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Abstract The Mediterranean Sea (MS) is an oligotrophic basin whose offshore water column exhibits low dissolved inorganic phosphorus (P) and nitrogen (N) concentrations, unusually high nitrate (NO$_3$) to phosphate (PO$_4$) ratios, and distinct biogeochemical differences between the Western Mediterranean Sea (WMS) and Eastern Mediterranean Sea (EMS). A new mass balance model of P and N cycling in the WMS is coupled to a pre-existing EMS model to understand these biogeochemical features. Estimated land-derived inputs of reactive P and N to the WMS and EMS are similar per unit surface area, but marine inputs are 4 to 5 times greater for the WMS, which helps explain the approximately 3 times higher primary productivity of the WMS. The lateral inputs of marine sourced inorganic and organic P support significant fractions of new production in the WMS and EMS, similar to subtropical gyres. The mass balance calculations imply that the MS is net heterotrophic: dissolved organic P and N entering the WMS and EMS, primarily via the Straits of Gibraltar and Sicily, are mineralized to PO$_4$ and NO$_3$ and subsequently exported out of the basin by the prevailing anti-estuarine circulation. The high deepwater (DW) molar NO$_3$:PO$_4$ ratios reflect the high reactive N:P ratio of inputs to the WMS and EMS, combined with low denitrification rates. The lower DW NO$_3$:PO$_4$ ratio of the WMS (21) compared to the EMS (28) reflects lower reactive N:P ratios of inputs to the WMS, including the relatively low N:P ratio of Atlantic surface water flowing into the WMS.

Plain Language Summary The Mediterranean Sea (MS) is a marine desert: it exhibits extremely low biological productivity despite being almost entirely surrounded by land with high nutrient loadings from a large coastal population. To explain this paradox, we analyze the sources and fate of the two main nutrient elements that support the production of marine biomass, phosphorus (P), and nitrogen (N). We find that the main source of P and N to the MS is inflow of surface water from the Atlantic Ocean via the Strait of Gibraltar, not land-derived sources. This inflow is balanced by a return to the Atlantic Ocean of deeper Mediterranean water enriched in the biologically most active forms of P and N, phosphate and nitrate. The very low productivity of the MS therefore reflects a switch from less bioavailable chemical forms of P and N entering the MS to more bioavailable forms leaving the MS. Computer simulations reproduce these chemical differences when coupling the biological utilization and recycling of P and N to the circulation of the MS, which drives the water exchanges across the Strait of Gibraltar. These simulations also reproduce the differences in productivity and nutrient distributions between the western and eastern basins of the MS.

1. Introduction

The Mediterranean Sea (MS) is a major inland basin connected to the North Atlantic via the Strait of Gibraltar. It is oligotrophic, despite relatively high inputs of the essential nutrients phosphorus (P) and nitrogen (N) [Béthoux et al., 2005; Ludwig et al., 2009; Powley et al., 2014, 2016a]. This apparent contradiction is ascribed to the unusual anti-estuarine circulation [Krom et al., 2010] driven by excess evaporation within the basin [Béthoux, 1980]. Atlantic surface water (ASW) with relatively low inorganic P and N concentrations enters the Western Mediterranean Sea (WMS) through the Strait of Gibraltar, while deeper waters enriched in the inorganic nutrients are returned to the Atlantic Ocean. A similar exchange occurs between the WMS and the Eastern Mediterranean Sea (EMS) via the Strait of Sicily, a narrow passageway between Europe and Africa with a water depth of 360–430 m.

The two major basins of the MS, the WMS and EMS, have distinct biogeochemical properties. Deepwater (DW) phosphate (PO$_4$) and nitrate (NO$_3$) concentrations are approximately twice as high in the WMS compared to
The EMS [Moutin and Raimbault, 2002; Pujo-Pay et al., 2011], while primary productivity is 2.5–3.3 times greater in the WMS than the EMS [Turley et al., 2000; Berman-Frank and Rahav, 2012]. The differences in inorganic P and N concentrations and trophic conditions between the WMS and EMS appear to originate with differences in nutrient loading to the two basins and are subsequently maintained by the biological pump [Crispi et al., 2001].

The EMS is the largest body of water that is unequivocally P limited [Krom et al., 1991]. The winter phytoplankton bloom consumes all the dissolved phosphate within the surface water (SW), while measurable nitrate persists [Krom et al., 1992]. In addition to the phytoplankton, bacteria in the EMS have also been shown to be strongly P limited [Zohary and Roberts, 1998]. Under summer conditions, bacteria and micrograzers in the EMS are P limited, while autotrophs tend to be P and N colimited [Thingstad et al., 2005].

The situation in the WMS is not as clear-cut. The spring phytoplankton bloom has been proposed to be N limited [Marty et al., 2002] or N and P colimited [Pasqueron de Fommervault et al., 2015], while P limitation has been reported for the Gulf of Lions [Diaz et al., 2001]. Pasqueron de Fommervault et al. [2015] argue that the dissolved inorganic N:P ratio of SW below 20:1 implies that there are periods of N limitation and periods of P limitation during the phytoplankton bloom, rather than only N limitation [Marty et al., 2002]. During the summer stratification period in the WMS, P limitation generally occurs for both phytoplankton and heterotrophic bacteria [Thingstad and Rassoulzadegan, 1995; Thingstad et al., 1998; Marty et al., 2002; Van Wambeke et al., 2002; Pinhassi et al., 2006; Pasqueron de Fommervault et al., 2015]. Model results further indicate that on an annual basis, P is the ultimate limiting nutrient for phytoplankton growth throughout the MS [Lazzari et al., 2016].

There is a general consensus that the global ocean as a whole is autotrophic: it is responsible for about half the net productivity of the biosphere [Falkowski et al., 2000], in turn supports annual fish catches of $9.6 \times 10^7$ t [Duarte et al., 2009]. Marine productivity, however, is not evenly distributed, with coastal areas and upwelling areas exhibiting particularly high productivity. In addition, it has been suggested that oligotrophic areas of the ocean are net heterotrophic [Duarte et al., 2013] though this conclusion has been challenged [Williams et al., 2013]. The MS offers an unusual situation. As an inland sea, it receives large external inputs of non-marine P and N, which would favor autotrophy. Formation of intermediate and deep waters in the MS, and their ultimate export to the Atlantic Ocean, however, maintains ultraoligotrophic conditions. At present, whether the MS is net autotrophic or heterotrophic remains an open question.

The molar $\text{NO}_3^/-\text{PO}_4^{3-}$ DW ratios of the MS are especially high compared to the global ocean, increasing from 20–23:1 on average in the WMS to 26–30:1 within the EMS [Krom et al., 1991; Béthoux et al., 1998; Kress and Herut, 2001; Moutin and Raimbault, 2002; Riba%a’d’Alcala et al., 2003; Schroeder et al., 2010; Pujo-Pay et al., 2011]. Recent analyses suggest that for the EMS, the high N:P ratio of the external inputs, together with very limited denitrification [Krom et al., 2010; Huertas et al., 2012; Van Cappellen et al., 2014], explains the very high $\text{NO}_3^-/\text{PO}_4^{3-}$ DW ratios. The same may be true for the WMS [Huertas et al., 2012] although N$_2$ fixation has also been invoked to explain the unusual high DW $\text{NO}_3^-/\text{PO}_4^{3-}$ ratio [Béthoux et al., 1992, 2002].

Existing biogeochemical models of the MS [e.g., Crispi et al., 2001; Allen et al., 2002; Lazzari et al., 2012; Macias et al., 2014; Lazzari et al., 2016] focus on biological processes in the upper water column and the resulting ecosystem responses on seasonal timescales. Few models address the longer-term changes (i.e., ≥1 year) of the coupled P and N cycles across the entire MS, including the DW reservoirs. A biogeochemical mass balance model of P and N cycling in the EMS (excluding the Adriatic and Aegean Seas) was recently developed and applied to the second half of the twentieth century (1950–2000) [Powley et al., 2014; Van Cappellen et al., 2014]. The model incorporates the most up-to-date conceptual understanding of the key biogeochemical processes controlling the fate of P and N in the EMS, coupled to a simplified representation of the water cycle across the basin. The EMS model accounts for both inorganic and organic forms of P and N, as well as the temporal trajectories of P and N inputs and outputs.

Here we build on our previous work by developing a mass balance model for the P and N cycles in the WMS and then coupling it to the existing EMS model. The resulting WMS-EMS model is used to interpret the usual biogeochemical features of the MS and, in particular, the differences in productivity and P and N distributions between the WMS and EMS. We also analyze whether the MS is net autotrophic or net heterotrophic. The conclusions presented in this paper rely on the reconstructed P and N cycles for the year 1950, that is, before the large increases in anthropogenic P and N inputs to the MS that took place during the second
half of the twentieth century [Powley et al., 2014]. The effects of post-1950 changes in P and N inputs on primary productivity and water column nutrient concentrations of the MS are addressed in a companion paper.

2. Mass Balance Model

2.1. Circulation

Basin-scale circulation is represented using the water cycle model of Powley et al. [2016b]. Briefly, the WMS and EMS are both divided into three horizontal layers: surface water (WMSW and EMSW), intermediate water (WMIW and EMIW), and deep water (WMDW and EMDW) (Figure 1). The water cycle model accounts for the bidirectional flows across the Straits of Gibraltar and Sicily, as well as DW formation and upwelling in both the WMS and EMS. In the EMS, DW formation occurs in the Adriatic and Aegean Seas, which are separated from the Levantine and Ionian Basins by the Otranto and Cretan Straits, respectively. The Adriatic and Aegean Seas are excluded from the EMS model domain; that is, DW formation is modeled by imposing the water flux entering the EMDW. In the WMS, open-ocean DW formation originates near the Gulf of Lions in the northwestern part of the WMS. The corresponding area of about 25,000 km² falls outside the model domain; throughout this paper we will refer to this area as the north-west Mediterranean (NWM). The water cycle is assumed to be at steady state: annual flows in and out of each of the water reservoirs balance each other. A complete description of the water cycle can be found in Powley et al. [2016b]. Note that the estimated water residence time of 44 years for WMDW is approximately 3 times lower than that of 150 years for EMDW (Figure 1) [Powley et al., 2016b].

2.2. Phosphorus and Nitrogen Cycling in the WMS

The WMS P and N concentrations and fluxes are assigned following the same approach used for the EMS by Van Cappellen et al. [2014]. Key model assumptions are as follows:

1. The annually integrated primary production in the WMS is P limited, and N assimilation is coupled to P uptake through the Redfield ratio (N:P = 16:1 [Redfield et al., 1963]).
2. Prior to 1950, anthropogenic pressures on P and N cycling in the offshore waters of the WMS were relatively minor; hence, the P and N cycles in 1950 are assumed to be at steady state.

Phosphorus limitation of primary production is clearly established for the EMS, less so for the WMS. In fact, the Alboran Sea is probably N limited [Ramirez et al., 2005; Lazzari et al., 2016]. However, at the scale of the entire WMS basin, annual primary production is still primarily P, rather than N, limited. The phytoplankton bloom in the WMS is typically initiated by mixing of the water column, which brings NO₃⁻-enriched water (i.e., with NO₃⁻:PO₄³⁻ > 16) into the photic zone [Severin et al., 2014], suggesting that P is the ultimate limiting nutrient. Lazzari et al. [2016] further conclude that on an annual basis the WMS is P limited based on their model simulations in which a variable intracellular N:P quota controls nutrient uptake by phytoplankton. In most of the open ocean, the average, whole-phytoplankton community NO₃⁻:PO₄³⁻ uptake ratio closely follows the Redfield value of N:P = 16 [Klausmeier et al., 2004; Arrigo, 2005], although instantaneous ratios within and among different phytoplankton species may vary substantially (between 6:1 and 45:1 [Geider and La Roche, 2002; Klausmeier et al., 2004]) Particularly in P-limited systems, such as the MS, the uptake ratio may exceed the Redfield value. For instance, for the EMS, Krom et al. [2014] report values of 16–25:1. In the absence of more definitive data, we impose the standard 16:1 Redfield value to calculate N assimilation in both WMSW and EMSW. To assess the sensitivity of the model predictions to the selected NO₃⁻:PO₄³⁻ uptake ratio, we also performed a set of simulations with a NO₃⁻:PO₄³⁻ uptake ratio of 23:1, a value that may be more representative of P-starved marine ecosystems [Klausmeier et al., 2004]. The results are discussed in section 2.8.

The P reservoirs included in the model are dissolved inorganic P (PO₄³⁻), particulate organic P (POP), and dissolved organic P (DOP). The N reservoirs are dissolved nitrate plus nitrite (NO₃⁻), dissolved ammonium (NH₄⁺), particulate organic N (PON), and dissolved organic N (DON). All of the P and N reservoirs are represented in each of the water layers, resulting in a total of 9 P and 12 N reservoirs in the WMS model or 18 P and 24 N reservoirs in the combined WMS-EMS model. Throughout this paper total P (TP) encompasses both dissolved and particulate pools of P; that is, TP is the sum of PO₄³⁻, POP, DOP, and particulate inorganic P (PIP). Reactive P is defined as the sum of PO₄³⁻, POP, DOP, and for non-marine inputs to the MS, also the fraction of PIP that is...
Dissolved reactive P encompasses $\text{PO}_4$ and DOP. Likewise reactive N is the sum of $\text{NO}_3$, PON, DON, and $\text{NH}_4$, while dissolved reactive N only includes $\text{NO}_3$, DON, and $\text{NH}_4$. Assuming that particulate inorganic N is negligible, total N (TN) equals reactive N. Dissolved inorganic N (DIN) is the sum of $\text{NO}_3$ and $\text{NH}_4$.

The P and N concentrations in the water reservoirs and the corresponding ranges obtained from the literature are given in Table 1. Note that the concentration values are those obtained after spinning the model up to steady state. The WMS and EMS nutrient models are coupled via the fluxes of the various forms of dissolved P and N through the Strait of Sicily. The following sources of P and N to the WMS are considered: inflow of ASW via the Strait of Gibraltar, atmospheric deposition, river inflow, submarine groundwater discharge (SGD), and direct domestic wastewater discharges. In addition, and in contrast to the EMS, N$_2$ fixation represents a non-negligible input of reactive N to the WMS [Garcia et al., 2006; Sandroni et al., 2007; Ibello et al., 2010; Bonnet et al., 2011] and is therefore explicitly included. The estimated P and N input fluxes from direct wastewater discharges [Powley et al., 2016a] and SGD [Rodellas et al., 2015] are based on recent studies.

**Figure 1.** Conceptual model framework. (a) Water reservoirs and circulation: the black arrows represent advective water fluxes, the gray-dashed arrows represent turbulent mixing fluxes, and the water residence times are given for all reservoirs. Water fluxes and residence times are the same as those given in Powley et al. (2016b). (b) Nutrient model: note that assimilation of P and N only occurs in the surface water (SW) reservoirs, denitrification, and burial only in the deepwater (DW) reservoirs (gray dashed arrows). Assim = assimilation; sol = solubilization; min = mineralization; nit = nitrification.
Because they were not considered in the original EMS model, the latter is updated as described in section 2.3. The outputs of P and N considered in the model are sedimentary burial, outflows to adjacent basins, and for N also denitrification. All references used to initialize model reservoirs, external inputs, and outputs are given in Table 2.

### 2.3. External Inputs of P and N

The incoming NO$_3$ flux from the Atlantic Ocean is calculated using reported NO$_3$ concentrations in the Strait of Gibraltar [Huertas et al., 2012], corrected for the effect of solute mixing within the strait [Macias et al., 2007] (see the supporting information for details). The corresponding PO$_4$ flux is calculated using a NO$_3$:PO$_4$ ratio of 10:1 [Coste et al., 1984; Gómez et al., 2000; Dañer et al., 2003; Huertas et al., 2012]. Concentrations of DON (4.5 μM) and DOP (0.14 μM) in the incoming ASW are derived from data from Station 7, west of the Strait of Gibraltar, measured during the MEDRIPOD IV cruise [La Corre et al., 1984]. Nutrient concentrations are multiplied by the ASW inflow of 0.83 Sv (10$^6$ m$^3$ s$^{-1}$) (for justification, see Powley et al. [2016b]) to yield the input fluxes listed in Table 3. In a similar fashion, the inputs of the various P and N species from the EMS are computed by multiplying the EMIW concentrations by the inflow via the Strait of Sicily.

### Table 2. Summary of References Used for Initialization of the Steady State Model Reservoirs and Fluxes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Input Fluxes</th>
<th>Output Fluxes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Atlantic surface water P and N concentrations</td>
<td>[Coste et al., 1984; La Corre et al., 1984; Coste et al., 1988; Gómez et al., 2000; Dañer et al., 2003; Macías et al., 2007; Huertas et al., 2012; Schroeder et al., 2012; Ramírez-Romero et al., 2014]</td>
<td>[Aspila et al., 1976; Sanchez-Cabeza et al., 1999; Van Den Broeck and Moutin, 2002; de Madron et al., 2003; Denis and Grenz, 2003; Masqué et al., 2003; Zúñiga et al., 2007a; Zúñiga et al., 2007b; Ruttenberg et al., 2009; Heimburger et al., 2012]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atmospheric deposition</td>
<td>[Migon et al., 1989; Loje-Pilot et al., 1990; Bergarnetti et al., 1992; LeBoloch and Guerzoni, 1995; Guerzoni et al., 1999; Migon and Sandroni, 1999; Migon et al., 2001; Sandroni et al., 2007; Markaki et al., 2010; Nenes et al., 2011; Powley et al., 2014; Van Cappellen et al., 2014; Stockdale et al., 2016]</td>
<td>[Gehlen et al., 1997; Denis and Grenz, 2003]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Submarine groundwater discharge</td>
<td>[Dowling et al., 2003; Burnett et al., 2006; Burnett et al., 2007; Charidé and Rahman, 2007; Kroeger et al., 2007; Santos et al., 2009; García-Solsona et al., 2010; Bayari et al., 2011; Erisman et al., 2011; Weinstein et al., 2011; El-Gamal et al., 2012; Asai et al., 2013; Kim et al., 2013; Santos et al., 2013; Makings et al., 2014; Tait et al., 2014; Tovar-Sanchez et al., 2014; FAOSTAT, 2015b, 2015a, Rodellas et al., 2015]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rivers</td>
<td>[Ludwig et al., 2009; Van Cappellen et al., 2014]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Domestic wastewater</td>
<td>[Powley et al., 2016a]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N$_2$ fixation</td>
<td>[García et al., 2006; Sandroni et al., 2007; Ibello et al., 2010; Bonnet et al., 2011]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burial of P and N</td>
<td>[Aspila et al., 1976; Sanchez-Cabeza et al., 1999; Van Den Broeck and Moutin, 2002; de Madron et al., 2003; Denis and Grenz, 2003; Masqué et al., 2003; Zúñiga et al., 2007a; Zúñiga et al., 2007b; Ruttenberg et al., 2009; Heimburger et al., 2012]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denitrification</td>
<td>[Gehlen et al., 1997; Denis and Grenz, 2003]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The 1950 riverine inputs of TP and TN to the WMS are assigned the values estimated by Ludwig et al. [2009] for 1963, assuming that minimal change occurred between 1950 and 1963. Riverine TP is assumed to consist of 48% PO$_4^-$, 8% DOP, and 44% PIP, while riverine TN is 75% NO$_3^-$, 20% DON, and 5% NH$_4^+$ [Ludwig et al., 2009]. It is further assumed that 75% of PIP solubilizes to PO$_4^-$ upon addition to seawater [Van Cappellen et al., 2014]. The 1950 riverine fluxes of dissolved reactive P and dissolved reactive N to the WMS are then equal to 0.16 × 10$^9$ mol P yr$^{-1}$ and 3.9 × 10$^9$ mol N yr$^{-1}$ (Table 3).

Atmospheric deposition in the WMS has two main sources: Saharan dust and anthropogenic emissions from Europe, mainly from industry and transportation [Bergametti et al., 1992]. The estimated average total deposition fluxes of leachable inorganic P and inorganic N measured over the period of 1984–2005 are 0.37 × 10$^9$ mol P yr$^{-1}$ and 36.7 × 10$^9$ mol N yr$^{-1}$, respectively (section S1.1.2 and Table S3 in the supporting information). We further estimate that 38% of leachable P and 32% of leachable N in atmospheric deposition are in the form of DOP and DON, respectively [Markaki et al., 2010; Van Cappellen et al., 2014]. The resulting atmospheric fluxes of reactive P and N are representative of the 1990s and are therefore back-projected to 1950 values using the forcing functions presented in Powley et al. [2014]. These functions are derived from (1) ice core records for NO$_3^-$ and NH$_4^+$ deposition, (2) sulfur and NO$_x$ emissions for PO$_4^-$ deposition as they cause acid processing of atmospheric dust thereby increasing P solubility [Nenes et al., 2011; Stockdale et al., 2016], and (3) biomass burning trends to account for changes in atmospheric deposition of DOP and DON. The resulting 1950 values, imposed in the model, are listed in Table 3.

Nitrogen fixation has been suggested to account for 38–53% of the total external N input to the MS (or 50–90 × 10$^9$ mol N yr$^{-1}$) in order to balance the N budget [Béthoux et al., 1992]. However, direct measurements of N$_2$ fixation within the MS have only recently been undertaken, yielding estimates between 0.97 × 10$^9$ and

| Table 3. Input and Output Fluxes of P (PO$_4^-$, POP, and DOP) and N (NO$_3^-$, DON, NH$_4^+$, and PON) for the WMS and EMS (in Units of 10$^9$ mol yr$^{-1}$) |
|---------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-------|----------|----------|
| **Input** | Sea | PO$_4^-$ | DOP | POP | NO$_3^-$ | DON | NH$_4^+$ | PON |
| Riverine | WMS | 0.14 | 0.01 | 2.9 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| | EMS | 0.23 | 0.06 | 2.4 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Atmospheric | WMS | 0.16 | 0.15 | 8.1 | 12.3 | 5.8 |
| | EMS | 0.38 | 0.33 | 19.8 | 30.8 | 13.8 |
| N$_2$ fixation | WMS | 12.1 |
| | EMS | 0 |
| SGD$^a$ | WMS | 0.008 | 0.004 | 1.1 | 3.3 | 0.01 |
| | EMS | 0.004 | 0.002 | 0.4 | 1.8 | 0.01 |
| Wastewater | WMS | 0.17 | 0.03 | 0.08 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 2.1 | 0.2 |
| | EMS | 0.13 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 2.0 | 0.2 |
| Output | Burial | WMS | 0.55 |
| | EMS | 10.6 |
| Denitrification | WMS | 18.0 |
| | EMS | 2.4 |

**Inflow/Outflow From Adjacent Basins**

| Atl — WMSW (Concentration)$^b$ | 4.05 (0.155) | 3.66 (0.14) | 41.8 (1.6) | 117.5 (4.5) | 2.6 (0.1) |
| WMSW — EMSW | 1.72 | 2.60 | 29.4 | 100.0 | 1.1 |
| EMIW — WMIW | 3.52 | 1.39 | 90.5 | 93.2 | 1.6 |
| WMIW — Atl | 3.97 | 0.65 | 97.3 | 40.4 | 0.1 |
| WMDW — Atl | 3.63 | 0.27 | 76.2 | 24.4 | 0.1 |
| EMIW — Adr | 1.02 | 0.40 | 26.3 | 27.1 | 0.5 |
| Adr — EMDW | 1.08 | 0.42 | 28.5 | 29.9 | 0.5 |
| EMIW — Aeg | 0.13 | 0.05 | 3.3 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| Aeg — EMDW | 0.14 | 0.05 | 3.7 | 3.6 | 0.1 |
| (WMSW + WMIW) — NW | 3.57 | 1.05 | 84.9 | 53.0 | 0.3 |
| NW — WMDW | 3.57 | 1.05 | 85.2 | 53.1 | 0.3 |

$^a$SGD = freshwater-derived submarine groundwater discharge.

$^b$μM.
29 × 10^9 mol N yr⁻¹ for the whole WMS [García et al., 2006; Sandroni et al., 2007; Ibello et al., 2010; Bonnet et al., 2011]. Here, N₂ fixation is assigned a flux of 12.1 × 10^9 mol N yr⁻¹ based on data obtained during the BOUM cruise along an east to west transect across the WMS [Bonnet et al., 2011].

Recent estimates of the direct domestic wastewater discharges of TP and TN into the WMS in year 2003 are 0.35 × 10^9 mol P yr⁻¹ and 5.4 × 10^9 mol N yr⁻¹ [Powley et al., 2016a]. Wastewater TP is assumed to comprise 43% PO₄, 25% POP, 10% DOP, and 22% PIP, while wastewater TN consists of 16% NO₃, 7% PON, 12% DON, and 65% NH₄ [Powley et al., 2016a]. The 1950 reactive N input fluxes are obtained from the corresponding 2003 values by assuming that direct domestic wastewater N discharges scale proportionally to the coastal population [FAOSTAT, 2016]. For reactive P, direct domestic wastewater is assumed to follow riverine N:P trends, hence accounting for changes in laundry and dishwasher detergent use between 1950 and 2003 [Ludwig et al., 2009, 2010]. The resulting 1950 input fluxes are given in Table 3.

Submarine groundwater discharges of PO₄ and DIN are calculated by multiplying the flow of fresh SGD into the WMS [Powley et al., 2016b] and the average PO₄ and DIN concentrations in Mediterranean coastal aquifers [Rodellas et al., 2015]. Imposing a NO₃:DIN ratio of 0.99 (Table S4), and assuming that DOP and DON contribute one third of dissolved reactive P and N in SGD, respectively (Table S5), yields SGD fluxes of 0.015 × 10^9 mol P yr⁻¹ and 14.4 × 10^9 mol N yr⁻¹ for the early 2000s. The 1950 reactive N flux from SGD (Table 3) is then derived from fertilizer and manure application trends [Erisman et al., 2011; FAOSTAT, 2015a, 2015b] and assuming a 30 year time lag between SGD recharge and discharge (Table S6). We further assume that anthropogenic inputs of reactive P are retained in the aquifers due to the low dissolved reactive P concentration in SGD reported for the early 2000s [Rodellas et al., 2015]. Thus, the 1950 dissolved reactive P flux from SGD is the same as that for the early 2000s.

2.4. Outputs of P and N

Fluxes of dissolved reactive P and N exiting the WMS through the Strait of Gibraltar are calculated by multiplying the corresponding water fluxes with the concentrations of the various P and N species in WMIW and WMDW (Tables 1 and 3). Similarly, the outputs of dissolved reactive P and N to the EMS are obtained by multiplying the concentrations in WMSW with the flow of water from the WMS to the EMS via the Strait of Sicily.

To the authors’ knowledge, no published estimates exist for POP burial in the WMS. We therefore obtained samples from eight sediment cores from the Alboran Sea and Catalan Shelf, courtesy of Dr. Pere Masqué (T6, Alb 1, Alb 2, Alb E, Alb D, T3, EB2, and CN36) [Sanchez-Cabeza et al., 1999; Masqué et al., 2003] and measured POP concentrations following the SEDEX protocol [Ruttenberg et al., 2009]. Briefly, TP was determined by ashing the sample for 2 h at 500°C, followed by 16 h of 1 M HCl digestion; PIP was measured after 16 h of 1 M HCl digestion. The POP concentration was calculated as the difference between the two experimental values (see the supporting information for details on the core locations and experimental methods and results).

The POP concentration of sediment samples varies by only a factor of 2 (2.9–7.1 μmol g⁻¹ dry weight; Table S9), in contrast to reported sediment accumulation rates which vary by an order of magnitude (0.063–0.51 g cm⁻² yr⁻¹; Table S8 [Sanchez-Cabeza et al., 1999; Masqué et al., 2003]). The open WMS POP burial flux is estimated using the average POP sediment concentration measured in the cores retrieved at water depths exceeding 1000 m (5.6 μmol g⁻¹ dry weight), multiplied by sediment accumulation rates from the Algero-Balearic basin (41 g m⁻² yr⁻¹ [Zúñiga et al., 2007a]) and DYFAMED site (168 g m⁻² yr⁻¹ [Heimbürger et al., 2012]) (Table S7). Burial of POP in the Alboran Sea is estimated using the average POP burial rate from the six cores located in the Alboran Sea. The sediment POP concentrations for the Catalan shelf (core CN 36) together with reported sediment accumulation rates are used to approximate POP burial in the Gulf of Lions [Van Den Broeck and Moutin, 2002] (Table S10). The resulting range for the POP burial flux in the WMS is then 0.56–1.1 × 10⁹ mol P yr⁻¹ (Table S7). We use the low-end estimate as representative of the 1950 POP burial flux. The final burial flux after model spin-up is reported in Table 3.

Sediment PON burial is estimated separately for the Alboran Sea, Gulf of Lions, and the remaining WMS, using reported burial rates of PON [Masqué et al., 2003; Heimbürger et al., 2012] and POC [de Madron et al., 2003;
The resulting total PON burial flux for the WMS is on the order of $11 \times 10^9$ mol yr$^{-1}$ (see Table S7). The denitrification flux for the WMS ($20 \times 10^9$ mol yr$^{-1}$; see Table S11) is derived from denitrification rates measured over the course of 1 year at the DYFAMED site [Gehlen et al., 1997], plus sediment core incubation results for the Gulf of Lions [Denis and Grenz, 2003]. Slight adjustments after model spin-up yield the N removal fluxes given in Table 3.

2.5. Primary Production

Annual primary productivity in the WMS is assigned to be 2.5 times that in the EMS based on the primary production measurements in the WMS and EMS between 1970 and 2010 compiled by Berman-Frank and Rahav [2012]. The resulting 1950 primary productivity in the WMS after model spin-up is then 148 g C m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$, compared to 56 g C m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$ in the EMS. Primary productivity is converted to P and N assimilation fluxes using the Redfield C:N:P ratio of 106:16:1 [Redfield et al., 1963]. At steady state, export production equals new production [Epplley and Peterson, 1979]. The export production in the WMS is 28–35 g C m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$ according to Béthoux [1989] and Zúñiga et al. [2008]. Using molar POC:PON and POC:POP ratios of sinking organic matter of 7.7 and 169, respectively [Marty et al., 2009], we obtain export fluxes of $11.4 \times 10^9$ mol yr$^{-1}$ for POP and $259 - 318 \times 10^9$ mol yr$^{-1}$ for PON. The lower values of these two ranges are assigned to the 1950 fluxes of POP and PON from WMSW to WMIW, in order to account for the increased productivity since 1950, because of higher inputs of anthropogenic nutrients to the MS [Ludwig et al., 2009; Powley et al., 2014, 2016a]. The final sinking fluxes upon model spin-up are given in Table S12.

2.6. Deepwater Formation

Incoming WMDW through DW formation in the NWM contains the dissolved reactive P and N originally present in the WMSW and WMIW exported to the NWM. A small correction for additional external inputs to the NWM from N$_2$ fixation and atmospheric deposition is applied to the deepwater P and N fluxes into the WMDW similar to the approach used by Van Cappellen et al. [2014] for the EMS. Total reactive dissolved fluxes of $4.6 \times 10^9$ mol P yr$^{-1}$ and $139 \times 10^9$ mol N yr$^{-1}$ are then estimated to enter the WMDW through DW formation in 1950, of which $0.009 \times$ mol P yr$^{-1}$ and $0.43 \times 10^9$ mol N yr$^{-1}$ are attributed to the additional external inputs into the NWM (Table 3; see the supporting information for further details).

2.7. Modifications to Existing EMS Model

The original EMS model of Van Cappellen et al. [2014] is modified by adding SGD and direct domestic wastewater discharges as sources of P and N, following the same procedures as for the WMS (section 2.3). The EMS wastewater reactive P and N inputs for the year 2003 of Powley et al. [2016a] are reduced taking into account the changes in the coastal populations and riverine NP ratios [Ludwig et al., 2009; FAOSTAT, 2016], to yield 1950 wastewater fluxes into the EMS of $0.2 \times 10^9$ and $2.7 \times 10^9$ mol yr$^{-1}$ for reactive P and N, respectively. The 1950 dissolved reactive P and N fluxes associated with SGD are computed using a fresh SGD water flux to the EMS of 15.1 km$^3$ yr$^{-1}$ derived from regional estimates by Zekster et al. [2007], PO$_4$ and DIN concentrations of 0.28 and 370 µM, respectively [Rodellas et al., 2015], and assuming that DOP and DON contribute one third of the reactive P and N inputs (section 2.3). The additional P and N inputs cause slight changes to the EMS model; the largest ones involve adjustments of the first-order rate constants ($k$) for P solubilization and mineralization in EMDW, N mineralization in EMIW and EMDW, and EMDW nitrification. These changes, however, are within 9% of the original $k$ values. All other $k$ values remain unchanged.

2.8. Numerical Solution and Sensitivity Analyses

The model consists of 42 differential equations that are solved simultaneously in MATLAB with solver ODE15s. The numerical approach followed is the same as described by Van Cappellen et al. [2014] for the EMS model. The fluxes in the model are described by simple first-order rate expressions with respect to the source reservoir mass. Exceptions are the turbulent diffusion fluxes, which depend linearly on the concentration differences between source and sink reservoir. Vertical eddy diffusion coefficients are the same as in Powley et al. [2016b]. Slightly modified rate expressions are also used to represent DON mineralization and nitrification in the WMSW and EMSW [see Van Cappellen et al., 2014]. Upwelling and downwelling fluxes,
along with the bidirectional fluxes between the EMS and WMS through the Strait of Sicily, are computed from the nutrient concentrations in the source reservoir and the corresponding water flow to the receiving water body [Powley et al., 2016b]. Final model values for the internal nutrient fluxes (Table S12) and corresponding rate parameters (k) are obtained by spinning the model up to steady state.

A global model sensitivity analysis is carried out with a fractional factorial design analysis [Box et al., 1978; Van Cappellen et al., 2014] of the coupled WMS-EMS model. Four model responses are tested (WMS and EMS primary productivity, and WMS and EMS NO$_3$PO$_4$ ratio) using three sets of parameters: water cycle (2$^{14}$–4$^{5}$ fractional factorial design), internal fluxes (2$^{46}$–36 fractional factorial design), and external fluxes. For the latter, a 2$^{28}$–18 factorial design analysis is used to assess the sensitivity of primary productivity, while due to the high number of variables, the responses of NO$_3$PO$_4$ ratios are investigated separately for the WMS and EMS variables. Model parameters within each set are varied by ±10%. Thus, for example, when internal parameters are varied, external and water cycle parameters remain constant. Results are plotted on effect versus probability graphs. Because higher-order effects have negligible effects on the model dynamics [Van Cappellen et al., 2014], only first- and second-order interactions are plotted. Results that deviate from the vertical axis through the origin imply that the model response is sensitive to the corresponding parameter.

The sensitivity analyses indicate that primary productivity in both the WMS and EMS is particularly sensitive to inflow of PO$_4$ and DOP from the Atlantic Ocean, plus atmospheric deposition of PO$_4$ in the respective basins (Figures 2a–2e). Primary productivity in both WMS and EMS is also sensitive to the solubilization of POP and subsequent mineralization of DOP within the SW, highlighting the role of heterotrophic
processes in recycling P, as well as to other internal cycling processes such as P assimilation, sinking of POP out of the SW, and, in the EMS, turbulent mixing (Figures 2e and 2f). Interestingly, primary productivity in the EMS is sensitive to processes in the WMSW that are involved in the recycling of P and the fluxes of P into and out of WMSW (Figure 2f).

The WMDW NO$_3$-PO$_4$ ratio is most sensitive to the inflow of PO$_4$ and DON from the Atlantic Ocean, together with atmospheric DON deposition in the EMS (Figures 2g, 2i, and 2k). For EMDW, the NO$_3$-PO$_4$ ratio is more sensitive to processes that alter the N:P of the water entering the DW rather than processes taking place in the EMDW reservoir itself (Figures 2h, 2j, and 2l). Furthermore, the EMDW NO$_3$-PO$_4$ ratio is more sensitive to processes taking place in the WMS, such as sinking of POP out of WMSW, N:P assimilation ratio in the WMSW, solubilization of POP and PON in the WMSW, and dissolved P and N entering the WMS through the Strait of Gibraltar, than to any process occurring in the EMS. For example, increasing the WMSW solubilization flux of PON by 10% results in a 7% increase in the EMDW NO$_3$-PO$_4$ ratio (Table S14). In comparison, a 10% change in the EMSW or EMDW PON solubilization flux only changes the EMDW NO$_3$-PO$_4$ ratio by 0.1 and 1.5%, respectively. Overall, the sensitivity analyses also suggest that the EMDW NO$_3$-PO$_4$ ratio is more prone to change than the WMDW NO$_3$-PO$_4$ ratio.

When the model is run with a NO$_3$-PO$_4$ uptake ratio of 23:1 during SW assimilation (see section 2.2), it is possible to reproduce the same water column distributions of P and N concentrations in the WMS and EMS than with the 16:1 uptake ratio, if the rate constants ($k$) describing the recycling of PON in the SW (i.e., solubilization, ammonification, and nitrification) are increased by about 40%. In other words, variations in the photosynthetic NO$_3$-PO$_4$ uptake ratio can be compensated by adjusting the recycling efficiency of PON in the SW reservoirs accordingly. However, the trends in DW NO$_3$-PO$_4$ ratios and the conclusions regarding the recycling of P and N within the two basins remain unchanged and are thus robust model results.

3. Results and Discussion

The coupled WMS-EMS model represents the external sources, biogeochemical transformations, physical redistribution within and between the two basins, and ultimate sinks of the two nutrient elements P and N. Here we analyze the features of the modeled P and N cycles before the large increases in anthropogenic nutrient inputs that took place during the second half of the twentieth century. The steady state P and N model fluxes in 1950 thus provide the quantitative basis for interpreting some of the characteristic and unusual biogeochemical properties of the MS prior to their large-scale perturbation by human activity. The changes in the P and N cycles that occurred since 1950 are the topic of a companion study. It is important to recognize the limitations of the model. In particular, the simple physical and biogeochemical structure of the model does not account for lateral variations in nutrient distributions and process rates in the WMS and EMS, nor does it resolve sub-annual features of the P and N cycles. Instead, the model aims to reproduce the basin-wide, yearly averaged fluxes and transformations of the nutrients elements.

3.1. External Phosphorus and Nitrogen Inputs to the MS

Because it is nearly entirely surrounded by land, one might expect terrestrial sources to dominate the inputs of reactive P and N to the MS. However, according to our estimations, the main source of P and N to the MS is inflow of ASW through the Strait of Gibraltar, which provides 79% of reactive P and 56% of reactive N inputs to the entire MS (Figure 3 and Table 3). The aggregated supplies of non-marine reactive P and N, that is, the combined inputs from atmospheric deposition, rivers, SGD, direct wastewater discharges, N$_2$ fixation, and additional reactive P and N from the NWM and the Adriatic and Aegean Seas, are similar for the WMS and EMS when normalized per unit surface area: approximately 0.001 mol P m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$ and 0.06 mol N m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$. By contrast, the inputs of marine sourced reactive P and N are 4 to 5 times greater for the WMS than the EMS. The combined inputs of ASW and EMIW entering the WMS through the Straits of Gibraltar and Sicily amount to 0.015 mol P m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$ and 0.4 mol N m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$, while only 0.003 mol P m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$ and 0.1 mol N m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$ enter the EMS with inflow of WMSW through the Strait of Sicily (Figure 3).

The estimated external nutrient inputs therefore reveal a key difference between the WMS and EMS. For the WMS, non-marine reactive P and N fluxes are added to a relatively enriched background of reactive P and N that originate from the North Atlantic and EMIW. In contrast, for the EMS, the comparable non-marine reactive P and reactive N inputs are added to inflow of WMSW through the Strait of Sicily that is severely depleted.
3.2. Primary Production and New Production

The significantly higher marine-derived reactive P input to the WMS, compared to the EMS, largely explains the difference in primary productivity between the two basins. (Note that here we focus on reactive P inputs, as the model assumes that the yearly averaged primary productivities of the WMS and EMS are P limited.) The total reactive P input flux to the WMS is 3.9 times greater per m² than for the EMS (Table 3), while primary production is 2.6 times greater in the WMS (148 versus 56 g C m⁻² yr⁻¹ in the WMS and EMS, respectively). If only external inputs to SW are considered (i.e., excluding internal inputs associated with upwelling and turbulent mixing), WMSW receives 2.5 times more reactive P per m² than EMSW (0.01 versus 0.004 mol P m⁻² yr⁻¹).

In addition to the large difference in external reactive P inputs, the two basins diverge in their thermohaline circulation (Figure 1). In the WMS upwelling (from WMIW to WMSW) brings additional dissolved reactive P (and N) into the photic zone. In contrast, the thermohaline circulation of the EMS is dominated by downwelling (from EMSW to EMIW), which removes dissolved nutrients from the surface waters. The dissolved reactive P (and N) accumulating in EMIW is largely exported through the Strait of Sicily to the WMS. In the EMS, turbulent mixing is the only internal transport process providing additional reactive P to the photic zone. As a result, the WMS and EMS not only differ in their primary production but also in their new production.

Here, new production is defined as the portion of yearly primary production that is supported by sources of dissolved reactive P supplied from outside the SW reservoir. We assume that all recycled production from the solubilization of POP in SW is regenerated and that the difference between DOP mineralization and POP solubilization is the new production supported by DOP (see Figure 1 for model schematic). Overall, 39% of new production in the WMS is sustained by dissolved reactive P delivered with the inflow of ASW and 45% by upwelling of WMIW (Figure 4). In comparison, in the EMS 37% of new production is supported by inflow of WMSW and 48% by turbulent mixing (Figure 4). Thus, in both basins large fractions of new production are due to the lateral transfer of (marine) nutrients via surface flow through the Straits of Gibraltar and Sicily. The new production estimates further suggest that 26% and 37% of DOP supplied to WMSW and EMSW, respectively, are remineralized and potentially used for autotrophic assimilation. This is a significant finding, given that the dissolved organic pools of P and N are usually not considered to be important sources of new production in marine systems.

The MS is therefore unusual not only because of the important role lateral flows play in supplying P (and N) to the photic zone but also because of the large fractions of new production that are supported by DOP (and...
Van Cappellen et al. [2014] previously proposed that DOP constitutes a major fraction (about 60%) of reactive P entering the EMS from the WMS and that it contributes a substantial portion of new production in the EMS. Similarly, the inflow of ASW through the Strait of Gibraltar comprises a large fraction (47%) of reactive P in the form of DOP (Figure 3a and Table 3). However, not all DOP entering the WMSW and EMSW is used for new production but instead gets removed by downwelling and lateral outflow. In fact, our calculations imply that 74 and 63% of DOP entering the WMSW and EMSW, respectively, leave the SW reservoirs without contributing to export production. It is likely that the DOP thus removed from the SW is mainly refractory, given that both the WMS and especially the EMS exhibit high levels of alkaline phosphatase activity [Zaccone et al., 2012] (see also section 3.4).

The importance of the lateral supply of nutrients to the SW of the MS, particularly as DOP and DON, bears a strong resemblance to the recent hypothesis developed by Letscher et al. [2016] for subtropical gyres. These authors propose that lateral advection is a major source of nutrients supporting new production in subtropical oceanic gyres, in addition to vertical mixing. They estimate that lateral supplies of P and N support 44–67% and 24–36% of new production in subtropical gyres, respectively, with the lateral supply of DOP and DON contributing 22–46% and 12–19% of export production, respectively. That the MS behaves similarly to open ocean gyres with respect to lateral nutrient supply may at first seem surprising given that it is an almost entirely landlocked marine basin. The reason stems from the anti-estuarine circulation, which drives the large lateral inflow of ASW, and its associated nutrient load, into the MS.

The model-derived new production equals $1.06 \times 10^9$ mol P yr$^{-1}$ in the WMS and $5.8 \times 10^9$ mol P yr$^{-1}$ in the EMS. In comparison, total primary production, expressed as P assimilation in the SW, equals $9.5 \times 10^9$ mol P yr$^{-1}$ in the WMS and $5.9 \times 10^9$ mol yr$^{-1}$ in the EMS. Thus, recycled production through organic matter breakdown by far exceeds new production in both WMSW and EMSW, accounting for 89–90% of primary production. The resulting f ratio, that is, the ratio of new production to primary production [Eppley and Peterson, 1979], is slightly lower in the EMS (0.10) than WMS (0.11). The low f ratios for both WMS and EMS are typical of oligotrophic marine water bodies; they are similar to other values reported for the MS [Béthoux, 1989; Béthoux et al., 1998; Diaz and Raimbault, 2000; Kouvarakis et al., 2001; L’Helguen et al., 2002], the Sargasso Sea [Mongin et al., 2003] and the equatorial Pacific [McCarthy et al., 1996].

### 3.3. Why Is the MS Oligotrophic?

The dominance of ASW as a source of reactive P and N to the MS may seem at odds with the commonly held view that the oligotrophy of the MS is due to the anti-estuarine circulation, which brings in nutrient-depleted surface water into the WMS through the Strait of Gibraltar (Strait of Sicily) and returns nutrient enriched water from deeper reservoirs. This view, however, only accounts for the inorganic forms of dissolved P and N. In fact, according to our estimates, the dissolved reactive P flux associated with outflow of WMIW and WMDW.

![Figure 4](image_url). Source attribution of new production in the WMS and EMS. The percentages represent the relative contribution of each source; the error bars represent the uncertainty of individual source contributions for a total new production of $1.06 \times 10^9$ mol P yr$^{-1}$ in the WMS and $5.8 \times 10^9$ mol P yr$^{-1}$ in the EMS.
through the Strait of Gibraltar is only 10% larger than the dissolved reactive P flux entering the WMS with ASW (Figures 3a and Table 3). The key difference, however, is the chemical speciation of the dissolved P: 47% of the ASW P inflow is under the form of DOP compared to only 11% in the outflow (Figure 3a and Table 3). Thus, 88% more PO$_4$ exits the MS through the Strait of Gibraltar than enters at the surface. A similar speciation switch happens at the Strait of Sicily. While the dissolved reactive P flux leaving the EMS is only 14% greater than the dissolved reactive P flux entering the EMS, PO$_4$ represents 72% of the EMSW outflow compared to 40% in the WMSW inflow (Figure 3a and Table 3). In other words, for both the WMS and EMS the anti-estuarine circulation exports more readily bioavailable P (i.e., PO$_4$) than it imports.

In comparison, significantly more dissolved reactive N leaves the MS through the Strait of Gibraltar than enters from the Atlantic (Figure 3b and Table 3), reflecting a higher influence of land-derived inputs for reactive N than for P. The flux of dissolved reactive N leaving the MS through the Strait of Gibraltar is 47% larger than the flux entering as ASW and contains more than 4 times greater NO$_3$ mass. Similarly, at the Strait of Sicily 42% more N exits the EMS as IW than enters as SW, with 3 times more NO$_3$ leaving the EMS than flowing in.

### 3.4. The MS As A Net Heterotrophic System

Heterotrophy of the MS is a consequence of the anti-estuarine circulation combined with the recycling of organic matter supplied externally from marine and non-marine sources. Fluxes of dissolved and particulate organic P and N entering the MS exceed those leaving the MS by outflow through the Strait of Gibraltar and sediment burial: 4.4 x 10$^9$ mol organic P yr$^{-1}$ and 183 x 10$^9$ mol organic N yr$^{-1}$ enter the MS from marine and non-marine sources in comparison to 2.2 x 10$^9$ mol organic P yr$^{-1}$ and 96 x 10$^9$ mol organic N yr$^{-1}$ leaving the MS. Based on the differences between DOP and DON inputs and outputs, net heterotrophy in the WMS (0.002 mol P m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$ and 0.08 mol N m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$) exceeds that of the EMS (0.001 mol P m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$ and 0.03 mol m$^{-2}$ N yr$^{-1}$). Net heterotrophy of the MS inferred from the model fluxes agrees with the suggestion of Luna et al. [2012] that the deeper waters of the MS act as “bioreactors” converting organic P and N into dissolved inorganic nutrients, hence explaining why bacterial enzymatic activities are up to an order of magnitude greater in the MS than in the global ocean.

Duarte et al. [2013] speculate that the excess organic matter supplied to the MS to fuel heterotrophy originates from terrestrial sources, primarily delivered via rivers. Our analysis, however, suggests that most of the DOP and DON supplied to the WMS and EMS is from inflow through the Straits of Gibraltar and Sicily, with atmospheric deposition representing a secondary source (Table 3). In comparison, riverine inputs of DOP and DON are fairly minor.

The concentrations of DOP and DON of IW and DW are similar for the WMS and EMS, or even higher in the EMS, despite the larger reactive P and N inputs and higher PO$_4$ and NO$_3$ concentrations of the WMS [Moutin and Raimbault, 2002; Pujo-Pay et al., 2011]. Integrated across the entire water column PO$_4$ and NO$_3$ account for 76% and 59% of dissolved reactive P and N in the EMS, respectively [Van Cappellen et al., 2014], compared to 88% and 71% in the WMS (Table 4). The differences in nutrient distributions between the two basins can be explained by a faster recycling of DOP and DON to PO$_4$ and NO$_3$ in the DW of the
WMS compared to the EMS, with the higher reactive P and N inputs into the WMS supporting greater bacterial activity in the WMS than the EMS [Luna et al., 2012]. In the model, the first-order rate coefficients (k) describing DW P solubilization and mineralization are 9 and 14 times greater in the WMS than EMS, respectively (Figure 5).

The model k values further suggest that the particulate and dissolved organic P and N in the EMS are less labile than their counterparts in the WMS, especially in the DW reservoirs. This is consistent with the higher cell specific alkaline phosphatase activities measured in EMDW compared to WMDW [Zaccone et al., 2012], which imply greater efforts by the EMS microbial community to access the less available POP and DOP. The longer residence time of EMDW (Figure 1) likely results in the accumulation of refractory organic matter within the EMDW. In contrast, Bernoulli suction forces WMDW out into the Atlantic Ocean through the Strait of Gibraltar, hence actively

**Figure 5.** Comparison of first-order rate constants (k values in the model) in WMS and EMS. For any given process, a value greater than 1 correspond to a k value in the WMS exceeding that in the EMS, and vice versa. The black bars represent k values for P fluxes; the white bars represent k values for N fluxes. See Figure 1 for the definitions of the processes. POM = POP or PON.

EMS microbial community to access the less available POP and DOP. The longer residence time of EMDW (Figure 1) likely results in the accumulation of refractory organic matter within the EMDW. In contrast, Bernoulli suction forces WMDW out into the Atlantic Ocean through the Strait of Gibraltar, hence actively

**Figure 6.** Reactive N:P ratios of input and output fluxes to (a) the WMS and (b) the EMS. DIN:PO$_4^-$ ratio of fluxes is within 1 unit of NO$_3^-$:PO$_4^-$ ratios unless otherwise stated. The inset figures show the reactive N:P ratios of non-marine sources to the MS. Atm = atmospheric deposition; Riv = riverine input; w/w = direct domestic wastewater discharges; SGD = submarine groundwater discharge.
removing accumulating refractory DOP and DON, whereas a similar mechanism does not operate in the EMS [Rohling et al., 2015]. To exit the EMDW reservoir, refractory DOP and DON must first be transferred to the EMIW via upwelling before they can be transported out of the EMS via the Strait of Sicily.

### 3.5. N:P Ratios: WMS Versus EMS

Unusually high N:P ratios in all water column reservoirs are a defining feature of the EMS [Krom et al., 2005] and, although somewhat less pronounced, also of the WMS [Pujo-Pay et al., 2011]. While all reservoirs exhibit molar N:P ratios in excess of the Redfield ratio of 16:1, it is the NO\textsubscript{3}:PO\textsubscript{4} ratios of the DW reservoirs that have received most attention. Measured NO\textsubscript{3}:PO\textsubscript{4} ratios increase from around 20–23:1 in WMDW to 26–30:1 in EMDW [Krom et al., 1991; Béthoux et al., 1998; Kress and Herut, 2001; Moulin and Raimbault, 2002; Ribera d’Alcalà et al., 2003; Schroeder et al., 2010; Pujo-Pay et al., 2011]. The very high EMDW NO\textsubscript{3}:PO\textsubscript{4} ratio has previously been explained by the high N:P ratios of the combined external inputs to the EMS, along with negligible denitrification [Krom et al., 2010; Van Cappellen et al., 2014].

The molar N:P ratios of all 1950 model reservoirs and fluxes are summarized in Figures 6 and 7. Note that even before the large increases in anthropogenic P and N inputs that took place after 1950, the reactive N:P ratios of external inputs to the MS exceeded the Redfield ratio. In particular, the soluble reactive N:P ratios of atmospheric deposition and SGD are very high: 85–91:1 and 346–381:1, respectively (Figure 6). The ratio of the total reactive inputs into the WMS (30:1) is distinctly lower than for the EMS (37:1) (Figure 6), which helps explain the lower NO\textsubscript{3}:PO\textsubscript{4} ratio of WMDW (21:1) compared to EMDW (28:1) (Figure 7). According to the DW NO\textsubscript{3}:PO\textsubscript{4} sensitivity analyses (Figures 2g and 2i), the lower reactive N:P input ratio of the WMS is
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**Figure 7.** N:P ratios of reservoirs and internal fluxes in the model. The solid arrows represent water flows, and the associated N:P ratios are those of the corresponding source reservoirs. The dashed arrows represent all other process fluxes with their associated N:P ratios. Dissolved reactive N:P ratios are given (N:P), together with the ratios of individual species. N:P ratios of fluxes entering and exiting WMDW and EMDW (bottom of figure) correspond to external sources and sinks to the DW.

---

**Table 1.** Molar N:P ratios of reservoirs and internal fluxes in the model. The solid arrows represent water flows, and the associated N:P ratios are those of the corresponding source reservoirs. The dashed arrows represent all other process fluxes with their associated N:P ratios. Dissolved reactive N:P ratios are given (N:P), together with the ratios of individual species. N:P ratios of fluxes entering and exiting WMDW and EMDW (bottom of figure) correspond to external sources and sinks to the DW.
largely due to the low N:P ratio of the incoming ASW, which represents the main source of reactive P and N to the MS (see also Lazzari et al. [2016]).

The ASW flowing into the WMS is depleted in nitrate, with an estimated NO$_3$:PO$_4$ ratio of only 10:1 [Coste et al., 1984; Gómez et al., 2000; Dafner et al., 2003; Huertas et al., 2012]. Its dissolved reactive N:P ratio, however, is 21:1, because of the relatively high DON concentration of ASW (Figures 3 and 6). The high N:P of other external inputs to the WMS causes WMSW entering the EMS through the Strait of Sicily to be more P depleted, relative to N, than ASW entering through the Strait of Gibraltar. Our estimates yield a dissolved reactive N:P ratio of 30:1 for the SW flowing into the EMS from the WMS (Figure 6). Thus, the exchanges between the two basins of the MS are a key reason for the more extensive P limitation of the EMS, compared to the WMS. In addition, the greater recycling of DOP relative to DON in WMDW contributes to the lower NO$_3$ : PO$_4$ ratio in the WMDW than EMDW (Figures 5 and 7).

Overall, the results summarized in Figures 6 and 7 align with the conclusions of Krom et al. [2010] and Van Cappellen et al. [2014], who proposed that the NO$_3$ : PO$_4$ ratio in EMDW is a consequence of a very low denitrification rate in the EMS (0.002 mol N m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$ or 2.4 x 10$^9$ mol N yr$^{-1}$), which preserves the signature of the high N:P ratios of the external nutrient sources, and the preferential recycling of P compared to N. In the EMS, field measurements further reveal an insignificant role of N$_2$ fixation in controlling water column NO$_3$ : PO$_4$ ratios [Ibello et al., 2010; Bonnet et al., 2011; Rahav et al., 2013]. Nitrogen fixation is therefore not considered in the EMS nutrient model.

Measurable N$_2$ fixation rates have been reported for the WMS, however [Garcia et al., 2006; Sandroni et al., 2007; Ibello et al., 2010; Bonnet et al., 2011]. While N$_2$ fixation could potentially help explain the relatively high NO$_3$ : PO$_4$ ratio of WMDW, as previously proposed, our results suggest that this is not the case, because the estimated N$_2$ fixation (12.1 x 10$^9$ mol N yr$^{-1}$; Table 3 and Figure 3) is more than offset by the higher denitrification rate of the WMS (18 x 10$^9$ mol N yr$^{-1}$ or 0.02 mol N m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$). The potential role of N$_2$ fixation and denitrification in controlling the WMDW NO$_3$ : PO$_4$ ratio is also assessed by running the 1950 WMS-EMS model with no N$_2$ fixation and denitrification. Resulting DW NO$_3$ : PO$_4$ ratios of 23.2 and 29.2 in the WMDW and EMDW, respectively, indicate that denitrification and N$_2$ fixation have minimal impacts on the unusual NO$_3$ : PO$_4$ ratios within the MS, which is also in line with the sensitivity analyses (section 2.8 and Figure 2). We therefore conclude that similar to the EMS, the high NO$_3$ : PO$_4$ ratio of the WMDW is also due to the high N:P ratio of the external non-marine nutrient sources and low denitrification rates in line with the trends presented by Huertas et al. [2012].

The relative impacts of the various external inputs on the NO$_3$ : PO$_4$ ratios of WMDW and EMDW are illustrated in Figure 8, which shows the model-predicted ratios upon removing one nutrient source at a time. A key
observation is that when atmospheric deposition is excluded, the new steady state NO$_3$PO$_4$ ratios of the WMS (16:1) and EMS (15:1) drop down to values close to the Redfield value. For the EMS, this is in agreement with Christodoulaki et al. [2013], who predict that if there were no atmospheric inputs to the EMS, the NO$_3$:PO$_4$ ratio of EMDW would approach the Redfield ratio. The relative supplies of P and N by atmospheric deposition are thus a major driver of the unusually high NO$_3$:PO$_4$ ratios that characterize the MS. The results in Figure 8 further indicate that riverine inputs, direct wastewater discharges, and SGD have little impact on the DW N:P ratios. However, in the absence of ASW inflow through the Strait of Gibraltar the NO$_3$:PO$_4$ ratios increase to 53 in the WMDW and 69 in the EMDW, confirming the important role of ASW inflow in buffering against even higher than observed DW NO$_3$:PO$_4$ ratios. Interestingly, the impact of ASW inflow through the Strait of Gibraltar on the DW NO$_3$:PO$_4$ ratios is greater for the EMS than the WMS.

Finally, the model results also demonstrate the importance of the low rates of denitrification in the MS compared to the global ocean [Tyrrell, 1999]. To bring the DW NO$_3$:PO$_4$ ratios down to the Redfield value of 16:1, the denitrification flux in the WMS would need to increase to 0.05 mol N m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$ (i.e., 2.2 times greater than the 1950 flux) and in the EMS to 0.01 mol N m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$ (i.e., 7 times greater than the 1950 flux). These values are comparable to rates in denitrification found in the global ocean (0.04–0.10 mol N m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$ [Codispoti, 2007; DeVries et al., 2012]). In other words, if the MS supported rates of denitrification similar to those generally observed in marine systems its NO$_3$:PO$_4$ ratios would fall along the global oceanic trend of 16:1.

4. Conclusions

The distinctive biogeochemical signatures of the MS are interpreted with the help of a mass balance model of the cycles of P and N. The model provides estimates of all the inputs of P and N to the MS in the mid-twentieth century, including those associated with the inflow of ASW through the Strait of Gibraltar and, for the first time, SGD and direct domestic wastewater discharges from coastal cities. It further accounts for the transformations among the different pools of P and N, vertical exchanges, including deepwater formation, upwelling and turbulent mixing, and lateral exchanges through the Straits of Gibraltar and Sicily.

The estimated model fluxes highlight the major role of the bidirectional flows through the Straits of Gibraltar and Sicily, which transport both dissolved inorganic and organic P and N in and out of the WMS and EMS.

They further emphasize the importance of the cycling of organic P and organic N in the biogeochemical functioning of the MS. Inflow of ASW represents the main source of reactive P and N to the WMS, providing up to 60% of the total inputs, of which around half or more are in the form of DOP and DON. Similarly, inflow of SW from the WMS through the Strait of Sicily is the major source of reactive P and N to the EMS, providing up to 75% of the nutrient inputs. Primary productivity in the WMS is higher than in the EMS, primarily because the total inputs of marine-derived reactive P and N per unit surface area are 3 to 4 times higher for the WMS than the EMS. The surface-normalized non-marine (or land-derived) inputs of reactive P and N to the WMS and EMS, however, are of comparable magnitudes.

Inflow of ASW through the Strait of Gibraltar plus upwelling from WMIW to WMSW supports approximately 84% of new production in the WMS, consistent with the sensitivity analyses that indicate that primary productivity in the WMS is most sensitive to the inflow of ASW. In the EMS, the thermohaline circulation is dominated by downwelling resulting in 48% of new production supported by turbulent mixing from EMIW with an additional 37% sustained by the inflow of WMSW through the Strait of Sicily. The supply of DOP is a major source of new production, with 26% and 37% of the DOP supplied to WMSW and EMSW, respectively, remineralized and potentially used for new production.

The sensitivity analyses highlight the strong coupling of primary production in the EMS to processes occurring in the WMSW. In particular, uptake of PO$_4$ in the WMSW greatly reduces the proportion of PO$_4$ in the flux of dissolved reactive P supplied by the WMS to the EMS via the Strait of Sicily. Overall, the larger input of reactive P to the WMS explains the higher primary productivity in the WMS, which in turn supports greater bacterial activity and nutrient recycling in the WMS compared to the EMS. As a consequence, PO$_4$ and NO$_3$ concentrations are higher in the WMS than EMS, while DOP and DON concentrations are similar.

The MS acts as a bioreactor of organic nutrient cycling. In addition to the net delivery of DOP and DON from external sources, PO$_4$ and NO$_3$ are converted into organic matter in the SW. Downwelling transfers DOP and DON to the deeper layers, where mineralization turn DOP, and to a lesser extent DON, into PO$_4$ and NO$_3$. The
latter accumulate in the IW and DW and are exported through the Strait of Sicily and, ultimately, through the Strait of Gibraltar to the Atlantic Ocean. The anti-estuarine circulation thus not only maintains the MS in an oligotrophic state but also explains its net heterotrophy.

Lateral fluxes through the Straits of Gibraltar and Sicily and recycling of organic nutrients play key roles in determining the $\mathrm{NO}_3:\mathrm{PO}_4$ ratios of the WMDW and EMDW. Our results imply that the lower $\mathrm{NO}_3:\mathrm{PO}_4$ ratio of WMDW than EMDW is caused by the lower reactive N:P ratio of inputs into the WMS than EMS. The latter reflects the low $\mathrm{NO}_3:\mathrm{PO}_4$ ratio of ASW entering the WMS, together with faster recycling of DOP than DON within the WMDW. The high $\mathrm{NO}_3:\mathrm{PO}_4$ ratio of the entire MS is largely due to the high reactive N:P ratio of the Redfield value. Processes that regulate the $\mathrm{NO}_3:\mathrm{PO}_4$ ratio in the global ocean, in particular the balance between $N_2$ fixation and denitrification, are relatively unimportant in the MS. Finally, our analysis shows that the EMDW $\mathrm{NO}_3:\mathrm{PO}_4$ ratio is more sensitive than that of the WMDW ratio to changes of the internal and external models parameters. Thus, changes in the EMDW $\mathrm{NO}_3:\mathrm{PO}_4$ ratio could be a more sensitive indicator of changes in anthropogenic nutrient inputs than the changes in the WMDW ratio.

Although the coupled WMS-EMS model offers a simplified representation of the biogeochemical cycles of P and N, ignoring mesoscale variability and seasonality, it helps to identify the basin-scale biogeochemical and oceanographic processes that control P and N cycling in the MS on annual to decadal timescales. It also allows us to develop specific hypotheses that can guide the design of further studies on the MS. Based on the work presented here, we suggest that future research should concentrate on improving the quantification of the inputs, distributions, lability, and recycling of organic P and organic N across the MS. We strongly recommend the acquisition of internally comparable, multi-nutrient data in both the WMS and EMS. Particular attention should be given to the lateral fluxes of organic and inorganic P and N through the Straits of Gibraltar and Sicily, and the role of atmospheric deposition in the P and N cycles of the MS.
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