

This is a repository copy of *Aerial base stations with opportunistic links for next generation emergency communications*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:

<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/130078/>

Version: Accepted Version

---

**Article:**

Gomez, Karina, Sithamparanathan, Kandeepan, Vidal, Macià et al. (16 more authors) (2016) Aerial base stations with opportunistic links for next generation emergency communications. IEEE Communications Magazine. pp. 31-39. ISSN 0163-6804

<https://doi.org/10.1109/MCOM.2016.7452263>

---

**Reuse**

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

**Takedown**

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing [eprints@whiterose.ac.uk](mailto:eprints@whiterose.ac.uk) including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.

# Aerial Base Stations with Opportunistic Links for Next Generation Emergency Communications

Karina Gomez, Sithamparanathan Kandeepan, RMIT University, Melbourne, Australia  
Macià Mut Vidal, Vincent Boussemart, Raquel Ramos, Romain Hermenier, TriaGnoSys GmbH,  
Wessling, Germany

Tinku Rasheed, Leonardi Goratti, Create-Net, Trento, Italy

Laurent Reynaud, Orange, Lannion, France

David Grace, Qiyang Zhao, Yunbo Han, Salahedin Rehan, Nils Morozs, Tao Jiang, University of  
York, United Kingdom

Isabelle Bucaille, Philippe Charpentier Thales Communications & Security, Paris, France

Tom Wirth, Heinrich Hertz Institute, Berlin, Germany

Roberta Campo, Eutelsat, Paris, France

Tomaž Javornik, Jozef Stefan Institute, Ljubljana, Slovenia

**Abstract** — Fast deployable and reliable mission-critical communication networks are fundamental requirements to guarantee the successful operations of public safety officers during disaster recovery and crisis management preparedness. The ABSOLUTE project focused on designing, prototyping and demonstrating a high-capacity IP mobile data network with low latency and large coverage suitable for many forms of multimedia delivery including public safety scenarios. The ABSOLUTE project combines aerial, terrestrial and satellites communication networks for providing an robust standalone system able to delivering resilience communication systems. This article focuses on describing the main outcomes of the ABSOLUTE project in terms of network and system architecture, regulations and implementation of the Aerial Base Stations, Portable Land Mobile Units, Satellite Backhauling, S-MIM Satellite Messaging and Multimode User Equipment.

**Index Terms**—Public Safety Networks, Disaster Resilient Communications, Direct Mode Communication, Aerial Base Stations, Temporary Networks

## I. INTRODUCTION

Terrestrial communication infrastructures can be annihilated or partially damaged during disaster scenarios or temporary events [1]. In such scenarios, the necessity of re-establishing the communication system or deploying temporal infrastructures is a crucial requirement of Public Safety & Disaster Relief (PSDR) officers to provision essential services, aid and reconciliation for communities in affected areas. Therefore, the ABSOLUTE Project [2] designed and demonstrated an innovative rapidly deployable network architecture, which is capable of providing broadband multi-media services and dependable connectivity for large areas affected by unexpected disasters or temporary events.

Aerial and terrestrial rapidly deployable platforms are the key components of the ABSOLUTE system. They are required to deliver wide-area radio coverage with many applications,


embedded in easy and rapid deployable equipment, suitable for in-hospitable areas, e.g. after a disaster. In addition, innovative concepts such as standalone Evolved Packet Core (EPC), cognitive mechanisms for dynamic spectrum management, network reconfiguration, as well as opportunistic and cooperative networking mechanisms maximizing ABSOLUTE system availability and dependability were developed.

This article focuses on explaining and describing the main outcomes of the ABSOLUTE project in terms of innovative research and real implementation. In order to achieve a representative overall validation of the proposed solution, system demonstrator integrates major functionalities of system components such as:

- LTE-A base station embedded in Low Altitude Platforms (LAPs) enabling wide coverage for broadband services,
- Portable land mobile base stations interoperable with conventional public safety networks,
- Advanced multi-service professional terminals for first responders,
- Satellite communications for both broadband backhauling as well as narrowband ubiquitous messaging services,

As design guidelines, the demonstrator takes into account to a great extent the PSDR user requirements, and more precisely the 101 individual requirements detailed in [1] on the basis of technical perimeters identified after system engineering phase.

The rest of this article is organized as follows. In Section II, the PSDR communications requirements are explained. The proposed network and system architecture are presented in Section III and IV, respectively. Then, Section V concentrates on implementation details while Section VI deals with regulation aspects. Finally, Section VII concludes this article.



**Figure 1. Overall ABSOLUTE architecture suitable for public safety scenarios. The main components of ABSOLUTE network are Aerial Base Stations (AeNBs), Portable Land Mobile Units (PLMUs), Ka-band Satellite Backhauling, S-MIM Satellite Messaging and Multimode User Equipment (which are able to operate in Direct Mode).**

## II. PUBLIC SAFETY SCENARIO

Major PSDR network services encompass first responder intercommunication support, emergency medical and critical infrastructure communication facilities, surveillance and security, etc. Such services rely on advanced devices, fully equipped to support demanding applications, notably in terms of bandwidth and delay, including the transmission of real-time video flows and high-resolution images, group conversations and the support of remote sensing and monitoring. However, in case of post-disaster situations, the reliability and performance of such services may be seriously affected since regular networks can be exposed to significant impairments. For instance, terrestrial network infrastructure may be structurally damaged or subjected to power outages caused by multiple factors, including earthquakes or tsunamis.

### A. PSDR Requirements

As a result, the nature and extent of a PSDR network is largely governed by the magnitude of the considered disaster. This leads to a series of requirements to address, including the size of the area to be covered, the number of users to support, the subsequent minimum network capacity and the choice of adequate deployment sites for the required equipment. In addition, this network must operate robustly in potentially adverse conditions met in post-disaster situations (e.g. harsh weather, unfavorable radio propagation conditions, limited availability of electrical power, communication link disruptions and unexpected user traffic surge). Moreover, it must be able to meet all of these constraints as autonomously as possible without compromising the expected performance. In this context, self-configuration and spectrum awareness techniques are particularly required to adapt to the existence, on the deployment area, of dynamic conditions (in terms of allowed or more appropriate frequency bands and radio frequency power bounds, power availability, varying opportunities to interconnect with surviving terrestrial infrastructure being restored, etc.) [1]. Further, PSDR

communication systems need to dynamically adapt to the environmental conditions of the deployment scenarios and, in particular, to the successive stages of a post-disaster operations. In this regard, flexibility and modularity both represent key enablers to ensure an adequate scalability of the PSDR network. Consequently, there is an increasing demand from the PSDR community for a reliable and scalable multi-purpose communication system, adapted to the provision of dynamic network coverage with low delay and large capacity transmissions and able to interoperate with legacy PSDR networks.

## III. ABSOLUTE NETWORK ARCHITECTURE

Offering scalable network coverage and capacity as well as a resilient, flexible and secure infrastructure are essential features of the ABSOLUTE system. The network has been derived starting from the general user needs, and identifies the main subsystems and their interactions in terms of communication links in different scenarios [1]. The overall architecture is shown in Figure 1.

- **Low Altitude Platforms (LAPs)** namely Aerial-eNodeBs (AeNBs) are standalone aerial platforms that can rapidly be deployed by means of tethered balloons equipped with the LTE payload and capable of acting as base stations. LTE cell coverage can be controlled by properly setting the altitude of the helikite and transmission power.
- **Portable Land Mobile Units (PLMUs)** are standalone ground platforms that can be rapidly deployed in areas where terrestrial access for PSDR officers is available. The PLMU is characterized by a payload that can host several communication technologies. The PLMUs also extends the AeNB coverage and capacity by acting as eNBs and providing TETRA and Wi-Fi connectivity.

- **Wireless Sensor Networks (WSN)** are spread over the disaster area or carried by PSDR teams. WSNs acquire different ambient information regarding temperature, humidity or other metrics. PLMUs act as gateways for information gathered by WSN.
- **Satellite Backhauling functionalities** for the AeNB and PLMU subsystems are achieved by means of a broadband link in the Ka-band with a geostationary satellite. This offers to the system users a reliable and resilient connection to Headquarters and the Internet. Notice that inter-eNB communication is also implemented by the adoption of a wireless backhaul link, using for example longer range Wi-Fi technology [13].
- **S-MIM Bi-directional Messaging** is implemented using the S-MIM satellite protocol. It provides to the PSDR officers an immediate narrowband communication with the Headquarters [4].
- **Multimode User Equipments (MM-UEs)** are powerful terminals capable of supporting multiple radio links and additional services. Such devices allow PSDR officers to associate with the AeNB or PLMU to communicate with each other via LTE, Wi-Fi or TETRA, or with their remote Headquarters via the satellite link.
- **Device to Device Communications (D2D)** links are established in an ad hoc fashion among MM-UEs, either via LTE D2D mode or via other interfaces (Wi-Fi or TETRA). D2D communications are used in out-of-coverage, in-coverage and partial-coverage.

#### IV. ABSOLUTE SYSTEM ARCHITECTURE

In order to enable good connectivity over the variety of technologies available in network architecture, coordinated mechanism such as dynamic spectrum sharing (DSS), improved handover, energy management, relay and clustering techniques, optimal base station placement and others have been designed [4]-[13].

##### A. Flexible Management Entity

The LTE cells of ABSOLUTE-eNBs (AeNBs or PLMUs) are designed to work totally isolated from the physical EPC using the Flexible Management Entity (FME) [7]. Standalone EPC is the architectural concept introduced by the FME in the ABSOLUTE architecture. The main objective of the FME is embedding the most fundamental EPC operations at the ABSOLUTE-eNB side (see Figure 2). Main difference between the FME and conventional EPC is the change of residency of core network elements which leads to multiple advantages towards system optimization; specially scalability and easy and fast deployment. Main advantage of placing the EPC functionalities at the ABSOLUTE-eNB side is plug & play capability allowing the it to operate without the necessity of third part equipment, which is highly beneficial in disaster system deployments. Thus, Virtual-EPC (vEPC in Figure 2) supports specific EPC functionalities that give the AeNB the

freedom to operate autonomously providing connectivity and other services to the users. It is composed of the following parts:

- Gateway-Agent (GW-A) manages all the mechanisms implemented for supporting Serving Gateway and Packet Data Network Gateway functionalities,
- Mobility Management Entity-Agent (MME-A) supports the mechanisms implemented for supporting MME stack and Non-access stratum (NAS) functionalities.
- Home Subscriber Server (HSS) is a database that contains public safety user and subscription-related information.
- Device to Device Agent (D2D-A) responsible for managing D2D communications,




Figure 2. Units and agents of the flexible management entity.

To support the networking requirements of operating an EPC, FME implements additional units and agents:

- Routing Management Unit (RMU) responsible for routing mechanism in the network,
- Topology Management Unit (TMU) responsible for topology optimization and load balancing techniques,
- Link Management Unit (LMU) manages the backhauling connectivity,
- Cognitive Dynamic Spectrum Access Agent (CDSA-A) implements cognitive dynamic spectrum access techniques,
- Disruption Management Agent (DMA) implements techniques for disruption tolerant operations,

These units and agents perform task based on information, collected at cell or network level. These allow distributed radio and network resources management among the multiple access technologies and subsystems.

### B. Mobility and Topology Management

Since the ABSOLUTE network is characterized by flexible and dynamic deployment of subsystems in order to provide coverage and sufficient capacity, the network is rolled out and rolled back in multiple phases. Therefore, several algorithms and techniques have been proposed in the ABSOLUTE project in order to optimize capacity in the dynamic network architecture using topology management protocols. On other hand, mobility management techniques that allow efficient mobility management of connected users across the subsystems have been also studied, including handover techniques and mobility robustness optimization schemes. The proposed techniques include:

- Geographical placement and re-placement of ABSOLUTE-eNBs such that coverage and capacity of the network are maximized and interference is minimized,
- Clustering of MM-UEs mapped on to a limited number of ABSOLUTE-eNBs to improve energy efficiency ,
- Activation and deactivation of ABSOLUTE-eNBs and MM-UEs distribution to trade off traffic load, capacity, energy consumption, etc.
- Handover parameters optimization for reducing radio link failures,
- Detection and minimization occurrences of too-early and too-late handovers, wrong handovers, and unnecessary handovers (for avoiding “ping-pong” effect).

### C. Dynamic Spectrum Sharing Mechanisms

The ABSOLUTE project focused on investigating, proposing and implementing techniques for sharing portions of spectrum with primary users, since it is likely that dedicated spectrum will not be available in all cases for PSDR. Sharing needs to be achieved on a dynamic basis and is implemented in a distributed way at each eNB, using a CDSA-A. Dynamic Spectrum Sharing (DSS) is enabled using spectrum awareness to dynamically determine which resources are available for use, either on whole LTE channels or resource block groups within an LTE channel.

Spectrum awareness comprises a Radio Environment Map (REM), which is an intelligent database, which stores, processes and delivers information about the status of the radio environment needed to support dynamic spectrum sharing. The REM is initially based on knowledge retrieved from mobile operators and telecommunications regulatory agencies about the locations of existing base stations and their basic parameters. This is supplemented by spectrum sensing which is based on spectrum sensing information supplied by the ABSOLUTE-eNBs and MM-UEs. This allows the list of radio channels available for transmission, the maximum transmit power for a specified location, and configuration of each base station to be determined in real time in a distributed fashion. The REM, via a web interface, can be used also to

calculate the coverage achieved with radio signals [13], exclusion zones, different LTE parameters and expected throughput in the region.

This list of available resources is then used by the cognitive dynamic spectrum access to prioritize the resources to be used. This is an extended version of LTE-A, which creating the flexibility to achieve dynamic spectrum sharing within the PSDR context. Several cognitive techniques have been developed to prioritize the resources. These exploit different forms of machine learning, allowing historical information to be used to improve performance. These include [8][9][10]:

- Adaptive call admission control scheme for distributed reinforcement learning based dynamic spectrum access,
- Heuristically accelerated Q-Learning, which significantly speeds up the learning process
- Case-based reasoning reinforcement learning to cope with asymmetric traffic loads,
- Transfer learning for dynamic spectrum and topology management in flexible architectures to assist with the roll-out and roll-back of the ABSOLUTE infrastructure in in PSDR scenarios,

### D. D2D Communications

The advantage offered by the D2D feature is to enable proximity services and quick data exchange among MM-UEs without resorting to the intervention of the AeNB or PLMU. D2D communications over LTE require a number of challenges to be solved that include the definition of a D2D protocol for communication, potential D2D pair discovery and security. In order to solve these challenges a suitable protocol was proposed within the project [8], in which a selected MM-UE becomes enabler, coordinator and manager of the D2D network. Thus, when MM-UEs lose connectivity with the ABSOLUTE-eNBs, or the LTE coverage does not exist for at least a specific amount of time the selected MM-UE is responsible for establishing and managing the D2D network. The proposed protocol includes the mechanisms for network discovery, D2D communication session setup and association/disassociation in the different scenarios of radio coverage. In addition, a security mechanism for D2D that is based on exchanging shared encryption keys was also proposed [12]. The key strength of such mechanism consists of its simplicity, and a number of security parameters have been studied in order to adapt to the peculiarities of D2D communications. It is worth emphasizing that devices involved in D2D communications are battery operated and therefore sensitive to energy wastage. Furthermore, the length of the security keys must be limited to avoid having too high a requirement on the computational power and memory storage at the MM-UE side.

## V. DEMONSTRATOR

The project consortium successfully demonstrated the usage of several implemented subsystem of the ABSOLUTE System to the European Commission reviewers and end-users (event took place in France in September 2015).



## B. AeNB Implementation

The AeNB is composed of aerial and terrestrial segments. The aerial segment consists of a Helikite platform with its Radio Remote Head (RRH) (plus antenna and power battery payload) operating at varying altitudes on the air. While the terrestrial segment consists of an eNB baseband (plus EPC and satellite equipment) operating stationary in the ground.

### a. Aerial Segment

An important component of the aerial segment is the Helikite, which is a helium inflatable kite that relies on lighter-than-air principles to achieve buoyancy, with increased lift and stability thanks to its kite profile and the use of a tether (which is moored to the terrestrial segment). Helikites aerostats are reliable in high winds, heavy rainfall or very dusty conditions. The ABSOLUTE system use moderate sized helikites of  $34\text{m}^3$ , highly mobile, simple/fast to set up, and only require a few days training for the operators. This helikite is 6.5 meters long, 5 meters wide, and has a net helium lift in no wind, in dry conditions, of 14 kilograms. Note that rainfall, snow, sleet etc. will reduce the lift by 3 kilograms or so. Therefore, this leaves about 10kg for maximum payload, including RRH, fiber-optic, batteries, waterproof cases, etc.



**Figure 3. Aerial-eNodeB implementation: aerial segment (RRH and antenna) and terrestrial segment (EPC, LTE base band Ka-band equipment) pictures during validation phase.**

Since the helikite payload is limited, significant effort has been made for designing and choosing efficient but very lightweight and cost efficient RRH, waterproof suitcase, batteries and antennas which integrate easily into the AeNB environment. To achieve this, metalized foam has been used to realize the antenna. The total weight of helix antenna including radoma, cable and N connector is 240 grams. The helikite also provides a system suitable to fix the RRH in the kite and the antenna shapes on to the balloon, which consists of two vertical bars fixed at the back of the balloon as shown in the aerial segment of Figure 3.

Another key component of the aerial segment is the RRH, which was updated to support a wider frequency range (up to 6 GHz). The new RRH design allows a power reduction to 1 A, without power amplifiers (PA) while with external PAs, the power consumption totals to 1.7-1.8 A. Flexible Software Defined Radio (SDR) is running in the RRH, SDR consists of stacked digital interface card and radio frequency front-end fiber optical baseband interface. The most relevant SDR-RRH characteristics are:

- 2 radio frequency transceivers with 2-antenna duplex operation ranging from 3 MHz to 50 MHz radio frequency signal bandwidth,
- 400 MHz – 4 GHz carrier frequency range and different reference clocks,
- Duplex components (filters, diplexers and/or TDD switches) on extra plug-on modules,
- Cognitive extension with key sensing functionalities for obtaining occupancy thresholds of spectrum and collecting data measurement, e.g. signal strength indicators,

Finally, an industry-standard common public radio interface is used for the optical link that connects the aerial (RRH) and terrestrial segment (eNB baseband). Notice that the fiber-optic is moored with the helikite tender.

### b. Terrestrial Segment

In the terrestrial segment, the eNB baseband boards are integrated in a 19 inch AMC rack fitted in a deployable cabinet (hereafter called “baseband cabinet” illustrated in Figure 3), so that they can be easily used in outdoors conditions. In complement to the eNB baseband boards, the baseband cabinet comprises all the necessary functions of the AeNB subsystem. To this purpose, it is equipped with following elements:

- MicroTCA rack aimed at receiving the eNB baseband boards for the PHY and MAC layers,
- Server where the EPC software and the SIP server software are running,
- Foldable keyboard and screen to have easy access to the server (e.g. to perform registration of new MM-UEs),
- Rack dedicated to routing, cabling and powering functions of the terrestrial segment,

The baseband cabinet is linked by Ethernet to the deployable Ka-band satellite terminal on one hand and to the RRH via optical fiber on the other.

### C. PLMU Implementation

The PLMU corresponds to the terrestrial communication segment of the ABSOLUTE architecture. This unit is deployed on the ground and therefore offers a smaller coverage compared to that reached with the AeNB. However, it provides additional wireless communication technologies and it is also highly modular for answering different users' needs. The PLMU has been implemented as a complete communication system embedded into a rugged suitcase as shown in Figure 4. It is composed of several components as listed in Table 1. The entire system can be powered either by a regular power outlet or by a battery pack providing up to several days of autonomy, depending on the battery capacity and the components being in use. The PLMU is modular and easily adaptable to the requirements of each specific emergency situation by using only the required communication technologies, which are switching on/off using the relay blocks module. External devices can be plugged to the PLMU for extending its capabilities, e.g. a satellite system for enabling satellite backhauling.



**Figure 4. Portable land mobile unit equipment (PLMU, on the right) and its battery (on the left) during validation phase.**

The components and applications integrated in the PLMU provide the following services and functionalities:

- **System Management:** PSDR officers can remotely control the PLMU sub-systems and see their power consumption,
- **Voice Calls & SMS:** PSDR officers can call and send SMS to any other first responder, either using the same communication technology or any other one (interoperability), and to any Public Switched Telephone Network (PSTN) subscriber,
- **Internet Access:** PSDR officers can access the Internet using any of the available communications technologies,
- **Messages & Location:** Victims can send geo-located Distress Messages to the PSDR network using the ABSOLUTE application. PSDR officers can grade Distress Messages, see their location on a map and exchange other PSDR Messages,

- **Area Monitoring:** PSDR officers can see environmental measurements (temperature, pressure, light intensity, humidity, etc.) provided by the deployed WSN and geo-located on a map.

Notice that voice calls and messages services are supported and managed by a standalone Session Initiation Protocol (SIP) Server running in the PLMU. Therefore those services are available without an external Internet connection. SMS are enabled by the standalone EPC; additionally the SIP server allows interoperability between the different communication technologies. Apart from local services, access to the PLMN and Public Switched Telephone Network (PSTN) is also possible thanks to the SIP server communicating with an external SIP provider.

**Table 1. List of components integrated within the PLMU.**

Device	Functionality
Energino	Measures the voltage, the current and the power consumption
4G eNodeB	Provides an LTE network
WLAN router	Provides a Wi-Fi network
3G+ Femtocell	Provides an HSPA network
Wireless Sensor Gateway	Provides environmental measurements and connectivity to the sensor nodes
Single Board Computer	Constitutes the main processing platform
Relay blocks module	Allows switching on/off the communication technologies for saving battery and increasing PLMU autonomy.
Tablet	Offers an interface to the main user

#### a. Performance Evaluation

The performance of the PLMU has been evaluated in both the laboratory and the field during the demonstration of the project. Different metrics have been measured, ranging from the services and application performance to the efficiency of the wireless communication networks. The results shown in Table 2 are obtained without any optimization of the radio frequency frontend, i.e. no dedicated power amplifiers and specific antennas.

**Table 2. Performance measurements of the PLMU.**

Communication	Technology	Cell Radius [m]	Data rates [Mbps]	
			Uplink	Downlink
SatCom	DVB-like	NA	8.4	18.5
Wi-Fi	IEEE 802.11n	450	29.8	31.9
3G	HSPA	600	3.9	17.9
4G	LTE Rel. 8	650	19.5	61.6

#### D. Ka-band Backhauling Implementation

Satellite is a critical component of ABSOLUTE system where infrastructure may be damaged or not fully functional.

It allows for connectivity in almost any weather condition and any location. The Ka-band antenna sub-system is the element that connects the ABSOLUTE-eNB with the satellite segment, and thus enables the backhauling functionalities. The Ka-band antenna subsystem is auto-pointing and easy-deploy and setup with a minimal amount of training as shown in Figure 5. Ka-band subsystem is composed of:

- *The Antenna* is a portable and motorized satellite dish with auto-pointing functionalities. Less than 10 minutes are required for deployment and connection set-up,
- *The Suitcase Unit* is ruggedized 19 inches flight case hosting modem, router and antenna control unit. The modem is based on the ViaSat SurfBeam2 technology, granting high speed and performances,

The Ka-band backhauling makes use of the Tooway system of Eutelsat, that provides satellite broadband services over all Europe via the 82 spots of the high-throughput geostationary satellite KA-SAT, positioned at 9° East. The ground segment is composed of 8 terrestrial gateways, plus 2 for back-up, deployed in different regions and inter-connected in a fiber ring for maximum reliability. This backhauling solution provides a tried and tested, stable, and reliable platform able to perform any IP communication, from voice to data, from any location in Europe as long as there is line of sight from the terminal to the satellite.



**Figure 5. Ka-band Backhauling (Antenna and Suitcase Unit) and S-band Messaging (Modem, Wi-Fi and Antennas) Satellite Equipment.**

### E. S-MIM Messaging Implementation

Third Party applications for messaging, such as WhatsApp, Hangouts, Skype, and similar, installed on MM-UE can be used with the S-band terminal. The S-band terminal acts as an IP satellite gateway for the PSDR users connected with the S-band terminal via its embedded Wi-Fi access point. While the transmission of data packets over the satellite link takes place according to the S-MIM standard.

The S-band terminal prototype, shown in Figure 5, is integrated inside a polycarbonate ruggedized suitcase with a weight of 24 kilograms, and an embedded battery pack granting an autonomy of 3 hours (it also works with 230 V AC). The S-band terminal uses 2 satellite antennas: 1 for transmission and 1 for reception. Although 2 omni-directional antennas are embedded in the suitcase, it is recommended that 2 external directive antennas are used that ensure a higher gain. Communication in S-band was provided through the geostationary satellite Eutelsat 10A (E10A), positioned at 10° East and the antennas have to be positioned in LOS with the satellite. The S-band terminal implements the S-MIM protocol with a spread spectrum on a channel of 5 MHz and operates at 2,005 GHz in transmission and 2,193 GHz in reception. It is optimized for bursts of messages, with a maximum transmitted power of 5 Watt. It provides a maximum data rate in transmission (terminal to satellite) of 80 kbps and a maximum data rate in reception (satellite to terminal) of 2.1 Mbps [4].

### F. MM-UE Implementation

Nowadays smartphones and tablets are a real breakthrough compared to previous generations of terminals. Indeed, they can run many applications downloaded from app stores on the Internet and embed many interfaces and communication standards: 2G/3G, 4G (LTE-A), Wi-Fi, Bluetooth and GPS. Adding some dedicated ABSOLUTE applications on a smartphone is a smart and cheap solution for the MM-UE. However, while having some appealing functions, the smartphone cannot answer all PSDR officers needs as such specially in disaster scenarios.

The MM-UE needs to be fully secure, reliable in adverse situations, have huge autonomy and extended radio range. Besides, for PSDR officers, specific operations in harsh environments (high temperature, shocks, possible water immersion and so on) with extended autonomy expectations and extended radio coverage, dedicated rugged devices impose themselves. To this end, two rugged MM-UE designs are defined i) an autonomous small MM-UE for personal use, and ii) a rugged Ethernet UE in aluminum casing. MM-UEs also include multi-band operations comprising PSDR bands, as well as commercially operated bands to allow the users to roam from PSDR networks to public networks when needed.

## VI. REGULATORY ASPECTS

As a radio system, the used frequencies in ABSOLUTE system must be coordinated with those of other nearby networks in order to minimize interference. In the temporary events scenario, the deployment of LAPs is coordinated by a



Public Land Mobile Network Operator (PLMNO), which uses the ABSOLUTE network to strengthen its ground network. It is therefore the responsibility of the PLMNO to obtain the appropriate licenses for the deployment of the ABSOLUTE network. On the other hand, in the disaster-relief scenario, the system is deployed by the PSDR agencies, which must hold the appropriate frequency license in order not to disturb surviving network infrastructure. The European Union and NATO have agreed in allocating frequencies in the 300 MHz band for PSDR usage. In addition, countries in South America and the Asia-Pacific region will also use the 800 MHz band.

The radio spectrum for Broadband PSDR (BB-PSDR) in Europe is still under study by CEPT-ECC in Working Group FM49 [15]. This group provides a BB-PSDR regulatory framework, with 3GPP LTE Rel-12 as the reference technology. The main directions followed in this regard are to consider the provision of broadband PSDR services within the paired frequency arrangement (703-733 MHz and 758-788 MHz), provided that the implementation is inline within the assumptions made by the mobile TelCos. Further, there is the consideration on harmonized solution for ad hoc PSDR network usage above 1 GHz, and other solutions outside the 700 MHz band (e.g., 400 MHz) and/or the possible use of guard band and duplex gap of the 700 MHz with a conventional duplex: for example, the following options are under consideration,  $2 \times 5$  MHz (698-703/753-758 MHz),  $2 \times 3$  MHz (733-736/788-791 MHz) etc. Nevertheless, the USA and Australia have already regulated the 700 MHz and 800 MHz bands respectively for LTE BB-PSDR usage.

## VII. CONCLUSIONS

The ABSOLUTE project designed and demonstrated the high capacity and coverage capabilities of technical solutions adapted to the field of broadband emergency communications in which LTE technology is predominantly adopted. In PSDR scenarios the key solution is to adopt flexible base stations embedded on-board aerial platforms and terrestrial land mobile stations. ABSOLUTE project also provides a reference implementation for an interoperable and backward compatible network solution, including relevant regulatory and standardization efforts, which enable quick adoption of 4G communication technologies to remarkably improve the disaster recovery and crisis management preparedness. This is based on the ability to operate with existing LTE spectrum, by exploiting energy efficient cognitive mechanisms, which provide agile reconfiguration. Since technical and business cases for ABSOLUTE system exploitation are also been studied for industrial partner, it is expected that ABSOLUTE system can be rapidly produced and marketed.

## VIII. REFERENCES

- [1] M. Kobayashi, "Experience of infrastructure damage caused by the Great East Japan Earthquake and countermeasures against future disasters". In IEEE Communications Magazine, vol.52, no.3, pp.23-29, March 2014.
- [2] A. Valcarce, T. Rasheed, K. Gomez, S. Kandeepan, L. Reynaud, R. Hermenier, A. Munari, M. Mohoric, M. Smolnikar and I. Bucaille, "Airborne Base Stations for Emergency and Temporary Events". In Proceedings of the PSATS, Toulouse, France, June 2013.
- [3] I. Bucaille, S. Hethuin, T. Rasheed, A. Munari, R. Hermenier and S. Allsopp, "Rapidly Deployable Network for Tactical Applications: Aerial Base Station with Opportunistic Links for Unattended and Temporary Events ABSOLUTE Example". In Proceedings of the IEEE Military Communications Conference, pp.1116-1120, Nov. 2013.
- [4] A. Recchia, F. Collard, N. Antip, "Performance analysis of the S-MIM messaging protocol over satellite". In Proceedings of the Advanced Satellite Multimedia Systems Conference and Signal Processing for Space Communications Workshop, pp.7-12, Sept. 2012.
- [5] A. Hourani, S. Kandeepan and S. Lardner, 'Optimal LAP Altitude for Maximum Coverage'. In IEEE Wireless Communication , vol.3, no.6, pp.569-572, Dec. 2014.
- [6] S.Chandrasekharan, S. Kandeepan, R. Evans, A. Munari, R. Hermenier, M. Marchitti, K. Gomez and T. Rasheed. "Clustering Approach for Aerial Base-Station Access with Terrestrial Cooperation". In Proceedings of the Wi-UAV Workshop, IEEE Globecom, pp.1397-1402, Atlanta, Dec. 2013.
- [7] K. Gomez, L. Goratti, T. Rasheed and L. Reynaud, "Enabling disaster-resilient 4G mobile communication networks". In IEEE Communications Magazine, vol.52, no.12, pp.66-73, December 2014.
- [8] Q. Zhao, D. Grace, T. Clarke, Transfer Learning and Cooperation Management: Balancing the Quality of Service and Information Exchange Overhead in Cognitive Radio Networks, Transactions on Emerging Telecommunication Technologies, Vol. 26, No 2, pp. 290-301, February 2015.
- [9] N. Morozs, T. Clarke, D. Grace, Distributed Heuristically Accelerated Q-Learning for Robust Cognitive Spectrum Management in LTE Cellular Systems, IEEE Trans. Mobile Computing, Published online 17 June 2015.
- [10] N. Morozs, T. Clarke, D. Grace, Heuristically Accelerated Reinforcement Learning for Dynamic Secondary Spectrum Sharing, IEEE Access, December 2015.
- [11] L. Goratti, K. Gomez, R. Fedrizzi, and T. Rasheed, "A Novel Device-to-Device Communication Protocol for Public Safety Applications". In Proceedings of the D2D workshop, IEEE GLOBECOM, pp.629-634, Atlanta-USA, December, 2013.
- [12] L. Goratti, G. Steri, K. Gomez and G. Baldini, "Connectivity and Security in a D2D Communication Protocol for Public Safety Applications". In Proceedings of the IEEE Wireless Communications Systems, pp.548-552, 26-29 Aug. 2014.
- [13] D. Sekuljica, A. Vilhar, M. Depolli, A. Hrovat, A.; I. Ozimek, T. Javornik, "Mobile Networks Optimization Using Open-Source GRASS-RaPlat Tool and Evolutionary Algorithm". In Proceedings of the European Conference in Antennas and Propagation, pp.1-5, April 2015.
- [14] Rasheed, T., R. Fedrizzi, L. Goratti and K. Gomez, "On the Feasibility of Handover over WiFi Backhaul in LTE-based Aerial-Terrestrial Networks". In Proceedings of the IEEE Wireless Communications and Networking Conference, pp.2196-2201, April 2014.
- [15] R. Ferrus, R. Pisz, O. Sallent and G. Baldini, "Public Safety Mobile Broadband: A Techno-Economic Perspective" . In IEEE Vehicular Technology Magazine, vol.8, no.2, pp.28-36, June 2013.

## BIOGRAPHIES

**Karina Gomez Chavez** ([karina.gomezchavez@rmit.edu.au](mailto:karina.gomezchavez@rmit.edu.au)) received her Master degree in Wireless Systems and Related Technologies from the Turin Polytechnic, Italy. In 2007, I joined Communication and Location Technologies Area at FIAT Research Centre. In 2008, she joined Future Networks Area at Create-Net. In 2013, she obtained my PhD degree in Telecommunications from the University of Trento, Italy. Since July 2015, she is lecturer at School of Electrical and Computer Engineering in RMIT University, Australia.

**Sithamparanathan Kandeepan** has a PhD from the University of Technology, Sydney and is currently with the School of Electrical and Computer Engineering at the RMIT University. He had worked with the NICTA Research Laboratory (Canberra) and CREATE-NET Research Centre

(Italy). He is a Senior Member of the IEEE, had served as a Vice Chair of the IEEE Technical Committee on Cognitive Networks, and currently serves as the Chair of IEEE VIC region Communications Society Chapter.

**Macià Mut Vidal** (macia.mut.vidal@triagnosys.com) received a master's degree on Telecommunications at the Universitat Politècnica de Barcelona in 2012. Since 2012, he is with TriaGnoSys GmbH as a R&D engineer working on many different topics with the main focus on mobile, aeronautical and emergency communications and system design and integration. His project expertise includes various FP7, ARTES/ESA and LuFo Projects such as ABSOLUTE, SPARTACUS, 3InSat and SO4A as team member, work package leader and technical manager.

**Vincent Boussemart** (vincent.boussemart@triagnosys.com) received his Technological Research diploma from the engineering school ENSIAME in Franc, 2006. He finished in 2013 a Ph.D. with the "Institut Supérieur de l'Aéronautique et de l'Espace in France" and the German Aerospace Centre in Germany. He worked at the Deutsches Zentrum für Luft- und Raumfahrt (DLR) between 2006 and 2013 as a technical engineer/scientific researcher. He joined TriaGnoSys in 2014 and is now involved in European and internal projects.

**Raquel Ramos** (raquel.ramos@triagnosys.com) received a bachelor's degree in 2011 in Industrial Engineering specialized in Electronics from the Polytechnic University of Catalonia (EUETIB). In 2014 she obtained a master-diploma in Electrical Engineering from the Polytechnic University of Catalonia (TelecomBCN) with focus on design and optimization of satellite communication. She was working from 2011 to 2013 in the R&D department for Sony Europe LTD (Barcelona). She is currently working for TriaGnoSys since 2014 as research engineer.

**Romain Hermenier** (romain.hermenier@triagnosys.com) received his Master of Engineering from Telecom SudParis in 2008. He joined the same year the German Aerospace Center (DLR) in the Institute of Communications and Navigation department. He has been involved in European FP7 research projects (SANDRA, SafeTRIP, ABSOLUTE) related to aeronautics and space communications. Since autumn 2014 he is working as a program manager at Zodiac Aerospace (TriaGnoSys GmbH) for In-flight Entertainment products. He is author/co-author of several papers.

**Tinku Rasheed** (tinku.rasheed@create-net.org) is a Senior Research staff member at Create-Net. Since May 2013, he is heading the Future Networks R&D Area [FuN] within Create-Net. Dr. Rasheed has extensive industrial and academic research experience in the areas of mobile wireless communication and data technologies, end-to-end network architectures and services. He has several granted patents and has published his research in major journals and conferences.

**Leonardo Goratti** (leonardo.goratti@create-net.org) received his PhD degree in Wireless Communications in 2011 from the

University of Oulu-Finland and his M.Sc. in Telecommunications engineering in 2002 from the University of Firenze-Italy. From 2003 until 2010, he worked at the Centre for Wireless Communications Oulu-Finland. From 2010 until early 2013 he worked at the European funded Joint Research Centre (JRC) of Ispra, Italy. In 2013, He joined the Research Centre CREATE-NET Trento-Italy.

**Laurent Reynaud** (laurent.reynaud@orange.com) is a senior research engineer for the Future Networks research community at Orange. After receiving his engineering degree from ESIGETEL at Fontainebleau in 1996, he acquired a experience regarding the development and deployment of distributed software in the context of telecommunications, through successive positions in the French Home Department in 1997, in Alcatel-Lucent from 1998 to 2000, and in Orange since 2000. He participated to many French, European and international research projects.

**David Grace** (david.grace@york.ac.uk) received his PhD from University of York in 1999. Since 1994 he has been in the Department of Electronics at York, where he is now Professor (Research) and Head of Communications and Signal Processing Group. Current research interests include aerial platform based communications, cognitive dynamic spectrum access and interference management. He is currently a Non-Executive Director of a technology start-up company, and a former chair of IEEE Technical Committee on Cognitive Networks.

**Isabelle Bucaille** (isabelle.bucaille@thalesgroup.com) received the engineering degree from ISEP in France in 1994. Then she joined the CNI Division of TH-CSF for digital processing studies. She participated in 1997 to the ETSI group in charge of HiperLAN2 normalisation. In 1998 she was in charge of system definition concerning Stratospheric Platforms (HAPS). Since September 2001 she is in the Secured Wireless Products (SWP) Activity of THALES Communications. Since 2011, she has been appointed TCS representative in 3GPP.

**Thomas Wirth** received a Dipl.-Inform. (M.S.) degree in computer science from the Universität Würzburg, Germany, in 2004. In 2004 he joined Universität Bremen, where he worked in the field of robotics. In 2006 he joined HHI where he is pursuing his Ph.D. degree. Since 2011, Thomas is head of the Software Defined Radio (SDR) group in the Wireless Networks and Communications Department. His group is working in the field of radio resource management for LTE-Advanced.

**Roberta Campo** (rcampo@eutelsat.com) holds a master degree in Computer Science from the University of Catania, Italy. She joined in 2002 Eutelsat. Working in the Eutelsat Innovation Team, she has been involved in several R&D projects related to the provision of broadband and mobile satellite services (Mowgly, UNIC, J-ORTIGIA, SafeTRIP) and in the provision of satellite communication to Authorities

and First Responders involved in Emergency and Crisis Management (Alert4All, PHAROS, ABSOLUTE).

**Tomaž Javornik** (tomaz.javornik@ijs.si) is a senior researcher in the Communication Systems department at the Jozef Stefan Institute, and an assistant professor in the Jozef Stefan International Postgraduate School. He received B.Sc., M.Sc., and Ph.D. degrees in Electrical Engineering from the University of Ljubljana, Slovenia, in 1987, 1990 and 1993, respectively. He co-authored many conference and journal articles, holds two international patents and serves as TPC member or reviewer for several IEEE conferences and journals.