

This is a repository copy of Activity energy expenditure is an independent predictor of energy intake in humans.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/139865/

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Hopkins, M orcid.org/0000-0002-7655-0215, Duarte, C orcid.org/0000-0002-6566-273X, Beaulieu, K orcid.org/0000-0001-8926-6953 et al. (7 more authors) (2019) Activity energy expenditure is an independent predictor of energy intake in humans. International Journal of Obesity, 43. pp. 1466-1474. ISSN 0307-0565

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41366-018-0308-6

© 2019, Springer Nature Limited. This is a post-peer-review, pre-copyedit version of an article published in International Journal of Obesity. The final authenticated version is available online at: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41366-018-0308-6. Uploaded in accordance with the publisher's self-archiving policy.

Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/

Activity Energy Expenditure is an Independent Predictor of Energy Intake in Humans

Mark Hopkins^a, Cristiana Duarte^b, Kristine Beaulieu^b, Graham Finlayson^b, Catherine Gibbons^b, Alexandra M Johnstone^c, Stephen Whybrow^c, Graham W Horgan^d, John E Blundell^b and R James Stubbs^b.

^aSchool of Food Science and Nutrition, Faculty of Mathematics and Physical Sciences, University of Leeds, Leeds, United Kingdom. ^bSchool of Psychology, Faculty of Medicine and Health, University of Leeds, Leeds, United Kingdom. ^cThe Rowett Institute, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen, United Kingdom. ^dBiomathematics and Statistics Scotland, Aberdeen, United Kingdom.

Corresponding author:

Correspondence should be addressed to:

Dr Mark Hopkins School of Food Science and Nutrition, Faculty of Mathematics and Physical Sciences, University of Leeds, Leeds, United Kingdom.

Tel: +44 (0) 11334 36990

Email: M.Hopkins@Leeds.ac.uk

Running heading: Activity energy expenditure & energy intake

Disclosure of funding:

The present study was funded by the Food Standards Agency, UK, and The Scottish Government's Rural and Environment Science and Analytical Services Division. None of the funding bodies had a role in the design, analysis or writing of this article.

Disclaimers:

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Abbreviations

AEE, activity energy expenditure; FFM, fat-free mass; FM, fat mass; RMR, resting metabolic rate; EI, energy intake; EE, energy expenditure; TEF, thermic effect of food.

Names for PubMed Indexing:

Hopkins, Duarte, Beaulieu, Finlayson, Gibbons, Johnstone, Whybrow, Horgan, Blundell, Stubbs.

This trial was retrospectively registered at clinicaltrials.gov as NCT03319615.

1 Abstract

-	
3	Background: There is evidence that the energetic demand of metabolically active tissue is
4	associated with day-to-day food intake (EI). However, the extent to which behavioural
5	components of total daily energy expenditure (EE) such as activity energy expenditure (AEE)
6	are also associated with EI is unknown. Therefore, the present study examined the cross-
7	sectional associations between body composition, resting metabolic rate (RMR), AEE and EI.
8	
9	Methods: Data for 242 individuals (114 males; 128 females; $BMI = 25.7 \pm 4.9 \text{ kg/m}^2$) were
10	collated from the baseline control conditions of five studies employing common measures of
11	body composition (air displacement plethysmography) and RMR (indirect calorimetry). EI
12	(weighed-dietary records) and EE (FLEX heart rate) were measured daily over 6-7 days, and
13	AEE was calculated as total daily EE minus RMR.
14	
15	Results: Linear regression indicated that RMR ($\beta = 0.39$; P < 0.001), fat mass ($\beta = -0.26$; P <
16	0.001) and AEE ($\beta = 0.18$; P = 0.002) were independent predictors of mean daily EI, with
17	AEE adding \approx 3 % of variance to the model after controlling for age, sex and study (F _(10, 231) =
18	18.532, $P < 0.001$; $R^2 = 0.445$). Path analyses indicated that the effect of FFM on mean daily
19	EI was mediated by RMR (P < 0.05), while direct ($\beta = 0.19$; P < 0.001) and indirect ($\beta =$
20	0.20; $P = 0.001$) associations between AEE and mean daily EI were observed.
21	
22	Conclusions: When physical activity was allowed to vary under free-living conditions, AEE
23	was associated with mean daily EI independently of other biological determinants of EI
24	arising from body composition and RMR. These data suggest that EE per se exerts influence
23	was associated with mean daily EI independently of other biological determ

- 25 over daily food intake, with both metabolic (RMR) and behavioral (AEE) components of total
- 26 daily EE potentially influencing EI via their contribution to daily energy requirements.

27

28 Key Words

- 29 Energy intake, fat mass, fat-free mass, resting metabolic rate, activity energy expenditure,
- 30 total daily energy expenditure.

31 INTRODUCTION

It is well established in the farm animal literature,¹ and assumed in the literature on human 32 33 energy requirements,² that metabolic body size and energy expenditure (EE) influence energy 34 intake (EI). Until recently, evidence linking EE in humans to day-to-day feeding patterns has however been limited,³ and the mechanisms that translate EE into a functional drive to eat are 35 poorly defined.⁴ Evidence is now accumulating, primarily from cross-sectional analyses, to 36 indicate that the EE of metabolically active tissue is associated with daily EI in individuals 37 38 not undergoing significant changes in body weight or composition, with studies reporting strong positive associations between fat-free mass (FFM) and ad libitum EI.⁵⁻⁹ These 39 associations appear to reflect the energetic contribution that FFM makes to total daily EE, as 40 the effect of FFM on EI has been reported to be mediated by resting metabolic rate (RMR)^{10,} 41 ¹¹ and 24-hour EE.¹² These studies suggest that EE per se is exerting influence on daily EI, 42 and it has recently been hypothesized that together, RMR and activity energy expenditure 43 (AEE) may act as key biological drivers of EI.¹³ However, since AEE is typically more 44 variable day-to-day and makes a smaller contribution to total daily EE than RMR,¹⁴ any effect 45 46 may be more difficult to determine in a free living state close to conditions of energy balance.

47 When exercise has been used to acutely manipulate AEE and total daily EE, a loose coupling between the EE of exercise and EI has been reported.^{15, 16} This coupling may become stronger 48 when energy balance is systematically manipulated using exercise over longer periods of 49 time,¹⁷⁻²⁰ or in those with high habitual physical activity levels.²¹⁻²³ Previous studies have 50 51 looked at associations between total daily EE and EI, but EE is often measured during confinement in a metabolic chamber.¹² Based on cross-sectional data in weight stable 52 individuals, we have previously shown that total daily EE failed to explain any further 53 variance in daily EI after accounting for RMR.¹⁰ However, this study was conducted during a 54

14-day residential stay in a metabolic unit, a condition under which variability in physical
activity may have been constrained. Therefore, the present study examined the cross-sectional
associations between mean daily EI and individual components of total daily EE weight stable
individuals under conditions where total daily EE was allowed to vary as a function of
physical activity. Given its contribution to total daily EE, it was hypothesised that AEE would
be associated with EI alongside components of body composition and RMR.

61 SUBJECTS AND METHODS

62 Subjects

In total, 242 subjects (114 males; 128 females; $BMI = 25.6 \pm 5.0 \text{ kg/m}^2$) were included in the 63 64 present cross-sectional analyses (see Table 1), which combined data from the baseline, nonintervention control conditions of five previous studies employing common experimental 65 procedures (Supplementary Figure 1).²⁴⁻²⁹ These studies were originally designed to examine 66 67 the effect of diet on body composition, eating behaviour and health, and had no a priori hypotheses about the effects of body composition or EE as determinants of food intake. All 68 69 data were collected at the Rowett Institute, University of Aberdeen, United Kingdom between 70 the dates of 1998 and 2007, and subjects were weight stable (weight change of <2 kg in the 71 previous three months), free from disease and not taking medication known to influence 72 metabolism or appetite. For each study, written informed consent was obtained, data were 73 anonymised, and ethical approval was granted by the Grampian Research Ethics Committee. 74 Secondary analyses of these data were retrospectively registered at clinicaltrials.gov 75 (NCT03319615).

76

Table 1 here

77 Study Design

Data were aggregated from the non-intervention baseline control conditions of five studies
employing common experimental measures of body composition (air displacement
plethysmography), energy expenditure (indirect calorimetry and FLEX heart rate) and total
daily EI (weighed dietary records).¹¹ Total daily EI and total daily EE were measured over 6
(n = 54) or 7 days (n = 188). Detailed descriptions of the procedures, repeatability of
measurements and the assumptions and limitations associated with the measurement of daily
EI, EE and energy balance in these data have previously been reported.^{24, 25, 28, 30}

85 Anthropometry and Body Composition

Stature was measured to the nearest 0.5 cm using a portable stadiometer (Holtain Ltd., 86 87 Crymych, Dyfed, Wales), while body weight was measured to the nearest 0.01 kg after voiding (DIGI DS-410 CMS Weighing Equipment, London, UK). The change in body weight 88 89 over the 6 or 7-day period in which total daily EE & EI were estimated was also measured in 229 subjects. Air-displacement plethysmography was used to estimate a 2-compartment 90 model of body composition in 233 participants (BOD POD Body Composition System, Life 91 92 Measurement, Inc., Concord, USA). After voiding, subjects were weighed to the nearest 0.01 kg while wearing minimal clothing (e.g. swimwear and swim hat) and body composition was 93 94 then estimated according to manufacturers' instructions (with thoracic gas volumes estimated 95 using the manufacturer's software). Air-displacement plethysmography has been validated against underwater weighing in normal weight³¹ and overweight and obese adults.³² In nine 96 97 subjects, body composition was estimated from skinfold thickness (Holtain Ltd., Dyfed, Wales, UK) and the equations of Durnin & Womersley³³ as measures of air-displacement 98 99 plethysmography were unavailable. The inclusion of these subjects alongside those with 100 estimates using air-displacement plethysmography did not alter the outcomes of any analyses.

101 Resting Metabolic Rate

RMR was measured over 30-40-minute period following a 12-hour fast in a thermo-neutral
room using an indirect calorimeter fitted with a ventilated hood (Deltatrac II, MBM-200,
Datex Instrumentarium Corporation, Finland). The first and last five minutes' measurements
were excluded, and EE was calculated from minute-by-minute data, using the equations of
Elia and Livesey,³⁴ and plotted. The mean of the first 15 consecutive minutes visually
showing minimal variation in EE was calculated. Details of calibration burns and
repeatability testing have been described elsewhere.²⁹

109 Total Daily Energy Expenditure and Activity Energy Expenditure

Total daily EE was calculated using the modified FLEX heart rate method of Ceesay et al.³⁵ 110 and the calorimetric equations of Elia and Livesey,³⁶ and was based on a minimum of 12 111 hours of heart rate data per day (Polar Sport Tester, Polar Electro Oy, Finland). Heart rate was 112 113 averaged over 1-minute intervals throughout the waking day, with subjects recording the time 114 at which they started and stopped wearing the heart rate monitors each day. To calculate total daily EE, a regression line of heart rate vs. EE was established for each subject by 115 simultaneously measuring heart rate, breath-by-breath $\dot{V}O_2$ and $\dot{V}CO_2$ (averaged over 10-s 116 117 intervals) at incremental workloads in the morning, after an overnight fast. The test comprised 118 of a series of sedentary activities and an incremental exercise test on a bicycle ergometer in 119 the following sequential steps with no break between them: 5 minutes sitting, 5 minutes 120 standing up, 5 minutes cycling at the lowest possible resistance (55 W), and a further 3×5 minute blocks increasing resistance and maintaining 60 rpm.³⁷ The average of two calibration 121 curves was used for calculation of EE. Total daily EE was estimated based on the following 122 equation:35,38 123

124

125

• Total daily EE = sedentary EE + sleep EE + activity EE

126

Sleep EE was calculated as 95 % of measured RMR³⁹ and was applied to the time when the 127 heart rate monitors were not worn (i.e. during sleep). Sedentary EE was assumed to be equal 128 to the mean EE from RMR, sitting, and standing measurements during the calibration.³⁸ 129 However, as these calibration measures were performed following an overnight fast, the 130 131 thermic effect of food (TEF) would not have been accounted for in these calculations, and this 132 would have likely resulted in an under-estimation of total daily EE in the present study. For 133 heart rate exceeding FLEX heart rate, heart rate was calculated using the subject-specific 134 heart rate: O₂ calibration regression equation for each individual. Zero values and heart rates 135 that were considered to be outside of the physiological range (>220 beats/min), which may 136 have occurred due to a loss or interference in the signal between the HR transmitter and 137 receiver, were removed and replaced by the average of the previous and subsequent values.⁴⁰ 138 In the present study, AEE was calculated as total daily EE minus RMR.

139 Total Daily Energy Intake

A weighed dietary record method was used to measure EI in which subjects were asked to 140 141 record all foods and drinks consumed. Full written and verbal information on how to complete the record was given to all subjects, and each subject was provided with calibrated 142 digital electronic scales with a resolution of 1 g (Soehnle model 820; Soehnle-Waagen GmbH 143 & Co. KG, Murrhardt, Germany) and a food diary for recording a description of the 144 145 food/drink consumed, time of consumption, weight of food, cooking method and any 146 leftovers. Subjects were encouraged to record all recipe formulations and to keep all 147 packaging for ready-to-eat food products. When scale use was difficult (i.e. when eating out), 148 subjects were instructed to record as much information as possible about the quantity of the 149 food they ate by using household measures.

150

151 Dietary data were analysed using Diet 5 (Robert Gordon University, Aberdeen), a 152 computerised version of McCance and Widdowson composition of foods and supplements. 153 The database of nutritional information was updated for unusual food products based on the food packaging provided by subjects. Standard portions sizes were used with missing weights 154 155 or portion sizes, and to reduce investigator bias and inputting errors, all diets were cross-156 checked by at least one other trained member of staff. In the present paper, mean daily EI was calculated based on the average of a participant's intake over the 6 or 7-day measurement 157 period. 158

159

160 Statistical Analysis

Data are reported as mean \pm SD. A paired t-test was used to examine the change in body 161 162 weight 6 or 7-day measurement period, and simple linear and segmental linear regression 163 were used to examine the association the average weight change and energy balance over this 164 period. The use of segmental linear regression allowed the association between energy 165 balance and weight change to be different for positive and negative weight change by 166 including in the regression an additional term which was the interaction between weight change and an indicator variable for positive changes. Based on previous findings, ^{5-8, 10, 41} a 167 168 regression model was constructed using general linear modelling (IBM SPSS, Chicago, 169 Illinois, Version 24) with mean daily EI as the dependent variable and fat mass (FM), FFM, 170 RMR and AEE as independent variables. A 'study' term was also entered in the regression model to account for any heterogeneity introduced by the inclusion of aggregated data from 171 172 separate studies, and given their known effect on RMR and EI, sex and age were also included. Multicollinearity was assessed using the variance inflation factor (VIF), which 173 indicated that there were no violation in the model described (VIF < 5.5).⁴² 174

Path analysis (IBM AMOS, Chicago, Illinois, Version 24) was also used to further examine
the associations the standardised residual scores for FM, FFM, RMR, AEE and mean daily EI
(after adjusting for study differences using residuals from a linear regression model which had
a term for study only). A model was constructed that tested whether AEE had a direct effect
on mean daily EI or indirect effects via FM, FFM and RMR.

180 A-priori power calculations indicated that for the number of observed (5) and unobserved (4) variables included in the model, the sample size exceeded the required N (137) to detect 181 medium effect sizes (0.3) with a power of 0.80, and a probability level of P \leq 0.05.⁴³ The 182 significance of the regression coefficients and fit statistics were calculated using the 183 Maximum Likelihood estimation method. The following recommended goodness of fit 184 indices were analysed to test for the adequacy of the mediation model: Chi-square (χ^2), 185 186 Tucker Lewis Index (TLI), Comparative Fit Index (CFI), and Root-Mean Square Error of Approximation (RMSEA), with 95% confidence interval.^{42, 44} Indirect effects were tested 187 188 through the bootstrapping method, with 2000 Bootstrap samples and 95% bias-corrected 189 confidence intervals (CI). Effects were significant when zero was not included in the CI lower and upper limits.42,44 190

191

192 **RESULTS**

Mean daily EI, total daily EE, RMR, AEE and PAL can be seen in Table 2. There was a mean energy deficit of -1250 ± 3039 kJ/d during the measurement period, which resulted in a small but statistically significant loss of body weight (-0.49 ± 0.92 kg; P = 6.0602E-14). The intercept of the average weight change and energy balance (i.e. total daily EE minus total mean daily EI) was found to differ significantly from zero (coefficient = -0.401; SE = 0.064; 198 P = 2.0007E-9), indicating an underestimation of energy balance relative to that predicted 199 from weight change. As the energy cost of weight gain and weight loss differ,^{45, 46} segmented 200 linear regression was also used to examine the association between weight change and energy 201 balance and indicated that zero weight change occurred at an energy balance of -1121 kJ (F_(2, 226) = 6.363, P = 0.002; R² = 0.05).

203

Table 2 here

204 Body Composition and Energy Expenditure as Predictors of Energy Intake

205

Figure 1 here

206 As can been seen in Figure 1, statistically significant positive bivariate associations were seen 207 between EI and FFM (r = 0.541; P = 8.198E-20), RMR (r = 0.482; P = 1.8273E-15) and AEE (r = 0.364; P = 5.3458E-9), while a statistically significant negative association was seen 208 209 between FM and mean daily EI (r = -0.157; P = 0.014). To further examine these 210 relationships between body composition, RMR, AEE and mean daily EI, a regression model was constructed using general linear modelling (Table 3). After accounting for sex ($\beta = 0.15$; 211 P = 0.561), age ($\beta = -0.09$; P = 0.121) and study (P = 0.023 to P = 0.693), FM ($\beta = -0.26$; P 212 213 = 0.000402), RMR (β = 0.39; P = 0.000431) and AEE (β = 0.18; P = 0.002) were found to independently predict mean daily EI ($F_{(10, 231)} = 18.532$, P = 9.4156E-25; $R^2 = 0.445$). 214

215

Table 3 here

- 216 To further explore the reported association between AEE and mean daily EI, a path analysis
- 217 was conducted to test the direct and indirect effects of AEE on mean daily EI, through the
- effects of FM, FFM and RMR (Figure 2). The following path coefficients were non-
- significant and removed from the model: the direct effects of AEE on RMR ($b_{AEE} = 0.00$; SEb

237	Figure 2 here
236	fit ($\chi^2_{(2)}$ = 30.50, P = 0.001; TLI = 0.72; CFI = 0.94; RMSEA = 0.24, P = 0.001).
235	via FM, FFM and RMR. Results indicated that this model presented an unacceptable model
234	An alternative reversed model was examined which tested the effect of mean daily EI on AEE
233	on mean daily EI ($\beta = 0.19$) and an indirect effect of 0.20 (95% CI = 0.14, 0.26; P = 0.001).
232	0.05) and by FFM (β AEE.FFM x β FFM.RMR = 0.39 x 0.77 = 0.30). AEE had a direct effect
231	(95% CI = 0.16, 0.35; P = 0.001) mediated by FM (β AEE.FM x β FM.RMR = -0.15 x 0.32 = -
230	RMR (95% CI = 0.34, 0.51; $P = 0.001$). AEE had a significant indirect effect on RMR of 0.26
229	= 0.13, 0.24; $P = 0.001$). FFM had an indirect effect of 0.43 on mean daily EI mediated by
228	effect on mean daily EI (β = -0.35), and an indirect effect of 0.18 mediated by RMR (95% CI
227	had a significant direct effect on FM (β = -0.15) and on FFM (β = 0.39). FM had a direct
226	FM and FFM on EI were found to be partially and fully mediated by RMR, respectively. AEE
225	and also an indirect effect mediated by decreased FM and increased FFM. In turn, effects of
224	and 75% of RMR variance. Overall, analyses indicated that, AEE had a direct effect on EI
223	predictors proposed in the theoretical model accounted for a total of 39% of the variance of EI
222	good fit ($\chi^2_{(2)} = 1.63$, P = 0.444; TLI = 1.00; CFI = 1.00; RMSEA = 0.00, P = 0.626). The
221	= 0.10; Z = 1.27, P = 0.204). The model with these nonsignificant paths removed revealed a
220	= 0.04; Z = 0.12, P = 0.904), and the direct effect of FFM on mean daily EI ($b_{FFM} = 0.12$; SEb

238 **DISCUSSION**

239 The present study examined whether biological (e.g. body composition and RMR) and

240 behavioural (e.g. AEE) components of total daily EE acted as independent determinants of

241 mean daily EI in individuals not undergoing significant changes in body weight or

composition. Consistent with our previous findings,^{10, 11} FFM was associated with mean daily

EI but its effect on EI was mediated by RMR. Importantly, AEE was also found to predict
mean daily EI alongside RMR and FM. These data therefore suggest that the energy expended
through daily activity may also influence mean daily EI, albeit, under these conditions, not as
strongly as other biological determinants such as body composition and RMR.

247 The Effect of Activity Energy Expenditure on Daily Energy Intake

248 As determinants of total daily EE, evidence is accumulating to suggest that FFM and RMR 249 are associated with a drive to eat that reflects the energetic demands of metabolically active tissue.^{5-8, 10, 41} It has previously been reported that FFM is positively associated with EI,^{5, 9-11} 250 but this association is mediated by RMR.^{10, 11} In line with these findings, path analysis in the 251 252 present study indicated that while FFM was associated with EI, its effect on mean daily EI was fully mediated by RMR. The present analyses extend our previous findings by 253 254 accounting for the behavioural contribution of AEE to total daily EE. Importantly, AEE was 255 found to independently predict mean daily EI alongside RMR and FM, with path analysis 256 indicating a direct association between AEE and mean daily EI that was not accounted for by FM, FFM or RMR (alongside an indirect association- see below). Given previous findings,^{5-8,} 257 ^{10,41} it is plausible to suggest that AEE may influence EI via its contribution to total daily EE 258 259 and that EE per se may exerts influence over food intake. However, as these data are cross-260 sectional and do not include a large array of potential explanatory variables, alternative 261 explanations may exist. For example, it could be speculated that habitually active individuals 262 conscious or subconscious alter food choice to increase EI. It should also be noted that the 263 direct and indirect pathways reported here represent statistical associations, and therefore, 264 causality should not be inferred and care should be taken when interpreting the direction of 265 flow. An alternative 'reversed' model was tested that examined the effect of EI on AEE i.e. 266 that increased EI was associated with greater FM, and in turn, lower AEE. However, this

'reverse' model failed to support this alternative hypothesis, and while it does not provide 268 evidence of causality, it does help suggest the likely direction of flow in the model.

269 The amount of variance in mean daily EI accounted for by AEE was smaller than that seen for 270 RMR and FM, with AEE explaining ≈ 3 % of the between-subject variance in mean daily EI after accounting for sex, age, body composition and RMR. The strength of the direct path 271 272 between AEE and mean daily EI was also weaker than that seen between RMR and EI. 273 However, the modest association between AEE and mean daily EI is consistent with the smaller proportion of total daily EE explained by AEE as compared to RMR.²⁹ Biologically 274 mediated components of total daily EE such as FFM and RMR may also be more closely 275 276 associated with EI as they typically display less between-day variability than AEE (which, in part, reflects the behavioral activities of daily living).^{14, 29} It could therefore be argued that 277 278 while FFM and RMR are well placed to exert stable influence over food intake, the 279 contribution of AEE to daily food intake is likely to be weaker and more variable (and thus, harder to quantify). Errors in the measurement of total daily EE may have also contributed to 280 281 modest association between AEE and mean daily EI. While FLEX heart rate provides valid 282 estimates of total daily EE relative to doubly labelled water at the group level, higher levels of error are observed at the individual level.⁴⁷ The use of accelerometry is now more common 283 place, but significant error in the individual estimates of EE are still observed with this 284 technique.48 285

286 Although cross-sectional, the present findings may have implications for our understanding of how physical activity influences EI. Systematic increases in AEE may promote (modest) 287 increases in EI over time as EI begins to partially track changes in total daily EE.¹⁷⁻²⁰ This 288 289 interpretation fits with the loose coupling thought to exists between exercise-induced EE and EI,⁴⁹ and evidence indicating partial tracking of EI when exercise is used to manipulate 290

energy balance over 7 to 14 day¹⁷⁻¹⁹ and 12 week²⁰ periods. However, these data should not be 291 292 interpreted to suggest that increases in physical activity or AEE will lead to overconsumption i.e. eating in excess of energy needs, as any increase in EI should be evaluated in the context 293 294 of changes in total daily EE and energy balance. Indeed, a tighter coupling is thought to exist between EI and EE in individuals with high habitual activity levels that means day-to-day EI 295 more closely matches daily energy requirements.²¹⁻²³ There appears to be two important 296 297 features of this tighter coupling in active individuals; i) an increase in orexigenic drive that 298 elevates EI in response to increased EE (although the increase in EI does not typically fully compensate for the increase in EE), and ii) a concomitant increase in the sensitivity to post-299 prandial hunger and satiety cues that helps 'tune' daily EI to daily energy requirements.⁵⁰ The 300 present findings help provide insight into the mechanisms that lead to this increase in 301 302 orexigenic drive, with greater EI in active individuals in part reflecting the increased 303 contribution of AEE to total daily EE. This effect is likely modest when considered in 304 isolation, but physical activity-induced changes in body composition (e.g. increased FFM and, 305 in turn, RMR), may also contribute to an increased orexigenic drive. However, prospective 306 longitudinal interventions that systemically manipulate AEE are needed to confirm (or refute) 307 these suggestions.

308 Indirect Effects of Activity Energy Expenditure on Energy Intake

In addition to its contribution to total daily EE, physical activity may influence EI via a
number of other mechanisms, e.g. alterations in gastric emptying,⁵¹ appetite-related
hormones⁵² or psychometric eating behaviour traits.⁵³ Indeed, path analysis in the present
study also indicated an indirect effect of AEE on EI (mediated by body composition and
RMR). This appears to arise from the effects of AEE on body composition, with higher AEE
associated with higher FFM and lower FM in the present study. While it might be predicted

that higher FFM would be associated with higher EI (due to a higher RMR), we have 315 previously demonstrated that the influence of FM on EI is more complex. As previously 316 reported,¹¹ FM appears to influence EI via two separate and opposing associations; a weak 317 318 positive association between FM and mean daily EI that may reflect its energetic contribution 319 to RMR, and a stronger a negative association that may reflect the inhibitory action of biological (e.g. leptin)⁵⁴ and/or psychological factors (e.g. dietary restraint).¹¹ It is the balance 320 321 between these separate, and potentially opposing, effects of FM and FFM that determine their 322 overall influence on EI. It is plausible to suggest that AEE may also indirectly influence EI by altering the balance between these associations via long-term changes in body composition. 323

324 Limitations

Mean daily EI was measured in the present paper using a self-reported weighed dietary record 325 326 method, which is known to lead to an underestimation of EI.55 Similarly, FLEX heart rate tends to underestimate EE relative to doubly labelled water,^{35, 38, 56} although mean PAL in the 327 present study was 1.69 x RMR (which is similar to population estimates for energy 328 requirements in free-living subjects derived using doubly-labelled water).⁵⁷ These 329 measurement issues may explain why a bias was seen in the relationship between weight 330 331 change and energy balance. No adjustment for TEF was made in the calculation of AEE. As HR:VO₂ curves were estimated in fasting subjects. TEF would not have been adequately 332 333 accounted for in the calculation of total daily EE in the present study, and this would have likely resulted in an under-estimation of total daily EE (and AEE). Thus, deducting an 334 arbitrary EE factor to account for TEF in the calculation of AEE in the present study would 335 336 have improved our analysis. Furthermore, although TEF is commonly assumed to equal 10 % of EI,⁵⁸ applying a constant TEF value fails to recognise i) between-subject variability in the 337 energy cost of digestion and storage/metabolism, and ii) differences in TEF following the 338

ingestion of foods differing in macronutrient composition.⁵⁸ While the unique variance
explained by AEE in these models was modest, this is not perhaps surprising given the
multiple pathways through which AEE can influence EI, and the inter-individual variability
typically seen in both AEE²⁹ and key appetite-related processes.⁵⁹

343 CONCLUSIONS

- 344 These data indicate that AEE independently predicted mean daily EI alongside body
- 345 composition and resting metabolism, albeit not as strongly. These findings are in agreement
- with the loose coupling previously reported between exercise-induced EE and EI,⁴⁹ and
- 347 provide further support for the idea that EE and its metabolic (RMR) and behavioral (AEE)
- 348 sub-components are associated with daily food intake in individuals who are not undergoing
- 349 significant changes in body weight or composition.

350 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

- 351 The authors' responsibilities were as follows: RJS, GWH, AMJ and SW conceived the
- 352 individual studies; RJS, SW, AMJ and the project team (Leona O'Reilley and Zoe Fuller)
- 353 conducted the research. MH, CD and GWH analysed the data & performed the statistical
- analysis. MH, JB, RJS and GF and wrote the initial manuscript, while all authors commented
- 355 on and approved the manuscript. RJS had primary responsibility for final content. The authors
- 356 report no personal or financial conflicts of interest.

357 **REFERENCES**

358 Webster AJ. Energy partitioning, tissue growth and appetite control. Proc Nutr Soc 1. 359 1993; 52(1): 69-76. 360 361 2. Policy PoDRVotCoMAoF. Dietary reference values for food energy and nutrients for the United Kingdom: report of the panel on dietary reference values of the committee 362 on medical aspects of food policy, HM Stationery Office, 1991. 363 364 365 3. De Castro JM. How can energy balance be achieved by free-living human subjects? Proc Nutr Soc. 1997; 56(1A): 1-14. 366 367 368 4. Hopkins M, Beaulieu K, Myers A, Gibbons C, Blundell JE. Mechanisms responsible for homeostatic appetite control: theoretical advances and practical implications. 369 370 Expert Rev Endocrinol Metab. 2017; 12(6): 401-415. 371 372 5. Weise C, Hohenadel M, Krakoff J, Votruba S. Body composition and energy 373 expenditure predict ad-libitum food and macronutrient intake in humans. Int J Obesity. 374 2013; **38**(2): 243–251. 375 376 6. Lissner L, Habicht J-P, Strupp BJ, Levitsky D, Haas JD, Roe D. Body composition 377 and energy intake: do overweight women overeat and underreport? Am J Clin Nutr. 378 1989; **49**(2): 320-325. 379 380 Caudwell P, Finlayson G, Gibbons C, Hopkins M, King N, Naslund E et al. Resting 7. 381 metabolic rate is associated with hunger, self-determined meal size, and daily energy 382 intake and may represent a marker for appetite Am J Clin Nutr. 2013; 97(1): 7-14. 383 McNeil J, Lamothe G, Cameron JD, Riou M-È, Cadieux S, Lafrenière J et al. 384 8. 385 Investigating predictors of eating: is resting metabolic rate really the strongest proxy 386 of energy intake? Am J Clin Nutr. 2017; **106**(5): 1206-1212. 387 9. Blundell JE, Caudwell P, Gibbons C, Hopkins M, Näslund E, King NA et al. Body 388 389 composition and appetite: fat-free mass (but not fat mass or BMI) is positively 390 associated with self-determined meal size and daily energy intake in humans. Brit J Nutr. 2011; 107(3): 445-49. 391 392 393 10. Hopkins M, Finlayson G, Duarte C, Whybrow S, Horgan GW, Blundell J et al. 394 Modelling the Associations between Fat-free Mass, Resting Metabolic Rate and 395 Energy Intake in the Context of Total Energy Balance. Int J Obesity. 2015; 40(2): 312-8. 396 397 398 11. Hopkins M, Finlayson G, Duarte C, Gibbons C, Johnstone A, Whybrow S et al. 399 Biological and psychological mediators of the relationships between fat mass, fat-free 400 mass and energy intake. Int J Obesity. 2018. 401 402 12. Piaggi P, Thearle MS, Krakoff J, Votruba SB. Higher daily energy expenditure and respiratory quotient, rather than fat free mass, independently determine greater ad 403 libitum overeating. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2015; 100(8): 3011-3020. 404

405		
406	13.	Lam YY, Ravussin E. Variations in energy intake: it is more complicated than we
407		think. Am J Clin Nutr. 2017; 106 (5): 1169-1170.
408		
409 410	14.	Ravussin E, Lillioja S, Anderson T, Christin L, Bogardus C. Determinants of 24-hour energy expenditure in man. Methods and results using a respiratory chamber. J Clin
411 412		Invest. 1986; 78 (6): 1568-78.
413 414	15.	Schubert MM, Desbrow B, Sabapathy S, Leveritt M. Acute Exercise and Subsequent Energy Intake: A Meta-Analysis. Appetite 2012; 63 : 92-104.
415	1.4	
416 417 418	16.	Donnelly JE, Herrmann SD, Lambourne K, Szabo AN, Honas JJ, Washburn RA. Does increased exercise or physical activity alter ad-libitum daily energy intake or macronutrient composition in healthy adults? A systematic review PloS one 2014:
419 420		9 (1): e83498.
421	17.	Stubbs R. Sepp A. Hughes D. Johnstone A. King N. Horgan G et al. The effect of
422 423		graded levels of exercise on energy intake and balance in free-living women. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord 2002; 26 (6): 866-869.
424		
425	18.	Stubbs R, Sepp A, Hughes D, Johnstone A, Horgan G, King N et al. The effect of
426		graded levels of exercise on energy intake and balance in free-living men, consuming
427		their normal diet. Eur J Clin Nutr. 2002; 56(2): 129-140.
428		
429	19.	Whybrow S, Hughes D, Ritz P, Johnstone A, Horgan G, King N et al. The effect of an
430 431		incremental increase in exercise on appetite, eating behaviour and energy balance in lean men and women feeding ad libitum. Br J Nutr 2008; 100 (05): 1109-1115.
432	•	
433	20.	King NA, Hopkins M, Caudwell P, Stubbs R, Blundell JE. Individual variability
434 425		following 12 weeks of supervised exercise: identification and characterization of
435		compensation for exercise-induced weight loss. Int J Obesity. 2008; $32(1)$; 1/7-184.
430 427	21	Regulieu K. Honking M. Blundell I. Finlayson G. Does Habitual Physical Activity
437	21.	Increase the Sensitivity of the Appetite Control System? A Systematic Review Sports
430		Med 2016. 1-23
440		Mcd. 2010, 1 25.
441	22	Mayer J. Roy P. Mitra K. Relation between caloric intake, body weight, and physical
442		work: studies in an industrial male population in West Bengal Am J Clin Nutr. 1956:
443		4(2): 169.
444		
445	23.	Blundell J. Physical activity and appetite control: can we close the energy gap? Nutr
446		Bull. 2011; 36 (3): 356-366.
447		
448	24.	Stubbs RJ, O'Reilly LM, Whybrow S, Fuller Z, Johnstone AM, Livingstone MBE et
449		al. Measuring the difference between actual and reported food intakes in the context of
450		energy balance under laboratory conditions. Brit J Nutr. 2014; 111 (11): 2032-2043.
451		
452	25.	Whybrow S, Stubbs R, Johnstone A, O'reilly L, Fuller Z, Livingstone M et al.
453 454		Plausible self-reported dietary intakes in a residential facility are not necessarily reliable. Fur I Clip Nutr. 2016; 70 (1): 130–135
7,77		101u010. Dui J Chin Mut. 2010, 10(1), 130-133.

455		
456	26.	Whybrow S, Harrison CL, Mayer C, Stubbs RJ. Effects of added fruits and vegetables
457		on dietary intakes and body weight in Scottish adults. Brit J Nutr. 2006; 95(03): 496-
458		503.
459		
460	27.	Whybrow S, Mayer C, Kirk TR, Mazlan N, Stubbs RJ. Effects of two weeks'
461		mandatory snack consumption on energy intake and energy balance. Obesity 2007
462		15 (3)• 673-685
462		15(5): 075 005.
405	28	Fuller 7 Horgan G. O'railly I. Ditz D. Milna F. Stubba D. Comparing different
404	20.	runer Z, Horgan G, Orenny L, Kitz I, Minne E, Studos K. Comparing unrefent
405		The asules of energy experiations in number subjects resident in a metabolic facility. Eur
466		J Chin Nutr. 2008; 02 (4): 500-509.
467	•	
468	29.	Johnstone AM, Murison SD, Duncan JS, Rance KA, Speakman JR. Factors
469		influencing variation in basal metabolic rate include fat-free mass, fat mass, age, and
470		circulating thyroxine but not sex, circulating leptin, or triiodothyronine. Am J Clin
471		Nutr. 2005; 82 (5): 941-948.
472		
473	30.	Stubbs R, Sepp A, Hughes D, Johnstone A, King N, Horgan G et al. The effect of
474		graded levels of exercise on energy intake and balance in free-living women. Int J
475		Obesity. 2002; 26 (6): 866-869.
476		
477	31	Fields DA, Goran MI, McCrory MA, Body-composition assessment via air-
478	011	displacement plethysmography in adults and children: a review Am I Clin Nutr 2002.
179		$75(3) \cdot 453-467$
475		15(5), +55-+07.
400	37	Cinda SP. Caliabter A. Pubiano F. Silva AM. Wang I. Hashka S. et al. Air
401	52.	Displacement Disthusmography: Validation in Overweight and Obese Subjects
402		Obspite 2005, 12(7), 1222, 1227
405		Obesity 2003, $13(7)$: 1252-1257.
484	22	
485	33.	Durnin JV, Womersley J. Body fat assessed from total body density and its estimation
486		from skinfold thickness: measurements on 481 men and women aged from 16 to 72
487		years. Brit J Nutr. 1974; 32 (01): 77-97.
488		
489	34.	Elia M, Livesey G. Energy expenditure and fuel selection in biological systems: the
490		theory and practice of calculations based on indirect calorimetry and tracer methods.
491		World review of nutrition and dietetics 1991; 70: 68-131.
492		
493	35.	Ceesay SM, Prentice AM, Day KC, Murgatroyd PR, Goldberg GR, Scott W et al. The
494		use of heart rate monitoring in the estimation of energy expenditure: a validation study
495		using indirect whole-body calorimetry. Brit J Nutr. 1989: 61 (02): 175-186.
496		
497	36.	Elia M. Livesev G. Energy expenditure and fuel selection in biological systems: the
498	000	theory and practice of calculations based on indirect calorimetry and tracer methods
490 499		In: Metabolic Control of Fating, Energy Expenditure and the Bioenergetics of
500		Obesity Karger Publishers 1992 nn 68-131
500		5000 m $5000 m$ $1000 m$ 100
501	37	Stubbe R. Hughes D. Johnstone A. Whybrow S. Horgen C. King N et al. Data and
502	51.	extent of compensatory changes in apergy intake and expanditure in reasonable to
303		extent of compensatory changes in energy intake and expenditure in response to

504 505 506		altered exercise and diet composition in humans. Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol. 2004; 286 (2): 350.
507 508 509 510	38.	Spurr G, Prentice A, Murgatroyd P, Goldberg G, Reina J, Christman N. Energy expenditure from minute-by-minute heart-rate recording: comparison with indirect calorimetry. Am J Clin Nutr. 1988; 48 (3): 552-559.
511 512 513	39.	Goldberg G, Prentice A, Davies H, Murgatroyd P. Overnight and basal metabolic rates in men and women. Eur J Clin Nutr. 1988; 42 (2): 137-144.
514 515 516 517 518	40.	Wareham NJ, Hennings SJ, Prentice AM, Day NE. Feasibility of heart-rate monitoring to estimate total level and pattern of energy expenditure in a population-based epidemiological study: the Ely Young Cohort Feasibility Study 1994–5. Brit J Nutr. 1997; 78 (06): 889-900.
519 520 521 522 523	41.	Blundell J, Caudwell P, Gibbons C, Hopkins M, Naslund E, King N et al. Body composition and appetite: fat-free mass (but not fat-mass or BMI) is positively associated with self-determined meal size and daily energy intake in humans. Brit J Nutr. 2012; 107 : 445-459.
524 525 526	42.	Hair JF, Tatham RL, Anderson RE, Black W. Multivariate data analysis, vol. 6. Pearson Prentice Hall: New Jersey, 2006.
520 527 528 529	43.	Soper DS. A-priori Sample Size Calculator for Structural Equation Models [Software]. In, 2018.
530 531 532	44.	Kline RB. Principles and practice of structural equation modeling, Guilford press: New York, 2005.
533 534 535	45.	Forbes GB. Body fat content influences the body composition response to nutrition and exercise. Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci. 2000; 904 (1): 359-365.
536 537 538 539	46.	Hall KD, Sacks G, Chandramohan D, Chow CC, Wang YC, Gortmaker SL et al. Quantification of the effect of energy imbalance on bodyweight. The Lancet 2011; 378 (9793): 826-837.
540 541 542	47.	Leonard WR. Measuring human energy expenditure: what have we learned from the flex-heart rate method? Am J Hum Biol. 2003; 15 (4): 479-489.
543 544 545 546	48.	Calabro MA, Kim Y, Franke WD, Stewart JM, Welk GJ. Objective and subjective measurement of energy expenditure in older adults: a doubly labeled water study. Eur J Clin Nutr. 2015; 69 (7): 850.
547 548	49.	Blundell J, King N. Effects of exercise on appetite control: loose coupling between energy expenditure and energy intake. Int J Obesity. 1998; 22 (2): S22-S29.
550 551 552 553	50.	King NA, Caudwell PP, Hopkins M, Stubbs JR, Naslund E, Blundell JE. Dual-process action of exercise on appetite control: increase in orexigenic drive but improvement in meal-induced satiety. Am J Clin Nutr. 2009; 90 (4): 921-927.

554 555 556	51.	Horner K, Byrne N, Cleghorn G, Näslund E, King N. The effects of weight loss strategies on gastric emptying and appetite control. Obes Rev. 2011: 935-951.
557 558 559	52.	Stensel D. Exercise, appetite and appetite-regulating hormones: implications for food intake and weight control. Ann. Nutr. Metab. 2010; 57 (2): 36-42.
560 561 562	53.	Bryant E, King N, Blundell J. Disinhibition: its effects on appetite and weight regulation. Obes Rev. 2008; $9(5)$: 409-419.
563 564 565 566	54.	Schwartz MW, Seeley RJ, Zeltser LM, Drewnowski A, Ravussin E, Redman LM et al. Obesity Pathogenesis: An Endocrine Society Scientific Statement. Endocr Rev. 2017. 38 (4):267-296.
567 568 569	55.	de Castro JM. Eating behavior: lessons from the real world of humans. Nutr. 2000; 16 (10): 800-813.
570 571 572 573	56.	Murgatroyd P, Shetty P, Prentice A. Techniques for the measurement of human energy expenditure: a practical guide. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord. 1993; 17 (10): 549-568.
574 575 576	57.	Nutrition TSACo. The Scientific Advisory Committee on Nutrition recommendations on carbohydrates, including sugars and fibre, 2015.
577 578 579	58.	Granata GP, Brandon LJ. The thermic effect of food and obesity: discrepant results and methodological variations. Nutr rev. 2002; 60 (8): 223-233.
580 581 582 583	59.	King JA, Deighton K, Broom DR, Wasse LK, Douglas JA, Burns SF et al. Individual Variation in Hunger, Energy Intake, and Ghrelin Responses to Acute Exercise. Med Sci Sports Exerc 2017; 49 (6): 1219-1228.

LEGENDS FOR FIGURES

Figure 1

Scatter plots and bivariate correlation coefficients illustrating the associations between mean daily energy intake and fat mass (A), fat-free mass (B), resting metabolic rate (C) and activity energy expenditure (D).

Figure 2

Path diagram with standardized parameter coefficients for the direct and indirect effects of the standardised residual scores of fat mass, fat-free mass, resting metabolic rate and activity energy expenditure (after adjusting for the influence of study differences using residuals from a linear regression model which had a term for study only) on mean daily energy intake, and the squared multiple correlations (R²) for resting metabolic rate and energy intake. The mediation model indicates that the effect of fat-free mass on mean daily energy intake was fully mediated by resting metabolic rate, while activity energy expenditure had direct and indirect effects on mean daily energy intake. FM, fat mass; FFM, fat-free mass; RMR, resting metabolic rate; AEE, activity-energy expenditure; EI, energy intake.

ONLINE SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

Supplementary Figure 1: Participant flow chart detailing the contribution from individual studies.