Information and policy change
Data on drivers can drive change – if used wisely
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Key messages

• Information use throughout the REDD+ policy process is influenced by interests of powerful agents of deforestation and forest degradation. Actors have different capacities and resources to access, process and provide information, as well as to contribute to policy decisions about REDD+.

• Information on direct drivers and underlying causes of tropical forest change is improving with new technologies and data sources. However, guidance and (financial) support are needed to move from technical data to actionable information, and ultimately effective REDD+ interventions.

• New information technologies offer new opportunities, but also come with diverse implications and new risks. National forest monitoring systems will need to address participation, transparency, accountability and coordination to counteract the differences in the capacities, resources and powers (decision-making or political) of various stakeholders.
Information-driven REDD+ in a nutshell

Collecting, analysing and sharing information on forest and land use changes, consequences and causes of forest change can support the REDD+ policy process.

1. Deriving information on drivers of tropical forest loss is complex but essential. Information is improving with new technologies and data sources.

2. National monitoring and international tracking of drivers are limited by a persistent lack of data on how various drivers of land-use change affect forest emissions.

3. Powerful deforestation agents heavily influence information on drivers, including how this information is generated and how visible it is.

4. Monitoring systems should include mechanisms for participation, transparency and accountability to counteract the differences in capacities, resources and power of stakeholders.

5. Recent developments in machine learning algorithms, processing capabilities and cloud-based services (FAO SEPAL, Google Earth Engine) enable more efficient mapping of forest and land use change.

6. Advances in mobile technology, and interactive monitoring solutions are also promising for monitoring drivers of forest change.
5.1 Introduction

Collection, analysis and sharing of information on forest cover and carbon stocks is a core component of REDD+. Robust and transparent national forest monitoring systems for measurement, reporting and verification (MRV) activities (UNFCCC 2009, Decision 4; UNFCCC 2011, Decision 1) allow countries to track their progress in reducing emissions from deforestation and forest degradation and enhancement of carbon stocks. In addition, information about the extent and state of forests, consequences of forest loss, causes of deforestation and forest degradation, policy options and their impact, can assist in the design, implementation and evaluation of dedicated mitigation actions to tackle these activities (Chapter 6). As such, information is an important element for effective policy change away from business-as-usual practices that directly or indirectly support deforestation and forest degradation (Brockhaus and Angelsen 2012).

The reality on the ground shows a lack of information-driven REDD+ policy change. While forest conversion to commodity-driven agriculture is an important cause of forest emissions, REDD+ strategies are often focused on approaches such as fuelwood efficiency, alternative livelihood programmes, and other interventions targeting smallholders (Kissinger et al. 2012; Salvini et al. 2014). The creation, selection and interpretation of information to support REDD+ policy change is not a neutral technical endeavour; it has a strong political dimension in that actors tend to select and use information in ways that reflect their interests (Brockhaus and Angelsen 2012). In addition, actors have different capacities and levels of financial resources to access, process and provide information, as well as to contribute to platforms where policy decisions about REDD+ are made (Brockhaus and Angelsen 2012; Gallemore et al. 2015).

Our objective is to explore opportunities and obstacles for information-driven policy change throughout the REDD+ policy process. We focus on information about the drivers of deforestation and forest degradation to illustrate that, while information itself can be a tool for transformational change, its generation, presentation and use are part of a political process - and often a power game. We first identify ways to assess drivers of deforestation and forest degradation; then we discuss the role of information gained through this assessment, as well as the main obstacles to the effective use of information at various stages of policy processes, and the political dimensions of how information is used (or not).

5.2 Assessing drivers of deforestation and forest degradation

The term ‘driver’ is used in multiple ways, and different conceptual frameworks exist (Angelsen and Kaimowitz 1999; Geist and Lambin 2002). When assessing and monitoring drivers to support the design and implementation of REDD+, it is important to make a distinction - within the common use of the term - between direct (proximate) drivers, underlying causes, and agents of deforestation and
forest degradation. **Direct drivers** are human activities or immediate actions that directly impact forest cover and result in a loss of carbon (e.g., agriculture expansion, infrastructure extension and wood extraction). **Underlying causes** are complex interactions of social, economic, political, cultural and technological processes that are often distant from their area of impact (e.g., rising global market prices, national policies that provide incentives for agricultural expansion, and public resettlement schemes) (Geist and Lambin 2002; Rudel et al. 2009a; Boucher et al. 2011). **Agents** of deforestation and forest degradation are individuals, households or companies linked to both the direct drivers and the underlying causes (e.g., farmers, mining companies, governments and consumers).

Improved spatial assessments using remote sensing and ground data (e.g., national forest inventories) have proven useful for assessing direct drivers by linking forest-cover change and related emissions to specific land-use activities. These assessments can provide information on region-specific direct drivers (Figure 5.1) and on their spatial and temporal dynamics (De Sy et al. 2015; Graesser et al. 2015; Curtis et al. 2018; Stickler et al. 2018). Remote sensing can provide information on the intensity, shape and pattern of land-use and forest-cover change, and can be enriched with data obtained through local and community-based monitoring (Torres and Skutsch 2015). Recent developments in machine learning algorithms, processing capabilities and cloud-based services (e.g., FAO's System for Earth Observation Data Access, Processing and Analysis for Land Monitoring [SEPAL], Google Earth Engine) enable more efficient mapping of forest and land-use change (e.g., detection of direct drivers) (Bey et al. 2016; Petersen et al. 2018). Interactive monitoring solutions and advances in mobile technology (Pratihast et al. 2016) are also promising for on-the-spot monitoring of direct drivers of deforestation and forest degradation. These can be integrated into online portals and databases (e.g., Global Forest Watch, CIFOR's Atlas of Deforestation and Industrial Plantations in Borneo), making them increasingly accessible to a wider, non-expert audience (Petersen et al. 2018).

Underlying causes of forest change across multiple scales, and their relative contribution and interaction, are often analysed with: statistical studies (e.g., spatially-explicit econometrics); place-based empirical studies; value chain analysis; and economic simulation models using political, economic and social indicators (Kissinger et al. 2012; Meyfroidt et al. 2013; Goetz et al. 2015). A persistent methodological challenge is finding causal attribution and quantifying the impact of various underlying causes and agents on land use (change) and forest emissions, especially since local land use is increasingly influenced by global socioeconomic and political processes (Meyfroidt et al. 2013; Efroymson et al. 2016).

Integrating assessments of direct drivers and underlying causes into ongoing national forest/land-use monitoring systems will make them more relevant for policy development and assessment. While a number of studies have assessed
Figure 5.1 Direct drivers of deforestation in the tropics (1990-2005): Forest area (in ha) lost to different subsequent land uses

Source: De Sy et al. (2015) for South America; similar method was used to extend analysis to Central America, Africa and Asia
direct drivers and underlying causes in an integrated and systematic manner (Müller et al. 2013b; Khuc et al. 2018), appropriate methods for national-scale monitoring of drivers and causes are still limited (De Sy et al. 2012). Incorporating the assessment of these drivers and causes into national forest/land-use monitoring systems will likely add complexity and increase monitoring costs. As such, financial support and guidance on how REDD+ countries can develop cost-effective and policy-relevant operational monitoring of different types of drivers is essential.

The increasing interconnectedness of underlying causes of forest change (e.g., globalisation of trade, and international political forces) can result in the displacement of land use - i.e., a migration of land-use activities from one country to another (Meyfroidt et al. 2013). This shows that global monitoring and tracking of direct drivers and underlying causes are also needed. Periodic comparative global assessments of direct drivers (De Sy et al. 2015; Curtis et al. 2018) provide a way to assess the effectiveness of efforts to curb national and global forest change emissions. Additionally, information on how forest change is linked to international trade and investment patterns and related commodity supply chains is essential (Zaks et al. 2009; Karstensen et al. 2013) because it enables civil society actors to call for action on tackling these drivers (agenda setting) and to assess the sustainability efforts of those who have committed to specific targets (implementation and evaluation of policies). Box 5.1 provides an example of tracing soy supply chains in Brazil with an open-access supply chain transparency platform.

5.3 Information on drivers of deforestation and forest degradation in the REDD+ policy process

All stages of the REDD+ policy process - from agenda setting to policy design to implementation to formal and informal policy evaluation - require reliable information on drivers of deforestation and forest degradation in order to effect changes in existing policies. The REDD+ policy arena is characterised by a multitude of international, national and local actors who operate within existing institutions and who may have different interests and ideas about how to manage forests. Information is an inherent part of these institutions, interests and ideas (i.e., the 4Is political economy framework, Brockhaus and Angelsen 2012). Information is used selectively, it can be biased and it might be ignored; in itself, information is a fundamental power resource that actors use to advance their own interests in the policy process.

REDD+ monitoring systems are generally seen as being mostly technical and thus impartial, outside the domain of politics (Gupta et al. 2012). However, many researchers question this framing, arguing that what should be measured, reported and verified, how and by whom, are fundamentally political questions (Gupta et al. 2014). Ochieng et al. (2016) argue that national monitoring systems
Box 5.1 Tracing soy supply chains in Brazil with Trase

**Toby Gardner**

Agricultural expansion to produce commodities such as soy, palm oil, timber and beef is driving two thirds of tropical deforestation worldwide. Yet the complexity and opacity of supply chains are major barriers to improving the sustainability of production and trade in these commodities. It is very hard to take action if trading companies and consumer markets don’t know where their supply chains start or end, who is involved in them, or whether they are exposed to risks as a result.

Trase is an open-access supply chain transparency platform (www.trase.earth) designed to address this problem, using publicly available data to map the links between consumer countries, via trading companies, to the places of production, in unprecedented detail. Trase combines detailed per-shipment customs data with other supply chain information to show how commodity exports are linked to agricultural conditions – including specific environmental and social risks – in the regions where they are produced, and identifies the exporting and importing companies along the way.

Of all the forest-risk commodities, the most traded in international markets is soy – including soybeans, oil and cake. In 2016, three South American countries – Brazil, Argentina and Paraguay – together produced almost 50% of the world’s soy, with Brazil poised to overtake the United States as the world’s largest producer of soy. Soy production is linked to substantial direct and indirect deforestation and habitat conversion of some of South America’s most iconic biomes, particularly the Brazilian Cerrado and the Gran Chaco in Argentina, Paraguay and Bolivia. The majority of Brazilian soy is produced for export, with expansion driven by demand from overseas consumers, particularly in Europe and China.

Trase data show that around 60% of Brazilian soy exports in 2016 went to China, and that these exports were associated with approximately half of the total deforestation risk associated with exported soy. While many European countries imported much smaller amounts of soy than China, Trase’s high-resolution supply chain maps show that these imports were often associated with a higher deforestation risk per tonne.

The blanket transparency of subnational commodity supply chains provided by Trase is also key to being able to assess and monitor the effectiveness of zero-deforestation commitments. Yet data published in the 2018 Trase Yearbook show that, during the last decade, soy traders in the Brazilian market with zero deforestation commitments have been associated with similar levels of deforestation risk as companies that have not made such commitments – demonstrating the scale of the challenge ahead.

By linking soy traders and buyers to the places where soy is grown, Trase is starting to be used, alongside other information, by both companies and investors to filter and identify risks, highlight opportunities for new partnerships and investment to improve sustainability, and monitor progress over time.

---

require mechanisms (e.g., institutional arrangements, procedures for conflict resolution and data exchange) for coordination, participation, transparency and accountability. Such mechanisms could help to ensure the credibility and legitimacy of measured and reported REDD+ carbon impacts and drivers of forest change in the eyes of all stakeholders, and to counteract their differences in capacities, resources and power.
Table 5.1 The role of information on drivers of deforestation and forest degradation in REDD+ policy processes and main obstacles to effective information use

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage in policy process</th>
<th>Role of information about drivers of deforestation and forest degradation</th>
<th>Main obstacles to effective use of this information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agenda setting</td>
<td>To identify key drivers and attribute emissions to specific causes and agents.</td>
<td>Limited (operational) methods and data for systematic analysis of drivers and for attribution of emissions to drivers; Powerful influence of dominant business-as-usual interests on policy agenda through media and policy coalitions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policy design</td>
<td>To inform design of appropriate policies aimed at key drivers and agents of forest change; To inform design of national forest monitoring and MRV systems.</td>
<td>Lack of (sub)national socioeconomic data and information on underlying causes of forest change; Selective use of information on drivers to protect interests; Lack of dialogue between monitoring experts, policymakers and civil society.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policy implementation</td>
<td>To implement effective MRV systems of REDD+ activities on the ground; To enable law enforcement.</td>
<td>Lack of resources to act on information; Lack of trust and cooperation of government agencies, forest communities and civil society.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policy evaluation</td>
<td>To set FRELs; To evaluate impacts of REDD+ activities and policies on forest emissions, and adapt policies accordingly; To enable accountability.</td>
<td>Selective use of information (e.g., on FRELs) to demonstrate success; Ignoring information to avoid effective REDD+ activities and protect business-as-usual interests; Lack of powerful coalitions and (access to) information to hold agents of deforestation accountable.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 5.1 gives an overview of the role of information about drivers of deforestation and forest degradation at each stage of political process, along with the main obstacles hampering effective use of this information.

5.3.1 Agenda setting

A robust assessment of the key direct drivers and underlying causes of forest change is essential for countries during agenda setting. Such an assessment can help attribute emissions to specific causes and agents, to inform REDD+ priorities and scope.

Even in the presence of sufficient information, policy agendas are influenced by certain drivers that gain prominence over others. In the agenda-setting phase, different actors compete to frame REDD+ in their preferred way. For instance, actors often form policy coalitions around a common understanding of REDD+ and use the media to draw public attention to a particular interpretation of who and what is causing deforestation and forest degradation, as well as possible solutions. Comparative research indicates that the policy coalitions that are most prominent in the media do not challenge business-as-usual trajectories (Luttrell et al. 2013; Brockhaus et al. 2014; Brockhaus and Di Gregorio 2014; Cronin et al. 2016; Khatri et al. 2016; Gebara et al. 2017; Pham et al. 2017a). For example, in Indonesia and Papua New Guinea the most vocal coalition, dominated by state actors, largely focuses on issues of funding for REDD+ activities by industrialised countries (Brockhaus et al. 2014). Calls for transformational change, often led by civil society organisations, are overpowered and silenced by those supporting business-as-usual practices in many REDD+ countries (Di Gregorio et al. 2013; Di Gregorio et al. 2015).

5.3.2 Policy design

Current national forest monitoring systems often lack not only information on direct drivers and agents driving forest change, but also basic socioeconomic and other data on underlying causes of forest change. Such information is relevant for national policy design to gain a deeper understanding of how, for example, national-level economy and policies affect the direct drivers and agents. Incorporating information on underlying causes adds complexity to REDD+ monitoring and requires a higher degree of coordination of monitoring activities across government agencies and sectors (Chapter 7).

Even when information on the direct drivers or underlying causes of forest change is available, it is not necessarily incorporated into national strategies. Direct interventions in national REDD+ readiness plans have often focused on reducing forest degradation (e.g., sustainable forest management, fuelwood efficiency) rather than deforestation driven by, e.g., large-scale agriculture or infrastructure development (Kissinger et al. 2012; Salvini et al. 2014), which might even be supported through other policies and perverse incentives (Di Gregorio et al. 2012). This illustrates that policy action tackling larger, more powerful agents of
forest change is often discouraged, and information about commodity-driven deforestation is ignored or not produced. At the same time policy action against smallholder practices such as shifting cultivation might be highlighted, because it supports established policy approaches and legal norms. Such selective use of information about direct drivers of forest change risks justifying attempts by the state to gain control over forested land and disempower smallholders (Box 5.2) (Fox et al. 2009; Moeliono et al. 2017; Pham et al. 2018). Similarly, some stakeholders would argue, that Indonesia's One Map Policy - which aims to integrate existing maps of regions across the archipelago into a single map to help resolve land conflicts - does not provide a comprehensive view of land use and rights by all stakeholders, since indigenous land claims remain excluded from the initiative (Jong 2018).

Analysis of the process of developing the MRV system in Peru (Kowler and Larson 2016) demonstrates that the complex technical nature of monitoring systems has hindered the interest, participation and inclusion of actors such as regional governments and forest communities. While experts play an important role in the design of monitoring systems, policy-makers and civil society actors also need to understand and have a voice in the monitoring decisions that affect them. The design process should also facilitate dialogue and communication, to stimulate mutual trust and the legitimacy of the monitoring system (Kowler and Larson 2016).

### 5.3.3 Policy implementation

Information on the spatial distribution, intensity and type of direct drivers and on the underlying causes that lead to forest change can provide an essential data stream for countries to implement effective REDD+ activities on the ground, and track progress. Timely information on forest change and associated direct drivers can assist law enforcement agencies in monitoring compliance with forest policies.

Both government agencies and civil society show strong interest in the use of near-real time forest alert or early warning systems to detect illegal logging and forest conversion, e.g., the Brazilian Ministry for Science and Technology's Real Time System for Detection of Deforestation (DETER) and Amazon Conservation and partners' Monitoring of the Andean Amazon Project (MAAP) in Peru (Early Warning Working Group 2018). Local and indigenous communities can also use early warning alerts to identify threats to their territories and share information with local authorities. Identification of the direct driver (e.g., mining, palm oil plantation) is a key step in early warning systems to determine the appropriate follow-up actions and government agencies to involve (Finer et al. 2018). Yet multiple challenges, such as the lack of cooperation between agencies, limited resources to act on information, lack of trust between civil society and law enforcement, lack of political will, corruption and other governance issues, hamper the effective use of early warning information (Mora 2018). Effective government institutions, coordination and clear responsibilities to process and respond to this kind of information are essential to convert data into action (Finer et al. 2018).
5.3.4 Policy evaluation

Information on agents and drivers of deforestation and forest degradation plays multiple roles in policy evaluation. It gives more insight into the extent to which a particular policy has been effective in reducing forest emissions that are connected to particular agents or drivers, with the aim of revising the policy if needed. In the context of results-based payment for REDD+, it can provide valuable information for setting forest reference (emission) levels (FRELs/FRLs) (Chapter 4). Information on agents of deforestation and forest degradation can also be a powerful tool for civil society to hold these agents accountable for their actions, and to demand that drivers be addressed (e.g., commitments made by state and non-state actors in the New York Declaration on Forests).

As actors can show success or results in this stage to gain or maintain financial or popular support, they may use or bias information to their advantage. FRELs, for example, are the basis for evaluation of REDD+ results, and will thus affect payment opportunities and levels. This makes the very definition of FREL highly political.
in nature. Evidence suggests that countries (those paying and those delivering results) may ‘cherry pick’ and negotiate the information that is most beneficial for their situation (Chapter 4). While information on drivers can support more targeted and effective REDD+ policy action, such actions can trigger resistance and counter-actions to avoid disturbing the status quo. Powerful actors may try to use policy revisions to their advantage to protect business-as-usual interests. The revision of the Brazilian Forest Code in 2012, for example, ended up weakening forest protection, and is seen as a victory of larger-scale business-as-usual interests driving deforestation and forest degradation (May et al. 2016).

Holding state and businesses accountable often requires strong civil society organisations and other independent agencies (Weber and Partzsch 2018). Indicators of accountability include clarity of roles, clear reporting, frequent monitoring and clear rationales for decision-making (Secco et al. 2014). For most REDD+ countries, there are no clear roles for these stakeholders in REDD+ MRV, nor are there reporting channels between MRV participants (Ochieng et al. 2016). In order to enforce accountability, coalition-building with powerful agents of change is a strategic action, but access to information is a prerequisite (Di Gregorio et al. 2012; Brockhaus et al. 2014; Korhonen-Kurki et al. 2017, 2018).

5.4 Lessons and ways forward

Building national and international capacities for assessing and tracking drivers of forest change is a complex but crucial undertaking. A wide variety of spatial and non-spatial information, coming from different sources and involving many stakeholders, will have to be integrated if an information system is to be adequate to support decision-making and evaluate the effect of interventions. While data availability has improved significantly in recent years, the chain from technical data to actionable information – and ultimately effective interventions – needs to be strengthened. Research institutes and REDD+ countries need to work together towards operational and integrated monitoring of different types of drivers, to support the REDD+ policy processes.

More systematic and transparent assessments of direct drivers and underlying causes of forest change at national and international levels can leverage action against business-as-usual practices at the global level. Experiences of REDD+ policy processes have shown that information and discourses about drivers of forest change are often purposely hidden or neglected by powerful agents, hindering the transformational changes needed to change behaviour in business-as-usual land-use decisions. Thus, paying attention to implementing mechanisms – institutional arrangements, procedures and tools – for coordination, participation, transparency and accountability in REDD+ monitoring systems, and supporting stakeholders who want to use information to strengthen policies and actions addressing drivers, are crucial for information-driven policy change.