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Exclusive measurements of the quasi-free $pn \rightarrow pp\pi^-$ and $pp \rightarrow pp\pi^0$ reactions have been performed by means of $pd$ collisions at $T_p=1.2$ GeV using the WASA detector setup at COSY. Total and differential cross sections have been obtained covering the energy region $T_p=0.95$–1.3 GeV ($\sqrt{s}=2.3$–2.46 GeV), which includes the regions of $\Delta(1232)$, $N^*(1440)$ and $d^*(2380)$ resonance excitations. From these measurements the isoscalar single-pion production has been extracted, for which data existed so far only below $T_p=1$ GeV. We observe a substantial increase of this cross section around 1 GeV, which can be related to the Roper resonance $N^*(1440)$, the strength of which shows up isolated from the $\Delta$ resonance in the isoscalar $(N\pi)_{I=0}$ invariant-mass spectrum. No evidence for a decay of the dibaryon resonance $d^*(2380)$ into the isoscalar $(N\pi)_{I=0}$ channel is found. An upper limit of 180 μb (90% C.L.) corresponding to a branching ratio of 9% has been deduced.

© 2017 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). Funded by SCOAP³.

1. Introduction

Single-pion production in nucleon–nucleon ($NN$) collisions may be separated into isoscalar and isovector production. Excitation of the $\Delta(1232)$ resonance and of higher-lying $\Delta$ states in the course of the collision process can only happen in an isovector process. Hence these are absent in isoscalar single-pion production, which comprises only isoscalar processes like the excitation of the Roper resonance $N^*(1440)$ and higher-lying $N^*$ resonances – but also to the excitation and decay of the recently observed dibaryon state $d^*(2380)$ with $I(J^P) = 0(3^+)$ [1–4]. Both these resonances are the primary aim of this Letter.

At incident energies below 1 GeV, single-pion production is strongly characterized by excitation and decay of the $\Delta(1232)$ resonance. There have been several attempts in the past to extract the isoscalar production cross section [5–10], in order to reveal production processes other than the dominating $\Delta$ process. Since single-pion production in $NN$ collisions is either purely isovector or isospin-mixed, the isoscalar cross section can be obtained by combination of various cross section measurements. Most often the relation [5,6]:

$$\sigma_{NN \to NNN} (I = 0) = 3(2\sigma_{pp \to pp\pi^-} - \sigma_{pp \to pp\pi^0})$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

is used. Since here the difference of two usually big values enters, the experimental uncertainties appear generally large relative to the obtained absolute values. Previous experimental studies from near threshold up to 1 GeV incident energy give a large scatter of values with a tendency of being close to zero at low energies and increasing to values in the range of 1–2 mb [7–10] towards 1 GeV, in Ref. [5] even up to 4 mb.

In Ref. [10] the isoscalar cross sections have not been derived by use of eq. (1). Instead of using total cross sections a partial-wave analysis was applied to (unnormalized) angular and invariant mass distributions. The isoscalar cross section was then extracted from the observed asymmetries in the pion angular distribution – assuming that they exclusively derive from the interference of the isovector amplitudes with the isoscalar ones.

Here we report on first measurements of the isoscalar cross section from $T_p = 0.95$ GeV up to 1.3 GeV ($\sqrt{s} = 2.3$–2.46 GeV) by use of eq. (1). Aside from the $\Delta(1232)$ and $N^*(1440)$ excitations, this energy range covers the region of the $d^*(2380)$ dibaryon resonance. Whereas this resonance is considered to decay via an intermediate $\Delta\Delta$ system in general [11], Kukulin and Platonova [12] recently proposed an alternative scenario, where this resonance decays into the $\Delta N$ threshold state $D_{12}$ with $I(J^P) = 2(1^+)$ by emission of a pion in relative $p$ wave. Kinematically such a decay is hard to distinguish from that via an intermediate $\Delta\Delta$ system. However, contrary to the latter the decay via $D_{12}$ causes a decay branch $d^*(2380) \to (N\pi)_{I=0}$ because of the decay $D_{12} \to NN$. According to the SAID partial-wave analyses [13,14], the latter decay branch is 16–18%. Using a total $d^*$ production cross section about 1.7 mb for the observed decays into $NN$ and $NNN\pi$ channels [11], we thus expect a peak cross section of about 350 μb for the route $pn \to d^*(2380) \to D_{12}\pi \to (N\pi)_{I=0}$.

Following a suggestion of Bugg [15], $d^*(2380)$ could represent as well a $N^*(1440)N$ system. Such a scenario would cause, too, a decay of $d^*(2380)$ into the isoscalar $NN\pi$ system. Since the Roper resonance decays into the $NN\pi$ channel with a probability of 55–75% [16], we expect in this case a cross section as large as 1.1–1.4 mb for the route $pn \to d^*(2380) \to N^*(1440)N \to (NN\pi)_{I=0}$.

2. Experiment

In order to utilize eq. (1) for the extraction of the isoscalar single-pion production, we have measured both reactions $pp \to pp\pi^0$ and $pn \to pp\pi^-$ simultaneously by use of their quasi-free processes in $pd$ collisions. The experiment has been carried out at COSY (Forschungszentrum Jülich) at the WASA detector setup by using a proton beam with an energy of $T_p = 1.2$ GeV impinging on a deuterium pellet target [17,18]. By exploiting the Fermi momentum of the nucleons within the deuterium in the quasi-free scattering processes $pd \to pp\pi^0 + n_{spectator}$ and $pd \to pp\pi^- + p_{spectator}$, we cover the energy region $\sqrt{s} = 2.30$–2.44 GeV (corresponding to effective incident lab energies of $T_{lab} = 0.95$–1.3 GeV). This includes the regions of $\Delta(1232)$, $N^*(1440)$ and $d^*(2380)$ resonance excitations.
The hardware trigger utilized in this analysis required at least one charged hit in the forward detector as well as two recorded clusters in the central detector.

The quasi-free reaction \( pd \rightarrow pp\pi^0 + n_{\text{spectator}} \) has been selected in the offline analysis by requiring one proton track in each of the forward and central detectors as well as two photon hits in the central detector, which can be traced back to the decay of a \( \pi^0 \) particle. The quasi-free reaction \( pd \rightarrow pp\pi^- + p_{\text{spectator}} \) has been selected in the same way with the difference that now instead of two photon hits a \( \pi^- \) track has been required in the central detector. That way, the non-measured spectator four-momentum could be reconstructed by a kinematic fit with two and one overconstraints, respectively, which derive from the conditions for energy and momentum conservation and the \( \pi^0 \) mass. The achieved resolution in \( \sqrt{S} \) was about 20 MeV.

The charged particles registered in the segmented forward detector of WASA have been identified by use of the \( \Delta E - E \) energy loss method. For its application in the data analysis, all combinations of signals stemming from the five layers of the forward range hodoscope have been used. The charged particles in the central detector have been identified by their curved track in the magnetic field as well as by their energy loss in the surrounding plastic scintillator barrel and electromagnetic calorimeter.

Fig. 1 shows two sample spectra to demonstrate the quality of the events selected for the subsequent kinematic fits. On the top panel the \( pp\gamma\gamma\)-missing mass \( MM_{pp\gamma\gamma} \) is plotted versus the \( \gamma\gamma\)-invariant mass \( M_{\gamma\gamma} \) as observed in the quasi-free \( pd \rightarrow pp\pi^0 + n_{\text{spectator}} \) reaction. The black circle denotes the applied cut. The \( \pi^0 \) reconstruction efficiency is 87% for the case that per event 1 proton in the forward detector as well as 1 proton and 2 neutrons in the central detector have been identified. On the bottom panel the momentum \( P \) of charged particles measured with the minidrift chamber within the solenoid is plotted versus the particle energy deposited in the plastic scintillator barrel for the quasi-free \( pd \rightarrow pp\pi^- + p_{\text{spectator}} \) reaction. Negative momenta denote negatively charged particles, positive momenta correspondingly positively charged ones. Negative pions, positive pions and protons appear clearly separated. The black line shows the applied cut for the protons. The positive pions originate from the quasi-free two-pion production \( pd \rightarrow pp\pi^+\pi^- + p_{\text{spectator}} \). Their leakage into the proton band causes a contamination of the \( pd \rightarrow pp\pi^- + p_{\text{spectator}} \) reaction of less than 1%.

In total, a sample of about 100 6800 \( pp\pi^0 \) and 23 5000 \( pp\pi^- \) events meeting all selection criteria has been obtained. The requirement that the two protons have to be in the angular range covered by the forward and central detector and that the \( \pi^- \) and the gammas resulting from \( \pi^0 \) decay have to be in the angular range of the central detector reduces the overall acceptance to about 38% and 41%, respectively. The total reconstruction efficiency including all cuts and kinematical fitting has been 3.0% and 0.81%, respectively. The small efficiencies are due to the fact that we use only events, which have exactly three tracks in case of \( pp\pi^- \) and four tracks in case of \( pp\pi^+, \) i.e., we discard events, which contain beam related background like bremsstrahlung gammas. In addition we require the tracks to give no hint for secondaries due to hadronic interactions in the detector material. This is particularly restrictive for tracks in the forward detector due to high-energy protons.

In order to understand the small total efficiencies, we illustrate the reduction of data events by the various steps of the offline data analysis for the case of the \( pp\pi^- \) channel. In a first step all events, which meet the trigger conditions for the \( pp\pi^- \) reaction, are requested to represent charged tracks, a single one in the forward detector and two charged tracks in the central detector. In the next step we require by use of the MDC information that one of the two tracks in the central detector is of positive charge, whereas the other one is of negative charge. This reduces the candidate events by a factor of four. The third step requires the three charged tracks to be uniquely identified as two protons and one \( \pi^- \) particle due to their specific energy losses in the detector set-up without exhibiting any hadronic interaction with the detector material. As a consequence the candidate sample is reduced by a factor of six. The fourth step checks kinematical conditions for emission angles and missing masses. It removes events with corrupted kinematic information and leads to another factor of four reduction in the candidate events. Finally in the last step the kinematic fit is applied, which gives another 20% reduction.

We note that the inefficiencies of the various detector elements were studied in rare decays studies, where e.g. in the measurement of Dalitz plot asymmetries a 4–5 digits precision was required [19].

In order to check the reliability of our data analysis, comprehensive MC simulations of reactions and detector set-up have been performed using a cocktail of 16 reaction channels, which comprise elastic scattering, single-pion and double-pion production. That way a realistic scenario was generated, where the reactions of interest were embedded in the cocktail of background reactions. These MC simulations reproduce the event reduction in the data by the various analysis steps on the percent level and show that the enrichment of proper events for the desired reaction channel...
by the five analysis steps reaches 99% and better, i.e., the contamination of the final sample due to background reactions is at most 1%.

Efficiency and acceptance corrections of the data have been performed by MC simulations of reaction process and detector setup. Since the data show substantial deviations from pure phase-space distributions (see next chapter), the reaction process had to be modeled with $\Delta$ and Roper excitations, in order to achieve agreement between data and MC simulation and thus a consistent procedure for the data reduction process. The MC simulations based on pure phase-space and model descriptions will be discussed in the next chapter.

Since WASA does not cover the full reaction phase space, albeit a large fraction of it, these corrections are not fully model independent. The hatched gray histograms in Figs. 3–6 give an estimate for these systematic uncertainties. As a measure of these we have taken the difference between model corrected results and those obtained by assuming simply pure phase space for the acceptance corrections. Though this very conservative estimate considerably exaggerates the systematic uncertainties, since ignoring even the well-known dominating $\Delta$ excitation is not physically meaningful, it nevertheless demonstrates the stability of the corrections. Compared to the uncertainties in these corrections, systematic errors associated with modeling the reconstruction of particles are negligible.

The absolute normalization of the data has been obtained by comparison of the quasi-free single pion production process $pd \rightarrow pp\pi^0 + \text{spectator}$ to previous bubble-chamber results for the total cross section of the $pp \rightarrow pp\pi^0$ reaction [20–22]. That way, the uncertainty in the absolute normalization of our data is essentially that of the previous $pp \rightarrow pp\pi^0$ data, i.e., in the order of 5–15%. For the $pn \rightarrow pp\pi^-$ reaction the extrapolation to full phase space introduces some model dependence, which gives an uncertainty in the order of 5% in the absolute scale of this cross section relative to the one of the $pp \rightarrow pp\pi^0$ reaction.

In order to have some measure of systematic uncertainties in the data reduction process, we varied the conditions for cuts and fits within reasonable boundaries both in data analyses and MC simulations. As a result we obtained a maximum of 7% change in the deduced $pn \rightarrow pp\pi^-$ cross section relative to the one for the $pp \rightarrow pp\pi^0$ channel.

Together with the one for the acceptance correction we end up with a systematic error of 8% for the $pp \rightarrow pp\pi^-$ cross section relative to the one of the $pp \rightarrow pp\pi^0$ reaction.

3. Results and discussion

In order to determine the energy dependence of the total cross sections for the $pp \rightarrow pp\pi^0$ and $pn \rightarrow pp\pi^-$ reactions, we have divided our data sample into bins of 50 MeV width in the incident energy $T_p$. The resulting total cross sections for these channels as well as for the isoscalar channel determined by use of eq. (1) are shown in Fig. 2 together with results from earlier measurements [5–10,20–31]. Our data for the $pp\pi^0$ channel exhibit a flat energy dependence in good agreement with previous data. For the $pp\pi^-$ channel our data show a slope slightly declining with increasing energy – also in agreement with previous results, which exhibit some scatter.

The isoscalar cross section as obtained by use of eq. (1) is displayed at the bottom panel of Fig. 2. Our data exhibit cross sections in the range of 2–5 mb. In the overlap region with previous results, at incident energies around 1 GeV, our data agree with those obtained by Dakhno et al. [5], but are higher than the results of Refs. [7,9,10]. The latter used cross sections for the $pp \rightarrow pp\pi^0$ reaction, which are higher by roughly 10% than those used in Ref. [5].

Also, in contrast to our experiment, where we measured both reactions simultaneously with the same detector setup – reducing thus systematic errors –, the previous results obtained at lower
energies were obtained by use of independent measurements for \( pp\pi^0 \) and \( pp\pi^- \) channels carried out under different conditions, partially using even interpolations for the cross section values. It is therefore not unlikely that all those shortcomings contribute to the large scatter of extracted values in the low-energy region.

By use of eq. (1) the 8% uncertainty in the absolute scale of our cross sections for the \( pp\pi^- \) channel relative to those of the \( pp\pi^0 \) channel translates to an uncertainty of 30%, i.e. about 1.5 mb, in the absolute scale of the isoscalar cross section. Hence the discrepancy to the results of Ref. [10] may possibly not be as big as Fig. 2, bottom, seems to illustrate.

The results of Ref. [10] agree with those of Dakhno et al. [5] for \( T_p < 0.9 \) GeV. Only above there are discrepancies. Whereas the data point from Dakhno et al. at \( T_p = 0.978 \) GeV signals a further increase of the isoscalar cross section, the cross section deduced in Ref. [10] starts to decrease again at higher energies. This behavior appears to be strange, since the Roper excitation – as the only isoscalar resonance process at low energies – keeps rising in strength up to 1 GeV beam energy and leveling off beyond, as we know from the analysis of two-pion production data [32,33]. The observed energy dependence of the isoscalar single-pion production given by the data from Dakhno et al. [5] and WASA is at least qualitatively close to that deduced for the Roper excitation in two-pion production.

When binned into \( \sqrt{s} \) bins of 20 MeV, the differential distributions do not exhibit any particular energy dependence in their shapes – which is of no surprise, since the energy region covered in this measurement is dominated by \( \Delta \) and Roper excitations with very smooth energy dependencies due to their large decay widths. Hence we refrain from showing all differential distributions for single \( \sqrt{s} \) bins. We rather show them un-binned, i.e., averaged over the full energy range of the measurement, which has the advantage of better statistics and less systematic uncertainties due to potential binning artifacts. Only for the \( \pi\pi \)-invariant mass distributions we show some energy bins as an example.

For a three-body final state there are four independent differential observables. We choose to show in this paper the differential distributions for the center-of-mass (c.m.) angles for protons and pions denoted by \( \Theta_p \) and \( \Theta_{\pi^0} \), respectively, as well as for the invariant masses \( M_{pp} \) and \( M_{\pi\pi} \). These distributions are shown in Figs. 3-4. The resolution in the angular distributions is 0.5–1°, the one in the invariant mass spectra 15–20 MeV.

All measured differential distributions are markedly different in shape from pure phase-space distributions (shaded areas in Figs. 3–6). They are reasonably well reproduced by model calculations for t-channel pion exchange leading to excitation and decay of \( \Delta(1232) \) and \( N^*(1440) \). This has been accomplished by utiliz-
Fig. 4. (Color online.) The same as Fig. 3, but for the \( pn \to pp\pi^- \) reaction.

The proton angular distribution is strongly forward–backward peaked in both channels as expected for a peripheral reaction process. The pion angular distribution of the purely isovector \( pp\pi^0 \) channel, where the \( \Delta \) excitation dominates, behaves as expected from the \( p \)-wave decay of the \( \Delta \) resonance. For the isospin-mixed \( pp\pi^- \) channel, where the Roper resonance contributes with a flat pion angular dependence, the observed pion angular distribution is less curved due the combined contributions from \( \Delta \) and Roper decays. The observed asymmetries in the angular distributions are within the systematic errors.

The invariant mass spectra for \( M_{pp\pi^0} \) and \( M_{pp\pi^-} \) are both characterized by the \( \Delta \) peak – though in the \( M_{pp\pi^0} \) spectrum much more pronounced than in the \( M_{pp\pi^-} \) spectrum. At the high-mass shoulder of the \( \Delta \) peak the Roper excitation gets visible – in particular now in the \( M_{pp\pi^-} \) spectrum. As an example for the smooth energy dependence observed in the energy interval of interest here, we plot the \( M_{pp\pi^0} \) and \( M_{pp\pi^-} \) spectra for three energy bins in Fig. 5.

By application of eq. (1) to the invariant mass spectra, we obtain the isoscalar \( pp \) invariant mass distribution, in which the isovector \( \Delta \) process has to be absent. Fig. 6 exhibits the isoscalar \( M_{pp\pi^0} \) distribution, where indeed the \( \Delta \) peak has vanished. The remaining structure at higher energies has to be attributed to the isoscalar Roper excitation (solid line). To our knowledge this is the first time that the isoscalar Roper excitation could be visibly isolated in an invariant mass distribution.

The energy dependence of the total isoscalar cross section is displayed in Fig. 7 in dependence of the c.m. energy \( \sqrt{s} \). The only apparent structure is an enhancement at \( \sqrt{s} = 2.33 \) GeV. However, its statistical significance is less than \( 3\sigma \) and hence not of statistical relevance.

At the location of the \( d^*(2380) \) resonance the cross section exhibits no particular structure. In principle the resonance can interfere with the background, which is dominated by the Roper excitation. Since we are here just in the region of the nominal \( N^*(1440)N \) threshold, this system is most likely in relative \( S \) wave yielding total angular momenta of 0 and 1. In order to interfere with the \( d^*(2380) \) resonance, the \( N^*(1440)N \) system would need to have a total angular momentum of 3, \( i.e. \), would need to be in relative \( D \) wave – which is extremely unlikely at threshold. These considerations are also supported by the partial-wave decomposition given in Ref. [10] for the \( np \to pp\pi^- \) reaction at \( T_R \approx 1 \) GeV, where the contribution of the isoscalar \( 2^+D_3 \) np partial wave is below the percent level.

Since interference must be discarded, we have to assume that a potential \( d^*(2380) \) decay into the isoscalar \( NN\pi \) channel adds incoherently to the conventional background. We observe, however, no indication of a corresponding enhancement in the energy dependence of the cross section. We can therefore only give an upper
Fig. 5. (Color online.) The same as Fig. 3, but for the $M_{p\pi^0}$ (left) and $M_{p\pi^-}$ (right) spectra at $\sqrt{s} = 2.31$ GeV (top), 2.37 GeV (middle) and 2.45 GeV (bottom).

The Roper resonance can be safely assumed to produce an isoscalar contribution, which has a very smooth energy dependence yielding a bump-like structure with a curvature representing the large width of the Roper. A Gaussian should therefore be a good approximation for the Roper contribution in the region of interest. Indeed, a corresponding fit gives already an excellent reproduction of our data. Inclusion of a Lorentzian representing a potential $d^*(2380)$ contribution gives an improvement only, if a tiny negative contribution of $-35 \pm 106$ μb is allowed.

In order to get the right curvature at the low-energy side, we next included also the data of Dakhno et al. [5] in the fit, i.e., the only ones, which consistently match to ours at the low-energy side. The inclusion of these data produces a slightly stronger curvature in the region of our data. The fit resulting in $m = 2310$ MeV ≈...
For the scenario, where the $d^*(2380)$ decay proceeds via the $D_{12}\pi$ configuration, our derived upper limit is not as stringent and restricts such a configuration only to less than 50%. Our result is compatible with a recent proposal to consider $d^*(2380)$ as a compact hexaquark configuration surrounded by a molecule-like $D_{12}\pi$ configuration [35]. It is, of course, also compatible with a pure hexaquark scenario, where the predicted $d^* \rightarrow NN\pi$ decay rate is as small as 1–3% [36].

4. Conclusions

The isoscalar single-pion production in $NN$ collisions has been extracted from simultaneous measurements of the $pp \rightarrow pp\pi^0$ and $pn \rightarrow pp\pi^-$ reactions in the energy range $T_p = 0.95–1.3$ GeV ($\sqrt{s} = 2.3–2.46$ GeV). The obtained isoscalar cross sections in the region of 5 mb – the first ones in this energy range – fit well to earlier Gatchina results [5] at lower energies, but less to more recent ones [7,9].

The differential distributions of the $pp \rightarrow pp\pi^0$ and $pn \rightarrow pp\pi^-$ reactions are well described by $t$-channel meson exchange leading to excitation and decay of $\Delta(1232)$ and $N^*(1440)$. Application of eq. (1) to invariant spectra provides an isoscalar $M_{pp\pi^0}^{1/2}$ spectrum, where the $\Delta(1232)$ resonance is absent leaving thus the Roper resonance isolated.

The measured energy dependence of the isoscalar cross section gives no evidence for a decay of the dibaryon resonance $d^*(2380)$ into the isoscalar $NN\pi$ channel. The derived upper limit excludes the proposed $N^*(1440)N$ channel as a major intermediate decay configuration. It also restricts a possible $D_{12}\pi$ configuration to less than 50%, but is in full accordance with quark-model calculations predicting a compact hexaquark configuration for $d^*(2380)$. By these measurements the investigation of all possible hadronic decay channels of $d^*(2380)$ has been completed. What is left, is the study of its electromagnetic decays, which are expected to be smaller by another three to four orders of magnitude [37].
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