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**Background**

Demographic events often leave traces in languages and genes: this prompted Darwin’s prediction that the evolutionary tree of human populations would provide the best possible phylogeny of language relationships. We tested Darwin’s expectation through long-distance genome-language comparisons across Eurasia, relying on independently assessed quantitative tools on both sides. To do so, we had to resort to a linguistic method able to compare across different families, based on abstract syntactic characters, which proved more apt for long-term historical reconstruction than phonemic ones.

**Materials and Methods**

**Data collection**

**Linguistics:**
- Interviews with native speakers (collection of actual grammaticality judgments)
- Manifestations
- Binary strings for each language

**Genetics:**
- Sample collection
- DNA extraction
- Binary strings for each DNA collected

**Geography**

Three types of geographic distances were calculated: Great Circle Distance (GCD), Least Cost Distance (LCD) and CircuitScape Distance (CSD)

**Phylogenetic analysis**

1) **Linguistic data**
   - Distance based method (Jaccard-UPGMA) for syntactic and phonetic data (the latter found in literature: Creanza et al., 2015).
   - Character based methods (Bayesian).
2) **Genetic data**
   - Distance based method (Fixation Index (FST)-UPGMA).

**Correlations**

Relationships between syntactic, phonetic, genetic and geographic distances were assessed by using Mantel tests and partial Mantel tests.

**Conclusions**

We discovered significant congruence between biological and linguistic traits, not fully accounted for by any of the three types of geographic distances: this suggests that in the Old World the grammatical structure of most languages was largely transmitted along with the ancestral speakers’ genes. Indeed, we found that language history is much more vertical and independent of geography than genes, and correlates with geography only as a byproduct of its correlating with genes. Few exceptions to the congruence emerged, and were analyzed into differently motivated types, based on plausible conditions on language diffusion and on gene-language combinations. The patterns observed were summarized into a set of foundational generalizations for a science of long-term glottochronology, as emerging from the Eurasian domain.