

This is a repository copy of Changes in food reward during weight management interventions – a systematic review.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/134919/

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Oustric, P orcid.org/0000-0003-2004-4222, Gibbons, C, Beaulieu, K orcid.org/0000-0001-8926-6953 et al. (2 more authors) (2018) Changes in food reward during weight management interventions – a systematic review. Obesity Reviews, 19 (12). pp. 1642-1658. ISSN 1467-7881

https://doi.org/10.1111/obr.12754

© 2018 World Obesity Federation. This is the peer reviewed version of the following article: Oustric, P., Gibbons, C., Beaulieu, K., Blundell, J., and Finlayson, G. (2018) Changes in food reward during weight management interventions – a systematic review. Obesity Reviews, 19: 1642–1658, which has been published in final form at https://doi.org/doi.org/10.1111/obr.12754. This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with Wiley Terms and Conditions for Self-Archiving. Uploaded in accordance with the publisher's self-archiving policy.

Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



	Sequence generation	Allocation concealment	Blinding participants and personnel	Blinding outcome assessors	Incomplete outcome data	Selective outcome	Other bias
Aberg 2008	low	unclear	high	unclear	unclear	unclear	low
Alkahtani 2014	high	high	high	unclear	unclear	unclear	high
Andriessen 2018	high	high	high	high	low	low	high
Astell 2013	low	low	low	high	low	unclear	low
Blundell 2017	low	unclear	low	unclear	low	low	low
Cameron 2008	high	high	high	high	unclear	unclear	high
Demos 2017	unclear	unclear	unclear	unclear	unclear	unclear	high
Grieve 2003	high	high	high	high	unclear	unclear	high
Hopkins 2014	high	high	high	high	unclear	unclear	high
Johnstone 2008	low	high	high	unclear	unclear	unclear	low
Martin 2011	low	unclear	unclear	high	unclear	unclear	low
Martins 2017	low	unclear	unclear	high	unclear	unclear	low
McVay 2016	unclear	unclear	unclear	high	unclear	unclear	high
Newman 2016	low	unclear	unclear	high	low	unclear	low
Raynor 2006	low	unclear	high	high	unclear	unclear	low
Raynor 2012	low	low	high	high	low	unclear	low
Stice 2017	low	low	unclear	high	low	unclear	low

 $\textbf{Table 1} \ \text{Risk of bias for the 7 criteria within each study}$