC24A4, RIN3, INPP5D, MEF2C, ZCWPW1, FERMT2, CASS4	Gf	
Davies 2016 [58]	Cross-sectional, GWAS	—	ABCA7, BIN1, CASS4, CD2AP, CD33, CELF1, CLU, CR1, EPHA1, FERMT2, HLA-DRB5–HLA-DRB1, INPP5D, MEF2C, MS4A6A, NME8, PICALM, PTK2B, SLC24A4-RIN3, SORL1, ZCWPW1	EF, PS, EM	
Davies 2018 [39]	Cross-sectional, GWAS; GWAGS	—	ABCA7, BIN1, CASS4, CD2AP, CELF1, CD33, CLU, CR1, EPHA1, FERMT2, HLA-DRB5, INPP5D, MS4A6A, MS4A4A, MS4A4E, MEF2C, NME8, PICALM, PTK2B, SORL1, SLC24A4-RIN3, ZCWPW1, HBEGF, SPPL2A, ECHDC3, SCIMP, *ADAM10, KAT8, ACE, ADAMTS4, HESX1, CLNK, CNTAP2, APH1B, ABI3, ALPK2, OARD1, TREM2, IQCK, WWOX, ADAMTS1, AC074212.3*	GC	Linear Regression
Debette 2015 [56]	Cross-sectional, GWAS	Weighted GRS w/ & w/o APOE	CLU, EPHA1, CD2AP, PICALM, MS4A6A, BIN1, CD33, CR1, ABCA7, PTK2B, SORL1, SLC24A4, INPP5D, MEF2C, NME8, ZCWPW1, CELF1, FERMT2, CASS4	EM	Linear Regression
DeJager 2012 [78]	Longitudinal, GWAS	Weighted GRS w/o APOE	CR1, PICALM, CLU, BIN1, ABCA7, MS4A, CD2AP, EPHA1, CD33	GC cognitive composite	Linear Mixed Effects Models: Modelled Change Linear regression for GWAS
Engelman 2013 [43]	Longitudinal, candidate SNPs	—	ABCA7, BIN1, CD2AP, CD33, CLU, CR1, EPHA1, MS4A, PICALM	EM, WM, EM factor scores	Linear Mixed Models

Ferencz 2014 [70]	Cross-sectional, candidate SNPs	Unweighted GRS	PICALM, CLU, BIN1	EM, PS, VA	ANCOVA
Ge 2018 [75]	Longitudinal	Weighted PGRS w/ APOE	—	EM, EF	Linear Mixed Effects Models
Gui 2014 [88]	Longitudinal, candidate SNPs	Weighted GRS w/ APOE	BIN1, CD2AP, CLU, SORL1, PICALM, MS4A6A, MS4A4E, ABCA7, CD33	EM	Maximum Likelihood multiple linear regression
Hagenaars 2016 [95]	Cross-sectional	PGRS	—	EF, PS, EM	Linear Regression
Hagenaars 2017 [50]	Cross-sectional; GWAS; GWAGS	—	ABCA7, BIN1, CASS4, CD2AP, CD33, CELF1, CLU, CR1, EPHA1, FERMT2, HLA-DRB1, MEF2C, MS4A4A, MS4A4E, MS4A6A, NME8, PICALM, PTK2B, SLC24A4, ZCWPW1, HBEFG, ECHDC3, SCIMP, SPPL2A, *ADAM10, KAT8, ACE, ADAMTS4, HESX1, CLNK, CNTAP2, APH1B, ABI3, ALPK2, OARD1, TREM2, IQCK, WWOX, ADAMTS1*	AT, EF	Linear Regression
Hamilton 2011 [47]	Longitudinal, candidate SNPs	—	BIN1, CLU, CR1, PICALM	GC, VA, EF, EM	ANOVA
Harris 2014 [96]	Longitudinal	PGRS	—	Gf, Gc, PS, EM	Partial Correlations
Hill 2018 [40]	Cross-sectional, GWAS; GWAGS	—	ABCA7, MEF2C, HBEGF, CELF1, ZCWPW1, SPPL2A, HLA-DRB1, SLC24A4, HLA-DRB5, SORL1, PICALM, CR1, RIN3, ECHDC3, FERMT2, SCIMP, INPP5D, BIN1, CLU, PTK2B, CD2AP, MS4A4E, CD33, CASS4, MS4A4A, EPHA1, MS4A6A, NME8, *ADAM10, KAT8, ACE, ADAMTS4, HESX1, CLNK, CNTAP2, APH1B, ABI3, ALPK2, OARD1, TREM2, IQCK, WWOX, ADAMTS1, AC074212.3*	GC	Multi-Trait Analysis of GWAS (MTAG)
Houlihan 2009 [62]	Cross-sectional, candidate SNPs	—	SORL1	GC, EM, WM, EF, VS, VA, PS	Linear Regression
Keenan 2012 [94]	Longitudinal, candidate SNPs	—	CR1	EM cognitive composite	Linear Mixed Effects Models

(*continued*)

Table 2
(*continued*)

Study	Study Design	Genetic risk Score	Gene Symbols	Cognitive Domains	Statistical Test
Liang 2015 [97]	Cross-sectional, candidate SNPs	—	SORL1	GC, EM, EM, VS, VA, PS, EF	MANOVA
Liao 2014 [87]	Cross-sectional, candidate SNPs	—	ABCA7	GC	ANOVA
Liebers 2016 [73]	Longitudinal	PGRS	—	GC, AT, EM	Linear Mixed Effects Models
Li 2017 [64]	Cross-sectional, candidate SNPs	—	SORL1	GC, EM, VS, VA, PS, EF	GLM
Liu 2009 [65]	Cross-sectional, candidate SNPs	—	SORL1	EM, EF, GC cognitive composites	GLM
Liu 2014 [67]	Longitudinal, candidate SNPs	—	NME8	GC, EM	ANOVA
Marden 2016 [71]	Longitudinal	Weighted GRS w/ & w/o APOE	BIN1, CLU, ABCA7, CR1, PICALM, MS4A6A, CD33, CD2AP, EPHA1, HLA, PTK2B, SORL1, SLC24A4, INPP5D, MEF2C, NME8, ZCWPW1, CELF1, FERMT1, CASS4	EM	Linear regression
Marioni 2017 [74]	Cross-sectional	PGRS	—	PS, EM	Linear Mixed Effects Models
McFall 2016 [92]	Longitudinal, candidate SNPs	—	CLU	EF factor scores	Growth curve models
Mengel-From 2011 [54]	Cross-sectional, candidate SNPs	—	CLU, PICALM, CR1	GC	Linear Regression
Mengel-From 2013 [55]	Longitudinal, candidate SNPs	—	CLU	GC	Linear Mixed Effects Models
Mormino 2016 [72]	Longitudinal	PGRS	—	EM, EF factor scores	Linear Mixed Effects Models
Nettiksimmons 2016 [45]	Longitudinal, candidate SNPs, gene-based	—	ABCA7, BIN1, CASS4, CD2AP, CD33, CELF1, CLU, CR1, EPHA1, FERMT2, HLA, INPP5D, MEF2C, MS4A, NME8, PICALM, PTK2B, SLC24A4, SORL1, ZCWPW1	GC	Linear Mixed Effects Models
Pedzara 2014 [52]	Cross-sectional, candidate SNPs	—	CLU, CR1, PICALM	EM	Linear Regression
Qiu 2016 [93]	Cross-sectional, candidate SNP	—	CLU	GC, PS, VA	*t*-test

Raj 2017 [59]	Longitudinal, GWAS	—	ABCA7, MS4A6A, CASS4, INPP5D, SORL1	GC cognitive composite	Linear Mixed Effects Models
Reynolds 2013 [66]	Longitudinal, candidate SNPs	—	SORL1	VA, EM, PS, WM	Linear Mixed Effects Models
Savage 2018 [38]	Cross-sectional, GWAS; GWAGS	—	MEF2C, HBEGF, SPPL2A, SLC24A4, CR1, CELF1, RIN3, ZCWPW1, ECHDC3, CLU, ABCA7, PICALM, SORL1, BIN1, INPP5D, EPHA1, CASS4, MS4A4E, SCIMP, MS4A6A, CD2AP, MS4A4A, FERMT2, PTK2B, CD33, NME8, *ADAM10, KAT8, ACE, ADAMTS4, HESX1, CLNK, CNTAP2, APH1B, ABI3, ALPK2, OARD1, TREM2, IQCK, WWOX, ADAMTS1, AC074212.3*	GC	Gene test
Shulman 2010 [91]	Cross-sectional, candidate SNPs	—	SORL1, CD33	EM, VA, WM, PS, VS cognitive composites	Linear Regression
Sneikers 2017 [60]	Cross-sectional, GWAS; GWAGS	—	MEF2C, HBEGF, CELF1, ZCWPW1, MS4A4E, MS4A6A, SLC24A4, PICALM, MS4A4A, SCIMP, CD2AP, HLA-DRB1, SORL1, PTK2B, CD33, NME8, CR1, HLA-DRB5, BIN1, SPPL2A, ECHDC3, EPHA1, CLU, CASS4, ABCA7, RIN3, FERMT2, *ADAM10, KAT8, ACE, ADAMTS4, HESX1, CLNK, CNTAP2, APH1B, ABI3, ALPK2, OARD1, TREM2, IQCK, WWOX, ADAMTS1, AC074212.3*	GC	Regression
Sweet 2012 [53]	Longitudinal, candidate SNPs	—	CLU, CR1, PICALM	GC, AT	Bayesian Modelling
Thambisetty 2013 [51]	Longitudinal, candidate SNPs	—	CLU	EM	Linear Mixed Effects Models
Verhaaren 2013 [48]	Cross-sectional, candidate SNPs	Weighted GRS w/ & w/o APOE	CLU, PICALM, BIN1, CR1, ABCA7, MS4A6A, MS4A4E, CD2AP, EPHA1, CD33	GC, EM, EF, PS cognitive composites	Linear Regression
Vivot 2015 [46]	Longitudinal, candidate SNPs	Weighted GRS w/ & w/o APOE	CR1, CLU, BIN1, PICALM, ABCA7, MS4A4E, CD33, MS4A6A, CD2AP	GC, VA, GC, PS, EM	non-linear mixed models with latent processes
Zhang 2014 [49]	Longitudinal, GWAS	—	PICALM, CD2AP, CR1, EPHA1, MS4A, CLU, CD33, ABCA7, BIN1	GC	Linear Mixed Effects Models

For each study we report study characteristics (Table 1), study design (Table 2), individual cognitive tests and the respective cognitive domains tested (Supplementary Table 2), and individual SNPs genotyped (Supplementary Table 3). Of the forty-nine studies, 23 employed a cross-sectional design and 26 a longitudinal design. 29 selected SNPs based on a candidate gene approach, 7 employed gene-based analyses, 6 reported AD risk loci as a secondary outcome in GWAS, and 17 included a GRS, with 8 studies only using a GRS. Episodic memory ($n = 31$, 63.27%) and global cognition ($n = 23$, 46.94%) were the most commonly assessed cognitive measures.

The overall average quality rating was 'good', with four studies obtaining a 'moderate' score. The distribution and mean rating for each item and the average score per study are presented in Supplementary Figures 2 and 3. The ICC was in the excellent range (ICC = 0.88 95%CI: 0.79 - 0.93), indicating that reviewers had a high degree of agreement in the overall quality of the included studies.

Association of AD genetic risk loci with cognitive performance and change

In the following narrative, we report all gene-cognition associations that are statistically significant ($p < 0.05$) (Figs. 1 and 3). However, it should be noted that the majority (84.3%) of the reported associations were non-significant (Supplementary Table 4). The number of studies investigating the association of each LOAD loci with cognitive function and the number of studies reporting at least one significant association for each gene-cognitive domain combination is reported in Supplementary Table 4. Across cognitive domains/clusters, GC had the highest proportion of reported significant associations (30.2%, 77/255) followed by VS (30%, 3/10), VA (14.29%, 16/112), EM (14.29%, 32/224), AT (13.33%, 6/45), EF (11.86%, 14/118), PS (11.79%, 23/195), Gf (7.46%, 5/67), WM (4.05%, 3/74), and Gc (0%, 0/38). The largest studies to report an association between the AD risk loci and GC, were two GWAS meta-analyses inclusive of the UK Biobank ($n = 269{,}867$ and 300,486) [38, 39] and a multi-trait analysis of intelligence and educational attainment ($n = 248{,}482$) [40]. Davies et al. [39] found 18 loci associated with GC (*MEF2C, HBEGF, SPPL2A, IQCK, ABI3, FERMT2, CELF1, CR1, CNTNAP2, SLC24A4, AC074212.3, CLU, ABCA7, ADAM10, PTK2B, CD2AP, CLNK,* and *WWOX*), of which only *MEF2C, HBEGF*, and *SPPL2A* were genome-wide significant. Savage et al. found 11 loci to be associated with GC (*MEF2C, HBEGF, SPPL2A, CR1, SLC24A4, OARD1, CNTNAP2, WWOX, ZCWPW1, CELF1*, and *ABCA7*), of which *MEF2C, HBEGF*, and *SPPL2A* were also genome-wide significant [38]. Finally, Hill et al. [40] identified 13 loci associated with global cognition (*MEF2C, HBEGF, CELF1, ZCWPW1, SPPL2A, WWOX, HLA-DRB1, SLC24A4, ADAMTS4, ALPK2, ACE, SORL1*, and *PICALM*), of which *MEF2C, HBEGF, CELF1*, and *ZCWPW1* were genome wide significant.

ABCA7

rs3764650(G) was associated with worse baseline performance and slower decline in EM [41]. In a second study, rs3764650(C) was associated with faster decline in EM in cognitively normal participants who converted to mild cognitive impairment (MCI)/Alzheimer's disease (AD), but not in participants who remained cognitively normal [42]. Additionally, rs3752246(G) was associated with worse performance in EM and WM at baseline [43], whereas rs4147929(A) was associated with better baseline EM [44] and EF [39] performance. Change in GC was associated with rs115550680(G) in African-Americans and with the ABCA7 gene-region in a female only and a male only cohort [45].

BIN1

rs744373(G) was associated with worse baseline EM performance [41] and a faster rate of decline in global cognition [46]. In univariate (7 SNPs) and haplotype analyses (two 3-SNP windows), significant associations were observed for cognitive performance in EM, EF, VA, and GC [47]. The BIN1 gene region was associated with change in GC in females [45].

CD2AP

rs9349407(C) and rs9296559(G) were associated with worse EM performance and a faster rate of decline in GC respectively [48, 49]. The CD2AP gene region was also associated with performance in AT [50] and PS [39].

CD33

rs3865444(C) was associated with worse baseline performance in EF [48], and in African-Americans rs3865444(A) was associated with worse baseline performance in VA [44]. The CD33 gene region and

Gene	Metric	Cognitive Domain									
		AT	EM	EF	VA	PS	WM	VS	GC	gF	gC
ABCA7	Baseline	$_{\bullet}^{50}$	$\downarrow^{41}\uparrow^{44}\downarrow^{43}$ $_{\bullet}^{42}{}_{\bullet}^{68}{}_{\bullet}^{58}{}_{\bullet}^{56}{}_{\bullet}^{48}{}_{\bullet}^{46}$	$\uparrow^{39}$ $_{\bullet}^{50}{}_{\bullet}^{58}{}_{\bullet}^{48}$	$_{\bullet}^{41}{}_{\bullet}^{44}{}_{\bullet}^{46}$	$_{\bullet}^{41}{}_{\bullet}^{44}{}_{\bullet}^{68}{}_{\bullet}^{58}{}_{\bullet}^{48}{}_{\bullet}^{46}{}_{\bullet}^{39}$	$\downarrow^{43}$ $_{\bullet}^{41}{}_{\bullet}^{68}$	—	$_{\bullet}^{48}{}_{\bullet}^{46}{}_{\bullet}^{87}{}_{\bullet}^{40}{}_{\bullet}^{38}{}_{\bullet}^{60}{}_{\bullet}^{39}$	$_{\bullet}^{69}{}_{\bullet}^{61}$	$_{\bullet}^{69}$
	Slope	—	$\downarrow^{42}$ $_{\bullet}^{41}{}_{\bullet}^{44}{}_{\bullet}^{46}{}_{\bullet}^{88}$	—	$_{\bullet}^{41}{}_{\bullet}^{44}{}_{\bullet}^{46}$	$\uparrow^{41}$ $_{\bullet}^{44}{}_{\bullet}^{46}$	$_{\bullet}^{41}$	—	$?^{45}?^{59}$ $_{\bullet}^{46}{}_{\bullet}^{49}{}_{\bullet}^{78}$	$_{\bullet}^{89}$	—
BIN1	Baseline	$_{\bullet}^{50}$	$\downarrow^{41}?^{47}$ $_{\bullet}^{44}{}_{\bullet}^{43}{}_{\bullet}^{42}{}_{\bullet}^{68}{}_{\bullet}^{58}{}_{\bullet}^{56}{}_{\bullet}^{48}{}_{\bullet}^{46}{}_{\bullet}^{90}$	$?^{47}$ $_{\bullet}^{50}{}_{\bullet}^{58}{}_{\bullet}^{48}{}_{\bullet}^{39}$	$?^{47}$ $_{\bullet}^{41}{}_{\bullet}^{44}{}_{\bullet}^{46}$	$_{\bullet}^{41}{}_{\bullet}^{44}{}_{\bullet}^{68}{}_{\bullet}^{58}{}_{\bullet}^{48}{}_{\bullet}^{46}{}_{\bullet}^{39}$	$_{\bullet}^{41}{}_{\bullet}^{43}{}_{\bullet}^{68}$	—	$?^{47}$ $_{\bullet}^{48}{}_{\bullet}^{46}{}_{\bullet}^{40}{}_{\bullet}^{38}{}_{\bullet}^{60}{}_{\bullet}^{39}$	$_{\bullet}^{69}{}_{\bullet}^{61}$	$_{\bullet}^{69}$
	Slope	—	$_{\bullet}^{41}{}_{\bullet}^{44}{}_{\bullet}^{42}{}_{\bullet}^{46}{}_{\bullet}^{88}$	—	$_{\bullet}^{41}{}_{\bullet}^{44}{}_{\bullet}^{46}$	$_{\bullet}^{41}{}_{\bullet}^{44}{}_{\bullet}^{46}$	$_{\bullet}^{41}$	—	$?^{45}\downarrow^{46}$ $_{\bullet}^{46}{}_{\bullet}^{78}$	$_{\bullet}^{89}$	—
CD2AP	Baseline	$?^{50}$	$\downarrow^{48}$ $_{\bullet}^{41}{}_{\bullet}^{44}{}_{\bullet}^{43}{}_{\bullet}^{42}{}_{\bullet}^{68}{}_{\bullet}^{58}{}_{\bullet}^{56}{}_{\bullet}^{46}$	$_{\bullet}^{50}{}_{\bullet}^{58}{}_{\bullet}^{48}{}_{\bullet}^{39}$	$_{\bullet}^{41}{}_{\bullet}^{44}{}_{\bullet}^{46}$	$?^{39}$ $_{\bullet}^{41}{}_{\bullet}^{44}{}_{\bullet}^{68}{}_{\bullet}^{58}{}_{\bullet}^{48}{}_{\bullet}^{46}$	$_{\bullet}^{41}{}_{\bullet}^{43}{}_{\bullet}^{68}$	—	$_{\bullet}^{48}{}_{\bullet}^{46}{}_{\bullet}^{40}{}_{\bullet}^{38}{}_{\bullet}^{60}{}_{\bullet}^{39}$	$_{\bullet}^{69}{}_{\bullet}^{61}$	$_{\bullet}^{69}$
	Slope	—	$_{\bullet}^{41}{}_{\bullet}^{44}{}_{\bullet}^{42}{}_{\bullet}^{46}{}_{\bullet}^{88}$	—	$_{\bullet}^{41}{}_{\bullet}^{44}{}_{\bullet}^{46}$	$_{\bullet}^{41}{}_{\bullet}^{44}{}_{\bullet}^{46}$	$_{\bullet}^{41}$	—	$\downarrow^{49}$ $_{\bullet}^{46}{}_{\bullet}^{45}{}_{\bullet}^{78}$	$_{\bullet}^{89}$	
CD33	Baseline	$_{\bullet}^{50}$	$_{\bullet}^{41}{}_{\bullet}^{44}{}_{\bullet}^{43}{}_{\bullet}^{42}{}_{\bullet}^{58}{}_{\bullet}^{56}{}_{\bullet}^{48}{}_{\bullet}^{46}{}_{\bullet}^{91}$	$\downarrow^{48}$ $_{\bullet}^{50}{}_{\bullet}^{58}{}_{\bullet}^{39}$	$\downarrow^{44}$ $_{\bullet}^{41}{}_{\bullet}^{46}{}_{\bullet}^{91}$	$_{\bullet}^{41}{}_{\bullet}^{44}{}_{\bullet}^{58}{}_{\bullet}^{48}{}_{\bullet}^{46}{}_{\bullet}^{91}{}_{\bullet}^{39}$	$_{\bullet}^{41}{}_{\bullet}^{43}{}_{\bullet}^{91}$	$_{\bullet}^{91}$	$_{\bullet}^{48}{}_{\bullet}^{46}{}_{\bullet}^{91}{}_{\bullet}^{40}{}_{\bullet}^{38}{}_{\bullet}^{60}{}_{\bullet}^{39}$	$_{\bullet}^{69}{}_{\bullet}^{61}$	$_{\bullet}^{69}$
	Slope	—	$_{\bullet}^{41}{}_{\bullet}^{44}{}_{\bullet}^{42}{}_{\bullet}^{46}{}_{\bullet}^{88}$	—	$_{\bullet}^{41}{}_{\bullet}^{44}{}_{\bullet}^{46}$	$_{\bullet}^{41}{}_{\bullet}^{44}{}_{\bullet}^{46}$	$_{\bullet}^{41}$	—	$?^{45}$ $_{\bullet}^{46}{}_{\bullet}^{49}{}_{\bullet}^{78}$	$_{\bullet}^{89}$	—
CLU	Baseline	$_{\bullet}^{50}{}_{\bullet}^{53}$	$\uparrow^{44}\downarrow^{42}\uparrow^{68}\downarrow^{56}?^{47}\uparrow^{52}$ $_{\bullet}^{41}{}_{\bullet}^{43}{}_{\bullet}^{58}{}_{\bullet}^{48}{}_{\bullet}^{46}{}_{\bullet}^{90}$	$_{\bullet}^{50}{}_{\bullet}^{58}{}_{\bullet}^{48}{}_{\bullet}^{47}{}_{\bullet}^{92}{}_{\bullet}^{39}$	$?^{47}$ $_{\bullet}^{41}{}_{\bullet}^{44}{}_{\bullet}^{46}{}_{\bullet}^{93}$	$_{\bullet}^{41}{}_{\bullet}^{44}{}_{\bullet}^{68}{}_{\bullet}^{58}{}_{\bullet}^{48}{}_{\bullet}^{46}{}_{\bullet}^{39}{}_{\bullet}^{93}$	$_{\bullet}^{41}{}_{\bullet}^{43}{}_{\bullet}^{68}$	—	$\uparrow^{54}?^{55}$ $_{\bullet}^{46}{}_{\bullet}^{47}{}_{\bullet}^{53}{}_{\bullet}^{93}$ $_{\bullet}^{40}{}_{\bullet}^{38}{}_{\bullet}^{60}{}_{\bullet}^{39}$	$_{\bullet}^{69}{}_{\bullet}^{61}$	$_{\bullet}^{69}$
	Slope	$_{\bullet}^{53}$	$\downarrow^{51}$ $_{\bullet}^{41}{}_{\bullet}^{44}{}_{\bullet}^{42}{}_{\bullet}^{46}{}_{\bullet}^{88}{}_{\bullet}^{57}$	$_{\bullet}^{92}$	$_{\bullet}^{41}{}_{\bullet}^{44}{}_{\bullet}^{46}{}_{\bullet}^{57}$	$\uparrow^{44}$ $_{\bullet}^{41}{}_{\bullet}^{46}{}_{\bullet}^{57}$	$\uparrow^{41}$ $_{\bullet}^{57}$	$_{\bullet}^{57}$	$?^{55}\downarrow^{53}$ $_{\bullet}^{46}{}_{\bullet}^{45}{}_{\bullet}^{49}{}_{\bullet}^{78}{}_{\bullet}^{57}$	$_{\bullet}^{89}$	—
CR1	Baseline	$_{\bullet}^{50}{}_{\bullet}^{53}$	$\downarrow^{52}$ $_{\bullet}^{41}{}_{\bullet}^{44}{}_{\bullet}^{43}{}_{\bullet}^{42}{}_{\bullet}^{68}{}_{\bullet}^{58}{}_{\bullet}^{56}{}_{\bullet}^{48}{}_{\bullet}^{46}{}_{\bullet}^{47}{}_{\bullet}^{90}$	$_{\bullet}^{50}{}_{\bullet}^{58}{}_{\bullet}^{48}{}_{\bullet}^{47}{}_{\bullet}^{39}$	$?^{47}$ $_{\bullet}^{41}{}_{\bullet}^{44}{}_{\bullet}^{46}$	$\uparrow^{44}?^{39}$ $_{\bullet}^{41}{}_{\bullet}^{68}{}_{\bullet}^{58}{}_{\bullet}^{48}{}_{\bullet}^{46}$	$_{\bullet}^{41}{}_{\bullet}^{43}{}_{\bullet}^{68}$	—	$?^{47}?^{38}?^{39}$ $_{\bullet}^{48}{}_{\bullet}^{46}{}_{\bullet}^{53}{}_{\bullet}^{54}{}_{\bullet}^{40}{}_{\bullet}^{60}$	$_{\bullet}^{69}{}_{\bullet}^{61}$	$_{\bullet}^{69}$
	Slope	$\downarrow^{53}$	$\downarrow^{94}\downarrow^{57}$ $_{\bullet}^{41}{}_{\bullet}^{44}{}_{\bullet}^{42}{}_{\bullet}^{46}$	—	$\downarrow^{46}\downarrow^{57}$ $_{\bullet}^{41}{}_{\bullet}^{44}$	$\downarrow^{57}$ $_{\bullet}^{41}{}_{\bullet}^{44}{}_{\bullet}^{46}$	$_{\bullet}^{41}{}_{\bullet}^{57}$	$\downarrow^{57}$	$?^{45}\downarrow^{78}\downarrow^{57}$ $_{\bullet}^{46}{}_{\bullet}^{49}{}_{\bullet}^{53}$	$_{\bullet}^{89}$	—
EPHA1	Baseline	$_{\bullet}^{50}$	$\downarrow^{48}$ $_{\bullet}^{41}{}_{\bullet}^{44}{}_{\bullet}^{43}{}_{\bullet}^{42}{}_{\bullet}^{68}{}_{\bullet}^{58}{}_{\bullet}^{56}$	$_{\bullet}^{50}{}_{\bullet}^{58}{}_{\bullet}^{48}{}_{\bullet}^{39}$	$_{\bullet}^{41}{}_{\bullet}^{44}$	$_{\bullet}^{41}{}_{\bullet}^{44}{}_{\bullet}^{68}{}_{\bullet}^{58}{}_{\bullet}^{48}{}_{\bullet}^{39}$	$_{\bullet}^{41}{}_{\bullet}^{43}{}_{\bullet}^{68}$	—	$_{\bullet}^{48}{}_{\bullet}^{40}{}_{\bullet}^{38}{}_{\bullet}^{60}{}_{\bullet}^{39}$	$_{\bullet}^{69}{}_{\bullet}^{61}$	$_{\bullet}^{69}$
	Slope	—	$\downarrow^{42}$ $_{\bullet}^{41}{}_{\bullet}^{44}$	—	$_{\bullet}^{41}{}_{\bullet}^{44}$	$_{\bullet}^{41}{}_{\bullet}^{44}$	$\downarrow^{41}$	—	$_{\bullet}^{45}{}_{\bullet}^{49}{}_{\bullet}^{78}$	—	—
MS4A	Baseline	$_{\bullet}^{50}$	$\downarrow^{58}$ $_{\bullet}^{41}{}_{\bullet}^{44}{}_{\bullet}^{42}{}_{\bullet}^{68}{}_{\bullet}^{56}{}_{\bullet}^{43}{}_{\bullet}^{48}{}_{\bullet}^{46}$	$_{\bullet}^{50}{}_{\bullet}^{58}{}_{\bullet}^{48}{}_{\bullet}^{39}$	$\uparrow^{41}$ $_{\bullet}^{44}{}_{\bullet}^{46}$	$_{\bullet}^{41}{}_{\bullet}^{44}{}_{\bullet}^{68}{}_{\bullet}^{58}{}_{\bullet}^{48}{}_{\bullet}^{46}{}_{\bullet}^{39}$	$_{\bullet}^{41}{}_{\bullet}^{43}{}_{\bullet}^{68}$	—	$?^{60}$ $_{\bullet}^{48}{}_{\bullet}^{46}{}_{\bullet}^{40}{}_{\bullet}^{38}{}_{\bullet}^{39}$	$_{\bullet}^{69}{}_{\bullet}^{61}$	$_{\bullet}^{69}$
	Slope	—	$\uparrow^{44}$ $_{\bullet}^{41}{}_{\bullet}^{42}{}_{\bullet}^{46}{}_{\bullet}^{88}$	—	$_{\bullet}^{41}{}_{\bullet}^{44}{}_{\bullet}^{46}$	$_{\bullet}^{41}{}_{\bullet}^{44}{}_{\bullet}^{46}$	$_{\bullet}^{41}$	—	$?^{59}$ $_{\bullet}^{46}{}_{\bullet}^{45}{}_{\bullet}^{49}{}_{\bullet}^{78}$	$_{\bullet}^{89}$	—
PICALM	Baseline	$_{\bullet}^{50}{}_{\bullet}^{53}$	$_{\bullet}^{41}{}_{\bullet}^{44}{}_{\bullet}^{43}{}_{\bullet}^{42}{}_{\bullet}^{68}{}_{\bullet}^{58}{}_{\bullet}^{56}{}_{\bullet}^{48}{}_{\bullet}^{46}{}_{\bullet}^{47}{}_{\bullet}^{90}{}_{\bullet}^{52}$	$?^{47}$ $_{\bullet}^{50}{}_{\bullet}^{58}{}_{\bullet}^{48}{}_{\bullet}^{39}$	$_{\bullet}^{41}{}_{\bullet}^{44}{}_{\bullet}^{47}{}_{\bullet}^{46}$	$_{\bullet}^{41}{}_{\bullet}^{44}{}_{\bullet}^{68}{}_{\bullet}^{58}{}_{\bullet}^{48}{}_{\bullet}^{46}{}_{\bullet}^{39}$	$_{\bullet}^{41}{}_{\bullet}^{43}{}_{\bullet}^{68}$	—	$?^{47}?^{54}\downarrow^{53}?^{40}$ $_{\bullet}^{48}{}_{\bullet}^{46}{}_{\bullet}^{38}{}_{\bullet}^{60}{}_{\bullet}^{39}$	$?^{61}$ $_{\bullet}^{69}$	$_{\bullet}^{69}$
	Slope	$_{\bullet}^{53}$	$\downarrow^{57}$ $_{\bullet}^{41}{}_{\bullet}^{44}{}_{\bullet}^{42}{}_{\bullet}^{46}{}_{\bullet}^{88}$	—	$\downarrow^{57}$ $_{\bullet}^{41}{}_{\bullet}^{44}{}_{\bullet}^{46}$	$_{\bullet}^{41}{}_{\bullet}^{44}{}_{\bullet}^{46}{}_{\bullet}^{57}$	$_{\bullet}^{41}{}_{\bullet}^{57}$	$_{\bullet}^{57}$	$?^{45}\downarrow^{49}\downarrow^{57}$ $_{\bullet}^{46}{}_{\bullet}^{78}{}_{\bullet}^{53}$	$_{\bullet}^{156}$	—
HLA	Baseline	$_{\bullet}^{50}$	$_{\bullet}^{41}{}_{\bullet}^{44}{}_{\bullet}^{68}{}_{\bullet}^{58}$	$_{\bullet}^{50}{}_{\bullet}^{58}{}_{\bullet}^{39}$	$_{\bullet}^{41}{}_{\bullet}^{44}$	$_{\bullet}^{41}{}_{\bullet}^{44}{}_{\bullet}^{68}{}_{\bullet}^{58}$	$_{\bullet}^{41}{}_{\bullet}^{68}$	—	$?^{40}$ $_{\bullet}^{60}{}_{\bullet}^{39}$	$_{\bullet}^{69}{}_{\bullet}^{61}$	$_{\bullet}^{69}$
	Slope	—	$_{\bullet}^{41}{}_{\bullet}^{44}$	—	$_{\bullet}^{41}{}_{\bullet}^{44}$	$_{\bullet}^{41}{}_{\bullet}^{44}$	$_{\bullet}^{41}$	—	$?^{45}$	—	—

Fig. 1. Reported gene – cognitive domain associations.

Gene	Metric	Cognitive Domain									
		AT	EM	EF	VA	PS	WM	VS	GC	gF	gC
PT2KB	Baseline	50	41 44 68 58 56	50 58 39	41 44	41 44 68 58 39	41 68	—	40 38 60 39	69 61	69
	Slope	—	41 44	—	41 44	41 44	41	—	?45	—	—
SLC24A4	Baseline	50	41 44 68 58 56	50 58 39	41 44	41 44 68 58 39	41 68	—	?40 ?38 60 39	?61 69	69
	Slope	—	41 44	—	41 44	41 44	41	—	?45	—	—
SORL1	Baseline	50	↓62 ↓65 ?66 41 44 68 58 56 91 63	↑65 50 58 62 63 39	?66 41 44 91 62 63	↓41 ?63 ↑64 44 68 58 91 62 66 39	41 68 91 62	?66 91 62 64 63	↑65 ?40 91 62 64 63 38 60 39	69 61	69
	Slope	—	↓64 ?66 41 44 88	—	?66 41 44	41 44 66	41	?66	?45 ?59	—	—
INPP5D	Baseline	—	41 44 68 58 56	58 39	↑44 41	41 44 68 58 39	41 68	—	40 38 39	69 61	69
	Slope	—	↑41 44	—	41 44	↓41 44	41	—	?59 45	—	—
MEF2C	Baseline	50	41 44 58 56	↓39 50 58	41 44	41 44 58 39	41	—	?40 ?38 ?60 ?39	?61 69	69
	Slope	—	41 44	—	41 44	41 44	41	—	?45	—	—
NME8	Baseline	50	41 44 68 56 67	50 39	41 44	41 44 68 39	41 68	—	↑67 40 38 60 39	61	—
	Slope	—	41 44 67	—	↑44 41	41 44	41	—	↑67 45	—	—
ZCWPW1	Baseline	50	41 44 68 58 56	50 58 39	41 44	41 44 68 58 39	41 68	—	40 38 60 39	69 61	69
	Slope	—	↓44 41	—	↑41 44	41 44	41	—	45	—	—
CELF1	Baseline	50	↑44 41 58 56	↑58 ↓39 50	↑41 ↑44	↑44 ?39 41 58	41	—	?40 ?38 ?60 ?39	61	—
	Slope	—	41 44	—	41 44	41 44	41	—	?45	—	—
FERMT2	Baseline	?50	↑68 41 44 58 56	50 58 39	↓44 41	41 44 68 58 39	41 68	—	40 38 60 39	69 61	69
	Slope	—	41 44	—	41 44	↑41 44	41	—	45	—	—
CASS4	Baseline	50	41 44 68 58 56	50 58 39	41 44	41 44 68 58 39	41 68	—	40 38 60 39	69 61	69
	Slope	—	41 44	—	41 44	41 44	41	—	?15 45	—	—
HBEGF	Baseline	50	—	↓39 50	—	?39	—	—	?40 ?38 ?60 ?39	69	69

Fig. 1. (*continued*)

Gene	Metric	Cognitive Domain									
		AT	EM	EF	VA	PS	WM	VS	GC	gF	gC
SPPL2A	Baseline	•[50]	—	↑[39] •[50]	—	•[39]	—	—	?[40] ?[38] ?[60] ?[39]	•[69]	•[69]
ECHDC3	Baseline	•[50]	—	•[39] •[50]	—	•[39]	—	—	•[40] •[38] •[60] •[39]	•[69]	•[69]
SCIMP	Baseline	•[50]	—	•[39] •[50]	—	•[39]	—	—	•[40] •[38] •[60] •[39]	•[69]	•[69]
ADAM10	Baseline	•[50]	—	•[39] •[50]	—	•[39]	—	—	↓[39] •[40] •[38] •[60]	•[69]	•[69]
KAT8	Baseline	?[50]	—	?[50] •[39]	—	•[39]	—	—	•[40] •[38] •[60] •[39]	•[69]	•[69]
ACE	Baseline	•[50]	—	?[50] •[39]	—	?[39]	—	—	?[40] •[38] •[60] •[39]	•[69]	•[69]
OARD1	Baseline	?[50]	—	↑[39] •[50]	—	•[39]	—	—	?[38] •[40] •[60] •[39]	•[69]	•[69]
TREM2	Baseline	•[50]	—	•[39] •[50]	—	•[39]	—	—	•[40] •[38] •[60] •[39]	•[69]	•[69]
IQCK	Baseline	•[50]	—	•[39] •[50]							
WWOX	Baseline	•[50]	—	•[39] •[50]	—	↓[39]	—	—	?[40] ?[38] ?[39] •[60]	•[69]	•[69]
ADAMTS1	Baseline	•[50]	—	↓[39] •[50]	—	↑[39]	—	—	•[40] •[38] •[60] •[39]	•[69]	•[69]
ADAMTS4	Baseline	•[50]	—	•[39] •[50]	—	•[39]	—	—	?[40] •[38] •[60] •[39]	•[69]	•[69]
HESX1	Baseline	•[50]	—	•[39] •[50]	—	?[39]	—	—	•[40] •[38] •[60] •[39]	•[69]	•[69]
CLNK	Baseline	•[50]	—	↓[39] •[50]	—	↑[39]	—	—	?[39] •[40] •[38] •[60]	•[69]	•[69]
CNTNAP2	Baseline	•[50]	—	•[39] •[50]	—	•[39]	—	—	?[38] ?[39] •[40] •[60]	?[69]	•[69]
APH1B	Baseline	•[50]	—	•[39] •[50]	—	?[39]	—	—	•[40] •[38] •[60] •[39]	•[69]	•[69]
ABI3	Baseline	•[50]	—	•[39] •[50]	—	↑[39]	—	—	?[60] ?[39] •[40] •[38]	?[69]	•[69]
ALPK2	Baseline	•[50]	—	•[39] •[50]	—	•[39]	—	—	?[40] •[38] •[60] •[39]	•[69]	•[69]
ACO74212.3	Baseline	—	—	•[39]	—	↑[39]	—	—	?[60] ?[39] •[40] •[38]	—	—

↓ Significant negative association; ↑ Significant positive association; ? significant association; – direction not reported; • non-significant association

Fig. 1. (*continued*)

Analysis		Metric	Cognitive Domains									
			AT	EM	EF	VA	PS	WM	VS	GC	gF	gC
Unweighted GRS	(w/o ApoE)	Baseline	—	?[70]	•[70]	•[70]	•[70]	—	—	—	—	—
		Slope	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	(incl. ApoE)	Baseline	—	•[41] •[44]	—	•[41] •[44]	•[41] •[44]	•[41]	—	—	—	—
		Slope	—	•[41] •[44]	—	•[41] •[44]	•[41] •[44]	•[41]	—	—	—	—
Weighted GRS	(w/o ApoE)	Baseline	—	↓[56] ↓[48] •[41] •[42] •[68] •[71] •[46]	•[48]	•[41] •[46]	↑[68] •[41] •[48] •[46]	•[41] •[68]	—	↓[46] •[48]	—	—
		Slope	—	↓[71] •[41] •[42] •[46]	—	•[41] •[46]	•[41] •[46]	•[41]	—	•[46] •[78]	—	—
	(incl. ApoE)	Baseline	—	↓[42] ↓[56] ↓[71] ↓[48] •[41] •[68] •[46]	↓[48]	↓[46] •[41]	↓[46] •[41] •[68] •[48]	•[41] •[68]	—	↓[48] ↓[46]	—	—
		Slope	—	↓[41] ↓[42] ↓[71] ↓[46]	—	↓[46] •[41]	↓[41] •[46]	•[41]	—	↓[46]	—	—
PGRS		Baseline	•[73]	↓[72] ↓[74] ↓[73] ↓[95] •[96]	↓[95] •[72]	—	•[74] •[96]	—	—	↓[73] •[96]	•[96]	•[96]
		Slope	—	↓[72] ↓[75]	↓[72] ↓ 75	—	—	—	—	?[73] •[96]	—	—

↓ Significant negative association; ↓ Significant positive association; ? significant association; – direction not reported; • non-significant association

Fig. 2. Reported genetic risk scores – cognitive domain associations.

rs3865444 were associated with change in GC in females [45].

CLU

rs11136000(C) was associated with faster decline in WM [41] and EM in participants who converted to MCI/AD, but not in participants who remained cognitively normal [51]. rs11136000(C) was also associated with better performance in EM in a combined cohort of case/controls, but not in non-demented subjects only [52]. In a follow-up study, rs11136000(G) was associated with worse baseline performance in EM [42]. rs11136000(T) minor allele was associated faster decline in GC [53]. Mengel-From et al. [54, 55] investigated the association of four separate SNPs in the CLU locus with cognitive function. They reported that rs11136000(T) was associated with better baseline GC, rs9331888(G) and rs9331908(T) were associated with slower decline and rs11136000(T) and rs1532278(T) were associated with faster decline [54, 55]. Bressler et al. [44] observed that rs9331896(C) was associated with better baseline performance in EM and a reduced rate of decline in PS. rs2279590(A) was associated with worse performance in EM [56] and two separate 3-SNP haplotypes were significantly associated with baseline performance in EM and VA [47].

CR1

rs3818361(T) was associated with faster decline in AT [53], while rs3818361(A) was associated with baseline performance in GC and faster decline in VA [47, 46]. Additionally, in African-Americans rs3818361(A) was associated with worse performance in EM in both a combined case/control cohort and non-demented control only subjects [52]. rs6656401(A) was associated with improved baseline performance in PS in African-American [44] and with faster decline in EM, semantic memory, PS, VS, and GC [47, 57]. Finally, a 3-SNP haplotype and 2-SNP haplotype was associated with VA and GC, respectively [47]. The CR1 gene region was associated with change in GC in females [45], PS [39], and GC [38].

EPHA1

rs11767557(C) and rs11767557(T) were associated with worse EM performance [48] and faster decline in WM, respectively [41]. Additionally, rs11767557(A) was associated with a faster rate of decline in EM in participants who converted to MCI/AD, but not in participants who remained cognitively normal [42].

MS4A

MS4A6A-rs983392(G) was associated with worse EM performance [58] and in African-Americans with change in GC [59]. *MS4A4E*-rs670139(T) was associated with better baseline WM [41] and slower decline in EM [44]. The *MS4A4E* and *MS4A6A* gene regions were associated with GC [60].

PICALM

rs3851179(A) and rs3851179(G) were associated with better baseline GC [54] and faster decline in GC respectively [49]. rs7110631(G) was associated with faster decline in EM, VA, and GC [57], while rs541458(C) was associated with an earlier age at midpoint in decline in a non-linear trajectory of GC [53]. In univariate analysis 4 SNPs (rs10501604, rs10792821, rs11234532, rs10501608) were associated with EF, while in haplotype analyses 12 3-SNP windows were associated with EF [47]. The *PICALM* gene region was associated with Gf performance [61] GC in a multi-trait analysis of intelligence and educational attainment [40], and with change in GC in males [45].

SORL1

rs3824968(A) was associated with worse EM performance at age 70, before and after adjusting for childhood IQ at age 11 [62]. In Chinese participants, rs2070045(T) was associated with PS performance [63] and rs1699102(T) was associated with faster decline in EM and PS [64]. rs11218343(T) was associated with worse PS at baseline [41]. In African-Americans, rs11218343(C) was associated with change in GC [59]. The *SOLR1* gene region was associated with change in GC in males [45] and with GC in a multi-trait analysis of intelligence and educational attainment [40]. In a Dutch population-based study, rs668387(T), rs689021(A), and rs641120(T) were associated with worse EM performance, but better EM and GC performance [65]. A further three SNPs (rs3824968(T), rs2282649(T), rs1010159(C)) were associated with better performance in EF in the family based study [65]. In three Swedish based population cohorts, five SNPs (rs11600875, rs753780, rs7105365, rs11820794, rs2070045) were variously associated with performance in EM, VA, and VS [66].

The *HLA* gene region was associated with change in GC in a female only and male only cohort

[45]. The *PTK2B* gene region was associated with change in GC in males [45]. The *SLC24A4* gene region was associated with Gf performance [61] GC in a multi-trait analysis of intelligence and educational attainment [40] and in a meta-analysis inclusive of the UKBB [38], and change in GC [45]. *INPP5D*-rs35349669(T) was associated with better baseline VA [44], slower decline in EM, and faster decline in PS [41]. In African-Americans, the *INPP5D*-rs4585024(A) minor allele was associated with change in GC [59]. *MEF2C*-rs190982(A) was associated with decreased EF performance in the UKBB, though it was non-significant in an earlier, smaller, analysis [39]. The *MEF2C* gene region was associated with GC in a multi-trait analysis of intelligence and educational attainment [40], GC in two large meta-analyses inclusive of the UK Biobank [38], Gf performance [61], and change in GC in males [45]. *NME8*-rs12155159(G) was associated with slower decline in VA [44] and *NME8*-rs2718058(G) was associated with worse baseline performance and faster decline in GC [67]. *ZCWPW1*-rs1476679(T) was associated with slower decline in PS [41], while in African-Americans *ZCWPW1*-rs1476679(C) was associated with faster decline in EM [44]. For *CELF1*, rs6485758(A) was associated with better baseline performance in EM, VA, and PS [44], while rs10838725(C) and rs7933019(C) were associated with better baseline EF performance [58] and a slower decline in EM [41], respectively. rs10838725(T) was associated with decreased EF performance [39]. The *CELF1* gene region was associated with change in GC in females [45], GC in a multi-trait analysis of intelligence and educational attainment [40], GC in three large meta-analyses inclusive of the UK Biobank [38, 39], and with PS [39]. *FERMT2*-rs17125944(C) with better EM performance [68], worse baseline VA [44], and accelerated decline in PS [41]. *CASS4*-rs927174(C) was associated with change in GC in African-Americans [59].

For the novel loci identified by Yiu et al., Marioni et al., Janssen et al. and Kunkle et al., there were no articles that reported associations of these loci with cognitive performance. Our initial search identified 6 GWAS where summary statistics were publicly available and for which we could extract the reported associations. The *HBEGF* and *SPPL2A* gene regions were associated with GC in a multi-trait analysis of intelligence and educational attainment [40], and in two large meta-analyses inclusive of the UK Biobank [38,39]. The *ADAM10* gene region was associated with GC and *ADAM10*-rs889555(T) was associated with worse GC performance [39]. The *KAT8* gene region was associated with AT and EF [50]. The *ACE* gene region was associated with EF [50], PS [39] performance in the UK Biobank, and GC [40]. The *CLNK* gene region was associated with PS and GC, while *CLNK*-rs6448453(A) was associated with worse and better EF and PS performance, respectively [39]. The *CNTNAP2* gene region was associated with GC in two large meta-analyses inclusive of the UK Biobank [38, 39] and general fluid intelligence [69]. The *APH1B* and *HESX1* gene regions were associated with PS in the UK Biobank [39]. The *ALPK2* and *ADAMTS4* gene regions were associated with GC in a multi-trait analysis of intelligence and educational attainment [40]. *ADAMTS1*-rs2830500(A) was associated with worse EF and better PS [39]. The ABI3 gene region was associated with GC [39, 60] and gF [69] while *ABI3*-rs28394864(A) was associated with better PS. The *ACO74212.3* gene region was associated with GC and *ACO74212.3*-rs76320948(T) was associated with worse GC [39, 60] and better PS [39]. The *OARD1* gene region was associated with AT [50] and GC [38], while rs114812713(C) was associated with better PS [39]. *IQCK*-rs7185636(T) was associated with worse GC performance [39]. The *WWOX* gene region was associated with GC [38–40] while *WWOX*-rs62039712(A) was associated with worse PS [39].

Association of AD GRS with cognitive performance

We found 14 studies that investigated the cumulative effect of AD risk loci on cognitive performance. Three studies investigated the effect of an unweighted GRS on cognitive performance. An unweighted GRS composed of *PICALM, BIN1*, and *CLU*, was associated with reduced EM performance [70]. In contrast, an unweighted GRS composed of the IGAP risk loci was not associated with either both cognitive performance or cognitive decline [38, 41]. Weighted GRSs that include *APOE* have shown more consistent results. GRS composed of SNPs identified in the initial GWAS have been associated with worse cognitive performance in EM [42, 46, 48], EF [48], VA [46], PS [46, 48], and GC [46, 48]. Studies that have used a GRS including the IGAP LOAD risk loci have also reported associations with worse performance in EM [41, 56, 71] and PS [41]. However, these associations largely reflect the effect of *APOE* as the majority are not statistically significant after the exclusion of

APOE. Pathway specific risk scores for Aβ clearance, cholesterol metabolism, and immune response were also constructed but were non-significant [68].

Five studies have utilized a GRS approach, whereby a GRS is calculated based on all genome-wide significant SNPs, plus all nominally associated variants at a given significance level (P_T). Two GRS ($P_T = 0.01$) were associated with worse baseline EM and faster decline on EF and [72] and with worse EM and GC and faster decline in GC [73]. A third GRS composed of all LOAD-related SNPs ($P_T = 1$) except for those within 500 kb of *APOE* was associated with worse baseline EM [74]. One study found that GRS across a range P_T ranging from 1e-7 to 1e-2 was associated with faster EM and EF performance decline in Aβ+, but not Aβ- individuals [75].

DISCUSSION

This is the first systematic review to evaluate the role of non-*APOE* LOAD GWAS risk loci in cognitive decline. Based on a synthesis of data from 49 published studies, the results between individual risk loci and specific cognitive domains were largely non-significant for both baseline/cross-sectional cognitive performance and for longitudinal cognitive change. Of the significant gene-cross-sectional/longitudinal cognition associations that were reported ($n = 128$), the majority ($n = 96$) were not reproduced; other reviewed studies reported non-significant associations. Moreover, inconclusive patterns emerged for significant associations that were reproduced by one or more studies. Specifically, three reported significant effects in the same direction, three reported significant associations, but with inconsistent directions of effect, 12 were reproduced as significant by studies that did not report the direction of effect, and finally, 12 were reported as significant but no direction of effect was reported. However, it should be noted, where significant associations were reported and reproduced, the majority of further replication studies reported non-significant associations results. Overall, global cognition was the most extensively examined cognitive domain, with 77/255 significant associations reported. This low rate of significance and the concomitant lack of reproducibility of significant associations were observed across all the cognitive domains.

In contrast to univariate and gene-based analysis, we found more studies reporting consistent significant results of genetic risk scores associated with episodic memory performance. GRS composed of GWAS top hits and *APOE* were associated with worse cognitive performance in episodic memory, with 4/7 cross-sectional studies and 4/4 longitudinal studies reporting significant associations. However, these effects were largely driven by *APOE*, with only 2/7 baseline associations and 1/4 longitudinal associations retaining significance after *APOE* was excluded from the GRS. GRS composed of all nominally associated variants at a given significance level were also consistently associated with worse episodic memory performance, with 5/6 of the studies reporting significant associations. Given these results, future studies should focus on the use of GRS rather than individual variants, where the effects are likely too small to be reliably detected in a univariate analysis [76]. Furthermore, aggregating risk variants based on biological function may offer a more powerful approach to evaluating the association of genetic variants with specific endophenotypes [68].

Sample size/statistical power

A major limitation of the reported studies is small sample sizes and consequently low statistical power. In order to detect a genetic variant explaining 1% of cognitive variance at 80% power, early analyses suggested a sample size of 800–1,000 [77], but more recent genome-wide associations analyses estimate 10,000–15 000 is required [78]. Of the included studies, 37/49 had a sample size greater than 1,000, but only 9/49 studies had greater than 10,000. The two largest GWAS of cognitive performance to date, conducted as a meta-analysis of the UK Biobank and other consortia ($n = 300{,}486$ [39] & $n = 269{,}867$ [38]), found three LOAD gene-regions reaching genome-wide significance: *MEF2C, HBEGF*, and *SPPL2A*. However, it should be noted that *HBEGF* and *SPPL2A* were associated with dementia proxy case/control status in the UK Biobank and in both of these studies the majority of the samples (~30%) originated in the UKBB. The UK Biobank has two limitations relevant to this review: it is limited to a cross-sectional design and the cognitive assessments used are brief non-standard tests that are susceptible to floor/ceiling effects [79]. Future studies, particularly longitudinal studies, should recruit larger sample sizes, or alternately, greater efforts should be made to harmonize data across studies to facilitate meta-analysis.

Phenotypic heterogeneity

Phenotypic heterogeneity between studies due to the use of different cognitive tests can limit replication [61]. While cognitive test results are highly correlated, some tests may lack the sensitivity to identify associations with small effect sizes, such as Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) [80], a commonly used GC test. MMSE was designed as a screening test for dementia and not a measure of cognitive abilities. It therefore exhibits strong ceiling effects, limiting its ability to differentiate between medium and high cognitive performers [81]. There was vast between-study variability in the specific measures used to assess the different cognitive domains. Although most of the cognitive measures used were psychometrically sound, replication of genetic effects on a specific cognitive domain may have been tested using measures that differed in validity, reliability, or sensitivity [82]. Additionally, when evaluating the effects of AD risk loci on cognitive aging a broad range of relevant cognitive domains should be assessed using multiple cognitive tests per domain. The construction of latent variables or composite scores offer several advantages over using single cognitive tests scores [83]. For example, latent variables use multiple indicators, rather than a single measure, thus representing a more compressive cognitive construct that by design reduces the impact of varying psychometric properties [84]. Alternatively, when examining cognition as an endophenotype of LOAD, a cognitive test battery focused on cognitive domains more directly affected pre-clinical AD, such as episodic memory, may be warranted. Given these findings, future studies should 1) focus on specific cognitive domains rather than global tests; 2) choose cognitive tests specifically for their sensitivity to measure subtle cognitive differences; 3) use multiple tests to assess cognitive function of a single domain; and 4) that are robust to test-retest effects.

Sample characteristics

Variation in sample characteristics such as age, sex, education, ethnicity, and medical comorbidities can limit replicability. In particular, inclusion/exclusion of individuals who develop dementia during a study may affect results. Of the studies included in this review, 26/49 were conducted in non-demented populations, 11/49 included participants with prevalent or incident dementia, while 12/49 studies did not report the cognitive status of its participants. The reported associations of LOAD risk loci in populations that retain prevalent or incident cases of cognitive impairment may be driven by pathological cognitive decline [61, 85]. In contrast, in studies that selectively exclude participants with a clinical diagnosis of dementia, the inadvertent inclusion of individuals in prodromal stages of dementia may also drive the reported genetic effects [85]. Evidence to suggest this effect has been reported in studies that separately assessed associations in participants who eventually converted to dementia and those who remained cognitively normal for *ABCA7, EPHA1*, and *CLU* [42, 51]. Similar effects have been observed for *APOE*ε4* carriers [85]. In cognitively normal *APOE*ε4* carriers, participants with a high Aβ PET levels experienced a faster rate of decline then carriers with low Aβ PET levels, suggesting that cognitive decline observed in *APOE*ε4* carriers reflects the effect of *APOE* exacerbating Aβ-related decline rather than an APOE-independent effect [86]. Accordingly, future studies should evaluate the association of LOAD risk loci with cognitive function using neuroimaging or cerebrospinal fluid biomarkers to inform the classification of preclinical AD in 'cognitively normal' individuals. Furthermore, sensitivity analysis should be conducted to evaluate if the inclusion/exclusion of participants with MCI or dementia drives potential association of genetic variants on cognitive function.

Limitations

There are several limitations to this review. First, the heterogeneity in the methodologies (cognitive tests, genetic polymorphisms, and study design) of the included studies precluded performing a meta-analysis, which would offer increased power to detect associations and increased precision in the estimation of the magnitude of the effect. Second, we emphasize that we have reported significant associations that were $p < 0.05$ but as such the number of 'true' associations is probably smaller than the number reported here due to multiple testing and undetected publication bias. Third, the literature search used a single database, PubMed, which could limit the sensitivity of our search strategy. However, PubMed is by far the most populated database for publications for general medical and biomedical science offering a higher likelihood of retrieval of relevant publications. In addition, we followed up reference lists for all included studies and this retrieved less than 5% of studies eventually included, suggesting an

acceptable sensitivity for the bibliographic database searches. Finally, while we adapted our search strategy from a published filter for detecting causation studies that favored sensitivity, it is possible that not all relevant studies were identified as our search strategy relied on the gene names or SNP identifiers being present within the title or abstract of a publication.

Conclusion

This is the first study to systematically evaluate the role of non-*APOE* LOAD risk loci with cognitive performance and decline. We found that the majority of associations between individual LOAD risk loci and cognitive function were non-significant, suggesting that current samples sizes are too small to detect individual risk loci effects on cognition. In contrast, consistent findings were observed for GRS, with increased LOAD genetic risk associated with deleterious effects on episodic memory performance and decline. Future research should focus on the use of GRS, recruitment of larger sample sizes or harmonization of findings across studies, and improved phenotyping of cognitive abilities. Consideration of these factors in future study design may allow for more reliable associations of LOAD-related genetic variants with ageing-related cognitive performance and change.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

SJA is funded by the Australian Research Council Centre of Excellence in Population Ageing Research, ARC grant CE1101029. KJA is funded by NHMRC Research Fellowship No. 1002560. RAD acknowledges funding from the National Institutes of Health (National Institute on Aging, R01 AG008235) and the Canadian Consortium on Neurodegeneration in Aging (with funding from the Canadian Institutes of Health Research and partners).

Authors' disclosures available online (https://www.j-alz.com/manuscript-disclosures/19-0342).

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The supplementary material is available in the electronic version of this article: http://dx.doi.org/10.3233/JAD-190342.

REFERENCES

[1] Salthouse TA (2009) When does age-related cognitive decline begin? *Neurobiol Aging* **30**, 507-514.

[2] Josefsson M, de Luna X, Pudas S, Nilsson L-G, Nyberg L (2012) Genetic and lifestyle predictors of 15-year longitudinal change in episodic memory. *J Am Geriatr Soc* **60**, 2308-2312.

[3] Salthouse TA (2009) Decomposing age correlations on neuropsychological and cognitive variables. *J Int Neuropsychol Soc* **15**, 650-661.

[4] Liverman CT, Yaffe K, Blazer DG (2015) *Cognitive aging: Progress in understanding and opportunities for action*, National Academies Press.

[5] Qiu C, Fratiglioni L (2015) A major role for cardiovascular burden in age-related cognitive decline. *Nat Rev Cardiol* **12**, 267-277.

[6] Feinkohl I, Price JF, Strachan MW, Frier BM (2015) The impact of diabetes on cognitive decline: Potential vascular, metabolic, and psychosocial risk factors. *Alzheimers Res Ther* **7**, 46.

[7] Lucin KM, Wyss-Coray T (2009) Immune activation in brain aging and neurodegeneration: Too much or too little? *Neuron* **64**, 110-122.

[8] Masters CL, Bateman R, Blennow K, Rowe CC, Sperling RA, Cummings JL (2015) Alzheimer's disease. *Nat Rev Dis Primers* **1**, 15056.

[9] Braak H, Thal DR, Ghebremedhin E, Del Tredici K (2011) Stages of the pathologic process in Alzheimer disease: Age categories from 1 to 100 years. *J Neuropathol Exp Neurol* **70**, 960-969.

[10] Jansen WJ, Ossenkoppele R, Knol DL, Tijms BM, Scheltens P, Verhey FRJ, Visser PJ, Aalten P, Aarsland D, Alcolea D, Alexander M, Almdahl IS, Arnold SE, Baldeiras I, Barthel H, van Berckel BNM, Bibeau K, Blennow K, Brooks DJ, van Buchem MA, Camus V, Cavedo E, Chen K, Chetelat G, Cohen AD, Drzezga A, Engelborghs S, Fagan AM, Fladby T, Fleisher AS, van der Flier WM, Ford L, Forster S, Fortea J, Foskett N, Frederiksen KS, Freund-Levi Y, Frisoni GB, Froelich L, Gabryelewicz T, Gill KD, Gkatzima O, Gomez-Tortosa E, Gordon MF, Grimmer T, Hampel H, Hausner L, Hellwig S, Herukka S-K, Hildebrandt H, Ishihara L, Ivanoiu A, Jagust WJ, Johannsen P, Kandimalla R, Kapaki E, Klimkowicz-Mrowiec A, Klunk WE, Kohler S, Koglin N, Kornhuber J, Kramberger MG, Van Laere K, Landau SM, Lee DY, de Leon M, Lisetti V, Lleo A, Madsen K, Maier W, Marcusson J, Mattsson N, de Mendonca A, Meulenbroek O, Meyer PT, Mintun MA, Mok V, Molinuevo JL, Mollergard HM, Morris JC, Mroczko B, Van der Mussele S, Na DL, Newberg A, Nordberg A, Nordlund A, Novak GP, Paraskevas GP, Parnetti L, Perera G, Peters O, Popp J, Prabhakar S, Rabinovici GD, Ramakers IHGB, Rami L, Resende de Oliveira C, Rinne JO, Rodrigue KM, Rodriguez-Rodriguez E, Roe CM, Rot U, Rowe CC, Ruther E, Sabri O, Sanchez-Juan P, Santana I, Sarazin M, Schroder J, Schutte C, Seo SW, Soetewey F, Soininen H, Spiru L, Struyfs H, Teunissen CE, Tsolaki M, Vandenberghe R, Verbeek MM, Villemagne VL, Vos SJB, van Waalwijk van Doorn LJC, Waldemar G, Wallin A, Wallin AK, Wiltfang J, Wolk DA, Zboch M, Zetterberg H (2015) Prevalence of cerebral amyloid pathology in persons without dementia: A meta-analysis. *JAMA* **313**, 1924-1938.

[11] Scholl M, Lockhart SN, Schonhaut DR, O'Neil JP, Janabi M, Ossenkoppele R, Baker SL, Vogel JW, Faria J, Schwimmer HD, Rabinovici GD, Jagust WJ (2016) PET imaging

of tau deposition in the aging human brain. *Neuron* **89**, 971-982.

[12] Johnson KA, Schultz A, Betensky RA, Becker JA, Sepulcre J, Rentz D, Mormino E, Chhatwal J, Amariglio R, Papp K, Marshall G, Albers M, Mauro S, Pepin L, Alverio J, Judge K, Philiossaint M, Shoup T, Yokell D, Dickerson B, Gomez-Isla T, Hyman B, Vasdev N, Sperling R (2016) Tau positron emission tomographic imaging in aging and early Alzheimer disease. *Ann Neurol* **79**, 110-119.

[13] Hedden T, Oh H, Younger AP, Patel TA (2013) Meta-analysis of amyloid-cognition relations in cognitively normal older adults. *Neurology* **80**, 1341-1348.

[14] Boyle PA, Yu L, Wilson RS, Schneider JA, Bennett DA (2013) Relation of neuropathology with cognitive decline among older persons without dementia. *Front Aging Neurosci* **5**, 50.

[15] Petersen RC, Wiste HJ, Weigand SD, Rocca WA, Roberts RO, Mielke MM, Lowe VJ, Knopman DS, Pankratz VS, Machulda MM, Geda YE, Jack CR Jr (2016) Association of elevated amyloid levels with cognition and biomarkers in cognitively normal people from the community. *JAMA Neurol* **73**, 85-92.

[16] Hassenstab J, Chasse R, Grabow P, Benzinger TLS, Fagan AM, Xiong C, Jasielec M, Grant E, Morris JC (2016) Certified normal: Alzheimer's disease biomarkers and normative estimates of cognitive functioning. *Neurobiol Aging* **43**, 23-33.

[17] Yu L, Boyle PA, Leurgans S, Schneider JA, Bennett DA (2014) Disentangling the effects of age and APOE on neuropathology and late life cognitive decline. *Neurobiol Aging* **35**, 819-826.

[18] Hohman TJ, Tommet D, Marks S, Contreras J, Jones R, Mungas D, Alzheimer's Neuroimaging Initiative (2017) Evaluating Alzheimer disease biomarkers as mediators of age-related cognitive decline. *Neurobiol Aging* **58**, 120-128.

[19] Ridge PG, Hoyt KB, Boehme K, Mukherjee S, Crane PK, Haines JL, Mayeux R, Farrer LA, Pericak-Vance MA, Schellenberg GD, Kauwe JSK (2016) Assessment of the genetic variance of late-onset Alzheimer's disease. *Neurobiol Aging* **41**, 200.e13-20.

[20] Corder EH, Saunders AM, Strittmatter WJ, Schmechel DE, Gaskell PC, Small GW, Roses AD, Haines JL, Pericak-Vance MA (1993) Gene dose of apolipoprotein E type 4 allele and the risk of Alzheimer's disease in late onset families. *Science* **261**, 921-923.

[21] Harold D, Abraham R, Hollingworth P, Sims R, Gerrish A, Hamshere ML, Pahwa JS, Moskvina V, Dowzell K, Williams A, Jones N, Thomas C, Stretton A, Morgan AR, Lovestone S, Powell J, Proitsi P, Lupton MK, Brayne C, Rubinsztein DC, Gill M, Lawlor B, Lynch A, Morgan K, Brown KS, Passmore PA, Craig D, McGuinness B, Todd S, Holmes C, Mann D, Smith AD, Love S, Kehoe PG, Hardy J, Mead S, Fox N, Rossor M, Collinge J, Maier W, Jessen F, Schurmann B, van den Bussche H, Heuser I, Kornhuber J, Wiltfang J, Dichgans M, Frolich L, Hampel H, Hull M, Rujescu D, Goate AM, Kauwe JSK, Cruchaga C, Nowotny P, Morris JC, Mayo K, Sleegers K, Bettens K, Engelborghs S, De yn PP, Van Broeckhoven C, Livingston G, Bass NJ, Gurling H, McQuillin A, Gwilliam R, Deloukas P, Al-Chalabi A, Shaw CE, Tsolaki M, Singleton AB, Guerreiro R, Muhleisen TW, Nothen MM, Moebus S, Jockel K-H, Klopp N, Wichmann HE, Carrasquillo MM, Pankratz VS, Younkin SG, Holmans PA, O'Donovan M, Owen MJ, Williams J (2009) Genome-wide association study identifies variants at CLU and PICALM associated with Alzheimer's disease. *Nat Genet* **41**, 1088-1093.

[22] Hollingworth P, Harold D, Sims R, Gerrish A, Lambert J-C, Carrasquillo MM, Abraham R, Hamshere ML, Pahwa JS, Moskvina V, Dowzell K, Jones N, Stretton A, Thomas C, Richards A, Ivanov D, Widdowson C, Chapman J, Lovestone S, Powell J, Proitsi P, Lupton MK, Brayne C, Rubinsztein DC, Gill M, Lawlor B, Lynch A, Brown KS, Passmore PA, Craig D, McGuinness B, Todd S, Holmes C, Mann D, Smith AD, Beaumont H, Warden D, Wilcock G, Love S, Kehoe PG, Hooper NM, Vardy ERLC, Hardy J, Mead S, Fox NC, Rossor M, Collinge J, Maier W, Jessen F, Ruther E, Schurmann B, Heun R, Kolsch H, van den Bussche H, Heuser I, Kornhuber J, Wiltfang J, Dichgans M, Frolich L, Hampel H, Gallacher J, Hull M, Rujescu D, Giegling I, Goate AM, Kauwe JSK, Cruchaga C, Nowotny P, Morris JC, Mayo K, Sleegers K, Bettens K, Engelborghs S, De yn PP, Van Broeckhoven C, Livingston G, Bass NJ, Gurling H, McQuillin A, Gwilliam R, Deloukas P, Al-Chalabi A, Shaw CE, Tsolaki M, Singleton AB, Guerreiro R, Muhleisen TW, Nothen MM, Moebus S, Jockel K-H, Klopp N, Wichmann HE, Pankratz VS, Sando SB, Aasly JO, Barcikowska M, Wszolek ZK, Dickson DW, Graff-Radford NR, Petersen RC, van Duijn CM, Breteler MMB, Ikram MA, DeStefano AL, Fitzpatrick AL, Lopez O, Launer LJ, Seshadri S, Berr C, Campion D, Epelbaum J, Dartigues J-F, Tzourio C, Alperovitch A, Lathrop M, Feulner TM, Friedrich P, Riehle C, Krawczak M, Schreiber S, Mayhaus M, Nicolhaus S, Wagenpfeil S, Steinberg S, Stefansson H, Stefansson K, Snaedal J, Bjornsson S, Jonsson PV, Chouraki V, Genier-Boley B, Hiltunen M, Soininen H, Combarros O, Zelenika D, Delepine M, Bullido MJ, Pasquier F, Mateo I, Frank-García A, Porcellini E, Hanon O, Coto E, Alvarez V, Bosco P, Siciliano G, Mancuso M, Panza F, Solfrizzi V, Nacmias B, Sorbi S, Bossu P, Piccardi P, Arosio B, Annoni G, Seripa D, Pilotto A, Scarpini E, Galimberti D, Brice A, Hannequin D, Licastro F, Jones L, Holmans PA, Jonsson T, Riemenschneider M, Morgan K, Younkin SG, Owen MJ, O'Donovan M, Amouyel P, Williams J (2011) Common variants at ABCA7, MS4A6A/MS4A4E, EPHA1, CD33 and CD2AP are associated with Alzheimer's disease. *Nat Genet* **43**, 429-435.

[23] Naj AC, Jun G, Beecham GW, Wang L-S, Vardarajan BN, Buros J, Gallins PJ, Buxbaum JD, Jarvik GP, Crane PK, Larson EB, Bird TD, Boeve BF, Graff-Radford NR, De Jager PL, Evans D, Schneider JA, Carrasquillo MM, Ertekin-Taner N, Younkin SG, Cruchaga C, Kauwe JSK, Nowotny P, Kramer P, Hardy J, Huentelman MJ, Myers AJ, Barmada MM, Demirci FY, Baldwin CT, Green RC, Rogaeva E, George-Hyslop PS, Arnold SE, Barber R, Beach T, Bigio EH, Bowen JD, Boxer A, Burke JR, Cairns NJ, Carlson CS, Carney RM, Carroll SL, Chui HC, Clark DG, Corneveaux J, Cotman CW, Cummings JL, DeCarli C, DeKosky ST, Diaz-Arrastia R, Dick M, Dickson DW, Ellis WG, Faber KM, Fallon KB, Farlow MR, Ferris S, Frosch MP, Galasko DR, Ganguli M, Gearing M, Geschwind DH, Ghetti B, Gilbert JR, Gilman S, Giordani B, Glass JD, Growdon JH, Hamilton RL, Harrell LE, Head E, Honig LS, Hulette CM, Hyman BT, Jicha GA, Jin L-W, Johnson N, Karlawish J, Karydas A, Kaye JA, Kim R, Koo EH, Kowall NW, Lah JJ, Levey AI, Lieberman AP, Lopez OL, Mack WJ, Marson DC, Martiniuk F, Mash DC, Masliah E, McCormick WC, McCurry SM, McDavid AN, McKee AC, Mesulam M, Miller BL, Miller CA, Miller JW, Parisi JE, Perl DP, Peskind E, Petersen RC, Poon WW, Quinn JF, Rajbhandary RA, Raskind M, Reisberg B, Ringman JM, Roberson ED,

Rosenberg RN, Sano M, Schneider LS, Seeley W, Shelanski ML, Slifer MA, Smith CD, Sonnen JA, Spina S, Stern RA, Tanzi RE, Trojanowski JQ, Troncoso JC, Van Deerlin VM, Vinters HV, Vonsattel JP, Weintraub S, Welsh-Bohmer KA, Williamson J, Woltjer RL, Cantwell LB, Dombroski BA, Beekly D, Lunetta KL, Martin ER, Kamboh MI, Saykin AJ, Reiman EM, Bennett DA, Morris JC, Montine TJ, Goate AM, Blacker D, Tsuang DW, Hakonarson H, Kukull WA, Foroud TM, Haines JL, Mayeux R, Pericak-Vance MA, Farrer LA, Schellenberg GD (2011) Common variants at MS4A4/MS4A6E, CD2AP, CD33 and EPHA1 are associated with late-onset Alzheimer's disease. *Nat Genet* **43**, 436-441.

[24] Seshadri S, Fitzpatrick AL, Ikram MA, DeStefano AL, Gudnason V, Boada M, Bis JC, Smith AV, Carassquillo MM, Lambert J-C, Harold D, Schrijvers EMC, Ramírez-Lorca R, Debette S, Longstreth WT, Janssens ACJW, Pankratz VS, Dartigues J-F, Hollingworth P, Aspelund T, Herná ndez I, Beiser A, Kuller LH, Koudstaal PJ, Dickson DW, Tzourio C, Abraham R, Antunez C, Du Y, Rotter JI, Aulchenko YS, Harris TB, Petersen RC, Berr C, Owen MJ, Lopez-Arrieta J, Varadarajan BN, Becker JT, Rivadeneira F, Nalls MA, Graff-Radford NR, Campion D, Auerbach S, Rice K, Hofman A, Jonsson PV, Schmidt H, Lathrop M, Mosley TH, Au R, Psaty BM, Uitterlinden AG, Farrer LA, Lumley T, Ruiz A, Williams J, Amouyel P, Younkin SG, Wolf PA, Launer LJ, López OL, van Duijn CM, Breteler MMB, CHARGE Consortium, GERAD1 Consortium, EADI1 Consortium (2010) Genome-wide analysis of genetic loci associated with Alzheimer disease. *JAMA* **303**, 1832-1840.

[25] Lambert J-C, Heath S, Even G, Campion D, Sleegers K, Hiltunen M, Combarros O, Zelenika D, Bullido MJ, Tavernier B, Letenneur L, Bettens K, Berr C, Pasquier F, Fievet N, Barberger-Gateau P, Engelborghs S, De yn P, Mateo I, Franck A, Helisalmi S, Porcellini E, Hanon O, de Pancorbo MM, Lendon C, Dufouil C, Jaillard C, Leveillard T, Alvarez V, Bosco P, Mancuso M, Panza F, Nacmias B, Bossu P, Piccardi P, Annoni G, Seripa D, Galimberti D, Hannequin D, Licastro F, Soininen H, Ritchie K, Blanche H, Dartigues J-F, Tzourio C, Gut I, Van Broeckhoven C, Alperovitch A, Lathrop M, Amouyel P (2009) Genome-wide association study identifies variants at CLU and CR1 associated with Alzheimer's disease. *Nat Genet* **41**, 1094-1099.

[26] Lambert JC, Ibrahim-Verbaas CA, Harold D, Naj AC, Sims R, Bellenguez C, DeStafano AL, Bis JC, Beecham GW, Grenier-Boley B, Russo G, Thorton-Wells TA, Jones N, Smith AV, Chouraki V, Thomas C, Ikram MA, Zelenika D, Vardarajan BN, Kamatani Y, Lin CF, Gerrish A, Schmidt H, Kunkle B, Dunstan ML, Ruiz A, Bihoreau MT, Choi SH, Reitz C, Pasquier F, Cruchaga C, Craig D, Amin N, Berr C, Lopez OL, De Jager PL, Deramecourt V, Johnston JA, Evans D, Lovestone S, Letenneur L, Moron FJ, Rubinsztein DC, Eiriksdottir G, Sleegers K, Goate AM, Fievet N, Huentelman MW, Gill M, Brown K, Kamboh MI, Keller L, Barberger-Gateau P, McGuiness B, Larson EB, Green R, Myers AJ, Dufouil C, Todd S, Wallon D, Love S, Rogaeva E, Gallacher J, St George-Hyslop P, Clarimon J, Lleo A, Bayer A, Tsuang DW, Yu L, Tsolaki M, Bossu P, Spalletta G, Proitsi P, Collinge J, Sorbi S, Sanchez-Garcia F, Fox NC, Hardy J, Deniz Naranjo MC, Bosco P, Clarke R, Brayne C, Galimberti D, Mancuso M, Matthews F, Moebus S, Mecocci P, Del Zompo M, Maier W, Hampel H, Pilotto A, Bullido M, Panza F, Caffarra P, Nacmias B, Gilbert JR, Mayhaus M, Lannefelt L, Hakonarson H, Pichler S, Carrasquillo MM, Ingelsson M, Beekly D, Alvarez V, Zou F, Valladares O, Younkin SG, Coto E, Hamilton-Nelson KL, Gu W, Razquin C, Pastor P, Mateo I, Owen MJ, Faber KM, Jonsson PV, Combarros O, O'Donovan MC, Cantwell LB, Soininen H, Blacker D, Mead S, Mosley THJ, Bennett DA, Harris TB, Fratiglioni L, Holmes C, de Bruijn RF, Passmore P, Montine TJ, Bettens K, Rotter JI, Brice A, Morgan K, Foroud TM, Kukull WA, Hannequin D, Powell JF, Nalls MA, Ritchie K, Lunetta KL, Kauwe JS, Boerwinkle E, Riemenschneider M, Boada M, Hiltuenen M, Martin ER, Schmidt R, Rujescu D, Wang LS, Dartigues JF, Mayeux R, Tzourio C, Hofman A, Nothen MM, Graff C, Psaty BM, Jones L, Haines JL, Holmans PA, Lathrop M, Pericak-Vance MA, Launer LJ, Farrer LA, van Duijn CM, Van Broeckhoven C, Moskvina V, Seshadri S, Williams J, Schellenberg GD, Amouyel P (2013) Meta-analysis of 74,046 individuals identifies 11 new susceptibility loci for Alzheimer's disease. *Nat Genet* **45**, 1452-1458.

[27] Liu JZ, Erlich Y, Pickrell JK (2017) Case-control association mapping by proxy using family history of disease. *Nat Genet* **49**, 325-331.

[28] Marioni RE, Harris SE, Zhang Q, McRae AF, Hagenaars SP, Hill WD, Davies G, Ritchie CW, Gale CR, Starr JM, Goate AM, Porteous DJ, Yang J, Evans KL, Deary IJ, Wray NR, Visscher PM (2018) GWAS on family history of Alzheimer's disease. *Transl Psychiatry* **8**, 99.

[29] Jansen IE, Savage JE, Watanabe K, Bryois J, Williams DM, Steinberg S, Sealock J, Karlsson IK, Hagg S, Athanasiu L, Voyle N, Proitsi P, Witoelar A, Stringer S, Aarsland D, Almdahl IS, Andersen F, Bergh S, Bettella F, Bjornsson S, Brækhus A, Bråthen G, de Leeuw C, Desikan RS, Djurovic S, Dumitrescu L, Fladby T, Hohman TJ, Jonsson PV, Kiddle SJ, Rongve A, Saltvedt I, Sando SB, Selbæk G, Shoai M, Skene NG, Snaedal J, Stordal E, Ulstein ID, Wang Y, White LR, Hardy J, Hjerling-Leffler J, Sullivan PF, van der Flier WM, Dobson R, Davis LK, Stefansson H, Stefansson K, Pedersen NL, Ripke S, Andreassen OA, Posthuma D (2019) Genome-wide meta-analysis identifies new loci and functional pathways influencing Alzheimer's disease risk. *Nat Genet* **51**, 404-413.

[30] Kunkle BW, Grenier-Boley B, Sims R, Bis JC, Damotte V, Naj AC, Boland A, Vronskaya M, van der Lee SJ, Amlie-Wolf A, Bellenguez C, Frizatti A, Chouraki V, Martin ER, Sleegers K, Badarinarayan N, Jakobsdottir J, Hamilton-Nelson KL, Moreno-Grau S, Olaso R, Raybould R, Chen Y, Kuzma AB, Hiltunen M, Morgan T, Ahmad S, Vardarajan BN, Epelbaum J, Hoffmann P, Boada M, Beecham GW, Garnier J-G, Harold D, Fitzpatrick AL, Valladares O, Moutet M-L, Gerrish A, Smith AV, Qu L, Bacq D, Denning N, Jian X, Zhao Y, del Zompo M, Fox NC, Choi S-H, Mateo I, Hughes JT, Adams HH, Malamon J, Sanchez Garcia F, Patel Y, Brody JA, Dombroski BA, Naranjo MCD, Daniilidou M, Eiriksdottir G, Mukherjee S, Wallon D, Uphill J, Aspelund T, Cantwell LB, Garzia F, Galimberti D, Hofer E, Butkiewicz M, Fin B, Scarpini E, Sarnowski C, Bush WS, Meslage S, Kornhuber J, White CC, Song Y, Barber RC, Engelborghs S, Sordon S, Voijnovic D, Adams PM, Vandenberghe R, Mayhaus M, Cupples LA, Albert MS, De Deyn PP, Gu W, Himali JJ, Beekly D, Squassina A, Hartmann AM, Orellana A, Blacker D, Rodriguez-Rodriguez E, Lovestone S, Garcia ME, Doody RS, Munoz-Fernadez C, Sussams R, Lin H, Fairchild TJ, Benito YA, Holmes C, Karamujić-Čomić H, Frosch MP, Thonberg H, Maier W, Roschupkin G, Ghetti B, Giedraitis V, Kawalia A, Li S, Huebinger RM, Kilander L, Moebus S, Herná ndez I, Kamboh MI, Brundin R, Turton J, Yang Q, Katz MJ, Concari

L, Lord J, Beiser AS, Keene CD, Helisalmi S, Kloszewska I, Kukull WA, Koivisto AM, Lynch A, Tá rraga L, Larson EB, Haapasalo A, Lawlor B, Mosley TH, Lipton RB, Solfrizzi V, Gill M, Longstreth WT, Montine TJ, Frisardi V, Diez-Fairen M, Rivadeneira F, Petersen RC, Deramecourt V, Alvarez I, Salani F, Ciaramella A, Boerwinkle E, Reiman EM, Fiévet N, Rotter JI, Reisch JS, Hanon O, Cupidi C, Andre Uitterlinden AG, Royall DR, Dufouil C, Maletta RG, de Rojas I, Sano M, Brice A, Cecchetti R, George-Hyslop PS, Ritchie K, Tsolaki M, Tsuang DW, Dubois B, Craig D, Wu C-K, Soininen H, Avramidou D, Albin RL, Fratiglioni L, Germanou A, Apostolova LG, Keller L, Koutroumani M, Arnold SE, Panza F, Gkatzima O, Asthana S, Hannequin D, Whitehead P, Atwood CS, Caffarra P, Hampel H, Quintela I, Carracedo Á, Lannfelt L, Rubinsztein DC, Barnes LL, Pasquier F, Frolich L, Barral S, McGuinness B, Beach TG, Johnston JA, Becker JT, Passmore P, Bigio EH, Schott JM, Bird TD, Warren JD, Boeve BF, Lupton MK, Bowen JD, Proitsi P, Boxer A, Powell JF, Burke JR, Kauwe JSK, Burns JM, Mancuso M, Buxbaum JD, Bonuccelli U, Cairns NJ, McQuillin A, Cao C, Livingston G, Carlson CS, Bass NJ, Carlsson CM, Hardy J, Carney RM, Bras J, Carrasquillo MM, Guerreiro R, Allen M, Chui HC, Fisher E, Masullo C, Crocco EA, DeCarli C, Bisceglio G, Dick M, Ma L, Duara R, Graff-Radford NR, Evans DA, Hodges A, Faber KM, Scherer M, Fallon KB, Riemenschneider M, Fardo DW, Heun R, Farlow MR, Kolsch H, Ferris S, Leber M, Foroud TM, Heuser I, Galasko DR, Giegling I, Gearing M, Hull M, Geschwind DH, Gilbert JR, Morris J, Green RC, Mayo K, Growdon JH, Feulner T, Hamilton RL, Harrell LE, Drichel D, Honig LS, Cushion TD, Huentelman MJ, Hollingworth P, Hulette CM, Hyman BT, Marshall R, Jarvik GP, Meggy A, Abner E, Menzies GE, Jin L-W, Leonenko G, Real LM, Jun GR, Baldwin CT, Grozeva D, Karydas A, Russo G, Kaye JA, Kim R, Jessen F, Kowall NW, Vellas B, Kramer JH, Vardy E, LaFerla FM, Jöckel K-H, Lah JJ, Dichgans M, Leverenz JB, Mann D, Levey AI, Pickering-Brown S, Lieberman AP (2019) Genetic meta-analysis of diagnosed Alzheimer's disease identifies new risk loci and implicates Aβ, tau, immunity and lipid processing. *Nat Genet* **51**, 414-430.

[31] Sims R, van der Lee SJ, Naj AC, Bellenguez C, Badarinarayan N, Jakobsdottir J, Kunkle BW, Boland A, Raybould R, Bis JC, Martin ER, Grenier-Boley B, Heilmann-Heimbach S, Chouraki V, Kuzma AB, Sleegers K, Vronskaya M, Ruiz A, Graham RR, Olaso R, Hoffmann P, Grove ML, Vardarajan BN, Hiltunen M, Nothen MM, White CC, Hamilton-Nelson KL, Epelbaum J, Maier W, Choi S-H, Beecham GW, Dulary C, Herms S, Smith AV, Funk CC, Derbois C, Forstner AJ, Ahmad S, Li H, Bacq D, Harold D, Satizabal CL, Valladares O, Squassina A, Thomas R, Brody JA, Qu L, Sanchez-Juan P, Morgan T, Wolters FJ, Zhao Y, Garcia FS, Denning N, Fornage M, Malamon J, Naranjo MCD, Majounie E, Mosley TH, Dombroski B, Wallon D, Lupton MK, Dupuis J, Whitehead P, Fratiglioni L, Medway C, Jian X, Mukherjee S, Keller L, Brown K, Lin H, Cantwell LB, Panza F, McGuinness B, Moreno-Grau S, Burgess JD, Solfrizzi V, Proitsi P, Adams HH, Allen M, Seripa D, Pastor P, Cupples LA, Price ND, Hannequin D, Frank-Garcia A, Levy D, Chakrabarty P, Caffarra P, Giegling I, Beiser AS, Giedraitis V, Hampel H, Garcia ME, Wang X, Lannfelt L, Mecocci P, Eiriksdottir G, Crane PK, Pasquier F, Boccardi V, Henandez I, Barber RC, Scherer M, Tá rraga L, Adams PM, Leber M, Chen Y, Albert MS, Riedel-Heller S, Emilsson V, Beekly D, Braae A, Schmidt R, Blacker D, Masullo C, Schmidt H, Doody RS, Spalletta G, Longstreth WTJ, Fairchild TJ, Bossù P, López OL, Frosch MP, Sacchinelli E, Ghetti B, Yang Q, Huebinger RM, Jessen F, Li S, Kamboh MI, Morris J, Sotolongo-Grau O, Katz MJ, Corcoran C, Dunstan M, Braddel A, Thomas C, Meggy A, Marshall R, Gerrish A, Chapman J, Aguilar M, Taylor S, Hill M, Fairen MD, Hodges A, Vellas B, Soininen H, Kloszewska I, Daniilidou M, Uphill J, Patel Y, Hughes JT, Lord J, Turton J, Hartmann AM, Cecchetti R, Fenoglio C, Serpente M, Arcaro M, Caltagirone C, Orfei MD, Ciaramella A, Pichler S, Mayhaus M, Gu W, Lleo A, Fortea J, Blesa R, Barber IS, Brookes K, Cupidi C, Maletta RG, Carrell D, Sorbi S, Moebus S, Urbano M, Pilotto A, Kornhuber J, Bosco P, Todd S, Craig D, Johnston J, Gill M, Lawlor B, Lynch A, Fox NC, Hardy J, Albin RL, Apostolova LG, Arnold SE, Asthana S, Atwood CS, Baldwin CT, Barnes LL, Barral S, Beach TG, Becker JT, Bigio EH, Bird TD, Boeve BF, Bowen JD, Boxer A, Burke JR, Burns JM, Buxbaum JD, Cairns NJ, Cao C, Carlson CS, Carlsson CM, Carney RM, Carrasquillo MM, Carroll SL, Diaz CC, Chui HC, Clark DG, Cribbs DH, Crocco EA, DeCarli C, Dick M, Duara R, Evans DA, Faber KM, Fallon KB, Fardo DW, Farlow MR, Ferris S, Foroud TM, Galasko DR, Gearing M, Geschwind DH, Gilbert JR, Graff-Radford NR, Green RC, Growdon JH, Hamilton RL, Harrell LE, Honig LS, Huentelman MJ, Hulette CM, Hyman BT, Jarvik GP, Abner E, Jin L-W, Jun G, Karydas A, Kaye JA, Kim R, Kowall NW, Kramer JH, LaFerla FM, Lah JJ, Leverenz JB, Levey AI, Li G, Lieberman AP, Lunetta KL, Lyketsos CG, Marson DC, Martiniuk F, Mash DC, Masliah E, McCormick WC, McCurry SM, McDavid AN, McKee AC, Mesulam M, Miller BL, Miller CA, Miller JW, Morris JC, Murrell JR, Myers AJ, O'Bryant S, Olichney JM, Pankratz VS, Parisi JE, Paulson HL, Perry W, Peskind E, Pierce A, Poon WW, Potter H, Quinn JF, Raj A, Raskind M, Reisberg B, Reitz C, Ringman JM, Roberson ED, Rogaeva E, Rosen HJ, Rosenberg RN, Sager MA, Saykin AJ, Schneider JA, Schneider LS, Seeley WW, Smith AG, Sonnen JA, Spina S, Stern RA, Swerdlow RH, Tanzi RE, Thornton-Wells TA, Trojanowski JQ, Troncoso JC, Van Deerlin VM, Van Eldik LJ, Vinters HV, Vonsattel JP, Weintraub S, Welsh-Bohmer KA, Wilhelmsen KC, Williamson J, Wingo TS, Woltjer RL, Wright CB, Yu C-E, Yu L, Garzia F, Golamaully F, Septier G, Engelborghs S, Vandenberghe R, De Deyn PP, Fernadez CM, Benito YA, Thonberg H, Forsell C, Lilius L, Kinhult-Stahlbom A, Kilander L, Brundin R, Concari L, Helisalmi S, Koivisto AM, Haapasalo A, Dermecourt V, Fiévet N, Hanon O, Dufouil C, Brice A, Ritchie K, Dubois B, Himali JJ, Keene CD, Tschanz J, Fitzpatrick AL, Kukull WA, Norton M, Aspelund T, Larson EB, Munger R, Rotter JI, LIPTON RB, Bullido MJ, Hofman A, Montine TJ, Coto E, Boerwinkle E, Petersen RC, Alvarez V, Rivadeneira F, Reiman EM, Gallo M, O'Donnell CJ, Reisch JS, Bruni AC, Royall DR, Dichgans M, Sano M, Galimberti D, St George-Hyslop P, Scarpini E, Tsuang DW, Mancuso M, Bonuccelli U, Winslow AR, Daniele A, Wu C-K, Peters O, Nacmias B, Riemenschneider M, Heun R, Brayne C, Rubinsztein DC, Bras J, Guerreiro R, Al-Chalabi A, Shaw CE, Collinge J, Mann D, Tsolaki M, Clarimon J, Sussams R, Lovestone S, O'Donovan MC, Owen MJ, Behrens TW, Mead S, Goate AM, Uitterlinden AG, Holmes C, Cruchaga C, Ingelsson M, Bennett DA, Powell J, Golde TE, Graff C, De Jager PL, Morgan K, Ertekin-Taner N, Combarros O, Psaty BM, Passmore P, Younkin SG, Berr C, Gudnason V, Rujescu D, Dickson DW, Dartigues J-F, DeStefano AL, Ortega-Cubero S, Hakonarson H, Campion D, Boada

M, Kauwe JK, Farrer LA, Van Broeckhoven C, Ikram MA, Jones L, Haines JL, Tzourio C, Launer LJ, Escott-Price V, Mayeux R, Deleuze J-F, Amin N, Holmans PA, Pericak-Vance MA, Amouyel P, van Duijn CM, Ramirez A, Wang L-S, Lambert J-C, Seshadri S, Williams J, Schellenberg GD (2017) Rare coding variants in PLCG2, ABI3, and TREM2 implicate microglial-mediated innate immunity in Alzheimer's disease. *Nat Genet* **49**, 1373-1384.

[32] Small BJ, Rosnick CB, Fratiglioni L, Bäckman L (2004) Apolipoprotein E and cognitive performance: A meta-analysis. *Psychol Aging* **19**, 592-600.

[33] Wisdom NM, Callahan JL, Hawkins KA (2011) The effects of apolipoprotein E on non-impaired cognitive functioning: A meta-analysis. *Neurobiol Aging* **32**, 63-74.

[34] Andrews S, McFall GP (2017) Association of non-APOE Alzheimer's genetic risk loci with cognitive aging: A systematic review. PROSPERO, CRD42017075685.

[35] Cochrane (2016) *Covidence*.

[36] Lezak MD, Howieson DB, Loring DW, Fischer JS (2004) Neuropsychological assessment (4th ed). Oxford University Press, New York.

[37] Sohani ZN, Meyre D, de Souza RJ, Joseph PG, Gandhi M, Dennis BB, Norman G, Anand SS (2015) Assessing the quality of published genetic association studies in meta-analyses: The quality of genetic studies (Q-Genie) tool. *BMC Genet* **16**, 50.

[38] Savage JE, Jansen PR, Stringer S, Watanabe K, Bryois J, de Leeuw CA, Nagel M, Awasthi S, Barr PB, Coleman JRI, Grasby KL, Hammerschlag AR, Kaminski JA, Karlsson R, Krapohl E, Lam M, Nygaard M, Reynolds CA, Trampush JW, Young H, Zabaneh D, Hagg S, Hansell NK, Karlsson IK, Linnarsson S, Montgomery GW, Munoz-Manchado AB, Quinlan EB, Schumann G, Skene NG, Webb BT, White T, Arking DE, Avramopoulos D, Bilder RM, Bitsios P, Burdick KE, Cannon TD, Chiba-Falek O, Christoforou A, Cirulli ET, Congdon E, Corvin A, Davies G, Deary IJ, DeRosse P, Dickinson D, Djurovic S, Donohoe G, Conley ED, Eriksson JG, Espeseth T, Freimer NA, Giakoumaki S, Giegling I, Gill M, Glahn DC, Hariri AR, Hatzimanolis A, Keller MC, Knowles E, Koltai D, Konte B, Lahti J, Le Hellard S, Lencz T, Liewald DC, London E, Lundervold AJ, Malhotra AK, Melle I, Morris D, Need AC, Ollier W, Palotie A, Payton A, Pendleton N, Poldrack RA, Raikkonen K, Reinvang I, Roussos P, Rujescu D, Sabb FW, Scult MA, Smeland OB, Smyrnis N, Starr JM, Steen VM, Stefanis NC, Straub RE, Sundet K, Tiemeier H, Voineskos AN, Weinberger DR, Widen E, Yu J, Abecasis G, Andreassen OA, Breen G, Christiansen L, Debrabant B, Dick DM, Heinz A, Hjerling-Leffler J, Ikram MA, Kendler KS, Martin NG, Medland SE, Pedersen NL, Plomin R, Polderman TJC, Ripke S, van der Sluis S, Sullivan PF, Vrieze SI, Wright MJ, Posthuma D (2018) Genome-wide association meta-analysis in 269,867 individuals identifies new genetic and functional links to intelligence. *Nat Genet* **50**, 912-919.

[39] Davies G, Lam M, Harris SE, Trampush JW, Luciano M, Hill WD, Hagenaars SP, Ritchie SJ, Marioni RE, Fawns-Ritchie C, Liewald DCM, Okely JA, Ahola-Olli AV, Barnes CLK, Bertram L, Bis JC, Burdick KE, Christoforou A, DeRosse P, Djurovic S, Espeseth T, Giakoumaki S, Giddaluru S, Gustavson DE, Hayward C, Hofer E, Ikram MA, Karlsson R, Knowles E, Lahti J, Leber M, Li S, Mather KA, Melle I, Morris D, Oldmeadow C, Palviainen T, Payton A, Pazoki R, Petrovic K, Reynolds CA, Sargurupremraj M, Scholz M, Smith JA, Smith AV, Terzikhan N, Thalamuthu A, Trompet S, van der Lee SJ, Ware EB, Windham BG, Wright MJ, Yang J, Yu J, Ames D, Amin N, Amouyel P, Andreassen OA, Armstrong NJ, Assareh AA, Attia JR, Attix D, Avramopoulos D, Bennett DA, Bohmer AC, Boyle PA, Brodaty H, Campbell H, Cannon TD, Cirulli ET, Congdon E, Conley ED, Corley J, Cox SR, Dale AM, Dehghan A, Dick D, Dickinson D, Eriksson JG, Evangelou E, Faul JD, Ford I, Freimer NA, Gao H, Giegling I, Gillespie NA, Gordon SD, Gottesman RF, Griswold ME, Gudnason V, Harris TB, Hartmann AM, Hatzimanolis A, Heiss G, Holliday EG, Joshi PK, Kahonen M, Kardia SLR, Karlsson I, Kleineidam L, Knopman DS, Kochan NA, Konte B, Kwok JB, Le Hellard S, Lee T, Lehtimaki T, Li S-C, Liu T, Koini M, London E, Longstreth WTJ, López OL, Loukola A, Luck T, Lundervold AJ, Lundquist A, Lyytikainen L-P, Martin NG, Montgomery GW, Murray AD, Need AC, Noordam R, Nyberg L, Ollier W, Papenberg G, Pattie A, Polasek O, Poldrack RA, Psaty BM, Reppermund S, Riedel-Heller SG, Rose RJ, Rotter JI, Roussos P, Rovio SP, Saba Y, Sabb FW, Sachdev PS, Satizabal CL, Schmid M, Scott RJ, Scult MA, Simino J, Slagboom PE, Smyrnis N, Soumare A, Stefanis NC, Stott DJ, Straub RE, Sundet K, Taylor AM, Taylor KD, Tzoulaki I, Tzourio C, Uitterlinden A, Vitart V, Voineskos AN, Kaprio J, Wagner M, Wagner H, Weinhold L, Wen KH, Widen E, Yang Q, Zhao W, Adams HHH, Arking DE, Bilder RM, Bitsios P, Boerwinkle E, Chiba-Falek O, Corvin A, De Jager PL, Debette S, Donohoe G, Elliott P, Fitzpatrick AL, Gill M, Glahn DC, Hagg S, Hansell NK, Hariri AR, Ikram MK, Jukema JW, Vuoksimaa E, Keller MC, Kremen WS, Launer L, Lindenberger U, Palotie A, Pedersen NL, Pendleton N, Porteous DJ, Raikkonen K, Raitakari OT, Ramirez A, Reinvang I, Rudan I, Rujescu D, Schmidt R, Schmidt H, Schofield PW, Schofield PR, Starr JM, Steen VM, Trollor JN, Turner ST, van Duijn CM, Villringer A, Weinberger DR, Weir DR, Wilson JF, Malhotra A, McIntosh AM, Gale CR, Seshadri S, Mosley THJ, Bressler J, Lencz T, Deary IJ (2018) Study of 300,486 individuals identifies 148 independent genetic loci influencing general cognitive function. *Nat Commun* **9**, 2098.

[40] Hill WD, Marioni RE, Maghzian O, Ritchie SJ, Hagenaars SP, McIntosh AM, Gale CR, Davies G, Deary IJ (2018) A combined analysis of genetically correlated traits identifies 187 loci and a role for neurogenesis and myelination in intelligence. *Mol Psychiatry* **15**, 201.

[41] Andrews SJ, Das D, Anstey KJ, Easteal S (2017) Late onset Alzheimer's disease risk variants in cognitive decline: The PATH Through Life Study. *J Alzheimers Dis* **57**, 423-436.

[42] Carrasquillo MM, Crook JE, Pedraza O, Thomas CS, Pankratz VS, Allen M, Nguyen T, Malphrus KG, Ma L, Bisceglio GD, Roberts RO, Lucas JA, Smith GE, Ivnik RJ, Machulda MM, Graff-Radford NR, Petersen RC, Younkin SG, Ertekin-Taner N (2015) Late-onset Alzheimer's risk variants in memory decline, incident mild cognitive impairment, and Alzheimer's disease. *Neurobiol Aging* **36**, 60-67.

[43] Engelman CD, Koscik RL, Jonaitis EM, Okonkwo OC, Hermann BP, La Rue A, Sager MA (2013) Interaction between two cholesterol metabolism genes influences memory: Findings from the Wisconsin Registry for Alzheimer's Prevention. *J Alzheimers Dis* **36**, 749-757.

[44] Bressler J, Mosley TH, Penman A, Gottesman RF, Windham BG, Knopman DS, Wruck LM, Boerwinkle E (2017) Genetic variants associated with risk of Alzheimer's disease contribute to cognitive change in midlife: The Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities Study. *Am J Med Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet* **174**, 269-282

[45] Nettiksimmons J, Tranah G, Evans DS, Yokoyama JS, Yaffe K (2016) Gene-based aggregate SNP associations between candidate AD genes and cognitive decline. *AGE* **38**, 41.

[46] Vivot A, Glymour MM, Tzourio C, Amouyel P, Chene G, Dufouil C (2015) Association of Alzheimer's related genotypes with cognitive decline in multiple domains: Results from the Three-City Dijon study. *Mol Psychiatry* **20**, 1173-1178.

[47] Hamilton G, Harris SE, Davies G, Liewald DC, Tenesa A, Starr JM, Porteous D, Deary IJ (2011) Alzheimer's disease genes are associated with measures of cognitive ageing in the lothian birth cohorts of 1921 and 1936. *Int J Alzheimers Dis* **2011**, 505984.

[48] Verhaaren BFJ, Vernooij MW, Koudstaal PJ, Uitterlinden AG, van Duijn CM, Hofman A, Breteler MMB, Ikram MA (2013) Alzheimer's disease genes and cognition in the nondemented general population. *Biol Psychiatry* **73**, 429-434.

[49] Zhang C, Pierce BL (2014) Genetic susceptibility to accelerated cognitive decline in the US Health and Retirement Study. *Neurobiol Aging* **35**, 1512.e11-8.

[50] Hagenaars SP, Cox SR, Hill WD, Davies G, Liewald DCM, CHARGE consortium Cognitive Working Group, Harris SE, McIntosh AM, Gale CR, Deary IJ (2017) Genetic contributions to Trail Making Test performance in UK Biobank. *Mol Psychiatry* **23**, 1575-1583.

[51] Thambisetty M, Beason-Held LL, An Y, Kraut M, Nalls M, Hernandez DG, Singleton AB, Zonderman AB, Ferrucci L, Lovestone S, Resnick SM (2013) Alzheimer risk variant CLU and brain function during aging. *Biol Psychiatry* **73**, 399-405.

[52] Pedraza O, Allen M, Jennette K, Carrasquillo M, Crook J, Serie D, Pankratz VS, Palusak R, Nguyen T, Malphrus K, Ma L, Bisceglio G, Roberts RO, Lucas JA, Ivnik RJ, Smith GE, Graff-Radford NR, Petersen RC, Younkin SG, Ertekin-Taner N (2014) Evaluation of memory endophenotypes for association with CLU, CR1, and PICALM variants in black and white subjects. *Alzheimers Dement* **10**, 205-213.

[53] Sweet RA, Seltman H, Emanuel JE, López OL, Becker JT, Bis JC, Weamer EA, DeMichele-Sweet MAA, Kuller LH (2012) Effect of Alzheimer's disease risk genes on trajectories of cognitive function in the Cardiovascular Health Study. *Am J Psychiatry* **169**, 954-962.

[54] Mengel-From J, Christensen K, McGue M, Christiansen L (2011) Genetic variations in the CLU and PICALM genes are associated with cognitive function in the oldest old. *Neurobiol Aging* **32**, 554.e7-11.

[55] Mengel-From J, Thinggaard M, Lindahl-Jacobsen R, McGue M, Christensen K, Christiansen L (2013) CLU genetic variants and cognitive decline among elderly and oldest old. *PLoS One* **8**, e79105.

[56] Debette S, Ibrahim-Verbaas CA, Bressler J, Schuur M, Smith A, Bis JC, Davies G, Wolf C, Gudnason V, Chibnik LB, Yang Q, DeStefano AL, de Quervain DJF, Srikanth V, Lahti J, Grabe HJ, Smith JA, Priebe L, Yu L, Karbalai N, Hayward C, Wilson JF, Campbell H, Petrovic K, Fornage M, Chauhan G, Yeo R, Boxall R, Becker J, Stegle O, Mather KA, Chouraki V, Sun Q, Rose LM, Resnick S, Oldmeadow C, Kirin M, Wright AF, Jonsdottir MK, Au R, Becker A, Amin N, Nalls MA, Turner ST, Kardia SLR, Oostra B, Windham G, Coker LH, Zhao W, Knopman DS, Heiss G, Griswold ME, Gottesman RF, Vitart V, Hastie ND, Zgaga L, Rudan I, Polasek O, Holliday EG, Schofield P, Choi S-H, Tanaka T, An Y, Perry RT, Kennedy RE, Sale MM, Wang J, Wadley VG, Liewald DC, Ridker PM, Gow AJ, Pattie A, Starr JM, Porteous D, Liu X, Thomson R, Armstrong NJ, Eiriksdottir G, Assareh AA, Kochan NA, Widen E, Palotie A, Hsieh Y-C, Eriksson JG, Vogler C, van Swieten JC, Shulman JM, Beiser A, Rotter J, Schmidt CO, Hoffmann W, Nothen MM, Ferrucci L, Attia J, Uitterlinden AG, Amouyel P, Dartigues J-F, Amieva H, Raikkonen K, Garcia M, Wolf PA, Hofman A, Longstreth WTJ, Psaty BM, Boerwinkle E, DeJager PL, Sachdev PS, Schmidt R, Breteler MMB, Teumer A, López OL, Cichon S, Chasman DI, Grodstein F, Muller-Myhsok B, Tzourio C, Papassotiropoulos A, Bennett DA, Ikram MA, Deary IJ, van Duijn CM, Launer L, Fitzpatrick AL, Seshadri S, Mosley THJ (2015) Genome-wide studies of verbal declarative memory in nondemented older people: The Cohorts for Heart and Aging Research in Genomic Epidemiology consortium. *Biol Psychiatry* **77**, 749-763.

[57] Chibnik LB, Shulman JM, Leurgans SE, Schneider JA, Wilson RS, Tran D, Aubin C, Buchman AS, Heward CB, Myers AJ, Hardy JA, Huentelman MJ, Corneveaux JJ, Reiman EM, Evans DA, Bennett DA, De Jager PL (2011) CR1 is associated with amyloid plaque burden and age-related cognitive decline. *Ann Neurol* **69**, 560-569.

[58] Davies G, Marioni RE, Liewald DC, Hill WD, Hagenaars SP, Harris SE, Ritchie SJ, Luciano M, Fawns-Ritchie C, Lyall D, Cullen B, Cox SR, Hayward C, Porteous DJ, Evans J, McIntosh AM, Gallacher J, Craddock N, Pell JP, Smith DJ, Gale CR, Deary IJ (2016) Genome-wide association study of cognitive functions and educational attainment in UK Biobank (*N*=112 151). *Mol Psychiatry* **21**, 758-767.

[59] Raj T, Chibnik LB, McCabe C, Wong A, Replogle JM, Yu L, Gao S, Unverzagt FW, Stranger B, Murrell J, Barnes L, Hendrie HC, Foroud T, Krichevsky A, Bennett DA, Hall KS, Evans DA, De Jager PL (2016) Genetic architecture of age-related cognitive decline in African Americans. *Neurol Genet* **3**, e125.

[60] Sniekers S, Stringer S, Watanabe K, Jansen PR, Coleman JRI, Krapohl E, Taskesen E, Hammerschlag AR, Okbay A, Zabaneh D, Amin N, Breen G, Cesarini D, Chabris CF, Iacono WG, Ikram MA, Johannesson M, Koellinger P, Lee JJ, Magnusson PKE, McGue M, Miller MB, Ollier WER, Payton A, Pendleton N, Plomin R, Rietveld CA, Tiemeier H, van Duijn CM, Posthuma D (2017) Genome-wide association meta-analysis of 78,308 individuals identifies new loci and genes influencing human intelligence. *Nat Genet* **49**, 1107-1112.

[61] Davies G, Armstrong N, Bis JC, Bressler J, Chouraki V, Giddaluru S, Hofer E, Ibrahim-Verbaas CA, Kirin M, Lahti J, van der Lee SJ, Le Hellard S, Liu T, Marioni RE, Oldmeadow C, Postmus I, Smith AV, Smith JA, Thalamuthu A, Thomson R, Vitart V, Wang J, Yu L, Zgaga L, Zhao W, Boxall R, Harris SE, Hill WD, Liewald DC, Luciano M, Adams H, Ames D, Amin N, Amouyel P, Assareh AA, Au R, Becker JT, Beiser A, Berr C, Bertram L, Boerwinkle E, Buckley BM, Campbell H, Corley J, De Jager PL, Dufouil C, Eriksson JG, Espeseth T, Faul JD, Ford I, Scotland G, Gottesman RF, Griswold ME, Gudnason V, Harris TB, Heiss G, Hofman A, Holliday EG, Huffman J, Kardia SLR, Kochan N, Knopman DS, Kwok JB, Lambert JC, Lee T, Li G, Li S-C, Loitfelder M, Lopez OL, Lundervold AJ, Lundqvist A, Mather KA, Mirza SS, Nyberg L, Oostra BA, Palotie A, Papenberg G, Pattie A, Petrovic K, Polasek O, Psaty BM, Redmond P, Reppermund S, Rotter JI, Schmidt H, Schuur M, Schofield PW, Scott RJ, Steen VM, Stott DJ, van Swieten JC, Taylor KD, Trollor J, Trompet S, Uitterlinden AG, Weinstein G, Widen E, Windham BG, Jukema JW, Wright AF, Wright MJ, Yang Q, Amieva H, Attia JR,

Bennett DA, Brodaty H, de Craen AJM, Hayward C, Ikram MA, Lindenberger U, Nilsson L-G, Porteous DJ, Raikkonen K, Reinvang I, Rudan I, Sachdev PS, Schmidt R, Schofield PR, Srikanth V, Starr JM, Turner ST, Weir DR, Wilson JF, van Duijn C, Launer L, Fitzpatrick AL, Seshadri S, Mosley THJ, Deary IJ (2015) Genetic contributions to variation in general cognitive function: A meta-analysis of genome-wide association studies in the CHARGE consortium (*N*=53 949). *Mol Psychiatry* **20**, 183-192.

[62] Houlihan LM, Harris SE, Luciano M, Gow AJ, Starr JM, Visscher PM, Deary IJ (2009) Replication study of candidate genes for cognitive abilities: The Lothian Birth Cohort 1936. *Genes Brain Behav* **8**, 238-247.

[63] Liang Y, Li H, Lv C, Shu N, Chen K, Li X, Zhang J, Hu L, Zhang Z (2015) Sex moderates the effects of the Sorl1 gene rs2070045 polymorphism on cognitive impairment and disruption of the cingulum integrity in healthy elderly. *Neuropsychopharmacology* **40**, 2487-2487.

[64] Li H, Lv CL, Yang CS, Wei DF, Chen KW, Li SW, Zhang ZJ (2017) SORL1 rs1699102 polymorphism modulates age-related cognitive decline and gray matter volume reduction in non-demented individuals. *Eur J Neurol* **24**, 187-194.

[65] Liu F, Ikram MA, Janssens ACJW, Schuur M, de Koning I, Isaacs A, Struchalin M, Uitterlinden AG, Dunnen den JT, Sleegers K, Bettens K, Van Broeckhoven C, van Swieten J, Hofman A, Oostra BA, Aulchenko YS, Breteler MMB, van Duijn CM (2009) A study of the SORL1 gene in Alzheimer's disease and cognitive function. *J Alzheimers Dis* **18**, 51-64.

[66] Reynolds CA, Zavala C, Gatz M, Vie L, Johansson B, Malmberg B, Ingelsson E, Prince JA, Pedersen NL (2013) Sortilin receptor 1 predicts longitudinal cognitive change. *Neurobiol Aging* **34**, 1710.e11-1710.e18.

[67] Liu Y, Yu J-T, Wang H-F, Hao X-K, Yang Y-F, Jiang T, Zhu X-C, Cao L, Zhang D-Q, Tan L (2014) Association between NME8 locus polymorphism and cognitive decline, cerebrospinal fluid and neuroimaging biomarkers in Alzheimer's disease. *PLoS One* **9**, e114777.

[68] Darst BF, Koscik RL, Racine AM, Oh JM, Krause RA, Carlsson CM, Zetterberg H, Blennow K, Christian BT, Bendlin BB, Okonkwo OC, Hogan KJ, Hermann BP, Sager MA, Asthana S, Johnson SC, Engelman CD (2017) Pathway-specific polygenic risk scores as predictors of amyloid-β deposition and cognitive function in a sample at increased risk for Alzheimer's disease. *J Alzheimers Dis* **55**, 473-484.

[69] Christoforou A, Espeseth T, Davies G, Fernandes CPD, Giddaluru S, Mattheisen M, Tenesa A, Harris SE, Liewald DC, Payton A, Ollier W, Horan M, Pendleton N, Haggarty P, Djurovic S, Herms S, Hoffman P, Cichon S, Starr JM, Lundervold A, Reinvang I, Steen VM, Deary IJ, Le Hellard S (2014) GWAS-based pathway analysis differentiates between fluid and crystallized intelligence. *Genes Brain Behav* **13**, 663-674.

[70] Ferencz B, Jonsson Laukka E, Welmer A-K, Kalpouzos G, Angleman S, Keller L, Graff C, Lovden M, Backman L (2014) The benefits of staying active in old age: Physical activity counteracts the negative influence of PICALM, BIN1, and CLU risk alleles on episodic memory functioning. *Psychol Aging* **29**, 440-449.

[71] Marden JR, Mayeda ER, Walter S, Vivot A, Tchetgen Tchetgen EJ, Kawachi I, Glymour MM (2016) Using an Alzheimer disease polygenic risk score to predict memory decline in black and white americans over 14 years of follow-up. *Alzheimer Dis Assoc Disord* **30**, 195-202.

[72] Mormino EC, Sperling RA, Holmes AJ, Buckner RL, De Jager PL, Smoller JW, Sabuncu MR, Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (2016) Polygenic risk of Alzheimer disease is associated with early- and late-life processes. *Neurology* **87**, 481-488.

[73] Liebers DT, Pirooznia M, Seiffudin F, Musliner KL, Zandi PP, Goes FS (2016) Polygenic risk of schizophrenia and cognition in a population-based survey of older adults. *Schizophr Bull* **42**, 984-991.

[74] Marioni RE, Campbell A, Hagenaars SP, Nagy R, Amador C, Hayward C, Porteous DJ, Visscher PM, Deary IJ (2017) Genetic stratification to identify risk groups for Alzheimer's disease. *J Alzheimers Dis* **57**, 275-283.

[75] Ge T, Sabuncu MR, Smoller JW, Sperling RA, Mormino EC, for the Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (2018) Dissociable influences of APOEε4 and polygenic risk of AD dementia on amyloid and cognition. *Neurology* **90**, e1605-e1612.

[76] Dudbridge F (2013) Power and predictive accuracy of polygenic risk scores. *PLoS Genet* **9**, e1003348.

[77] Payton A (2009) The impact of genetic research on our understanding of normal cognitive ageing: 1995 to 2009. *Neuropsychol Rev* **19**, 451-477.

[78] De Jager PL, Shulman JM, Chibnik LB, Keenan BT, Raj T, Wilson RS, Yu L, Leurgans SE, Tran D, Aubin C, Anderson CD, Biffi A, Corneveaux JJ, Huentelman MJ, Rosand J, Daly MJ, Myers AJ, Reiman EM, Bennett DA, Evans DA (2012) A genome-wide scan for common variants affecting the rate of age-related cognitive decline. *Neurobiol Aging* **33**, 1017.e1-1017.e15.

[79] Lyall DM, Cullen B, Allerhand M, Smith DJ, Mackay D, Evans J, Anderson J, Fawns-Ritchie C, McIntosh AM, Deary IJ, Pell JP (2016) Cognitive test scores in UK Biobank: Data reduction in 480,416 participants and longitudinal stability in 20,346 participants. *PLoS One* **11**, e0154222.

[80] Deary IJ, Penke L, Johnson W (2010) The neuroscience of human intelligence differences. *Nat Rev Neurosci* **11**, 201-211.

[81] Tombaugh TN, McIntyre NJ (1992) The mini-mental state examination: A comprehensive review. *J Am Geriatr Soc* **40**, 922-935.

[82] Hofer SM, Christensen H, Mackinnon AJ, Korten AE, Jorm AF, Henderson AS, Easteal S (2002) Change in cognitive functioning associated with apoE genotype in a community sample of older adults. *Psychol Aging* **17**, 194-208.

[83] Gross AL, Power MC, Albert MS, Deal JA, Gottesman RF, Griswold M, Wruck LM, Mosley TH, Coresh J, Sharrett AR, Bandeen-Roche K (2015) Application of latent variable methods to the study of cognitive decline when tests change over time. *Epidemiology* **26**, 878-887.

[84] McCoach DB, Black AC, O'Connell AA (2007) Errors of inference in structural equation modeling. *Psychol Sch* **44**, 461-470.

[85] Knight RG, Tsui HSL, Abraham WC, Skeaff CM, McMahon JA, Cutfield NJ (2014) Lack of effect of the apolipoprotein E epsilon4 genotype on cognition during healthy aging. *J Clin Exp Neuropsychol* **36**, 742-750.

[86] Lim YY, Laws SM, Villemagne VL, Pietrzak RH, Porter T, Ames D, Fowler C, Rainey-Smith S, Snyder PJ, Martins RN, Salvado O, Bourgeat P, Rowe CC, Masters CL, Maruff P (2016) Aβ-related memory decline in APOE ε4 noncarriers: Implications for Alzheimer disease. *Neurology* **86**, 1635-1642.

[87] Liao Y-C, Lee W-J, Hwang J-P, Wang Y-F, Tsai C-F, Wang P-N, Wang S-J, Fuh J-L (2014) ABCA7 gene and the risk of Alzheimer's disease in Han Chinese in Taiwan. *Neurobiol Aging* **35**, 2423.e7-2423.e13.

[88] Gui H, Jiang CQ, Cherny SS, Sham PC, Xu L, Liu B, Jin YL, Zhu T, Zhang WS, Thomas GN, Cheng KK, Lam TH (2014) Influence of Alzheimer's disease genes on cognitive decline: The Guangzhou Biobank Cohort Study. *Neurobiol Aging* **35**, 2422.e3-8.

[89] Davies G, Harris SE, Reynolds CA, Payton A, Knight HM, Liewald DC, Lopez LM, Luciano M, Gow AJ, Corley J, Henderson R, Murray C, Pattie A, Fox HC, Redmond P, Lutz MW, Chiba-Falek O, Linnertz C, Saith S, Haggarty P, McNeill G, Ke X, Ollier W, Horan M, Roses AD, Ponting CP, Porteous DJ, Tenesa A, Pickles A, Starr JM, Whalley LJ, Pedersen NL, Pendleton N, Visscher PM, Deary IJ (2014) A genome-wide association study implicates the APOE locus in nonpathological cognitive ageing. *Mol Psychiatry* **19**, 76-87.

[90] Barral S, Bird T, Goate A, Farlow MR, Diaz-Arrastia R, Bennett DA, Graff-Radford N, Boeve BF, Sweet RA, Stern Y, Wilson RS, Foroud T, Ott J, Mayeux R (2012) Genotype patterns at PICALM, CR1, BIN1, CLU, and APOE genes are associated with episodic memory. *Neurology* **78**, 1464-1471.

[91] Shulman JM, Chibnik LB, Aubin C, Schneider JA, Bennett DA, De Jager PL (2010) Intermediate phenotypes identify divergent pathways to Alzheimer's disease. *PLoS One* **5**, e11244.

[92] McFall GP, Sapkota S, McDermott KL, Dixon RA (2016) Risk-reducing Apolipoprotein E and Clusterin genotypes protect against the consequences of poor vascular health on executive function performance and change in nondemented older adults. *Neurobiol Aging* **42**, 91-100.

[93] Qiu L, He Y, Tang H, Zhou Y, Wang J, Zhang W, Chen G, Zhao F, Ouyang T, Ju B, Li Z, Wang L, Zou L, Gong Q (2016) Genetically-mediated grey and white matter alteration in normal elderly individuals with the CLU-C allele gene. *Curr Alzheimer Res* **13**, 1302-1310.

[94] Keenan BT, Shulman JM, Chibnik LB, Raj T, Tran D, Sabuncu MR, Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative, Allen AN, Corneveaux JJ, Hardy JA, Huentelman MJ, Lemere CA, Myers AJ, Nicholson-Weller A, Reiman EM, Evans DA, Bennett DA, De Jager PL (2012) A coding variant in CR1 interacts with APOE-ε4 to influence cognitive decline. *Hum Mol Gen* **21**, 2377-2388.

[95] Hagenaars SP, Harris SE, Davies G, Hill WD, Liewald DCM, Ritchie SJ, Marioni RE, Fawns-Ritchie C, Cullen B, Malik R; METASTROKE Consortium, International Consortium for Blood Pressure GWAS; SpiroMeta Consortium; CHARGE Consortium Pulmonary Group, CHARGE Consortium Aging and Longevity Group, Worrall BB, Sudlow CLM, Wardlaw JM, Gallacher J, Pell J, McIntosh AM, Smith DJ, Gale CR, Deary IJ (2016) Shared genetic aetiology between cognitive functions and physical and mental health in UK Biobank (*N*=112 151) and 24 GWAS consortia. *Mol Psychiatry* **21**, 1624-1632.

[96] Harris SE, Davies G, Luciano M, Payton A, Fox HC, Haggarty P, Ollier W, Horan M, Porteous DJ, Starr JM, Whalley LJ, Pendleton N, Deary IJ (2014) Polygenic risk for Alzheimer's disease is not associated with cognitive ability or cognitive aging in non-demented older people. *J Alzheimers Dis* **39**, 565-574.

[97] Liang Y, Li H, Lv C, Shu N, Chen K, Li X, Zhang J, Hu L, Zhang Z (2015) Sex moderates the effects of the Sorl1 gene rs2070045 polymorphism on cognitive impairment and disruption of the cingulum integrity in healthy elderly. *Neuropsychopharmacology* **40**, 1519-1527.