

This is a repository copy of *Site-controlled single-photon emitters fabricated by near-field illumination*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: <u>https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/131369/</u>

Version: Supplemental Material

### Article:

Biccari, F. orcid.org/0000-0002-0836-9086, Boschetti, A., Pettinari, G. et al. (11 more authors) (2018) Site-controlled single-photon emitters fabricated by near-field illumination. Advanced Materials , 30 (21). 1705450. ISSN 0935-9648

https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.201705450

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article: Biccari, F. , Boschetti, A., Pettinari, G. et al. (11 more authors) (2018) Site-controlled single-photon emitters fabricated by near-field illumination. Advanced Materials , 30 (21). 1705450, which has been published in final form at https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.201705450. This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with Wiley Terms and Conditions for Use of Self-Archived Versions. This article may not be enhanced, enriched or otherwise transformed into a derivative work, without express permission from Wiley or by statutory rights under applicable legislation. Copyright notices must not be removed, obscured or modified. The article must be linked to Wiley's version of record on Wiley Online Library and any embedding, framing or otherwise making available the article or pages thereof by third parties from platforms, services and websites other than Wiley Online Library must be prohibited.

#### Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

#### Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/

WILEY-VCH

Copyright WILEY-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, 69469 Weinheim, Germany, 2016.

## Supporting Information

#### Site-controlled single photon emitters fabricated by near field illumination

F. Biccari<sup>\*</sup>, A. Boschetti, G. Pettinari, F. La China, M. Gurioli, F. Intonti, A. Vinattieri, M. S. Sharma, M. Capizzi, A. Gerardino, L. Businaro, M. Hopkinson, A. Polimeni, M. Felici

#### 1. Calculation of QD diameter

Using the emission energies in Figure 1b of the manuscript, we can estimate the size of our QDs. We assume that they have a cylindrical shape, with a radius R, determined by the QD fabrication parameters, and thickness L = 6 nm, the same of the original QW, since it is reasonable that hydrogen is uniformly removed all along the thickness of the GaAs/GaAsN/GaAs QW. Since the emission energy, E, of an exciton in a QW of thickness L and in a cylindrical QD of thickness L and radius R are respectively

$$E^{\rm QW} = E_{\rm g} + E_{\rm L} - E_{\rm b}^{\rm QW}$$

and

$$E^{\rm QD} = E_{\rm g} + E_{\rm L} + E_{\rm R} - E_{\rm b}^{\rm QD}$$

where  $E_g$  is the energy gap,  $E_L$  and  $E_R$  are the confinement energies along the z-direction and radial direction, respectively.  $E_b^{QD}$  and  $E_b^{QW}$  are the (positive) exciton binding energies for the QD and QW, respectively. The difference between these two emission energies is given by

$$\Delta E = E_{\rm R} - \left(E_{\rm b}^{\rm QD} - E_{\rm b}^{\rm QW}\right).$$

 $\Delta E$  is experimentally determined by the data in Figure 1b of the manuscript, between the QD and QW exciton emissions.

The expression for  $E_R$  as a function of R is given by [1]

$$E_{\rm R} = b \frac{\hbar^2 u_{mp}^2}{2m^* R^2}$$

where  $u_{mp}$  is the *p*-th zeros of the *m*-th Bessel function  $(J_m)$  and  $m^* = 0.14 m_0$  is the GaAsN electron effective mass  $(m_0 \text{ being the electron mass})$  [2,3]. We are interested in the lowest energy level, where  $u_{01} \approx 2.4048$ . *b* is a factor to take into account the finite barrier (about 245 meV for the electrons) around the dot. Assuming *b* is the same of the *z*-direction, we have b = 0.63, which is given by the ratio of the electron confinement energies calculated for the GaAs/GaAsN/GaAs QW with finite and infinite potential barriers. We are neglecting the hole confinement contribution since the holes are subjected to a much smaller confinement

# WILEY-VCH

potential (about 7 meV) [4] with respect to the electrons and its value is within our uncertainty.

The lowest energy exciton (formed by an electron and a light hole for every kind of GaAsN nanostructure [5,6,7]) in a QW like ours has been demonstrated to have about  $E_b^{QW} = 10$  meV [8]. However, no calculation or data exist in the literature for the  $E_b^{QD}$ . Considering the  $E_b^{QD}$  in other III-V systems [9], we have assumed  $E_b^{QD} = (20 \pm 10)$  meV.

The QD diameter, 2R, has therefore been calculated with the formula

$$2R = \sqrt{\frac{2b\hbar^2 u_{01}^2}{m^* (\Delta E + E_{\rm b}^{\rm QD} - E_{\rm b}^{\rm QW})}}$$

Considering the  $\Delta E$  values 140, 125, 80, 30, 10 meV, corresponding to 0.7, 0.8, 0.9, 1.0 and 1.1 mW fabrication power and 1 s time exposure, we obtain 2R = 4.8, 5.0, 6.1, 9.2, 13 nm, respectively, with an error of about  $\pm 15\%$ . This proves that with the SNOM tip we have removed hydrogen from an area much smaller than the diffraction limit.

#### References

[1] A. S. Baltenkov and A. Z. Msezane. European Physical Journal D 70, 81 (2016).

[2] T. Dannecker, Y. Jin, H. Cheng, C. F. Gorman, J. Buckeridge, C. Uher, S. Fahy, C. Kurdak, and R. S. Goldman. <u>Phys. Rev. B 82, 125203 (2010)</u>.

[3] F. Masia, G. Pettinari, A. Polimeni, M. Felici, A. Miriametro, M. Capizzi, A. Lindsay, S. B. Healy, E. P. O'Reilly, A. Cristofoli, G. Bais, M. Piccin, S. Rubini, F. Martelli, A. Franciosi, P. J. Klar, K. Volz, and W. Stolz. Physical Review B 73, 073201 (2006).

[4] H.-P. Komsa, E. Arola, E. Larkins, and T. T. Rantala. Journal of Physics Condensed Matter 20, 315004 (2008).

[5] I. A. Buyanova, G. Pozina, P. N. Hai, W. M. Chen, H. P. Xin, and C. W. Tu.

Phys. Rev. B 63, 033303 (2001).

[6] M. Felici, S. Birindelli, R. Trotta, M. Francardi, A. Gerardino, A. Notargiacomo, S. Rubini, F. Martelli, M. Capizzi, and A. Polimeni. <u>Physical Review Applied 2, 064007 (2014)</u>.

[7] R. Trotta, A. Polimeni, and M. Capizzi. Adv. Funct. Mater. 22, 1782 (2012).

[8] B. Gil and P. Bigenwald. Phys. stat. sol. (a) 183, 111 (2001).

[9] T. San Koh, Y. P. Feng, X. Xu, and H. N. Spector. Journal of Physics Condensed Matter 13, 1485 (2001).