

This is a repository copy of Morphological population balance modelling of the effect of crystallisation environment on the evolution of crystal size and shape of para-aminobenzoic acid.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/146316/

Version: Accepted Version

# Article:

Ma, CY orcid.org/0000-0002-4576-7411 and Roberts, KJ orcid.org/0000-0002-1070-7435 (2019) Morphological population balance modelling of the effect of crystallisation environment on the evolution of crystal size and shape of para-aminobenzoic acid. Computers & Chemical Engineering, 126. pp. 356-370. ISSN 0098-1354

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compchemeng.2019.04.019

© 2019 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. This manuscript version is made available under the CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/.

## Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND) licence. This licence only allows you to download this work and share it with others as long as you credit the authors, but you can't change the article in any way or use it commercially. More information and the full terms of the licence here: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/

## Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/

2	Morphological Population Balance Modelling of the Effect of
3	Crystallisation Environment on the Evolution of Crystal Size and
4	Shape of Para-aminobenzoic Acid
5	•
3	
6	
7	C. Y. Ma and K. J. Roberts
8	Centre for the Digital Design of Drug Products, School of Chemical and Process Engineering,
9	University of Leeds, Leeds, LS2 9JT, United Kingdom
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	Corresponding author.
20	Dr Cai Yun Ma
22	School of Chemical and Process Engineering
23	University of Leeds
24	Leeds LS2 9JT
25	UK
26	Tel +44 113 343 7809
27	Email <u>c.y.ma@leeds.ac.uk</u>
28	

# 29 Abstract

30 A current morphological population balance (MPB) modelling methodology, which integrates crystal morphology, facet growth kinetics with multi-dimensional population balance, is overviewed and 31 32 demonstrated, hence providing an attractive approach for modelling crystallisation processes. MPB 33 modelling is applied to simulate the batch crystallisation of the alpha-form of para-aminobenzoic acid 34 from ethanolic solutions as a function of the crystallisation environment including cooling rate, 35 seeding temperature and seed conditions (loading, size and shape). The evolution of crystal shape/size 36 and their distributions revealed that higher loading led to smaller and less needle-like crystals with 37 similar yields, hence potentially being an important parameter for process control. Examination of 38 the development of the fracture surface for broken seeds, mimicking the seed conditions after milling 39 in practice in the simulated processes, demonstrated that these faces grew fast and then rapidly 40 disappeared from the external crystal morphology. Restriction and challenges inherent in the current 41 model are also highlighted.

42

43 Keywords: Morphological Population Balance, Crystal Shape Distribution, Crystal Size
44 Distribution, Crystallisation, Para-aminobenzoic Acid, Crystallisation Environment

## 46 **1. Introduction**

47 Recent reviews (Bell, 2017, Maier, 2017) have highlighted that the development of new technologies 48 has the potential to deliver a step-change in the way we make medicines through the adoption of state-49 of-the-art simulation-based tools. Through this, more 'near patient' medicines (combination 50 medicines, wider range of dosage forms, stratified formulation) can be delivered through the much 51 greater agility provided by digital design and automation. Digital design potentially provides the route 52 to the preparation of the solution re-crystallised pharmaceuticals which have e.g. low structural 53 variability, high purity and narrow size/shape distribution with concomitantly enhanced product 54 properties. Such materials could have significant patient benefits such as narrow therapeutic profiles, 55 higher stability and longer shelf life, greater content uniformity, etc. and as such represent a critical objective for the delivery of medicines with pre-defined properties for industry and society. Active 56 57 pharmaceutical ingredient (API) and excipients used in their formulation often have well-defined 58 crystal morphologies and hence surface chemistry, and thus their physical properties can be defined 59 and manipulated through modelling, optimisation and control of crystallisation processes. Such 60 surface properties can provide the key parameters for delivering both drug product quality (such as high purity and lack of variability) and performance (such as bioavailability and stability), and also 61 62 ensuring the same particles encompassed within the API are present in the formulated drug product and are also transferred from R&D into manufacturing stage. 63

Most drugs are still manufactured in the traditional way, i.e. through processes designed to deliver 64 65 tasks such as crystallisation for enabling product purity, form and yield. However, each of these discrete steps is not necessarily considering and/or directly linking with their resultant effect of the 66 67 resultant crystal properties on the downstream processes. Therefore, a crystallisation process 68 generally produces crystals with dispersion of size/shape. However, for the growing area of targeted 69 medicines, such variations create challenges as they have mindful of their potentials to affect the drug 70 crystal's dissolution and hence its efficacy, i.e. crystals with different sizes/shapes create variability 71 in the in-vitro dissolution. Currently, to achieve the required size/shape and their distributions for 72 drug formulation, crystal particles are milled to effect the size reduction needed. However, such 73 intensive mechanical processing can impact on the crystal's surface properties through the creation 74 of new high surface energy, fracture surfaces and lattice defects such as dislocations, as well as 75 significantly enhancing surface roughness and hence area. In extreme cases, milling can cause 76 polymorphic form transformation. Similarly, blending/granulation for mixing with binder/excipients 77 to produce granules may need to be broken into smaller size for compaction/tableting processes. In 78 principle, crystals and excipients could be produced with a much tighter specification such that they 79 could be directly compressed and tabletted into the final product without the need for milling and granulation processes, and also avoiding variability due to changes in, and/or damage to, crystal surface properties. In pharmaceutical product development, crystallisation processes are widely used but many ingredients exhibit needle-like, plate-like or rod-like crystal morphologies, which can directly affect their downstream particle processing properties such as filterability, flowability, tabletability. Therefore, digital design of crystallisation processes based on first-principles physical chemical models can become an important bottleneck to breakthrough.

86 Traditional population balance (PB) models use a length (or radius of a volume equivalent sphere) 87 for one-dimensional characteristic size or length and width for two-dimensional characteristic sizes 88 with a shape factor for calculating crystal volume. Assuming the crystal morphology does not change 89 during crystallisation processes, the evolution of crystal shape/size could be represented (e.g., 90 (Lovette et al., 2008, Zhang and Doherty, 2004, Kuvadia and Doherty, 2013)). Morphological PB 91 (MPB) (e.g. (Ma et al., 2008)) was developed to remove the assumption that crystal morphology is 92 invariant during crystallisation, through directly integrating both base crystal morphology and face-93 specific growth kinetics with the PB model, hence capturing the change of crystal shape/size and 94 through this their face {hkl}-specific properties. A more detailed review of previous work is given in 95 Supplementary materials (S1).

In this paper, the MPB method is overviewed and demonstrated through a numerical study of a pharmaceutical compound,  $\alpha$ -pABA, crystallised from ethanolic solution. In this, the face-specific growth mechanisms and rate equations were obtained by fitting the experimental data as obtained from the literature (Toroz et al., 2015). The performance of crystallisation processes is examined using MPB modelling to predict the evolution of crystal shape/size distributions in a seeded batch cooling crystalliser under different cooling rate, seeding and seed conditions including assessing the impact of broken seeds on the properties of the final products.

103

# 104 **2. Morphological Population Balance for Crystallisation Process Design**

## 105 **2.1 MPB Modelling Framework**

The framework for prediction of the distributions of crystals size and shape for crystallisation processes using MPB modelling is schematically shown in Figure 1. For the known crystal shape and size, the centre of the crystal and the corresponding normal distances from individual faces, which can be defined by the Miller Index {hkl} (a notion system in crystallography for crystal planes/faces) such as {h<sub>1</sub>k<sub>1</sub>l<sub>1</sub>}, {h<sub>2</sub>k<sub>2</sub>l<sub>2</sub>} and {h<sub>3</sub>k<sub>3</sub>l<sub>3</sub>} in Figure 1, to the centre can be determined with individual variables such as x<sub>1</sub>, x<sub>2</sub> and x<sub>3</sub> in Figure 1. During crystallisation processes, these variables are under continuous evolution as the processes are controlled by various crystallisation mechanisms including

nucleation, growth, agglomeration, breakage and crystallisation environment. Therefore these variables can be treated as the independent variables for the formulation of MPB equation. As shown in Figure 1, nucleation, face-specific growth kinetics, face-based agglomeration and breakage kernels are the key input parameters for MPB modelling, which can be determined through various modelling and experimental studies. The solution of the MPB equation will generate the evolution of these independent variables, i.e. normal distances, of all crystals, hence their distributions at each crystallisation time. Based on the known crystal morphology, each combination of normal distances  $(x_1, x_2, x_3)$  represents a crystal shape with the distribution providing the number of crystals having this shape. Therefore, after the crystal size/shape analysis, a crystal shape distribution can be formed and examined to see whether the distribution meets the requirements for precision particles. If not (Figure 1), the MPB can optimise and control the crystallisation environment, hence achieving the required crystal shape distribution.



163

**Figure 1.** Schematic of a framework for digital design of crystals with pre-defined size/shape and their distributions using MPB modelling. Note that  $\{h_1k_1l_1\}$ ,  $\{h_2k_2l_2\}$  and  $\{h_3k_3l_3\}$  are the Miller Indices of the crystal and  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$  and  $x_3$  are the corresponding normal distances from the individual faces to the centre of the crystal,  $\Phi$  and t are the number population density function of crystals and crystallisation processing time, respectively.

- 169
- 170

## 171 **2.2 MPB Model Formulation**

172 The general PB model formulation to describe particulate systems with internal and external variables can be found in literature (e.g., (Hulburt and Katz, 1964, Ramkrishna and Mahoney, 2002, Randolph 173 174 and Larson, 1988)). In this study, the MPB methodology identifies and defines the normal distances from faces ( $\{h_1k_1l_1\}, \{h_2k_2l_2\}$  and  $\{h_3k_3l_3\}$ ) to the crystal centre as three independent dimension 175 176 variables  $(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ , respectively, as shown in Figure 1. The PB equation for seeded batch cooling 177 crystallisation processes in a well-mixed batch crystalliser without nucleation, agglomeration and 178 breakage to simplify the case study of MPB for pharmaceutical crystallisation can be written as (e.g., 179 (Ma and Roberts, 2018, Ma et al., 2008, Marchal et al., 1988, Puel et al., 2003)):

$$180 \quad \frac{1}{V_T(t)} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} [\Phi(x_1, x_2, x_3, t) V_T(t)] + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} [\Phi(x_1, x_2, x_3, t) G_1(x_1, t)] + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} [\Phi(x_1, x_2, x_3, t) G_2(x_2, t)] + \\181 \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} [\Phi(x_1, x_2, x_3, t) G_3(x_3, t)] = 0$$
(1)

182 where V<sub>T</sub> is the total volume of solution (or slurry after seeding) in a crystalliser; t is the processing time;  $\Phi$  is the number population density function of crystals; G<sub>i</sub> (i = 1, 3) is the growth rate in the x<sub>i</sub> 183 184 (i = 1, 3) direction. The corresponding initial condition is the size/shape distribution as a function of the three variables (x<sub>1</sub>, x<sub>2</sub>, x<sub>3</sub>) at the time of zero, i.e.,  $\Phi(x_1, x_2, x_3, t)|_{t=0} = 0$ . For a batch cooling 185 crystallisation process, the boundary conditions for Eq. (1) are  $\Phi(x_{1,i}, x_{2,j}, x_{3,k}, t)|_{i=1 \text{ or } N_1} = 0$  (j = 186 1, N<sub>2</sub>; k = 1, N<sub>3</sub>),  $\Phi(x_{1,i}, x_{2,j}, x_{3,k}, t)|_{j=1 \text{ or } N_2} = 0$  (i = 1, N<sub>1</sub>; k = 1, N<sub>3</sub>) and 187  $\Phi(x_{1,i}, x_{2,j}, x_{3,k}, t)|_{k=1 \text{ or } N_3} = 0$  (i = 1, N<sub>1</sub>; j = 1, N<sub>2</sub>), where N<sub>1</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>, N<sub>3</sub> are the total number of 188 classes for the (x1, x2, x3) size domains (see the Supplementary materials (S3) for the definitions of 189 other parameters). It is worth to note that three dimensions  $(x_1, x_2, x_3)$  are not Cartesian coordinates, 190 191 hence they are not perpendicular to each other. Furthermore, depending on the number of independent crystal faces identified, the MPB techniques can generate the MPB equation with the corresponding 192 193 number of dimensions. The growth rates of individual faces such as  $(\{h_1k_1l_1\}, \{h_2k_2l_2\} \text{ and } \{h_3k_3l_3\})$ 194 can be obtained through fitting with measured crystal growth data (see more detail in the Supplementary materials (S2)). The discretisation method can be used to form multi-dimensional 195 196 ordinary differential equations for their solution with a standard solver such as the Runge-Kutta-197 Fehlbergh solver (see further details in the Supplementary materials (S3) and Section 3.2).

198

199

200

## **3. MPB Modelling of α-pABA Crystallised from Ethanolic Solutions**

## 203 **3.1 Materials and Solute-Solvent System**

The organic compound, para aminobenzoic acid, provides an important representative compound for 204 205 fundamental study (Rosbottom et al., 2017, Rosbottom, 2016, Toroz et al., 2015, Rosbottom et al., 2015, Sullivan et al., 2014, Nguyen et al., 2014). The  $\alpha$  polymorphic form,  $\alpha$ -pABA, can be readily 206 crystallised from ethanol solvent in a 0.5L batch crystalliser using a seeded cooling process. The 207 pABA molecular structure shows that it consists of a phenyl ring with a carboxylic acid group and an 208 209 amino group in the para position (Rosbottom et al., 2015). Through crystallographic studies and 210 molecular modelling, the  $\alpha$ -pABA crystal morphology (Figure 2) can be characterised by 8 stable crystal faces (2 {101}, 2 {10-1} and 4 {011} faces) in a monoclinic crystal structure with the space 211 212 group P2<sub>1</sub>/n (Rosbottom et al., 2015). The  $\alpha$ -pABA crystal structure comprises two molecules in the 213 asymmetric unit and eight molecules in the unit cell with cell dimensions: a = 18.55 Å, b = 3.86 Å, c = 18.64 Å and  $\beta$  = 93.56° (a, b, c and  $\beta$  are the unit cell parameters) The intermolecular packing 214 arrangement within the structure is dominated by the formation of two non-equivalent OH…O H-215 216 bonding dimers between neighbouring carboxylic acid groups, and also by  $\pi$  -  $\pi$  stacking interactions 217 created by the head to heat stacking motif of the pABA molecules along the b direction. Overall, the 218  $\alpha$  form of pABA crystal is observed to have a needle-like or lath-like morphology elongated along the b-crystallographic axis which is a typical crystal shape for many pharmaceutical solids. Further 219 220 detail can be found in literature (Rosbottom et al., 2015, Toroz et al., 2015).

Based on the crystal morphology of  $\alpha$ -pABA (Figure 2) and the definition of independent variables for MPB modelling in Section 2, the three variables for MPB simulation of  $\alpha$ -pABA crystallisation from ethanol can be determined as shown in Figure 2.

- 224
- 225
- 226
- 227
- 228
- 229
- 230
- 230
- 231
- 232



Figure 2. The  $\alpha$ -pABA crystal shape (single crystal image from (Rosbottom, 2016) and prediction by VisualHABIT (Clydesdale et al., 1991, Clydesdale et al., 1996, Pickering et al., 2017)) and the definitions of the three independent dimension variables (x<sub>1</sub>, x<sub>2</sub>, x<sub>3</sub>) perpendicular to its three dominant crystal faces {101}, {10-1}, {011} for MPB modelling.

The solubility of  $\alpha$ -pABA in ethanol solvent were obtained from literature (Toroz et al., 2015, Rosbottom et al., 2017) using an isothermal technique. The experiments were carried out at the 1.5 ml scale with 300 rpm micro magnetic-bar stirring using an Avantium Crystal16 unit.

The facet crystal growth rates in the  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$  and  $x_3$  face directions of  $\alpha$ -pABA growing in ethanol were measured by an optical microscopy in a crystal growth cell (Toroz et al., 2015), with more detail to be found in (Toroz et al., 2015, Nguyen et al., 2014).

255

# 256 **3.2 Crystallisation Environment and MPB Solution**

The obtained three dimensional MPB equation, together with available solubility and faceted growth rate equations based on single crystal experimental data from Toroz et al. (Toroz et al., 2015), was solved with the following operating conditions: cooling rate (CR) of  $0.5^{\circ}$ C/min, saturation concentration of 0.222 kg/kg (saturated temperature of 45°C), seeding point of 20.5°C with the corresponding supersaturation, S, (= solute concentration (C) / solubility) of 1.5, seed loading of 0.1% (by mass), and seed mean x<sub>1</sub>, x<sub>2</sub> and x<sub>3</sub> of 22, 37 and 58 µm. Using the above operating conditions as 263 a base case (green coloured in Table 1), further simulations were carried out to investigate the effect of different operating conditions (Table 1) on the crystal size/shape evolution of α-pABA 264 crystallisation, including different CR of  $0.05 - 1.5^{\circ}$ C/min, various seeding temperatures (T<sub>seeds</sub>) of 265  $20.5 - 39.0^{\circ}$ C (corresponding to supersaturation at the seeding points (S<sub>seeds</sub>) of 1.5 - 1.1), different 266 seed loadings (X<sub>seeds</sub>) of 0.1 – 5.0%, initial mean size/shape of seeds (M<sub>seeds</sub> ( $\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2, \bar{x}_3$ ) are the mean 267 sizes of variable (x<sub>1</sub>, x<sub>2</sub>, x<sub>3</sub>) and the corresponding ( $\sigma_{x_1}, \sigma_{x_2}, \sigma_{x_3}$ ) are the standard deviations), and 268 269 other special operating conditions such as broken seeds. All of the simulations were terminated when 270 the supersaturation reached to 1.01, indicating that crystal growth in all face directions became close 271 to zero, hence any further crystallisation process would not vary the size/shape distributions, yield of 272 the final products.

273

Г

Cooling rate (CR)										
CR (°C/min)	Saturation concentration C (kg/kg)	Saturation T (°C)	Seeding point – T <sub>seeds</sub> (°C)	S <sub>seeds</sub> (-)	X <sub>seeds</sub> (% mass)	$M_{\text{seeds}}$ $(\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2, \bar{x}_3)$ $(\mu m)$	Seeds standard deviations - $(\sigma_{x_1}, \sigma_{x_2}, \sigma_{x_3})$ (µm)			
0.05	0.222	45	20.5	1.5	0.1	22, 37, 58	8, 8, 8			
0.5	0.222	45	20.5	1.5	0.1	22, 37, 58	8, 8, 8			
1.0	0.222	45	20.5	1.5	0.1	22, 37, 58	8, 8, 8			
1.5	0.222	45	20.5	1.5	0.1	22, 37, 58	8, 8, 8			
Seeding p	Seeding point (T <sub>seeds</sub> or S <sub>seeds</sub> )									
0.5	0. 222	45	39.0	1.1	0.1	22, 37, 58	8, 8, 8			
0.5	0. 222	45	33.7	1.2	0.1	22, 37, 58	8, 8, 8			
0.5	0. 222	45	28.9	1.3	0.1	22, 37, 58	8, 8, 8			
0.5	0. 222	45	24.7	1.4	0.1	22, 37, 58	8, 8, 8			
0.5	0. 222	0. 222 45 20.5		1.5	0.1	22, 37, 58	8, 8, 8			
Seed load	Seed loading (X <sub>seeds</sub> )									
0.5	0. 222	45	20.5	1.5	0.1	22, 37, 58	8, 8, 8			
0.5	0. 222	45	20.5	1.5	0.5	22, 37, 58	8, 8, 8			
0.5	0. 222	45	20.5	1.5	1.0	22, 37, 58	8, 8, 8			
0.5	0. 222	45	20.5	1.5	2.0	22, 37, 58	8, 8, 8			
0.5	0.5 0.222 45		20.5	1.5	5.0	22, 37, 58	8, 8, 8			
Seed mean shape (M <sub>seeds</sub> )										
0.5	0. 222	45	20.5	1.5	0.1	22, 37, 58	8, 8, 8			
0.5	0. 222	45	20.5	1.5	0.1	22, 27, 40	8, 8, 8			
0.5	0. 222	45	20.5	1.5	0.1	22, 27, 131	8, 8, 8			

## 274 **Table 1.** Operating conditions used for MPB simulations

The typical seeds distributions for perfect and broken crystals are shown in Figure 3. With fixed mean sizes,  $M_{seeds}$ ,  $(\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2, \bar{x}_3)$  of (22, 37, 58µm) and standard deviations( $\sigma_{x_1}, \sigma_{x_2}, \sigma_{x_3}$ ) of (8, 8, 8µm), a Gaussian distribution of seeds crystals,  $\Phi(x_1, x_2, x_3, 0)$ , can be obtained using the pre-defined seeds loading ( $X_{seeds}$ ). With the same amount of seed loading, the case with broken seeds (Figure 3b) has higher number of crystals. In Figure 3b, the bottom horizontal axis, z, is based on the face {011} at the side without breakage, i.e. the right side of the crystals, whilst the top horizontal axis is based on the broken face (010).





**Figure 3.** Typical seeds shape/size distributions: (a) perfect seed crystals, (b) broken seed crystals at the mean normal distances of faces  $\{101\}$  and  $\{10-1\}$ , i.e.  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ . Note that the three values in the brackets in a) represent the normal distances of faces  $\{101\}$ ,  $\{10-1\}$  and  $\{011\}$ , and the first three values in the brackets in b) represent the normal distances of faces  $\{101\}$ ,  $\{10-1\}$  and  $\{011\}$  and  $\{011\}$  with the fourth one for the broken face (010).

309

With the MPB equation and discretisation method described in the Section 2.2 and Supplementary materials (S3), respectively, the  $(x_1, x_2, x_3)$  3D domain of normal distances was discretised into (70, 70, 70) classes over the size ranges of three normal distances. The discretised MPB equations, together with a Gaussian-type initial distribution of seeds size/shape (as shown in Figure 3), and the other operating conditions (as listed in Table 1), were solved using the Runge-Kutta-Fehlbergh 4<sup>th</sup>/5<sup>th</sup>order solver (Shampine and Watts, 1977) with an automatic time-step control to obtain the evolution of normal distances in three face directions.

317

## 318 **4. Results and Discussion**

## 319 **4.1 Solubility and Facet Growth Rates**

The data obtained from literature (Toroz et al., 2015) were analysed to obtain the following solubilityequation:

322 
$$C^* = e^{\left(-\frac{1568}{T} + 2.3333\right)}$$
 (2)

323 where T is the solution temperature ( $^{\circ}$ C).

The experimental data of face-specific experimental data of growth rates of single crystals in a growth cell was collected by Toroz et al. (Toroz et al., 2015). The corresponding growth cell setup can be found in Nguyen et al. (Nguyen et al., 2014, Turner et al., 2019). Based on the experimental data (Toroz et al., 2015) and the face-specific growth kinetics described in the Supplementary materials (S2), the fit of growth rate in the face direction of {011} as a function of supersaturation was found to correspond to an RIG mechanism (r = 1 in Eq. (S.1)) even at low supersaturations. The corresponding facet growth rate of face {011}, G<sub>3</sub>, is as follows:

331 
$$G_3 = G\{011\} = \frac{S - 1.0015}{8.65 \times 10^{-4} + \frac{1}{2.0 \times 10^5 \times (S - 1.0015)^0}}$$
(3)

From Eq. (3), it can be seen that the diffusion related term with a value of  $8.65 \times 10^{-4}$  is over 2 times magnitude larger than that for the surface integration term (5 × 10<sup>-6</sup>). Therefore the rate of crystal

334 growth of face {011} is diffusion limited by diffusion mass transfer (Camacho et al., 2016), i.e. the 335 crystal growth is controlled by how fast the solute molecules in bulk solution diffuses from the bulk solution and across the solid/solution boundary layer for integrating with (growing on) the crystal 336 337 face. From molecular modelling studies (Rosbottom et al., 2015, Toroz et al., 2015), the  $\pi$  -  $\pi$ interactions dominate the growth on the {011} faces and the attachment of pABA molecules via the 338 339  $\pi$  -  $\pi$  stacking motif may lead to a solid-solid integration mechanism at the surface, hence any growth 340 spirals present at this surface. Therefore the diffusion of molecules to the surface controls the growth of face  $\{011\}$ , as also indicated by Eq. (3). 341

342 The fitting of the growth rate in the  $\{10-1\}$  face direction, G<sub>2</sub>, corresponds to a birth and spread (B&S) 343 growth mechanism (Eq. (S.2)) with the following equation:

344 
$$G_2 = G\{10 - 1\} = \frac{S - 1.01}{9.54 \times 10^{-3} + \frac{1}{4.0 \times 10^4 \times (S - 1.01)^{-1/6} \times exp\left(\frac{0.5}{S - 1.01}\right)}}$$
(4)

As both the diffusion related term and surface integration term have similar values (see Eq. (4)), the
diffusion (mass transfer) and surface integration have the similar effect on the crystal growth of face
{10-1}.

348 It was found that the growth of  $\alpha$ -pABA crystals in the {101} face direction was too slow to measure 349 directly and thus the {101} face growth rate, G<sub>1</sub>, was estimated from G<sub>2</sub> based on the ratio of 350 attachment energies between face {101} and face {10-1} (Rosbottom et al., 2015). Hence

$$351 G_1 = G\{101\} = 0.1 * G_2 (5)$$

352

#### 353 **4.2 Base Case**

Figure 4 shows the simulated solution temperature, supersaturation, crystal concentration, mean normal distances ( $x_1$ ,  $x_2$ ,  $x_3$ ) for faces {101}, {10-1}, {011}, and the corresponding facet growth rates at a cooling rate (CR) of 0.5°C/min. The mean normal distance for face {011} increased rapidly with time as the face {011} is the fastest growing face from previous studies (Rosbottom et al., 2015, Toroz et al., 2015), while less growth happened in x direction.



Figure 4. Typical MPB predicted results with  $CR = 0.5^{\circ}C/min$ : (a) solution temperature (T), supersaturation (S), crystal concentration (Cs), (b) evolution of mean normal distances (x<sub>1</sub>, x<sub>2</sub>, x<sub>3</sub>), and (c) facet growth rates (G<sub>1</sub>, G<sub>2</sub>, G<sub>3</sub>) in (x<sub>1</sub>, x<sub>2</sub>, x<sub>3</sub>) face directions.

368

369 Figure 5 presents the typical shape distribution of  $\alpha$ -pABA crystals in the final product with a fixed 370 normal distance,  $x_1$ , of 30.8µm, and other two normal distances ( $x_2$ ,  $x_3$ ) varying from (45 µm, 105 371  $\mu$ m) to (163  $\mu$ m, 262  $\mu$ m). For each normal distances of (30.8, x<sub>2</sub>, x<sub>3</sub>), the corresponding crystals shape can be generated based on the definition in Figure 2(b). Therefore, the crystal shape and the 372 373 number of crystals having this shape were plotted in Figure 5. It demonstrated that the simulated 374 results can provide the accurate and full shape information of the whole population of the crystals. 375 Similarly, the full shape information can be obtained from the simulation results at any individual 376 crystallisation time. Therefore, the evolution map of crystal shape over the whole crystallisation 377 process can be established. Some crystal mean shapes at different processing times are plotted in 378 Figure 6. Due to the fast growth of face  $\{011\}$ , the  $\alpha$ -pABA crystals became increasingly needle-like 379 with time. The aspect ratio,  $X_3/X_2$  (where  $X_2$  and  $X_3$  are the mean values of  $x_2$ ,  $x_3$  at a crystallisation 380 time), increased from 2.2 (seeds) to 5.3, then reduced slightly to 4.8 (final products). This is due to 381 that the faceted growth rates of face {011}, G<sub>3</sub>, and face {10-1}, G<sub>2</sub>, have a cross-over at the 382 supersaturation of 1.18, i.e. with the further decrease of supersaturation from 1.18, G<sub>3</sub> became smaller 383 than G<sub>2</sub>. Similar tread was found for the aspect ratio,  $X_3/X_1$  (where X<sub>1</sub> is the mean value of x<sub>1</sub>), with a 384 much faster increase against time due to that the  $x_1$  grew very slow. Therefore the aspect ratio  $(X_3/X_1)$ 385 increases from 6 to over 30.



Figure 5. Typical shape distribution of  $\alpha$ -pABA crystals with a fixed normal distance, x<sub>1</sub>, of 30.8 µm, and other two normal distances (x<sub>2</sub>, x<sub>3</sub>) varying from (45 µm, 105 µm) to (163 µm, 262 µm). Note that the values in the brackets are the three normal distances for the individual crystal habit faces (x<sub>1</sub>, x<sub>2</sub>, x<sub>3</sub>) in micrometres together with the number under the brackets which gives the number of crystals having this specified shape as defined by the normal distances.



Figure 6. MPB predicted mean shape evolution of α-pABA crystals crystallised from ethanol with CR =  $0.5^{\circ}$ C/min (Aspect ratios:  $\Delta - X_3/X_2$ ; O -  $X_3/X_1$ ).

396

# 397 **4.3 Effect of Cooling Rate**

Figure 7 shows the evolution of supersaturation during crystallisation processes (Figure 7a), and the 398 399 final shape/size and their corresponding aspect ratios under four cooling rates (Figure 7b). It can be 400 seen that the supersaturation decrease with processing time is slower with higher cooling rate (Figure 401 7a). As the supersaturation is defined as the ratio between solute concentration in the crystalliser at a 402 given time (temperature) and the solubility of the solute-solvent system at the same given time 403 (temperature), the evolution of supersaturation during a crystallisation process can be fast or slow 404 depending on the balance of the solute concentration and solubility. In this study, the higher cooling 405 rate led to faster decrease of solubility (due to the faster decrease of temperature) than the lower 406 cooling rate. However, the decrease of solute concentration (due to crystal growth, hence consuming 407 solute in the solution) does not necessarily follow the same decrease speed. Therefore, if the decrease 408 of solute concentration is slower that the solubility, the combining effects could result in the slower

- 409 reduction of supersaturation with higher cooling rate. The final shape/size under various cooling rates
- 410 was found to be similar and the corresponding aspect ratio  $(X_3/X_2)$  varied between 4.8 and 4.3 (Figure
- 411 7b), which indicates that the variation of cooling rate may not be an effective tool to manipulate
- 412 crystal size/shape of final products under the current operating conditions.



Figure 7. (a) Supersaturation evolution during crystallisation processes and (b) final mean shape/size under different cooling rate ( $CR = 0.05^{\circ}C/min - dash$  green line;  $CR = 0.5^{\circ}C/min - red$  line;  $CR = 1.0^{\circ}C/min - dash$  and dot blue line;  $CR = 1.5^{\circ}C/min - black$  line).

417

Figures 8 and 9 illustrate the evolution of faceted growth rates and normal distances, respectively, during crystallisation processes in face direction of  $\{101\}$ ,  $\{10-1\}$  and  $\{011\}$  under different cooling rates. The face-specific growth rates of the three faces decreased during the process with the speed of decrease being slower at higher cooling rate. The corresponding normal distances for all three faces increased faster with the higher cooling rate. By examining the final size/shape of  $\alpha$ -pABA crystals with various cooling rates when supersaturation researched a value of 1.01 (hence no further crystallisation), the total crystallisation time, final temperature, total crystal mass (yield) and the fine 425 mean size/shape were obtained as shown in Table 2. The total crystal mass in Table 2 was obtained from  $\rho_s \sum_{i=1}^{N_1} \sum_{j=1}^{N_2} \sum_{k=1}^{N_3} [V(x_{1,i}, x_{2,j}, x_{3,k}) \Phi(x_{1,i}, x_{2,j}, x_{3,k})]$ , where  $\rho_s$  is the density of the crystal, 426  $V(x_{1,i}, x_{2,j}, x_{3,k})$  is the volume of a crystal with normal distances of  $(x_{1,i}, x_{2,j}, x_{3,k})$  calculated based 427 on the crystals shape shown in Figure 2(b) and  $(x_{1,i}, x_{2,j}, x_{3,k})$ , and the definitions of other variables 428 can be found in the Supplementary materials (S3). With the increase of cooling rate from 0.05 to 429 430 1.5°C/min in this study, the total process time is almost doubled with the corresponding final temperature being lowered from about 20°C to 8°C and the yield of α-pABA crystals being increased 431 about 50%. Furthermore the final crystal size is about 40% larger with  $CR = 1.5^{\circ}C/min$  than 432 433 0.05°C/min though the variation of final crystal mean shape (aspect ratio) is not significant.





Figure 8. Evolution of faceted growth rates during crystallisation processes in face direction of (a) face {101}, (b) face {10-1} and (c) face {011} under different cooling rate ( $CR = 0.05^{\circ}C/min - dash$ green line;  $CR = 0.5^{\circ}C/min - red$  line;  $CR = 1.0^{\circ}C/min - dash$  and dot blue line;  $CR = 1.5^{\circ}C/min - dash$ black line).



439

440 **Figure 9.** Evolution of normal distances during crystallisation processes in face direction of (a) face 441  $\{101\}$ , (b) face  $\{10-1\}$  and (c) face  $\{011\}$  under different cooling rate (CR =  $0.05^{\circ}$ C/min – dash green

442 line;  $CR = 0.5^{\circ}C/min - red line$ ;  $CR = 1.0^{\circ}C/min - dash$  and dot blue line;  $CR = 1.5^{\circ}C/min - black$ 

443 line).

444

CR (°C/min)	Process Time (s)	Final S (-)	Final T (°C)	Final mean (x <sub>1</sub> , x <sub>2</sub> , x <sub>3</sub> ) (µm)	Total crystal – mass (g)
0.05	270	1.01	20.3	52, 340, 1174	50.7
0.5	300	1.01	18.0	55, 368, 1261	55.0
1.0	340	1.01	14.8	58, 409, 1393	60.5
1.5	495	1.01	8.2	66, 495, 1539	73.1

445 **Table 2.** MPB modelling results of α-pABA crystallised from ethanol under different CR

446

# 447

# 448 **4.4 Effect of Seeding Temperature**

449 In order to investigate the effect of seeding temperature on seeded cooling crystallisation of  $\alpha$ -pABA 450 in a batch crystalliser, the seeding temperature (T<sub>seeds</sub>) was varied from 20.5°C to 39.0°C, which 451 corresponds to seeding supersaturation (S<sub>seeds</sub>) decreasing from 1.5 to 1.1. The supersaturation 452 evolution during crystallisation processes and the final mean shape/size under different seeding 453 temperature (or seeding supersaturation) are plotted in Figure 10. With a fixed cooling rate of 454 0.5°C/min, as shown in Figure 10a, the supersaturation decreased much faster with lower T<sub>seeds</sub> (or 455 higher S<sub>seeds</sub>) than higher T<sub>seeds</sub> (or lower S<sub>seeds</sub>). The total process time for various T<sub>seeds</sub> (or S<sub>seeds</sub>) did not show significant variation (Table 3). From Figure 10b, it is clear that final mean crystal size with 456 457 higher T<sub>seeds</sub> (or lower S<sub>seeds</sub>) is smaller in size and less needle-like in shape (or lower aspect ratio) (Table 3). When varying of  $S_{seeds}$  from 1.1 to 1.5, the aspect ratio  $(X_3/X_2)$  was increased from 2.5 to 458 459 4.8 (Figure 10b).

460 For the evolution of face-specific growth rates and normal distances  $(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ , respectively, during crystallisation processes in face direction of  $\{101\}$ ,  $\{10-1\}$  and  $\{011\}$  under different T<sub>seeds</sub> (or S<sub>seeds</sub>), 461 the supersaturation decreased slower with higher  $T_{seeds}$  (or lower  $S_{seeds}$ ), hence the facet growth rates 462 463 for the three individual faces followed the same trend (Figure S.1 in Supplementary materials (S4)). 464 Correspondingly, the normal distances  $(x_1, x_2, x_3)$  increased against crystallisation time much faster with lower Tseeds (or higher Sseeds) and also larger actual normal distances (Figure S.2 in 465 466 Supplementary materials (S4)). Furthermore, this is more significant for the evolution of normal 467 distance of face {011}, i.e. x<sub>3</sub>. As a result, the higher growth rates and bigger actual normal distances 468 with lower T<sub>seeds</sub> (or higher S<sub>seeds</sub>) produced much higher yield as shown in Table 3. Therefore, it is 469 not optimal to select an experiment with higher T<sub>seeds</sub> (or lower S<sub>seeds</sub>) as the resultant yield would be 470 unacceptably low albeit from these conditions the final crystal shape would be expected to be less

471 needle-like (smaller aspect ratio).



473 **Figure 10.** (a) Supersaturation evolution during crystallisation processes and (b) final mean 474 shape/size under different seeding temperature ( $T_{seeds}$ ) (or supersaturations ( $S_{seeds}$ )) ( $T_{seeds} = 20.5^{\circ}C$ 475 (or  $S_{seeds} = 1.5$ ) – dash red line;  $T_{seeds} = 24.7^{\circ}C$  (or  $S_{seeds} = 1.4$ ) – thin black line;  $T_{seeds} = 28.9^{\circ}C$  (or 476  $S_{seeds} = 1.3$ ) – dash and dot blue line;  $T_{seeds} = 33.7^{\circ}C$  (or  $S_{seeds} = 1.2$ ) – black line;  $T_{seeds} = 39.0^{\circ}C$  (or 477  $S_{seeds} = 1.1$ ) – dot green line).

- 478
- 479
- 480
- 481
- 482

483 **Table 3.** MPB modelling results of  $\alpha$ -pABA crystallised from ethanol under different seeding 484 temperature (T<sub>seeds</sub>) (or seeding supersaturation (S<sub>seeds</sub>))

Seeding point - T <sub>seeds</sub> (°C)	S <sub>seeds</sub> (-)	Time (s)	Final S (-)	Final T (°C)	Final means (x <sub>1</sub> , x <sub>2</sub> , x <sub>3</sub> ) (µm)	Total crystal – mass (g)
39.0	1.1	290	1.01	36.55	37.9, 191, 376	17.5
33.7	1.2	260	1.01	31.5	39.3, 210, 568	28.5
28.9	1.3	250	1.01	26.85	42.1, 239, 744	38.0
24.7	1.4	265	1.01	22.47	46.4, 289, 961	46.5
20.5	1.5	300	1.01	18.0	55, 368, 1261	55.0

486

# 487 **4.5 Effect of Seed Loading**

488 Figure 11 shows the evolution of supersaturation during crystallisation processes (Figure 11a), and 489 the final mean shape/size and their corresponding aspect ratios (Figure 11b) under five different seed loadings. With higher seed loading under the same Gaussian-like size/shape distribution (same mean 490 491 values and same standard deviations), the total number of crystals becomes proportionally larger. 492 Therefore, the total crystal surface area for crystal growth is predicted to increase with the increase 493 of seed loading, hence solute concentration reduces faster, leading to faster supersaturation decrease 494 (Figure 11a). As the total number of seeds is higher with higher seed loading, the solute available for 495 each seed is less accordingly. Therefore, as shown in Figure 11b, the final mean crystal is smaller in 496 size and also less needle-like in shape (or aspect ratio). When seed loading increases from 0.1% to 497 2.0% (by mass), the MPB simulation results show that the aspect ratio  $(X_3/X_2)$  decreases almost 498 linearly with a slope of -0.4 and intercept of 4.8 (Figure 11b).

499 The evolution of faceted growth rates (Figure S.3 in Supplementary materials (S5)) and face normal 500 distances (Figure S.4 in Supplementary materials (S5)) during crystallisation processes on the {101}, 501 {10-1} and {011} faces under different seed loadings demonstrated that as the increase of seed 502 loading resulted in the faster decrease of supersaturation (Figure 11a), the faceted growth rates (G<sub>1</sub>, G<sub>2</sub>, G<sub>3</sub>) also decrease faster (Figure S.3) with the corresponding normal distances being in slower 503 504 increase (Figure A.4). The final temperature and yield did not have significant variation for the 505 simulated range of seed loadings (Table 4). However, the crystal size of final product is predicted to 506 be smaller with less needle-like in shape when seed loading is increased. Therefore, seed loading can 507 be an effective tool for optimising and controlling crystal size/shape distribution using MPB 508 approach.





**Figure 11.** (a) Supersaturation evolution during crystallisation processes and (b) final mean 511 shape/size under different seed loading ( $X_{seeds}$ ) ( $X_{seeds} = 0.1\%$  – dash red line;  $X_{seeds} = 0.5\%$  – thin 512 black line;  $X_{seeds} = 1.0\%$  – dash and dot blue line;  $X_{seeds} = 2.0\%$  – black line;  $X_{seeds} = 5.0\%$  – dot green 513 line).

**Table 4.** MPB modelling results of  $\alpha$ -pABA crystallised from ethanol under different seed loading 516 (X<sub>seeds</sub>)

X <sub>seeds</sub> (% mass)	Time (s)	Final S (-)	Final T (°C)	Final means (x <sub>1</sub> , x <sub>2</sub> , x <sub>3</sub> ) (µm)	Total crystal – mass (g)
0.1	270	1.01	18.0	55, 368, 1261	55.0
0.5	220	1.01	18.7	43.6, 261, 858	54.5
1.0	205	1.01	18.8	42.1, 241, 783	55.0
2.0	205	1.01	18.8	40.7, 224, 689	56.3
5.0	215	1.01	18.7	39.3, 215, 616	57.4

## 520 **4.6 Effect of Seed Mean Shape**

521 To investigate the effect of seed mean shape on crystal size/shape distribution during  $\alpha$ -pABA 522 crystallisation process, three different mean shape were used for establishing seeds size/shape distribution (e.g. Figure 3a): mean normal distances  $(x_1, x_2, x_3)$  of  $(22, 27, 6 \mu m)$ ,  $(22, 27, 40 \mu m)$  and 523 (22, 27, 131 µm) with fixed standard deviations of  $(\sigma_{x_1}, \sigma_{x_2}, \sigma_{x_3}) = 8$  µm. Figure 12 shows the 524 525 supersaturation evolution during crystallisation processes and the final mean shape/size under different seed mean shape. With more needle-like seeds, the total number of seeds (under the same 526 seed loading) was found to be slightly smaller, hence leading to slower decrease of supersaturation 527 528 (Figure 12a). However, the simulated final crystal size is found to be bigger with slightly higher 529 aspect ratio (Figure 12b). Similarly, the faceted growth rates follow the same trend of decrease (Figure 530 S.5 in Supplementary materials (S6)) and the normal distances  $(x_1, x_2, x_3)$  have the similar trend of 531 increase with crystallisation time (Figure S.6 in Supplementary materials (S6)). The final temperature 532 and yield do not present significant variation for the three seed mean shape (Table 5).



**Figure 12.** (a) supersaturation evolution during crystallisation processes and (b) final mean shape/size under different seed mean shape (M<sub>seeds</sub>) with standard deviations of  $(\sigma_{x_1}, \sigma_{x_2}, \sigma_{x_3}) = 8 \ \mu m \ (M_{seeds} =$ 

535  $(22, 27, 6 \,\mu\text{m}) - \text{red line}; M_{\text{seeds}} = (22, 27, 40 \,\mu\text{m}) - \text{dash green line}; M_{\text{seeds}} = (22, 27, 131 \,\mu\text{m}) - \text{dash}$ 

- and dot black line).
- 537
- 538 **Table 5.** MPB modelling results of  $\alpha$ -pABA crystallised from ethanol under different seed mean 539 shape (M<sub>seeds</sub>)

M <sub>seeds</sub> (x <sub>1</sub> , x <sub>2</sub> , x <sub>3</sub> ) (μm)	Seeds standard deviations $(\sigma_{x_1}, \sigma_{x_2}, \sigma_{x_3})$ (µm)	Time (s)	Final S (-)	Final T (°C)	Final means (x <sub>1</sub> , x <sub>2</sub> , x <sub>3</sub> ) (µm)	Total crystal – mass (g)
22, 27, 6	8, 8, 8	285	1.01	18.1	50.7, 320, 1119	54.6
22, 27, 40	8, 8, 8	280	1.01	18.18	49.3, 308, 1009	54.4
22, 27, 131	8, 8, 8	330	1.01	17.76	57.9, 400, 1501	55.2

540

541

# 542 **4.7 Effect of Broken Seeds**

543 Theoretically seeds may be treated as prefect crystals with the required size/shape distribution. 544 However, in practice, seeds are usually collected from small scale and well-controlled crystallisation processes, then followed by the necessary washing, filtration and drying, all processes which might 545 546 expect to provide some extent of breakage/damage to the obtained seeds. In order to obtain seeds with 547 the required size, milling/sieving processes may be used, which understandably will generate broken 548 seeds at very high extent. In this section, the MPB model was used to simulate the behaviour of the broken seeds during crystallisation processes. All operating conditions are as the same as those from 549 550 the base case (section 4.2) with the size/shape distribution of broken seeds as shown in Figure 3b. 551 The broken face (010) should be rough with most possibly an RIG growth mechanism. In this study, 552 the facet growth rate of face (010) was estimated to be two times of that for face  $\{011\}$ , G<sub>3</sub>. It should 553 be noted that the more accurate growth rate and growth mechanism for the broken face (010) are 554 needed through the use of molecular modelling and/or experimental measurements.

Figure 13 shows the solution temperature, supersaturation, solute concentration and solid concentration during crystallisation processes with perfect seeds or broken seeds. With the same cooling rate ( $0.5^{\circ}$ C/min), supersaturation with broken seeds decreased slightly faster, hence reaching the supersaturation value of 1.01 earlier (~ 28s). Correspondingly, solute concentration dropped at a higher speed and solid concentration increased faster.

Figures 14 presents the faceted growth rates in the directions of faces {101}, {10-1} and {011}, and also broken face (010) with its trajectory, and the corresponding evolution of normal distances for the mentioned four faces. MPB simulations revealed that the broken face (010) grew very fast, then disappeared after about 15 s (Figure 14b). The facet normal distances, in particular  $x_3$  for face {011}, increased slower at the late stage of the crystallisation process with broken seeds. The main contributors are both broken seeds (shorter in size and higher number of seeds) and the faster decrease of supersaturation (also faster decrease of growth rate of face {011} (Figure 14a)).



**Figure 13.** Solution temperature (T and  $T_{bk}$ ), supersaturation (S and  $S_{bk}$ ), solute concentration (c and c<sub>bk</sub>) and solid concentration (Cs and Cs<sub>bk</sub>) during crystallisation processes with the operating conditions of the base case and broken seeds (Symbols – perfect seed crystals; Lines – broken seed 571 crystals; bk – broken seeds).



**Figure 14.** Faceted growth rates (a) and Normal distances (b) in the face directions of face {101} (solid line – perfect seed crystals;  $\triangle$  – broken seed crystals), face {10-1} (dot line – perfect seed crystals;  $\Box$  – broken seed crystals) and face {011} (Dash line – perfect seed crystals;  $\diamondsuit$  – broken seed crystals), and also broken face (010) (dash and dots line) with its trajectory ( $\bigcirc$ ) during crystallisation processes with the operating conditions of the base case.

578

Figures 15 and 16 present the evolution of aspect ratios  $(X_3/X_2, X_3/X_1, X_2/X_1)$  during crystallisation process for both prefect seeds and broken seeds, and crystal mean shape evolution and aspect ratios at several typical time points for broken seeds, respectively. Note that  $X_1$ ,  $X_2$  and  $X_3$  are the mean values of  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$ ,  $x_3$  at a crystallisation time. The aspect ratios  $(X_3/X_2 \text{ and } X_3/X_1)$  increased fast to the values for prefect seeds after about 15 s (Figure 15) with the broken face (010) being disappearance (Figure 16).





586 **Figure 15.** Evolution of aspect ratios during crystallisation process with prefect and broken seeds.



588

Figure 16. Crystal mean shape evolution and aspect ratios at some typical time instances (0, 5, 10, 15, 20, 50, 100, 200, 300 s). Note that the broken face (010) grew fast and disappeared in about 15 s.

## 592 **5.** Conclusions

In this study, the MPB models were applied for simulating pharmaceutical crystallisation processes as illustrated through a case study of  $\alpha$ -pABA crystals crystallised from ethanolic solution under a wide-range of operating conditions notably cooling rate, seeding temperature (seeding supersaturation), seed loading, seeds shape/size (including broken seeds). The MPB simulations captured the shape-dependent behaviour of the crystallisation processes under these operating conditions with the effect of defining the impact of these processing variables on the crystal size/shape distribution and their evolution. 600 Within the operating conditions used for MPB simulations, faster cooling was shown to increase the 601 crystallisation time to reach solution equilibrium conditions, i.e. S = 1, with the corresponding 602 finishing solution temperature being much lower, hence leading to higher yield and larger final crystal 603 size. Although higher seeding temperatures (hence lower seeding supersaturations) was found to 604 produce less needle-like  $\alpha$ -pABA crystals, the yield was much lower than that at lower seeding temperatures. On the other hand, higher seed loading was found to generate smaller sized crystals 605 606 which were less needle-like in shape while having very similar yields. This indicated that seed loading 607 could be a useful control variable for using MPB to obtain the pre-desired crystal size/shape distribution. For the case with broken seeds, the fractured seed surfaces were found to grow fast and 608 609 hence disappear from the external morphology during the crystallisation process. Such simulations 610 could have wide applications in pharmaceutical industry mindful that seeds used often exhibit some 611 kind of breakage and/or damage during seed preparation processes, e.g. through milling.

612 Further research should include the consideration of the effect of primary nucleation (i.e., without 613 seeds) and together with that of secondary nucleation through surface breeding from seeds, face-614 specific crystal agglomeration and breakage into the MPB model. This will involve first-principle 615 based research on crystal morphology and surface chemistry, solid/solution interface (including the 616 interactions of crystal-crystal, crystal-solute and crystal-solvent), solution chemistry, etc. Through these, combining the MPB model with computational fluid dynamics for crystalliser hydrodynamics 617 618 and multi-zonal modelling will form a powerful digital design framework for pharmaceutical 619 crystallisation to manufacture crystals with pre-desired properties, hence delivering targeted 620 medicines.

621

## 622 Acknowledgments

623 The authors gratefully acknowledge the financial support by the UK's Advanced Manufacturing 624 Supply Chain Initiative 'Advanced Digital Design of Pharmaceutical Therapeutics' (ADDoPT) 625 project (Grant No. 14060). This work also builds upon research on morphological modelling supported by EPSRC grant 'HABIT - Crystal morphology from crystallographic and growth 626 environment factors' through EPSRC grant EP/I028293/1 and the Synthonic Engineering programme 627 628 supported by Pfizer, Boeringer-Ingellheim, Novartis and Syngenta. We also gratefully acknowledge 629 EPSRC for the support of crystallization research at Leeds and Manchester through the Critical Mass 630 grant 'Molecules, Clusters and Crystals' (Grant references EP/IO14446/1 and EP/IO13563/1).

631

632 Declarations of interest: none

# 634 **References**

- BELL, J. 2017. Life Sciences Industrial Strategy A report to the Government from the life sciences
   sector (https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/life-sciences-industrial-strategy).
- 637 CAMACHO, D. M., ROBERTS, K. J., MULLER, F., THOMAS, D., MORE, I. & LEWTAS, K.
   638 2016. Morphology and Growth of Methyl Stearate as a Function of Crystallization
- Environment. Crystal Growth & Design, 17, 2088-2095.
- 640 CLYDESDALE, G., DOCHERTY, R. & ROBERTS, K. J. 1991. Habit a program for predicting the
   641 morphology of molecular-crystals. Computer Physics Communications, 64, 311-328.
- 642 CLYDESDALE, G., ROBERTS, K. J. & DOCHERTY, R. 1996. HABIT95, Quantum Chemistry
   643 Program Exchange, Program no. 670, Bloomington, IN 47405, USA.
- HULBURT, H. M. & KATZ, S. 1964. Some Problems in Particle Technology a Statistical
   Mechanical Formulation. Chemical Engineering Science, 19, 555-574.
- KUVADIA, Z. B. & DOHERTY, M. F. 2013. Reformulating Multidimensional Population Balances
   for Predicting Crystal Size and Shape. AIChE Journal, 59, 3468-3474.
- LOVETTE, M. A., BROWNING, A. R., GRIFFIN, D. W., SIZEMORE, J. P., SNYDER, R. C. &
  DOHERTY, M. F. 2008. Crystal Shape Engineering. Industrial & Engineering Chemistry
  Research, 47, 9812-9833.
- MA, C. Y. & ROBERTS, K. J. 2018. Combining Morphological Population Balances with Face Specific Growth Kinetics Data to Model and Predict the Crystallization Processes for
   Ibuprofen. Ind Chem Eng Res, 57, 16379-16394.
- MA, C. Y., WANG, X. Z. & ROBERTS, K. J. 2008. Morphological population balance for modelling
   crystal growth in individual face directions. AIChE Journal, 54, 209-222.
- MAIER, J. 2017. Made Smarter Review 2017 (<u>https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/made-smarter-review</u>).
- MARCHAL, P., DAVID, R., KLEIN, J. P. & VILLERMAUX, J. 1988. Crystallization and
   precipitation engineering .1. An efficient method for solving population balance in
   crystallization with agglomeration. Chemical Engineering Science, 43, 59-67.
- NGUYEN, T. T. H., HAMMOND, R. B., ROBERTS, K. J., MARZIANO, I. & NICHOLS, G. 2014.
   Precision measurement of the growth rate and mechanism of ibuprofen {001} and {011} as a function of crystallization environment. CrystEngComm, 16, 4568-4586.
- PICKERING, J., HAMMOND, R. B., RAMACHANDRAN, V., SOUFIAN, M. & ROBERTS, K. J.
   2017. Synthonic Engineering Modelling Tools for Product and Process Design. In:
   ROBERTS, K. J., DOCHERTY, R., TAMURA, R. (ed.) Engineering Crystallography: From
   Molecule to Crystal to Functional Form. Dordrecht: Springer.
- PUEL, F., FEVOTTE, G. & KLEIN, J. P. 2003. Simulation and analysis of industrial crystallization
   processes through multidimensional population balance equations. Part 1: a resolution
   algorithm based on the method of classes. Chemical Engineering Science, 58, 3715-3727.
- RAMKRISHNA, D. & MAHONEY, A. W. 2002. Population balance modeling. Promise for the
   future. Chemical Engineering Science, 57, 595-606.
- RANDOLPH, A. D. & LARSON, M. A. 1988. Theory of particulate processes: Analysis and
   techniques of continuous crystallization, Academic Press, London.
- ROSBOTTOM, I. 2016. The Influence of the Intermolecular Synthons on the Molecular Aggregation,
   Polymorphism, Crystal Growth and Morphology of p-Aminobenzoic Acid Crystals from
   Solution. PhD, PhD Thesis, University of Leeds, UK.
- ROSBOTTOM, I., MA, C. Y., TURNER, T. D., O'CONNELL, R. A., LOUGHREY, J., SADIQ, G.,
  DAVEY, R. J. & ROBERTS, K. J. 2017. Influence of Solvent Composition on the Crystal
  Morphology and Structure of p-Aminobenzoic Acid Crystallized from Mixed Ethanol and
  Nitromethane Solutions. Crystal Growth & Design, 17, 4151-4161.

- ROSBOTTOM, I., ROBERTS, K. J. & DOCHERTY, R. 2015. The solid state, surface and
   morphological properties of p-aminobenzoic acid in terms of the strength and directionality
   of its intermolecular synthons. CrystEngComm, 17, 5768-5788.
- SHAMPINE, L. F. & WATTS, H. A. Subroutine RKF45. In: G. E. FORSYTHE, M. A. M., C. B.
  MOLER, ed. Computer Methods for Mathematical Computations, 1977 Englewood Cliffs, N.
  J.: Prentice-Hall, 135-147.
- SULLIVAN, R. A., DAVEY, R. J., SADIQ, G., DENT, G., BACK, K. R., TER HORST, J. H.,
  TOROZ, D. & HAMMOND, R. B. 2014. Revealing the Roles of Desolvation and Molecular
  Self-Assembly in Crystal Nucleation from Solution: Benzoic and p-Aminobenzoic Acids.
  Crystal Growth & Design, 14, 2689-2696.
- TOROZ, D., ROSBOTTOM, I., TURNER, T. D., CORZO, D. M. C., HAMMOND, R. B., LAI, X.
  & ROBERTS, K. J. 2015. Towards an understanding of the nucleation of alpha-para amino
  benzoic acid from ethanolic solutions: a multi-scale approach. Faraday Discussions, 179, 79114.
- TURNER, T. D., NGUYEN, T. T. H., NICHOLSON, P., BROWN, G., HAMMOND, R. B.,
   ROBERTS, K. J. & MARZIANO, I. 2019. A temperature-controlled single-crystal growth
   cell for the in situ measurement and analysis of face specific growth rates. Journal of Applied
   Crystallography, 52, 463-467.
- ZHANG, Y. C. & DOHERTY, M. F. 2004. Simultaneous prediction of crystal shape and size for
   solution crystallization. AIChE Journal, 50, 2101-2112.

**Supplementary Materials** 

This supplementary provides the mini-review of population balance (PB) models (S1), face-specific crystal growth kinetics (S2), the solution method of morphological PB (S3) and the additional simulation results of the evolution of face-specific growth rates and normal distances under different seeding temperature (S4), seed loading (S5) and seed mean shape (S6), and the references for supplementary materials (S7).

709

703

## 710 S1. Mini-review of PB models

711 For modelling the evolution of a population of crystals during crystallisation processes, one-712 dimensional (1D) population balance (PB) approach (e.g., (Alvarez and Myerson, 2010, Caillet et al., 713 2007, Fevotte et al., 2007, Garside, 1985, Gerstlauer et al., 2006, Hounslow et al., 2005, Li et al., 714 2013, Liu and Li, 2014, Marchal et al., 1988, Menon et al., 2005, Patience et al., 2004, Rawlings et 715 al., 1992, Temmel et al., 2016, Ulbert and Lakatos, 2005, Ward et al., 2006)), using a characteristic 716 size, such as length (e.g., (Vetter et al., 2014, Ward et al., 2011, Zhang and Doherty, 2004)), diameter or radius of a volume equivalent sphere (e.g., (Marchal et al., 1988)) to simplify a faceted crystal, 717 718 was used and still being used widely. Two-dimensional (2D) PB method was developed to account 719 for needle-/rod-/plate-like crystals (e.g., (Briesen, 2009, Gunawan et al., 2004, Ma et al., 2007, 720 Oullion et al., 2007, Puel et al., 2003a, Puel et al., 2003b, Ramkrishna and Mahoney, 2002, Sato et 721 al., 2008, Shi et al., 2006)), whilst the introducing a volumetric shape factor into the 1D (or 2D) PB 722 is to more accurately represent the crystal volume (Zhang and Doherty, 2004). However, using a 1D 723 PB with the assumptions that all crystal faces have the same surface chemistry, growth mechanism 724 and a constant relative growth rate ratio amongst all faces, the evolution of crystal size and shape 725 could be represented (e.g., Doherty and co-workers (Lovette et al., 2008, Zhang and Doherty, 2004, 726 Kuvadia and Doherty, 2013)). Critically, a 1D PB assumes that the crystal morphology does not 727 change during growth, i.e. that the ratio of the growth rates between the different faces is constant. 728 The aspect was first addressed by Ma et al. (Ma et al., 2008), followed by other researchers (e.g., 729 (Borchert et al., 2009, Borchert and Sundmacher, 2012, Chakraborty et al., 2010, Kwon et al., 2013, 730 Kwon et al., 2014, Liu et al., 2013, Liu et al., 2010b, Liu et al., 2010a, Ma et al., 2016, Ma and 731 Roberts, 2018, Ma and Wang, 2008, Ma and Wang, 2012, Wan et al., 2009, Wang and Ma, 2009, 732 Wang et al., 2008, Majumder and Nagy, 2013, Kuvadia and Doherty, 2013)). A crystal has its face forms identified as {hkl}. For a cubic crystal, it only has one form but 6 faces. For a potash alum 733 734 crystal, it has 3 forms but 24 faces. The MPB uses crystal morphology to identify crystal forms with 735 each form being treated as an independent variable (crystal face-to-centre distance) and the total

number of forms determines the number of dimensions of the MPB equation. It can be assumed that the faces of each form have the same surface chemistry, growth mechanism/rate and also other physical/chemical properties. See more detail in e.g. (Ma et al., 2008). The MPB not only provides a direct tool for optimisation and control of both final crystal size and shape but enables control of the particle properties and processing.

741

# 742 S2. Face-specific crystal growth kinetics

743 The kinetics of a defined crystal growth interface as a function of supersaturation can generally be 744 described by a number of well-known models including power law (Garside, 1985), birth & spread 745 (B&S) and Burton-Cabrera-Frank (BCF) models (Burton et al., 1951). During crystallisation 746 processes in a crystal growth cell and other crystallisers, crystal growth rate is very much a two-step kinetic process encompassing a balance between the incorporation of growth units onto the crystal 747 748 surface and the diffusion by mass transfer of the growth units within the bulk of the solution (Mullin, 749 2001, Camacho et al., 2017). The effect of heat transfer on growth rate was also included by 750 (Mersmann et al., 2002). Therefore both factors need to be considered when determining the growth 751 mechanism and can be modelled using the followings (Mullin, 2001, Camacho et al., 2017):

752 
$$G_{power} = \frac{S - S_{crit}}{\frac{\rho_S}{k_{MT} \, C^* M_S} + \frac{1}{k_G (S - S_{crit})^{r-1}}}$$
(S.1)

753 
$$G_{B\&S} = \frac{S - S_{crit}}{\frac{\rho_S}{k_{MT} C^* M_S} + \frac{1}{k_G (S - S_{crit})^{-1/6} exp(A_1 / (S - S_{crit}))}}$$
(S.2)

754 
$$G_{BCF} = \frac{S - S_{crit}}{\frac{\rho_S}{k_{MT} \, C^* M_S} + \frac{1}{k_G (S - S_{crit}) tanh \left( A_2 / (S - S_{crit}) \right)}}$$
(S.3)

Where G<sub>power</sub>, G<sub>B&S</sub> and G<sub>BCF</sub> are the growth rates linking to the power law (Garside, 1985), B&S 755 756 (Burton et al., 1951) and BCF (Burton et al., 1951) models; S is supersaturation defined by the ratio 757 between the solute concentration at a solution temperature and the solubility at the same temperature; 758 Scrit is a critical value of supersaturation; k<sub>G</sub> is the growth rate constant; r is the growth exponent; A<sub>1</sub> and A<sub>2</sub> are the thermodynamic parameters;  $\rho_s$  is the solute density; k<sub>MT</sub> is the coefficient of mass 759 760 transfer within the bulk of the solution; M<sub>s</sub> is the solute molecular weight; C\* is the equilibrium concentration (solubility). The term  $\frac{\rho_s}{k_{MT}C^*M_s}$  in Eqs. (S.1 – S.3) can be treated as a fitting parameter. 761 In Eq. (S.1), if r = 1, it corresponds to a rough interface growth (RIG) mechanism (Weeks and Gilmer, 762 763 1979).

## 765 S3. MPB Solution Method

766 Whilst the theoretical solution of the MPB equation can only be obtained for some ideal (simple) 767 cases, numerical solution methods can provide the most convenient and available approach. Lin et al. 768 (Lin et al., 2016) developed an invariant method of moments to obtain analytical solution of a PB 769 system, but this method could only be used for solving a one-dimensional homogeneous PB equation 770 with size independent growth rate. Therefore, many other different numerical solution methods have 771 been developed, for example, method of characteristics (Gunawan et al., 2004, Sotowa et al., 2000), 772 moment of classes (David et al., 1995, Puel et al., 2003a), high resolution discretisation schemes 773 (Gunawan et al., 2004, Ma et al., 2002, Wan et al., 2009), method of lines (Gerstlauer et al., 2001), 774 finite-element schemes (Gerstlauer et al., 2006), moving grid techniques (Kumar and Ramkrishna, 1997), hierarchical solution strategies based on multilevel discretisation (Pinto et al., 2007, Sun and 775 776 Immanuel, 2005), cell-ensemble method (Henson, 2005), Monte Carlo methods (Yu et al., 2015), etc. 777 In this study, a discretisation method (moment of classes) has been used to solve the MPB equation 778 (Eq. 1). In this, the three  $(x_1, x_2, x_3)$  size domains were discretised into i  $(i = 1, N_1)$ ,  $j (j = 1, N_2)$ , k (k 779 = 1, N<sub>3</sub>) classes, respectively, where N<sub>1</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>, N<sub>3</sub> are the total number of classes for the  $(x_1, x_2, x_3)$  size domains and  $\bar{x}_{1,i} (= x_{1,i} - x_{1,i-1})$ ,  $\bar{x}_{2,j} (= x_{2,j} - x_{2,j-1})$ ,  $\bar{x}_{3,k} (= x_{3,k} - x_{3,k-1})$  are the size of the i, j, 780 k classes for the (x<sub>1</sub>, x<sub>2</sub>, x<sub>3</sub>). Hence a group of  $N_1 \times N_2 \times N_3$  ordinary differential equations below can 781 782 be formulated and solved:

783 
$$\frac{1}{V_{T}(t)} \frac{d}{dt} \left[ V_{T}(t) \int_{x_{1,i-1}}^{x_{1,i}} \int_{x_{2,j-1}}^{x_{2,j}} \int_{x_{3,k-1}}^{x_{3,k}} \Phi(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, t) dx_{1} dx_{2} dx_{3} \right] +$$
  
784 
$$G_{1}(\bar{x}_{1,i}, t) \left[ \frac{x_{1,i+1} - x_{1,i}}{(x_{1,i} - x_{1,i-1})(x_{1,i+1} - x_{1,i-1})} \int_{x_{1,i-1}}^{x_{1,i}} \int_{x_{2,j-1}}^{x_{2,j}} \int_{x_{3,k-1}}^{x_{3,k}} \Phi(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, t) dx_{1} dx_{2} dx_{3} +$$

785 
$$\frac{x_{1,i}-x_{1,i-1}}{(x_{1,i+1}-x_{1,i})(x_{1,i+1}-x_{1,i-1})}\int_{x_{1,i}}^{x_{1,i+1}}\int_{x_{2,j-1}}^{x_{2,j}}\int_{x_{3,k-1}}^{x_{3,k}}\Phi(x_1,x_2,x_3,t)dx_1dx_2dx_3\right]+$$

786 
$$G_2(\bar{x}_{2,j},t) \left[ \frac{x_{2,j+1}-x_{2,j}}{(x_{2,j}-x_{2,j-1})(x_{2,j+1}-x_{2,j-1})} \int_{x_{1,i-1}}^{x_{1,i}} \int_{x_{2,j-1}}^{x_{2,j}} \int_{x_{3,k-1}}^{x_{3,k}} \Phi(x_1,x_2,x_3,t) dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 + \right]$$

787 
$$\frac{x_{2,j-x_{2,j-1}}}{(x_{2,j+1}-x_{2,j})(x_{2,j+1}-x_{2,j-1})}\int_{x_{1,i-1}}^{x_{1,i}}\int_{x_{2,j}}^{x_{2,j+1}}\int_{x_{3,k-1}}^{x_{3,k}}\Phi(x_1,x_2,x_3,t)dx_1dx_2dx_3\right] +$$

788 
$$G_3(\bar{x}_{3,k},t) \left[ \frac{x_{3,k+1}-x_{3,k}}{(x_{3,k}-x_{3,k-1})(x_{3,k+1}-x_{3,k-1})} \int_{x_{1,i-1}}^{x_{1,i}} \int_{x_{2,j-1}}^{x_{2,j}} \int_{x_{3,k-1}}^{x_{3,k}} \Phi(x_1,x_2,x_3,t) dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 + \right]$$

789 
$$\frac{x_{3,k}-x_{3,k-1}}{(x_{3,k+1}-x_{3,k})(x_{3,k+1}-x_{3,k-1})}\int_{x_{1,i-1}}^{x_{1,i}}\int_{x_{2,j-1}}^{x_{2,j}}\int_{x_{3,k}}^{x_{3,k+1}}\Phi(x_1,x_2,x_3,t)dx_1dx_2dx_3\bigg]=0$$
(S.4)

The  $N_1 \times N_2 \times N_3$  ordinary differential equations obtained, together with initial and boundary conditions and also the equations for face-specific growth rates, and mass and heat balance in a batch cooling crystalliser, form a complete set of the PB solution system. Further detail can be found in literature (David et al., 1995, Ma et al., 2016, Ma and Wang, 2008, Ma et al., 2008, Puel et al., 2003a). 795 S4. Effect of Seeding Temperature



797

794



**Figure S.1** Evolution of faceted growth rates during crystallisation processes in face direction of (a) face {101}, (b) face {10-1} and (c) face {011} under different seeding temperature ( $T_{seeds}$ ) (or supersaturations ( $S_{seeds}$ )) ( $T_{seeds} = 20.5^{\circ}$ C (or  $S_{seeds} = 1.5$ ) – dashed red line;  $T_{seeds} = 24.7^{\circ}$ C (or  $S_{seeds} =$ 1.4) – green line;  $T_{seeds} = 28.9^{\circ}$ C (or  $S_{seeds} = 1.3$ ) – red line;  $T_{seeds} = 33.7^{\circ}$ C (or  $S_{seeds} = 1.2$ ) – blue line;  $T_{seeds} = 39.0^{\circ}$ C (or  $S_{seeds} = 1.1$ ) – light blue line).



Figure S.2 Evolution of normal distances during crystallisation processes in face direction of (a) face {101}, (b) face {10-1} and (c) face {011} under different seeding temperature ( $T_{seeds}$ ) (or supersaturations ( $S_{seeds}$ )) ( $T_{seeds} = 20.5^{\circ}$ C (or  $S_{seeds} = 1.5$ ) – dashed red line;  $T_{seeds} = 24.7^{\circ}$ C (or  $S_{seeds} = 1.4$ ) – green line;  $T_{seeds} = 28.9^{\circ}$ C (or  $S_{seeds} = 1.3$ ) – red line;  $T_{seeds} = 33.7^{\circ}$ C (or  $S_{seeds} = 1.2$ ) – blue line;  $T_{seeds} = 39.0^{\circ}$ C (or  $S_{seeds} = 1.1$ ) – light blue line).

809

- 810
- 811





Figure S.3 Evolution of faceted growth rates during crystallisation processes in face direction of (a) face {101}, (b) face {10-1} and (c) face {011} under different seed loading ( $X_{seeds}$ ) ( $X_{seeds} = 0.1\%$ dashed red line;  $X_{seeds} = 0.5\% -$  green line;  $X_{seeds} = 1.0\% -$  red line;  $X_{seeds} = 2.0\% -$  purple line;  $X_{seeds}$ = 5.0% – blue line).



Figure S.4 Evolution of normal distances during crystallisation processes in face direction of (a) face  $\{101\}$ , (b) face  $\{10-1\}$  and (c) face  $\{011\}$  under different seed loading ( $X_{seeds}$ ) ( $X_{seeds} = 0.1\%$  – dashed red line;  $X_{seeds} = 0.5\%$  – green line;  $X_{seeds} = 1.0\%$  – red line;  $X_{seeds} = 2.0\%$  – purple line;  $X_{seeds} = 5.0\%$ – blue line).

824

- 825
- 826
- 827
- 828



**Figure S.5** Evolution of faceted growth rates during crystallisation processes in face direction of (a) face {101}, (b) face {10-1} and (c) face {011} under different seed mean shape ( $M_{seeds}$ ) with standard deviations of  $\sigma_x$ ,  $\sigma_y$ ,  $\sigma_z = 8 \ \mu m (M_{seeds} = (22, 27, 6 \ \mu m) - dashed red line; <math>M_{seeds} = (22, 27, 40 \ \mu m)$ green line;  $M_{seeds} = (22, 27, 131 \ \mu m) - red line)$ .

836

831



**Figure S.6** Evolution of normal distances during crystallisation processes in face direction of (a) face {101}, (b) face {10-1} and (c) face {011} under different seed mean shape ( $M_{seeds}$ ) with standard deviations of  $\sigma_x$ ,  $\sigma_y$ ,  $\sigma_z = 8 \ \mu m (M_{seeds} = (22, 27, 6 \ \mu m) - dashed red line; <math>M_{seeds} = (22, 27, 40 \ \mu m) - 841$  green line;  $M_{seeds} = (22, 27, 131 \ \mu m) - red line)$ .

842

# 843 S7. References

- ALVAREZ, A. J. & MYERSON, A. S. 2010. Continuous plug flow crystallization of pharmaceutical
   compounds. Crystal Growth & Design, 10, 2219-2228.
- BORCHERT, C., RAMKRISHNA, D., NERE, N., VOIGT, A. & SUNDMACHER, K. 2009. On the
   prediction of crystal shape distributions in a steady-state continuous crystallizer Chemical
   Engineering Science, 64, 686-696.

- BORCHERT, C. & SUNDMACHER, K. 2012. Efficient formulation of crystal shape evolution
   equations. Chemical Engineering Science, 84, 85-99.
- BRIESEN, H. 2009. Two-dimensional population balance modeling for shape dependent crystal
   attrition. Chemical Engineering Science, 64, 661-672.
- BURTON, W. K., CABRERA, N. & FRANK, F. C. 1951. The growth of crystals and the equilibrium
   structure of their surfaces. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London, 243,
   299-358.
- CAILLET, A., SHEIBAT-OTHMAN, N. & FEVOTTE, G. 2007. Crystallization of monohydrate
   citric acid. 2. modeling through population balance equations. Crystal Growth & Design, 7,
   2088-2095.
- CAMACHO, D. M., ROBERTS, K. J., MULLER, F., THOMAS, D., MORE, I. & LEWTAS, K.
  2017. Morphology and Growth of Methyl Stearate as a Function of Crystallization
  Environment. Crystal Growth & Design, 17, 563–575.
- CHAKRABORTY, J., SINGH, M. R., RAMKRISHNA, D., BORCHERT, C. & SUNDMACHER,
   K. 2010. Modeling of crystal morphology distributions. Towards crystals with preferred
   asymmetry. Chemical Engineering Science, 65, 5676-5686.
- BAVID, R., MARCHAL, P. & MARCANT, B. 1995. Modeling of Agglomeration in Industrial
   Crystallization from Solution. Chemical Engineering & Technology, 18, 302-309.
- FEVOTTE, G., ALEXANDRE, C. & NIDA, S. O. 2007. A Population Balance Model of the solution Mediated Phase Transition of citric acid. AIChE Journal, 53, 2578-2589.
- GARSIDE, J. 1985. Industrial Crystallization from Solution. Chemical Engineering Science, 40, 3 26.
- GERSTLAUER, A., GAHN, C., ZHOU, H., RAULS, M. & SCHREIBER, M. 2006. Application of
   population balances in the chemical industry current status and future needs. Chemical
   Engineering Science, 61, 205-217.
- GERSTLAUER, A., MITROVIC, A., MOTZ, S. & GILLES, E. D. 2001. A population model for
   crystallization processes using two independent particle properties. Chemical Engineering
   Science, 56, 2553-2565.
- GUNAWAN, R., FUSMAN, I. & BRAATZ, R. D. 2004. High resolution algorithms for
   multidimensional population balance equations. AIChE Journal, 50, 2738-2749.
- HENSON, M. A. 2005. Cell ensemble modeling of metabolic oscillations in continuous yeast
  cultures. Computers & Chemical Engineering, 29, 645-661.
- HOUNSLOW, M. J., LEWIS, A. E., SANDERS, S. J. & BONDY, R. 2005. Generic crystallizer
   model: I. A model framework for a well-mixed compartment. AIChE Journal, 51, 2942-2955.
- KUMAR, S. & RAMKRISHNA, D. 1997. On the solution of population balance equations by
   discretization III. Nucleation, growth and aggregation of particles. Chemical Engineering
   Science, 52, 4659-4679.
- KUVADIA, Z. B. & DOHERTY, M. F. 2013. Reformulating Multidimensional Population Balances
   for Predicting Crystal Size and Shape. AIChE Journal, 59, 3468-3474.
- KWON, J. S., NAYHOUSE, M., CHRISTOFIDES, P. D. & ORKOULAS, G. 2013. Modeling and
   control of protein crystal shape and size in batch crystallization. AIChE Journal, 59, 2317 2327.
- KWON, J. S., NAYHOUSE, M., CHRISTOFIDES, P. D. & ORKOULAS, G. 2014. Modeling and
   control of crystal shape in continuous protein crystallisation. Chemical Engineering Science,
   107, 47-57.
- LI, J., FREIREICH, B. J., WASSGREN, C. R. & LITSTER, J. D. 2013. Experimental validation of
   a 2-D population balance model for spray coating processes. Chemical Engineering Science,
   95, 360-365.
- LIN, F., FLOOD, A. E. & MELESHKO, S. V. 2016. Exact solutions of population balance equation.
   Commun Nonlinear Sci Numer Simul, 36, 378-390.

- LIU, H. & LI, M. 2014. Two-compartmental population balance modeling of a pulsed spray fluidized
   bed granulation based on computational fluid dynamics (CFD) analysis. International Journal
   of Pharmaceutics, 475, 256-269.
- LIU, J. J., HU, Y. D. & WANG, X. Z. 2013. Optimisation and control of crystal shape and size in protein crystallisation process. Computers and Chemical Engineering, 57, 133-140.
- LIU, J. J., MA, C. Y., HU, Y. D. & WANG, X. Z. 2010a. Effect of seed loading and cooling rate on crystal size and shape distributions in protein crystallization—A study using morphological population balance simulation. Computers and Chemical Engineering, 34, 1945-1952.
- LIU, J. J., MA, C. Y., HU, Y. D. & WANG, X. Z. 2010b. Modelling protein crystallisation using
   morphological population balance models. Chemical Engineering Research & Design, 88,
   437-446.
- LOVETTE, M. A., BROWNING, A. R., GRIFFIN, D. W., SIZEMORE, J. P., SNYDER, R. C. &
   DOHERTY, M. F. 2008. Crystal Shape Engineering. Industrial & Engineering Chemistry
   Research, 47, 9812-9833.
- MA, C. Y., LIU, J. J. & WANG, X. Z. 2016. Measurement, modelling, and closed-loop control of
   crystal shape distribution: Literature review and future perspectives. Particuology, 26, 1-18.
- MA, C. Y. & ROBERTS, K. J. 2018. Combining Morphological Population Balances with Face Specific Growth Kinetics Data to Model and Predict the Crystallization Processes for
   Ibuprofen. Ind Chem Eng Res, 57, 16379-16394.
- MA, C. Y. & WANG, X. Z. 2008. Crystal growth rate dispersion modelling using morphological
   population balance. AIChE Journal, 54, 2321-2334.
- MA, C. Y. & WANG, X. Z. 2012. Model identification of crystal facet growth kinetics in morphological population balance modeling of L-glutamic acid crystallization and experimental validation. Chemical Engineering Science, 70, 22-30.
- MA, C. Y., WANG, X. Z. & ROBERTS, K. J. 2007. Multi-dimensional population balance modelling
   of the growth of rod-like L-glutamic acid crystals using growth rates estimated from in process imaging. Advanced Powder Technology, 18, 707-723.
- MA, C. Y., WANG, X. Z. & ROBERTS, K. J. 2008. Morphological population balance for modelling
   crystal growth in individual face directions. AIChE Journal, 54, 209-222.
- MA, D. L., TAFTI, D. K. & BRAATZ, R. D. 2002. High-resolution simulation of multidimensional
   crystal growth. Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research, 41, 6217-6223.
- MAJUMDER, A. & NAGY, Z. K. 2013. Prediction and control of crystal shape distribution in the
   presence of crystal growth modifiers Chemical Engineering Science, 101 593-602.
- MARCHAL, P., DAVID, R., KLEIN, J. P. & VILLERMAUX, J. 1988. Crystallization and
   precipitation engineering .1. An efficient method for solving population balance in
   crystallization with agglomeration. Chemical Engineering Science, 43, 59-67.
- MENON, A. R., KRAMER, H. J. M., GRIEVINK, J. & JANSENS, P. J. 2005. Modelling the cyclic
   behaviour in a DTB crystallizer a two-population blance model approach. Journal of Crystal
   Growth, 275, e1373-e1381.
- MERSMANN, A., BRAUN, B. & LOFFELMANN, M. 2002. Prediction of crystallisation
   coefficients of the population balance. Chemical Engineering Science, 57, 4267-4275.
- 940 MULLIN, J. W. 2001. Crystallization, USA, Butterworth-Heinemann.
- OULLION, M., PUEL, F., FEVOTTE, G., RIGHINI, S. & CARVIN, P. 2007. Industrial batch
   crystallization of a plate-like organic product. In situ monitoring and 2D-CSD modelling: Part
   1: Experimental study. Chemical Engineering Science, 62, 820-832.
- PATIENCE, D. B., DELL'ORCO, P. C. & RAWLINGS, J. B. 2004. Optimal Operation of a Seeded
   Pharmaceutical Crystallization with Growth-Dependent Dispersion. Organic Process
   Research & Development, 8, 609-615.
- 947 PINTO, M. A., IMMANUEL, C. D. & DOYLE, F. J. 2007. A feasible solution technique for higher 948 dimensional population balance models. Computers & Chemical Engineering, 31, 1242-1256.

- PUEL, F., FEVOTTE, G. & KLEIN, J. P. 2003a. Simulation and analysis of industrial crystallization
   processes through multidimensional population balance equations. Part 1: a resolution
   algorithm based on the method of classes. Chemical Engineering Science, 58, 3715-3727.
- PUEL, F., FEVOTTE, G. & KLEIN, J. P. 2003b. Simulation and analysis of industrial crystallization
   processes through multidimensional population balance equations. Part 2: a study of semi batch crystallization. Chemical Engineering Science, 58, 3729-3740.
- RAMKRISHNA, D. & MAHONEY, A. W. 2002. Population balance modeling. Promise for the
   future. Chemical Engineering Science, 57, 595-606.
- RAWLINGS, J. B., WITKOWSKI, W. R. & EATON, J. W. 1992. Modelling and control of
   crystallizers. Powder Technology, 69, 3-9.
- SATO, K., NAGAI, H., HASEGAWA, K., TOMORI, K., KRAMER, H. J. M. & JANSENS, P. J.
   2008. Two-dimensional population balance model with breakage of high aspect ratio crystals
   for batch crystallization. Chemical Engineering Science, 63, 3271-3278.
- SHI, D., EL-FARRA, N. H., LI, M., MHASKAR, P. & CHRISTOFIDES, P. D. 2006. Predictive
  control of particle size distribution in particulate processes. Chemical Engineering Science,
  61, 268-281.
- SOTOWA, K. I., NAITO, K., KANO, M., HASEBE, S. & HASHIMOTO, I. 2000. Application of
   the method of characteristics to crystallizer simulation and comparison with finite difference
   for controller performance evaluation. Journal of Process Control, 10, 203-208.
- SUN, N. F. & IMMANUEL, C. D. 2005. Efficient solution of population balance models employing
  a hierarchical solution strategy based on a multi-level discretization. Transactions of the
  Institute of Measurement and Control, 27, 347-366.
- 971 TEMMEL, E., EISENSCHMIDT, H., LORENZ, H., SUNDMACHER, K. & SEIDEL972 MORGENSTERN, A. 2016. A Short-Cut Method for the Quantification of Crystallization
  973 Kinetics. 1. Method Development. Crystal Growth & Design, 16, 6743-6755.
- ULBERT, Z. & LAKATOS, B. G. 2005. Modelling and simulation of crystallisers under non-perfect
   micromixing conditions. Chemical Engineering Science, 60, 3525-3536.
- VETTER, T., BURCHAM, C. L. & DOHERTY, M. F. 2014. Regions of attainable particle sizes in continuous and batch crystallisation processes. Chemical Engineering Science, 106, 167-180.
- WAN, J., WANG, X. Z. & MA, C. Y. 2009. Particle shape manipulation and optimization in cooling
   crystallization involving multiple crystal morphological forms. AIChE Journal, 55, 2049 2061.
- WANG, X. Z. & MA, C. Y. 2009. Morphological population balance model in principal component
   space. AIChE Journal, 55, 2370-2381.
- WANG, X. Z., ROBERTS, K. J. & MA, C. Y. 2008. Crystal growth measurement using 2D and 3D
   imaging and the perspectives for shape control. Chemical Engineering Science, 63, 1171 1184.
- WARD, J. D., MELLICHAMP, D. A. & DOHERTY, M. F. 2006. Choosing an operating policy for
   seeded batch crystallization. AIChE Journal, 52, 2046-2054.
- WARD, J. D., YU, C.-C. & DOHERTY, M. F. 2011. A New Frameowrk and a Simpler Method for
   the Development of Batch Crytsallisation Recipes. AIChE Journal, 57, 606-617.
- WEEKS, J. D. & GILMER, G. H. 1979. Dynamics of crystal growth. Advances in Chemical Physics,
   40, 157-227.
- YU, X., HOUNSLOW, M. J. & REYNOLDS, G. K. 2015. Monte Carlo solution of population
   balance equations. AIChE Journal, 61, 2394-2402.
- ZHANG, Y. C. & DOHERTY, M. F. 2004. Simultaneous prediction of crystal shape and size for
   solution crystallization. AIChE Journal, 50, 2101-2112.