

This is a repository copy of A 3-parameter analytical model for the acoustical properties of porous media.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/144499/

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Horoshenkov, K. orcid.org/0000-0002-6188-0369, Hurrell, A. and Groby, J.-P. (2019) A 3-parameter analytical model for the acoustical properties of porous media. The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America, 145 (4). pp. 2512-2517. ISSN 0001-4966

https://doi.org/10.1121/1.5098778

Copyright 2019 Acoustical Society of America. This article may be downloaded for personal use only. Any other use requires prior permission of the author and the Acoustical Society of America. The following article appeared in The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America 2019 145:4, 2512-2517 and may be found at https://doi.org/10.1121/1.5098778

Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



Kirill V. Horoshenkov,¹ Alistair Hurrell,¹ and Jean-Philippe Groby²

- ³ ¹⁾Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of Sheffield, Sheffield, S1 3JD, ⁴ UK^a
- ⁵ ²Laboratoire d'Acoustique de l'Universit du Mans, LAUM UMR CNRS 6613,
- 6 Le Mans Universit, Avenue Olivier Messiaen, 72085 LE MANS CEDEX 9,
- 7 France

2

Many models for the prediction of the acoustical properties of porous media require 8 non-acoustical parameters few of which are directly measurable. One popular predic-9 tion model by Johnson, Champoux, Allard and Lafarge [Champoux et al, J. Appl. 10 Phys, 70(4), 1975 – 1979 (1991)] (334 citations, Scopus, December 2018) requires 11 six non-acoustical parameters. This paper proves that the use of more than three 12 parameters in the Johnson-Champoux-Allard-Lafarge model is not necessary at all. 13 Here we present theoretical and experimental evidence that the acoustical impedance 14 of a range of porous media with pore size distribution close to log-normal (granular, 15 fibrous and foams) can be predicted through the knowledge of the porosity, median 16 pore size and standard deviation in the pore size only. A novelty of this paper is 17 that it effectively halves the number of parameters required to predict the acoustical 18 properties of porous media very accurately. The significance of this paper is that 19 it proposes an unambiguous relationship between the pore microstructure and key 20 acoustical properties of porous media with log-normal pore size distribution. This 21 new model is well suited for using acoustical data for measuring and inverting key 22 non-acoustical properties of a wider range of porous media used in a range of appli-23 cations which are not necessarily acoustic. 24

^{a)}k.horoshenkov@sheffield.ac.uk

- ²⁵ PACS: 43.20 Mv, 43.20 Ye, 43.55 Ev, 43.58 Bh
- ²⁶ Keywords: Porous media, acoustic impedance, sound propagation

27 I. INTRODUCTION

The model for the acoustical properties of porous media proposed by Champoux, Allard 28 and Lafarge^{1,2} relies on six non-acoustical parameters which are: (i) porosity (ϕ), (ii) tor-29 tuosity (α_{∞}) ; (iii) viscous characteristic length (Λ) ; (iv) thermal characteristic length (Λ') ; 30 (v) viscous permeability (κ_0); and (vi) thermal permeability (κ'_0). The improved model pro-31 posed by Pride³ adds one more parameter, the Pride parameter (β). Some people include 32 it in their models, but rarely. These phenomenological parameters are notoriously difficult 33 to relate to the microstructure of real-life porous materials such as granular media, fibrous 34 media or complicated foam structures. This fact makes the application of this kind of models 35 for the inversion of microstructural properties of porous media from acoustical data rather 36 difficult to understand by non-acousticians, e.g. by material scientists, process or chemical 37 engineers. 38

It has recently been shown that the popular 6-parameter model¹ can be reduced to a 40 4-parameter model because the two characteristic lengths and two permeabilities can be 41 expressed via the median pore size (\bar{s}) and standard deviation in the pore size (σ_s) provided 42 that the size of pores in the porous medium is log-normally distributed⁴, i.e.:

$$\Lambda = \bar{s}e^{-5/2(\sigma_s \log 2)^2},\tag{1}$$

43

$$\Lambda' = \bar{s}e^{3/2(\sigma_s \log 2)^2},\tag{2}$$

44

$$\kappa_0 = \frac{\bar{s}^2 \phi}{8\alpha_\infty} e^{-6(\sigma_s \log 2)^2},\tag{3}$$

45 and

$$\kappa_{0}^{'} = \frac{\bar{s}^{2}\phi}{8\alpha_{\infty}}e^{6(\sigma_{s}\log 2)^{2}}.$$
(4)

⁴⁶ It has also been shown in⁴ that the Pride parameter³ for this type of porous media is:

$$\beta = 4/3e^{4(\sigma_s \log 2)^2}.$$
 (5)

⁴⁷ A theoretical model which has a reduced number of parameters can be attractive to scientists ⁴⁸ and engineers who work beyond acoustics because: (i) the number of parameters in the ⁴⁹ model is greatly reduced; (ii) the porosity, median pore size and standard deviation in ⁵⁰ pore size become directly measurable; (iii) the physical meaning of the median pore size and standard deviation in the pore size is easier to understand than the two characteristic lengths and thermal permeability. Effectively, the model by Horoshenkov *et al*⁴ suggests that the acoustical properties of a porous medium with log-normal pore size distribution can be predicted from the knowledge of ϕ , α_{∞} , \bar{s} and σ_s . This reduction in the number of parameters in a model also paves the way for an easier inversion of key morphological characteristics of porous media from acoustical data which are relatively easy to obtain through a standard impedance tube experiment⁵.

However, one question which has been overlooked by the authors of^4 is the relation 58 between the tortuosity and the pore size distribution. Is the tortuosity of this kind of 59 media a parameter independent from the pore size distribution statistics? This paper is an 60 attempt to answer that question. It shows that the tortuosity of a porous medium with 61 log-normally distributed pore size is controlled by the standard deviation in the pore size 62 distribution. It is also shown how the acoustical impedance of a range of porous media 63 (granular, fibrous and foams) can be predicted very accurately through the knowledge of 64 the porosity and pore size distribution parameters only. The novelty of this paper is that 65 it proposes a robust analytical model for the acoustical properties which relies on three 66 directly measurable parameters of porous media. It also shows that the use of more than 67 three parameters in the Johnson-Champoux-Allard-Lafarge model² is not necessary for many 68 types of porous media. The significance of this paper is that it proposes an unambiguous 69 relationship between the pore microstructure and key acoustical properties of porous media 70 with log-normal pore size distribution. This new model is well suited for using acoustical 71 data for measuring key non-acoustical properties of a wider range of porous media. 72

The paper is organised in the following manner. Section II proposes the new relation between the tortuosity and standard deviation in the pore size. Section III presents a revision of the model proposed in⁴. Section IV presents a comparison between the predicted and measured acoustical and non-acoustical properties of several types of porous media. Section V is the conclusions section.

78 II. THE TORTUOSITY OF POROUS MEDIA WITH LOG-NORMAL PORE SIZE 79 DISTRIBUTION

Let us assume that a sound wave propagates in a non-uniform pore which circular crosssection varies with depth as shown in Figure 1. In this figure Δx is the thickness of the

⁸² porous layer, Δl is the length of the section within which the change in the pore cross-section ⁸³ (A_n) is considered negligible. According to the work by Champoux and Stinson (see Eq. ⁸⁴ (20) in⁶) the electrically measured tortuosity of a medium with the total surface area (A)⁸⁵ covered by M non-uniform pores is:

$$\alpha_{\infty} = \frac{\phi A}{M\Delta x} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{\Delta l}{A_n},\tag{6}$$

where N is the total number of cross-sectional changes which may occur in the pore area measured along the thickness of the porous layer, Δx (for more details see Figure 1 in ref.⁶). Since $\phi = \frac{M}{A\Delta x} \sum_{n} A_n \Delta l$, and $\Delta x = \sum_{n} \Delta l$ for a constant value of Δl equation (6) becomes:

$$\alpha_{\infty} = \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{N} A_n \Delta l}{\left(\sum_{n=1}^{N} \Delta l\right)^2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{\Delta l}{A_n}.$$
(7)

Setting the total number of sections with a constant cross-section required to represent the complexity of the non-uniform pore shown in Figure 1 to $N \to \infty$, $\Delta l \to 0$ and swapping the sums in (7) for integrals yields (see eqs. (38)-(44) and eqs. (50), (51) in ref.⁴):

$$\alpha_{\infty} = \frac{I_A}{I_0^2} I_{1/A},\tag{8}$$

where $I_A = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} s^2 e(s) ds$, $I_{1/A} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} s^{-2} e(s) ds$ and $I_0 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e(s) ds$ and e(s) is the probability density function for the pore radius s.

It is common in the areas of geotechnics and granular porous media research to use a logarithm with base 2 to express pore size on a log-normal scale, i.e. so that $s = 2^{-\varphi}$, $e(s) = f(\varphi) \frac{d\varphi}{ds}$, $f(\varphi) = \frac{1}{\sigma_s \sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{(\varphi - \bar{\varphi})^2}{2\sigma_s^2}}$ and $\varphi = -\log_2 s$. For this choice of pore size distribution it is possible to demonstrate that the above three integrals reduce to:

$$I_{1/A} = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} 2^{2\varphi} e^{-\frac{(\varphi - \bar{\varphi})^2}{2\sigma_s^2}} d\varphi = 2^{2\bar{\varphi}} e^{2\sigma_s^2 \log^2 2}, \tag{9}$$

99

$$I_A = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} 2^{-2\varphi} e^{-\frac{(\varphi - \bar{\varphi})^2}{2\sigma_s^2}} d\varphi = 2^{-2\bar{\varphi}} e^{2\sigma_s^2 \log^2 2}.$$
 (10)

100 and

$$I_0 = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-\frac{(\varphi - \bar{\varphi})^2}{2\sigma_s^2}} d\varphi = 1.$$
(11)



FIG. 1. Sound propagation in a non-uniform pore with a circular cross-section.

In these expressions $\bar{\varphi} = -\log_2 \bar{s}$ is the mean pore size on the logarithmic scale and \bar{s} is the median pore size on the linear pore size scale. The substitution of Eqs. (9)-(11) in Eq. (7) yields the new equation for the tortuosity:

$$\alpha_{\infty} = e^{4(\sigma_s \log 2)^2}.\tag{12}$$

Eq. (12) suggests that the tortuosity of a porous medium with well-interconnected nonuniform pores which size is log-normally distributed depends only on the standard deviation in the pore size (σ_s). In the limit case, when the pores are uniform and their size is identical, $\sigma_s = 0$ and $\alpha_{\infty} = 1$, which makes physical sense for materials with a relatively high porosity. The main implication of Eq. (12) and previously derived expressions for the characteristic lengths and permeabilities (Eqs. (1)-(4)) is that it enables us to predict the acoustical properties of porous medium with three directly measurable parameters only rather than six^{1,2}. These parameters are: (i) the porosity (ϕ); (ii) the median pore size (\bar{s}); and (iii) the standard deviation in pore size distribution (σ_s).

III. MODELLING THE ACOUSTICAL PROPERTIES OF POROUS MEDIA WITH THE 3-PARAMETER MODEL

Following⁴ we will propose Padé approximations for the equations for the frequency dependent bulk dynamic density ($\tilde{\rho}(\omega)$) and bulk complex compressibility ($\tilde{C}(\omega)$) in the equivalent fluid model to predict the acoustical properties of porous media with log normal distribution. Here ω stands for the circular frequency.

The bulk dynamic density can be approximated with the following expression (Eqs. (64)and (65) in⁴):

$$\tilde{\rho}(\omega)/\rho_0 \simeq \frac{\alpha_\infty}{\phi} \left(1 + \epsilon_\rho^{-2} \tilde{F}_\rho(\epsilon_\rho) \right), \tag{13}$$

121 where

$$\tilde{F}_{\rho}(\omega) = \frac{1 + \theta_{\rho,3}\epsilon_{\rho} + \theta_{\rho,1}\epsilon_{\rho}}{1 + \theta_{\rho,3}\epsilon_{\rho}}$$
(14)

is the Padé approximant to the viscosity correction function with $\epsilon_{\rho} = \sqrt{\frac{-i\omega\rho_0\alpha_{\infty}}{\phi\sigma}}$ (we note, there is a typo in the expression for ϵ_{ρ} in ref.⁴. The flow resistivity for a single pore (σ_x) must appear in the denominator. Also, the term (ρ_0) is missing from the denominator in the left hand side of Eq. (64) in⁴), $\theta_{\rho,1} = 1/3$, $\theta_{\rho,2} = e^{-1/2(\sigma_s \log 2)^2}/\sqrt{2}$ and $\theta_{\rho,3} = \theta_{\rho,1}/\theta_{\rho,2}$ (see Section III in⁴). In these equations the bulk flow resistivity of the porous medium is (see also Eq. (3)):

$$\sigma = \frac{\eta}{\kappa_0} = \frac{8\eta\alpha_\infty}{\bar{s}^2\phi} e^{6(\sigma_s\log 2)^2},\tag{15}$$

where η is the dynamic viscosity of air and ρ_0 is the ambient density of air.

Similarly, the bulk complex compressibility of the fluid in the material pores can be given in the following form (see Eqs. (68) and (69) in⁴):

$$\tilde{C}(\omega) = \frac{\phi}{\gamma P_0} \left(\gamma - \frac{\gamma - 1}{1 + \epsilon_c^{-2} \tilde{F}_c(\epsilon_c)} \right), \tag{16}$$

131 where

$$\tilde{F}_c(\epsilon_c) = \frac{1 + \theta_{c,3}\epsilon_c + \theta_{c,1}\epsilon_c}{1 + \theta_{c,3}\epsilon_c}.$$
(17)

In the above two equations $\epsilon_c = \sqrt{\frac{-i\omega\rho_0 N_{Pr}\alpha_{\infty}}{\phi\sigma'}}, \ \theta_{c,1} = \theta_{\rho,1} = 1/3, \ \theta_{c,2} = e^{3/2(\sigma_s \log 2)^2},$ $\theta_{c,3} = \theta_{c,1}/\theta_{c,2}, \ \gamma$ is the ratio of specific heats, N_{Pr} is the Prandtl number and P_0 is the ambient atmospheric pressure. The thermal flow resistivity here is defined as as the inverse of the thermal permeability (see also Eq. (4)):

$$\sigma' = \frac{\eta}{\kappa'_0} = \frac{8\eta\alpha_\infty}{\bar{s}^2\phi} e^{-6(\sigma_s\log 2)^2}.$$
(18)

Eqs. (13) and (16) can be used to predict the characteristic acoustic impedance:

$$z_b(\omega) = \sqrt{\tilde{\rho}(\omega)/\tilde{C}(\omega)}$$
(19)

137 and complex wavenumber:

$$k_b(\omega) = \omega \sqrt{\tilde{\rho}(\omega)\tilde{C}(\omega)}$$
(20)

¹³⁸ in a porous medium with log-normal pore size distribution.

139 IV. RESULTS

Eqs. (19) and (20) were used to predict the acoustical surface impedance of hard-backed layers of three types of porous media: (i) loose granular media; (ii) fibrous media; and (iii) foams. The details of these three types of media are given in Table I. The values of the intrinsic air properties (e.g. ρ_0 , η , γ and N_{Pr}) were calculated from the standard equations for the temperature of 20°C and ambient air pressure of $P_0 = 101320$ Pa. The equations for these parameters come from several textbooks. These equations were carefully compiled and provided kindly by Matelys⁸.

We chose glass beads because it has been shown that the pore size distribution in glass beads is very close to log-normal⁷. We chose melamine foam and rebound felt as the other two examples because these materials are commonly used as acoustic absorbers in various noise control applications.

The normalised surface impedance of finite, hard-backed layers of these porous media was calculated by:

$$z_s(\omega) = z_b(\omega) \coth(-ik_b(\omega)h) / (\rho_0 c_0), \qquad (21)$$

where h is the layer thickness and c_0 is the sound speed in air. The measurements of the surface impedance of glass beads were carried out in a 45 mm diameter impedance tube. The measurements of the melamine foam and felt samples were made in a 100 mm diameter impedance tube. The both tubes were supplied by Materiacustica⁹. Each material sample was measured three times and the averaged data were used for the comparison with the model. The repeatability of the measurements was within $\pm 5\%$.

Figure 2 presents a comparison between the measured (dotted lines) and predicted (solid 159 and dashed lines) normalized surface impedance of a 40 mm thick, hard-backed stack of 2 160 mm diameter glass beads. These beads were deposited in the sample holder in a random 161 manner and were left uncompacted. The solid lines correspond to the prediction made 162 with the proposed Padé approximation model (equations (13) and (16)). The dashed lines 163 correspond to the prediction made with the Johnson-Allard-Champoux-Lafarge model^{1,2}. 164 The predictions correspond to the following values of the key non-acoustical parameters: 165 $\phi = 0.376$, $\bar{s} = 305 \,\mu\text{m}$; and $\sigma_s = 0.388$. These non-acoustical parameters were estimated 166 by fitting the Padé approximation model (Eqs. (13) and (16)) to the measured data for the 167 surface impedance through the Nelder-Mead function minimization technique¹⁰ as described 168 in¹¹. In this process the tortuosity and flow resistivity required for the Padé approximation 169 model were predicted using Eqs. (12) and (3), respectively. The thermal permeability and 170 characteristic lengths required for the subsequent comparison with the Johnson-Champoux-171 Allard model were predicted using Eqs. (1), (2) and (4), respectively. The values of all these 172 parameters for glass beads and for other materials studied in this work are summarized in 173 Table I. 174

The results obtained for glass beads suggest that the viscous characteristic length we 175 recovered from the acoustical data is consistent with that reported by Glover et al for 2 176 mm glass beads¹² (this work: $\Lambda = 255 \,\mu\text{m}$; Table 1 in Glover *et al*¹²: $\Lambda = 252 \,\mu\text{m}$). The 177 compaction states for these two materials were comparable so that the porosities were similar 178 (in this work: $\phi = 0.376$; Glover *et al*¹²: $\phi = 0.386$). The porosity value estimated from 179 our experiment is also consistent with that measured by Leclaire *et al* for uncompacted 180 glass beads. It was shown to be in the range of $0.362 \le \phi \le 0.385$ (see Table I in⁷). The 181 estimated value of the median pore size for our glass beads seems sensible because the work 182 by Glover *et al* (page E20 in¹²) suggests that the ratio of the sphere diameter (2 mm) to 183 the effective pore diameter (611 μ m) in a stack of identical beads should be 3.44, which 184 makes our estimate of \bar{s} accurate within 5%. Our estimate of the thermal characteristic 185



FIG. 2. The measured and predicted normalized surface impedance of a 40 mm thick, hard-backed layer of 2mm diameter glass beads.

length ($\Lambda' = 340 \ \mu m$) also makes sense because it scales favourably to $\Lambda' = 321 \ \mu m$ from $\Lambda' = 263 \ \mu m$ measured by Leclaire *et al* for 1.64 mm diameter glass beads in an independent water suction experiment (see Table II in⁷).

On the other hand, the flow resistivity predicted with Eqs. (3 and (15))($\sigma = 8560$ Pa s 189 m^{-2}) was found to be 17% higher than that we measured at the University of Sheffield with 190 an AFD AcoustiFlow 300 device on 100 mm diameter samples. This apparatus was supplied 191 by Akustik Forschung Dresden and used alongside their AFD 311 software package¹³. This 192 discrepancy can be related to differences in the packing conditions and diameters of the 193 sample holders used in the impedance tube and flow resistivity experiments. The estimated 194 value of tortuosity for our glass beads ($\alpha_{\infty} = 1.33$) is consistent with that one can estimate 195 from a typical value of the formation factor 196

$$F \approx \alpha_{\infty} / \phi,$$
 (22)

¹⁹⁷ which, according to literature (e.g.^{12,14}), can be in the range of $3.0 \le F \le 4.0$ for a stack of ¹⁹⁸ uniform spherical beads. This suggests that the tortuosity of our glass beads should be in ¹⁹⁹ the range of $1.13 \le \alpha_{\infty} \le 1.50$. Our tortuosity estimate of $\alpha_{\infty} = 1.33$ is very close to the ²⁰⁰ median for this range.



FIG. 3. The measured and predicted normalized surface impedance of a 16.5 mm thick, hard-backed layer of melamine foam used in a range of acoustic applications.

The results presented in Figure 2 show that the Padé approximation model used with a set of sensible values of the three key non-acoustic parameters predicts the real and imaginary part of the impedance with the normalized mean error of $\pm 4.4\%$. This error was calculated as:

$$E = \frac{\sum_{m} \|z_s^{(m)} - z_s^{(p)}\|}{\|z_s^{(m)}\|},$$
(23)

where the indices (m) and (p) stand for the measured and predicted values, respectively.

For this set of parameters the Johnson-Champoux-Allard model predicts the impedance with the normalized mean error of $\pm 4.0\%$. These errors are within the experimental accuracy of the impedance tube apparatus used in this work.

Figure 3 presents the normalized surface impedance of a 16.5 mm thick, hard-backed layer of melamine foam. Similarly to the results presented in Figure 2 the parameters of the best fit were obtained through the minimization procedure described in¹¹. The parameters of best fit are: $\phi = 0.998$, $\bar{s} = 115 \,\mu\text{m}$; and $\sigma_s = 0.243$. These and other parameters for this material are listed in Table I. The predictions by the two models made for this material are almost identical. The normalized mean error between the two models and measured data is within $\pm 2.4\%$. The two models are not very sensitive to the value of the porosity because



FIG. 4. The measured and predicted normalized surface impedance of a 21.5 mm thick, hard-backed layer of rebound felt used in automotive noise control applications.

its true value is close to unity ($\phi = 99.3\%$). The estimated median pore size ($\bar{s} = 115 \,\mu\text{m}$) 216 makes sense because typical pore count in melamine foams is 150-200 cells per inch¹⁵, which 217 suggests that the average cell size should be in the range of $127 - 169 \ \mu m$. For similar 218 melamine foam (see page 94 in¹⁶) it was estimated from optical images at $\bar{s} = 128 \pm 67 \,\mu\text{m}$, 219 which makes our estimate fall within the experimental error. Our estimate for the flow 220 resistivity of melamine foam (Eqs. (3) and (15)) is $\sigma = 14600$ Pa s m⁻². This is 10.4% 221 below the value of $\sigma = 16400$ Pa s m⁻² measured with our flow resistivity apparatus¹³. The 222 standard deviation in the pore size in this material is relatively small ($\sigma_s = 0.243$). As a 223 result, the tortuosity estimated with Eq. (12) is close to unity ($\alpha_{\infty} = 1.12$) and it is not a 224 dominant parameter in this case. 225

Finally, Figure 4 presents the normalized surface impedance for a 21.5 mm layer of rebound felt used as an acoustic lining in vehicles. Here we compare the predictions with the two models against measured data. This material corresponds to Sample 3 described and characterized in detail in¹⁷. The parameters of best fit for this material are: $\phi = 0.998$, $\bar{s} = 147 \ \mu \text{m}$; and $\sigma_s = 0.325$. These and other parameters for this material are listed in Table I. The estimated value of porosity is 3% higher than the $\phi = 0.97$ value reported in¹⁷. It is easy to check that this small discrepancy has only a marginal effect on the predicted

Material	h,	$\bar{s},$	ϕ	$\sigma_s,$	$\sigma,$	$\kappa_0',$	$\Lambda,$	$\Lambda',$
	mm	$\mu { m m}$		φ -units	$\rm Pa~s~m^{-2}$	m^2	$\mu { m m}$	$\mu { m m}$
2 mm glass beads	(40.0)	305	0.376	0.388	8560	5.06×10^{-9}	255	340
					(7290)		(253)	(321)
melamine foam	(16.5)	115	0.998	0.243	14600	1.75×10^{-9}	107	120
			(0.993)		(16400)			
rebound felt	(21.5)	147	0.998	0.325	11000	2.99×10^{-9}	131	160
			(0.970)		(10260)			

TABLE I. The values of non-acoustical parameters for the three materials studied in this work. The values in brackets correspond to those which were measured directly and non-acoustically.

impedance because the acoustical behaviour of this material is dominated by the pore size 233 and it is relatively insensitive to small variations in the porosity value. The normalized 234 mean error between the measured data and prediction with the Padé approximation model 235 is $\pm 1.5\%$. The normalized mean error between the measured data and prediction with the 236 Johnson-Champoux-Allard model is $\pm 1.8\%$. There is a small difference between the pre-237 dictions made with the Johnson-Champoux-Allard model and Padé approximation. This 238 difference can be reduced by adjusting the porosity between the measured ($\phi = 0.97$) and 239 estimated ($\phi = 0.998$) values. The flow resistivity of this material estimated using Eqs. (3) 240 and (15) is $\sigma = 11000$ Pa s m⁻². This value compares very well against the measured flow 241 resistivity of $\sigma = 10260 \pm 180$ Pa s m⁻² and it is within the experimental error. The stan-242 dard deviation in the pore size in this material ($\sigma_s = 0.325$) is higher than that estimated 243 for melamine foam ($\sigma_s = 0.243$). As a result, the tortuosity estimated with Eq. (12) is 244 noticeably higher than unity ($\alpha_{\infty} = 1.22$) and it is becoming an influential parameter in the 245 modelling process. 246

247 V. CONCLUSIONS

This paper proposes a new equation for the tortuosity of porous media with pore size close to log-normal (Eq. (12)) suggesting that the tortuosity of this kind of media is dependent on the standard deviation in the pore size only. This new equation can be combined with equations (1) - (4) to effectively halve the number of non-acoustical parameters used in the popular Johnson-Champoux-Allard model^{1,2}. It has been demonstrated through an experiment that this model can be used to predict accurately the acoustical properties of granular media, fibrous media and foams with three non-acoustical parameters only. These parameters are: (i) median pore size (\bar{s}); (ii) porosity (ϕ); and (iii) standard deviation in the log-normal pore size distribution (σ_s).

The paper also proposes a new Padé approximation model for the prediction of the acoustical properties of porous media with non-uniform pore size and pore size distribution close to log-normal. This model can be used as an alternative to the Johnson-Champoux-Allard model. It has been shown that the predictions with these two models are almost identical and close to our measured surface impedance data within $\pm 4\%$.

An approach to reduce the number of non-acoustical parameters in an acoustical model 262 seems very useful because it enables us to make an accurate estimation of key parameters 263 of pore size distribution and porosity in various types of porous media from acoustical data. 264 It also enables us to relate these quantities to those parameters in the Johnson-Champoux-265 Allard model which are difficult or impossible to measure non-acoustically. This makes 266 application of this approach more attractive for the inversion of microstructural properties of 267 porous media from acoustical data by non-acousticians, e.g. by material scientists, chemical, 268 process or geotechnical engineers. 260

270 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research has been partially supported by the EU COST Denorms (CA15125), EP-SRC UK Acoustics Network (EP/R005001) and EPSRC-sponsored Centre for Doctoral Training in Polymers, Soft Matter and Colloids at Sheffield. We are also grateful to Dr. Andre Revil, Université Savoie Mont-Blanc (France), for suggesting to include some relevant references to granular media research.

276 **REFERENCES**

²⁷⁷ ¹Y. Champoux and J. F. Allard, Dynamic tortuosity and bulk modulus in air-saturated
²⁷⁸ porous media, J. Appl. Phys., **70**(4), 1975-1979 (1991).

- ²⁷⁹ ²D. Lafarge, P. Lemarinier, J.-F. Allard, V. and Tarnow, Dynamic compressibility of air in
- porous structures at audible frequencies, J. Acoust. Soc. Am. 102(4), 1995-2006 (1997).
- ³S. R. Pride, F. D. Morgan and A. F. Gangi, Drag forces in porous medium acoustics, J. Phys. Rev. B **47**, 4964-4978 (1993).
- ²⁸³ ⁴K. V. Horoshenkov, J.-P. Groby and O. Dazel, Asymptotic limits of some models for
- sound propagation in porous media and the assignment of the pore characteristic lengths,
- ²⁸⁵ J. Acoust. Soc. Am., **139**(5), 2463-2474 (2016).
- ²⁸⁶ ⁵ISO 10534-2:1998, Determination of sound absorption coefficient and impedance in
- 287 impedance tubes, Part 2: Transfer-function method, International Organization for Stan-
- dardization, Geneva, Switzerland, (1998).
- ⁶Y. Champoux and M. R. Stinson, On acoustical models for sound propagation in porous
 materials and the influence of shape factors, J. Acoust. Soc. Am., 92(2), 1120 1131,
 (1992).
- ⁷P. Leclaire, M. J. Swift, and K. V. Horoshenkov, Determining the specific area of porous
 acoustic materials from water extraction data, J. Appl. Phys., 84 (12), 6886 6890 (1998).
- ²⁹⁴ ⁸http://http://apmr.matelys.com/. Last accessed on 19 March 2019.
- ⁹http://www.materiacustica.it/mat_UKProdotti_3Mics.html. Last accessed on 27 December 2018.
- ¹⁰J. A. Nelder and R. Mead, A simplex method for function minimization, Comput. J. 7,
 ³⁰⁸³¹³ (1965).
- ¹¹K. V. Horoshenkov, A. Khan, and H. Benkreira, Acoustic properties of low growing plants,
 J. Acoust. Soc. Am. **133** (5), 25542565 (2013)
- ¹²P. W. J. Glover and E. Walker, Grain-size to effective pore-size transformation derived
 from electrokinetic theory, Geophysics 74 (1), E17E29 (2009).
- ¹³https://www.akustikforschung.de/en/produkte/messgerate/
- stromungswiderstandsmessgerat-aed-300-acoustiflow/. Last accessed on 27
 December 2018.
- ¹⁴Q. Niu, A. Revil, Z. Li and Y.-H. Wang, Relationship between electrical conductivity
 ³⁰⁷ anisotropy and fabric anisotropy in granular materials during drained triaxial compressive
 ³⁰⁸ tests: a numerical approach, Geophys. J. Int. **210**, 117 (2017).

- ³⁰⁹ ¹⁵http://www.soundservice.co.uk/melamine_foam_tech_spec.html. Last accessed on
 ³¹⁰ 27 December 2018.
- ³¹¹ ¹⁶K. V. Horoshenkov, A review of acoustical methods for porous material characterisation, ³¹² Int. J. Acoust. Vib., **22**(1), 92-103 (2016).
- ³¹³ ¹⁷A. I. Hurrell, K. V. Horoshenkov, and M. T. Pelegrinis, The accuracy of some models for
- the airflow resistivity of nonwoven materials, J. Appl. Acoust., **130** 230-237 (2018).

315 LIST OF FIGURES

³¹⁶ Figure 1: Sound propagation in a non-uniform pore with a circular cross-section.

Figure 2. The measured and predicted normalized surface impedance of a 40 mm thick, hard-backed layer of 2 mm diameter glass beads.

Figure 3. The measured and predicted normalized surface impedance of a 16.5 mm thick, hard-backed layer of melamine foam used in a range of acoustic applications.

Figure 4. The measured and predicted normalized surface impedance of a 21.5 mm thick, hard-backed layer of rebound felt used in automotive noise control applications.