

This is a repository copy of Uncertainty quantification of wall shear stress in intracranial aneurysms using a data-driven statistical model of systemic blood flow variability.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/134505/

Version: Supplemental Material

Article:

Sarrami-Foroushani, A, Lassila, T orcid.org/0000-0001-8947-1447, Gooya, A et al. (2 more authors) (2016) Uncertainty quantification of wall shear stress in intracranial aneurysms using a data-driven statistical model of systemic blood flow variability. Journal of Biomechanics, 49 (16). pp. 3815-3823. ISSN 0021-9290

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiomech.2016.10.005

© 2016 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. Licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial No Derivatives 4.0 International License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND) licence. This licence only allows you to download this work and share it with others as long as you credit the authors, but you can't change the article in any way or use it commercially. More information and the full terms of the licence here: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/

Point-wise investigation of the effects of ICA flow variability on aneurysmal haemodynamics

When studying complex flows patterns induced by the IA morphology and the systemic flow conditions, it is important to characterize the local shear stresses which subsequently drive region-specific endothelial cell (EC) phenotypes. To provide more intuition into the effects of parent vessel flow waveform variability, we illustrated the results for five manually selected representative points on the aneurysm sacs. For both cases, the selected points correspond to the maximum and minimum TAWSS on the sac (points 1 and 5, respectively) and other locations where flow was impinging on the wall (point 2 on aneurysm 2), or where flow was highly multidirectional (all other points). For these five points, Fig. 1 shows the ranges of variation of OSI and rTransWSS over the virtual population. As depicted, both OSI and rTransWSS are at their minimums at point 1 where shear stresses are high and almost unidirectional. Effects of ICA flow waveform variations on both directionality measures are very limited at this point on both aneurysms. However, the effects are greater at other points with maximums occurring at point 5 where the shear stresses are small and multidirectional. Mohamied et al. [1] showed that both OSI and rTransWSS are required to capture the timevarying directionality aspect of WSS vectors. Similarly, on the aneurysms in this study, there are locations where the directionality could not be captured by neither OSI nor rTransWSS alone. For example, at point 4 in both patients, blood flow is not oscillatory (very low OSI) but moderately multidirectional (higher rTransWSS). At points 2 and 3 on aneurysm 1, OSI values are far above the half range, which indicates highly oscillatory flow at this points; in contrast, rTransWSS shows moderate directionality at these points. Xiang et al. [2] showed a linear correlation between parent vessel flow pulsatility index and space-averaged OSI over the aneurysm sac and suggested that parent vessel's waveform influences space-averaged aneurysmal OSI mainly through pulsatility of the flow waveform. To investigate if the same applies on the regional directionality of WSS vectors, we examined any possible correlation between local aneurysmal WSS directionality (OSI and rTransWSS) and flow pulsatility index (PI) in the parent vessel. In Table 1, Pearson's correlation co efficient and p-values are reported for correlation tests between parent vessel flow PI and both OSI and rTransWSS at each of the 5 points on the aneurysm sacs. In Patient 1, where flow is generally more regular than in patient 2, strong correlations were observed at points 1 and 4 (Pearson r = 0.93 and 0.94 for OSI and Pearson r = 0.85 and 0.86 for rTransWSS, respectively). Moderate or weak correlations were observed at other points on the aneurysms.



Figure 1. Aneurysmal population mean TAWSS maps with the manually selected points shown by arrows (first row) and the effect of parent vessel flow waveform variability on oscillatory shear index (OSI) and relative transverse WSS (rTransWSS) at each point.

	Patient 1				Patient 2			
	PI vs OSI		PI vs rTransWSS		PI vs OSI		PI vs rTransWSS	
	r	p-value	r	p-value	r	p-value	r	p-value
P1	0.93**	< 0.001	0.85**	< 0.001	0.64**	< 0.001	0.63**	< 0.001
P2	-0.58**	< 0.001	0.71**	< 0.001	-0.05	0.73	0.28*	0.05
P3	0.01	0.93	-0.10	0.48	0.49**	< 0.001	0.46**	< 0.001
P4	0.94**	< 0.001	0.86**	< 0.001	0.38*	0.007	0.41*	0.003
P5	-0.72**	< 0.001	-0.15	0.29	0.11	0.45	-0.03	0.83

Table 1. Pearson correlation coefficients and p-values for pairwise comparisons between each flow waveformPI and the corresponding OSI and rTransWSS at each of the 5 points on the aneurysm sacs.

References

[1] Y. Mohamied, E. M. Rowland, E. L. Bailey, S. J. Sherwin, M. A. Schwartz, P. D. Weinberg, Change of direction in the biomechanics of atherosclerosis, Ann. Biomed. Eng. 43(1) (2015) 16–25.

[2] J. Xiang, A. Siddiqui, H. Meng, The effect of inlet waveforms on computational hemodynamics of patient-specific intracranial aneurysms, J. Biomech. 47(16) (2014) 3882–3890.