



UNIVERSITY OF LEEDS

This is a repository copy of *Is there an association between airborne and surface microbes in the critical care environment?*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:  
<http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/129341/>

Version: Accepted Version

---

**Article:**

Smith, J, Adams, CE, King, MF [orcid.org/0000-0001-7010-476X](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7010-476X) et al. (3 more authors) (2018) *Is there an association between airborne and surface microbes in the critical care environment?* *Journal of Hospital Infection*, 100 (3). e123-e129. ISSN 0195-6701

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhin.2018.04.003>

---

© 2018 The Healthcare Infection Society. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This manuscript version is made available under the CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license  
<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>

**Reuse**

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND) licence. This licence only allows you to download this work and share it with others as long as you credit the authors, but you can't change the article in any way or use it commercially. More information and the full terms of the licence here: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/>

**Takedown**

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing [eprints@whiterose.ac.uk](mailto:eprints@whiterose.ac.uk) including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



[eprints@whiterose.ac.uk](mailto:eprints@whiterose.ac.uk)  
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/>

# Is there a relationship between airborne and surface microbes in the critical care environment?

Smith J <sup>1</sup>	BSc	<a href="mailto:jennifer.smith@lanarkshire.scot.nhs.uk">jennifer.smith@lanarkshire.scot.nhs.uk</a>
Adams CA <sup>2</sup>	MD, MRCP	<a href="mailto:c.adams6@nhs.net">c.adams6@nhs.net</a>
King MF <sup>3</sup>	PhD	<a href="mailto:m-f.king@leeds.ac.uk">m-f.king@leeds.ac.uk</a>
Noakes CJ <sup>3</sup>	PhD	<a href="mailto:c.j.noakes@leeds.ac.uk">c.j.noakes@leeds.ac.uk</a>
Robertson C <sup>4-6</sup>	PhD	<a href="mailto:chris.robertson@strath.ac.uk">chris.robertson@strath.ac.uk</a>
Dancer SJ <sup>1,7*</sup>	MD, FRCPath	<a href="mailto:stephanie.dancer@lanarkshire.scot.nhs.uk">stephanie.dancer@lanarkshire.scot.nhs.uk</a>

<sup>1</sup> Dept. of Microbiology, Hairmyres Hospital, NHS Lanarkshire Hospital, NHS Lanarkshire

<sup>2</sup>Dept. of Critical Care, Hairmyres Hospital, NHS Lanarkshire Hospital, NHS Lanarkshire

<sup>3</sup>Institute for Public Health and Environmental Engineering, School of Civil Engineering,  
University of Leeds

<sup>4</sup>Dept. of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Strathclyde, Glasgow

<sup>5</sup>Health Protection Scotland, Glasgow

<sup>6</sup>International Prevention Research Institute, Lyon

<sup>7</sup>School of Applied Sciences, Edinburgh Napier University, Edinburgh

\*Corresponding author: Dr S. J. Dancer, Dept. of Microbiology, Hairmyres hospital, East  
Kilbride, Lanarkshire G75 8RG; [stephanie.dancer@lanarkshire.scot.nhs.uk](mailto:stephanie.dancer@lanarkshire.scot.nhs.uk)

Tel: +44-1355 585000; Fax: +44-1355 584350

Running title: Air and surface bacteria in critical care

Key words: Hospital-acquired infection; hospital environment; air; bacterial transmission;  
environmental contamination; *Staphylococcus aureus*; MRSA

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

## Abstract

**Objective:** This study attempted firstly to correlate environmental contamination of air and surfaces in the intensive care unit (ICU); and secondly, to examine any association between environmental contamination and ICU-acquired staphylococcal infection.

**Design:** We screened patients, air and surfaces on 10 sampling days in a mechanically ventilated 10-bed ICU during 10 months.

**Methods:** Near-patient hand-touch sites (n=500) and air (n=80) were screened for total colony count and *Staphylococcus aureus* using dipslides, settle plates (passive air sampling) and an MAS-100 slit-sampler (active air sampling). Air counts were compared with surface counts according to proposed standards for air and surface bioburden.

Patients were monitored for ICU-acquired staphylococcal infection throughout.

**Results:** Overall, 235 of 500 (47%) surfaces failed the standard for aerobic counts ( $\leq 2.5$  cfu/cm<sup>2</sup>). Half of passive air samples (20 of 40: 50%) failed the 'Index of Microbial Air' contamination (2 cfu/9cm plate/hr), and 15/40 (37.5%) active air samples failed the clean air standard ( $< 10$  cfu/m<sup>3</sup>). Settle plate data was closer to the pass/fail proportion from surfaces and also provided the best agreement between air parameters and surfaces when evaluating surface benchmark values between 0-20 cfu/cm<sup>2</sup>. The surface standard most likely to reflect hygiene pass/fail results compared with air was 5 cfu/cm<sup>2</sup>. Rates of ICU-acquired staphylococcal infection were associated with surface counts/bed during 72 hours encompassing sampling days (p=0.012).

**Conclusion:** Passive air sampling provides quantitative data analogous to that obtained from surfaces. Settle plates could serve as a proxy for routine environmental screening to determine the infection risk in ICU.

## Introduction

1  
2  
3  
4  
5 While the role of the air in hospital-acquired infection (HAI) has been investigated in  
6  
7 operating theatres and immunocompromised units, there are few data and no accepted  
8  
9 standards for air quality elsewhere in the hospital.<sup>1-3</sup> This includes the Intensive Care Unit  
10  
11 (ICU), which accommodates particularly vulnerable patients. Any relationship between  
12  
13 airborne pathogens and HAI risk in the ICU remains largely unknown.  
14  
15  
16  
17

18  
19  
20 An 'index of microbial air contamination' (IMA) was proposed in 2000, which specifies a  
21  
22 standard for aerobic colony forming units (cfu) on 9cm settle plates placed 1 metre above  
23  
24 the ground, 1 metre away from wall for 1 hour (1x1x1 rule).<sup>4</sup> The IMA has not been  
25  
26 compared with environmental counts or infection rates among patients outside operating  
27  
28 theatres. Another standard for active air sampling specifies <10 cfu/m<sup>3</sup> air during theatre  
29  
30 commissioning in the UK.<sup>5,6</sup> . There are also proposed standards for hospital surfaces,  
31  
32 comprising cfu/cm<sup>2</sup> and specific pathogens at hand-touch sites.<sup>7</sup> The latter have been used  
33  
34 to compare surface bioburden with cleaning activities and HAI incidence.<sup>8-14</sup>  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43

44 The aim of this study was to investigate any association between air and surface counts in  
45  
46 the ICU, and model against ICU-acquired infection rates. Systematic collection of colony  
47  
48 counts from hand-touch sites and air would allow data sets to be compared using proposed  
49  
50 standards for surfaces and air. We chose coagulase-positive staphylococci as indicator  
51  
52 pathogens, since these organisms represent a useful marker of hospital hygiene. Methicillin-  
53  
54 susceptible *Staphylococcus aureus* (MSSA) and methicillin-resistant *S.aureus* (MRSA)  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 contaminate air and surfaces and colonise staff, patients and visitors.<sup>15,16</sup> For this reason, all  
2 patients were monitored for ICU-acquired staphylococcal infection during the study.  
3  
4  
5

## 6 7 **Methods**

8  
9  
10  
11  
12 *Study ICU:* The study was performed in a ten bed adult ICU in a Scottish hospital (Figure 1).  
13

14  
15 The unit receives >600 admissions each year and serves a largely rural community. It is  
16 mechanically ventilated with filtered and tempered air at 22.6±1.9°C with no humidification.  
17  
18

19 Ventilation rates are maintained at 10 air changes/hour as recommended for critical care.<sup>5</sup>  
20

21 Each ventilated patient is nursed on a 1:1 basis with highly dependent patients receiving 1:2  
22 nursing care. Bed occupancy ranges from 50-100%, with daily turnover of 1-5 patients. Case-  
23 mix includes pneumonia, trauma, poisoning, sepsis and post-operative support.  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29

30  
31  
32  
33 Domestic and nursing staff share routine cleaning, with domestics cleaning bathrooms and  
34 general surfaces once daily. Near-patient sites are cleaned by nurses twice daily at 7am and  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

66  
67  
68  
69  
70  
71  
72  
73  
74  
75  
76  
77  
78  
79  
80  
81  
82  
83  
84  
85  
86  
87  
88  
89  
90  
91  
92  
93  
94  
95  
96  
97  
98  
99  
100

101  
102  
103  
104  
105  
106  
107  
108  
109  
110  
111  
112  
113  
114  
115  
116  
117  
118  
119  
120  
121  
122  
123  
124  
125  
126  
127  
128  
129  
130  
131  
132  
133  
134  
135  
136  
137  
138  
139  
140  
141  
142  
143  
144  
145  
146  
147  
148  
149  
150  
151  
152  
153  
154  
155  
156  
157  
158  
159  
160  
161  
162  
163  
164  
165  
166  
167  
168  
169  
170  
171  
172  
173  
174  
175  
176  
177  
178  
179  
180  
181  
182  
183  
184  
185  
186  
187  
188  
189  
190  
191  
192  
193  
194  
195  
196  
197  
198  
199  
200

201  
202  
203  
204  
205  
206  
207  
208  
209  
210  
211  
212  
213  
214  
215  
216  
217  
218  
219  
220  
221  
222  
223  
224  
225  
226  
227  
228  
229  
230  
231  
232  
233  
234  
235  
236  
237  
238  
239  
240  
241  
242  
243  
244  
245  
246  
247  
248  
249  
250  
251  
252  
253  
254  
255  
256  
257  
258  
259  
260  
261  
262  
263  
264  
265  
266  
267  
268  
269  
270  
271  
272  
273  
274  
275  
276  
277  
278  
279  
280  
281  
282  
283  
284  
285  
286  
287  
288  
289  
290  
291  
292  
293  
294  
295  
296  
297  
298  
299  
300

301  
302  
303  
304  
305  
306  
307  
308  
309  
310  
311  
312  
313  
314  
315  
316  
317  
318  
319  
320  
321  
322  
323  
324  
325  
326  
327  
328  
329  
330  
331  
332  
333  
334  
335  
336  
337  
338  
339  
340  
341  
342  
343  
344  
345  
346  
347  
348  
349  
350  
351  
352  
353  
354  
355  
356  
357  
358  
359  
360  
361  
362  
363  
364  
365  
366  
367  
368  
369  
370  
371  
372  
373  
374  
375  
376  
377  
378  
379  
380  
381  
382  
383  
384  
385  
386  
387  
388  
389  
390  
391  
392  
393  
394  
395  
396  
397  
398  
399  
400

401  
402  
403  
404  
405  
406  
407  
408  
409  
410  
411  
412  
413  
414  
415  
416  
417  
418  
419  
420  
421  
422  
423  
424  
425  
426  
427  
428  
429  
430  
431  
432  
433  
434  
435  
436  
437  
438  
439  
440  
441  
442  
443  
444  
445  
446  
447  
448  
449  
450  
451  
452  
453  
454  
455  
456  
457  
458  
459  
460  
461  
462  
463  
464  
465  
466  
467  
468  
469  
470  
471  
472  
473  
474  
475  
476  
477  
478  
479  
480  
481  
482  
483  
484  
485  
486  
487  
488  
489  
490  
491  
492  
493  
494  
495  
496  
497  
498  
499  
500

501  
502  
503  
504  
505  
506  
507  
508  
509  
510  
511  
512  
513  
514  
515  
516  
517  
518  
519  
520  
521  
522  
523  
524  
525  
526  
527  
528  
529  
530  
531  
532  
533  
534  
535  
536  
537  
538  
539  
540  
541  
542  
543  
544  
545  
546  
547  
548  
549  
550  
551  
552  
553  
554  
555  
556  
557  
558  
559  
560  
561  
562  
563  
564  
565  
566  
567  
568  
569  
570  
571  
572  
573  
574  
575  
576  
577  
578  
579  
580  
581  
582  
583  
584  
585  
586  
587  
588  
589  
590  
591  
592  
593  
594  
595  
596  
597  
598  
599  
600

601  
602  
603  
604  
605  
606  
607  
608  
609  
610  
611  
612  
613  
614  
615  
616  
617  
618  
619  
620  
621  
622  
623  
624  
625  
626  
627  
628  
629  
630  
631  
632  
633  
634  
635  
636  
637  
638  
639  
640  
641  
642  
643  
644  
645  
646  
647  
648  
649  
650  
651  
652  
653  
654  
655  
656  
657  
658  
659  
660  
661  
662  
663  
664  
665  
666  
667  
668  
669  
670  
671  
672  
673  
674  
675  
676  
677  
678  
679  
680  
681  
682  
683  
684  
685  
686  
687  
688  
689  
690  
691  
692  
693  
694  
695  
696  
697  
698  
699  
700

701  
702  
703  
704  
705  
706  
707  
708  
709  
710  
711  
712  
713  
714  
715  
716  
717  
718  
719  
720  
721  
722  
723  
724  
725  
726  
727  
728  
729  
730  
731  
732  
733  
734  
735  
736  
737  
738  
739  
740  
741  
742  
743  
744  
745  
746  
747  
748  
749  
750  
751  
752  
753  
754  
755  
756  
757  
758  
759  
760  
761  
762  
763  
764  
765  
766  
767  
768  
769  
770  
771  
772  
773  
774  
775  
776  
777  
778  
779  
780  
781  
782  
783  
784  
785  
786  
787  
788  
789  
790  
791  
792  
793  
794  
795  
796  
797  
798  
799  
800

801  
802  
803  
804  
805  
806  
807  
808  
809  
810  
811  
812  
813  
814  
815  
816  
817  
818  
819  
820  
821  
822  
823  
824  
825  
826  
827  
828  
829  
830  
831  
832  
833  
834  
835  
836  
837  
838  
839  
840  
841  
842  
843  
844  
845  
846  
847  
848  
849  
850  
851  
852  
853  
854  
855  
856  
857  
858  
859  
860  
861  
862  
863  
864  
865  
866  
867  
868  
869  
870  
871  
872  
873  
874  
875  
876  
877  
878  
879  
880  
881  
882  
883  
884  
885  
886  
887  
888  
889  
890  
891  
892  
893  
894  
895  
896  
897  
898  
899  
900

901  
902  
903  
904  
905  
906  
907  
908  
909  
910  
911  
912  
913  
914  
915  
916  
917  
918  
919  
920  
921  
922  
923  
924  
925  
926  
927  
928  
929  
930  
931  
932  
933  
934  
935  
936  
937  
938  
939  
940  
941  
942  
943  
944  
945  
946  
947  
948  
949  
950  
951  
952  
953  
954  
955  
956  
957  
958  
959  
960  
961  
962  
963  
964  
965  
966  
967  
968  
969  
970  
971  
972  
973  
974  
975  
976  
977  
978  
979  
980  
981  
982  
983  
984  
985  
986  
987  
988  
989  
990  
991  
992  
993  
994  
995  
996  
997  
998  
999  
1000

101  
102  
103  
104  
105  
106  
107  
108  
109  
110  
111  
112  
113  
114  
115  
116  
117  
118  
119  
120  
121  
122  
123  
124  
125  
126  
127  
128  
129  
130  
131  
132  
133  
134  
135  
136  
137  
138  
139  
140  
141  
142  
143  
144  
145  
146  
147  
148  
149  
150  
151  
152  
153  
154  
155  
156  
157  
158  
159  
160  
161  
162  
163  
164  
165  
166  
167  
168  
169  
170  
171  
172  
173  
174  
175  
176  
177  
178  
179  
180  
181  
182  
183  
184  
185  
186  
187  
188  
189  
190  
191  
192  
193  
194  
195  
196  
197  
198  
199  
200

201  
202  
203  
204  
205  
206  
207  
208  
209  
210  
211  
212  
213  
214  
215  
216  
217  
218  
219  
220  
221  
222  
223  
224  
225  
226  
227  
228  
229  
230  
231  
232  
233  
234  
235  
236  
237  
238  
239  
240  
241  
242  
243  
244  
245  
246  
247  
248  
249  
250  
251  
252  
253  
254  
255  
256  
257  
258  
259  
260  
261  
262  
263  
264  
265  
266  
267  
268  
269  
270  
271  
272  
273  
274  
275  
276  
277  
278  
279  
280  
281  
282  
283  
284  
285  
286  
287  
288  
289  
290  
291  
292  
293  
294  
295  
296  
297  
298  
299  
300

301  
302  
303  
304  
305  
306  
307  
308  
309  
310  
311  
312  
313  
314  
315  
316  
317  
318  
319  
320  
321  
322  
323  
324  
325  
326  
327  
328  
329  
330  
331  
332  
333  
334  
335  
336  
337  
338  
339  
340  
341  
342  
343  
344  
345  
346  
347  
348  
349  
350  
351  
352  
353  
354  
355  
356  
357  
358  
359  
360  
361  
362  
363  
364  
365  
366  
367  
368  
369  
370  
371  
372  
373  
374  
375  
376  
377  
378  
379  
380  
381  
382  
383  
384  
385  
386  
387  
388  
389  
390  
391  
392  
393  
394  
395  
396  
397  
398  
399  
400

401  
402  
403  
404  
405  
406  
407  
408  
409  
410  
411  
412  
413  
414  
415  
416  
417  
418  
419  
420  
421  
422  
423  
424  
425  
426  
427  
428  
429  
430  
431  
432  
433  
434  
435  
436  
437  
438  
439  
440  
441  
442  
443  
444  
445  
446  
447  
448  
449  
450  
451  
452  
453  
454  
455  
456  
457  
458  
459  
460  
461  
462  
463  
464  
465  
466  
467  
468  
469  
470  
471  
472  
473  
474  
475  
476  
477  
478  
479  
480  
481  
482  
483  
484  
485  
486  
487  
488  
489  
490  
491  
492  
493  
494  
495  
496  
497  
498  
499  
500

501  
502  
503  
504  
505  
506  
507  
508  
509  
510  
511  
512  
513  
514  
515  
516  
517  
518  
519  
520  
521  
522  
523  
524  
525  
526  
527  
528  
529  
530  
531  
532  
533  
534  
535  
536  
537  
538  
539  
540  
541  
542  
543  
544  
545  
546  
547  
548  
549  
550  
551  
552  
553  
554  
555  
556  
557  
558  
559  
560  
561  
562  
563  
564  
565  
566  
567  
568  
569  
570  
571  
572  
573  
574  
575  
576  
577  
578  
579  
580  
581  
582  
583  
584  
585  
586  
587  
588  
589  
590  
591  
592  
593  
594  
595  
596  
597  
598  
599  
600

601  
602  
603  
604  
605  
606  
607  
608  
609  
610  
611  
612  
613  
614  
615  
616  
617  
618  
619  
620  
621  
622  
623  
624  
625  
626  
627  
628  
629  
630  
631  
632  
633  
634  
635  
636  
637  
638  
639  
640  
641  
642  
643  
644  
645  
646  
647  
648  
649  
650  
651  
652  
653  
654  
655  
656  
657  
658  
659  
660  
661  
662  
663  
664  
665  
666  
667  
668  
669  
670  
671  
672  
673  
674  
675  
676  
677  
678  
679  
680  
681  
682  
683  
684  
685  
686  
687  
688  
689  
690  
691  
692  
693  
694  
695  
696  
697  
698  
699  
700

701  
702  
703  
704  
705  
706  
707  
708  
709  
710  
711  
712  
713  
714  
715  
716  
717  
718  
719  
720  
721  
722  
723  
724  
725  
726  
727  
728  
729  
730  
731  
732  
733  
734  
735  
736  
737  
738  
739  
740  
741  
742  
743  
744  
745  
746  
747  
748  
749  
750  
751  
752  
753  
754  
755  
756  
757  
758  
759  
760  
761  
762  
763  
764  
765  
766  
767  
768  
769  
770  
771  
772  
773  
774  
775  
776  
777  
778  
779  
780  
781  
782  
783  
784  
785  
786  
787  
788  
789  
790  
791  
792  
793  
794  
795  
796  
797  
798  
799  
800

801  
802  
803  
804  
805  
806  
807  
808  
809  
810  
811  
812  
813  
814  
815  
816  
817  
818  
819  
820  
821  
822  
823  
824  
825  
826  
827  
828  
829  
830  
831  
832  
833  
834  
835  
836  
837  
838  
839  
840  
841  
842  
843  
844  
845  
846  
847  
848  
849  
850  
851  
852  
853  
854  
855  
856  
857  
858  
859  
860  
861  
862  
863  
864  
865  
866  
867  
868  
869  
870  
871  
872  
873  
874  
875  
876  
877  
878  
879  
880  
881  
882  
883  
884  
885  
886  
887  
888  
889  
890  
891  
892  
893  
894  
895  
896  
897  
898  
899  
900

901  
902  
903  
904  
905  
906  
907  
908  
909  
910  
911  
912  
913  
914  
915  
916  
917  
918  
919  
920  
921  
922  
923  
924  
925  
926  
927  
928  
929  
930  
931  
932  
933  
934  
935  
936  
937  
938  
939  
940  
941  
942  
943  
944  
945  
946  
947  
948  
949  
950  
951  
952  
953  
954  
955  
956  
957  
958  
959  
960  
961  
962  
963  
964  
965  
966  
967  
968  
969  
970  
971  
972  
973  
974  
975  
976  
977  
978  
979  
980  
981  
982  
983  
984  
985  
986  
987  
988  
989  
990  
991  
992  
993  
994  
995  
996  
997  
998  
999  
1000

1 around each bed were systematically screened from bed 1 (side-room) to bed 10 (Fig 1).

2 Two 9cm agar settle plates were placed on one metre high trolleys in the side-room and

3  
4 three other sites with the lids removed for one hour (Sites 1-4A: Fig 1).<sup>4</sup> Trolley sites

5  
6  
7 corresponded with nearby beds, so that site 1 sampled air in the side-room; site 2 sampled

8  
9  
10 air beside beds 2-4; site 3 sampled air beside beds 5-7; and site 4 sampled air beside beds 8-

11  
12  
13 10. Active air sampling was performed in the side-room and main ICU at sites 1-4A. People-

14  
15  
16 traffic was crudely assessed by auditing the number of people passing the nurses' station in

17  
18  
19 5 mins, repeated three times 30 mins apart.

20  
21  
22  
23 *Study sites:* Prior audit of hand-touch events established five commonly touched sites: over-

24  
25  
26 bed table, bedrails, infusion pump and cardiac monitor.<sup>17</sup> The number of times a site is

27  
28  
29 handled corresponds with the level of microbial soil recovered from that site.<sup>17</sup> The current

30  
31  
32 report used this data to compare with air counts collected at the same time.

33  
34  
35  
36 *Surface screening:* Surface counts were categorised as previously described.<sup>17</sup> Screening was

37  
38  
39 performed using double-sided dipslides (Hygiena Int., Watford, UK), coated with nutrient

40  
41  
42 and staphylococcal selective agars. Each slide was systematically placed on each site for 10

43  
44  
45 seconds at a pressure of 25 g/cm<sup>2</sup> with no overlap between the different agars.<sup>18</sup> Dipslides

46  
47  
48 were loosely capped and incubated at 35°C in CO<sub>2</sub> for 48-72 hours.

49  
50  
51  
52 *Microbiology:* Growth on nutrient agar supplied aerobic colony counts (ACC) per cm<sup>2</sup> (no

53  
54  
55 growth (NG); scanty growth (SG) <2.5 cfu/cm<sup>2</sup>; light growth (LG) ≥2.5-12 cfu/cm<sup>2</sup>; moderate

56  
57  
58 growth (MG) >12-40 cfu/cm<sup>2</sup>; heavy growth (HG)>40 cfu/cm<sup>2</sup>).<sup>17</sup> Selective agar highlighted

1 potential staphylococci, which were sub-cultured on to *S.aureus* Identification (SAID) agar  
2 (Oxoid Ltd, UK), followed by automated susceptibility testing (VITEK).<sup>11,12</sup>  
3  
4  
5  
6

7 *Air sampling:* Settle plates (nutrient and staphylococcal selective agars) were used for  
8 passive air sampling (cfu/9cm plate/hr). Active air sampling was performed using an MAS-  
9  
10 100 slit sampler (Merk; Germany), based on the Andersen impactor principle and calibrated  
11  
12 according to manufacturer's instructions. Air was directed onto a 9cm Petri dish at 116  
13  
14 litres/min for 10x1 min at each site. ACC and staphylococci per m<sup>3</sup> of air were cultured using  
15  
16 the same agars and processed as for dipslides.  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24

25 *ICU-acquired infection:* ICU patients are routinely screened for MSSA/MRSA on admission  
26  
27 and twice weekly thereafter unless discharged within 4 hours. Staphylococcal infection  
28  
29 confirmed >48 hours after admission was documented as ICU-acquired using national  
30  
31 criteria (<http://www.nipcm.scot.nhs.uk>). The number of patients with ICU-acquired  
32  
33 MSSA/MRSA infection occurring within a 72hr period encompassing the sampling day (one  
34  
35 day before, until one day after, screening) were compared with meteorological parameters,  
36  
37 bed-occupancy, staphylococcal colonization pressure, people-traffic and surface and air data  
38  
39 recovered on sampling days. These infections were adjusted for bed occupancy over the  
40  
41 same 72 hr period by dividing the number of confirmed infections by % ICU bed occupancy.  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50

### 51 ***Confounding parameters***

52  
53  
54  
55  
56

57 Potential confounders were temperature (inside/outside ICU); outside humidity and air  
58  
59 pressure; bed occupancy; staffing; people-traffic, including visitors; seasonal influences;  
60  
61



1 weather; building work; ward geography; staphylococcal carriers (patients only); cleaning  
2 practices; patient bed movements; and meal times.<sup>16</sup> External meteorological conditions  
3 were monitored because there were windows which could be opened, and the main exit  
4 was adjacent to a main hospital entrance. This ICU regularly undergoes both hand hygiene  
5 and environmental audits every 2-3 months, with data posted at the main entrance.  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14

### 15 **Statistics**

16  
17  
18  
19  
20 Air data was compared against surface bioburden for 10 sampling days. Data from the side-  
21 room (one bed) and main ICU (nine beds) were analysed together and separately.  
22

23 Staphylococci were compared with surface counts, bed occupancy and people-traffic. All  
24 measured variables were compared with ICU-acquired MSSA/MRSA infection. Analysis of  
25 variance was used to assess ACC levels over time. Non-parametric statistical tools were used  
26 throughout and confidence intervals (CI) given where appropriate. Significance levels were  
27 set at 5% for all reported calculations. Linear and logistic regression was conducted using R  
28 (3.2.1) to investigate any correlation between ACC and MSSA/MRSA.  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43

### 44 **Results**

45  
46  
47  
48 Five hundred near-patient sites yielded counts from 0->40cfu/cm<sup>2</sup> (Table I).<sup>17</sup> There was a  
49 47% failure rate using <2.5cfu/cm<sup>2</sup> as benchmark.<sup>13</sup> Pass and fail proportions were then  
50 compared with data from both air sampling methods (Table II). Passive air sampling ranged  
51 from 0-40 cfu/plate/hr, with >2cfu/plate/hr recovered from 20/40 plates. The IMA proposes  
52 ≤2cfu/plate/hr, which gave a failure rate of 50%.<sup>4</sup> The active air sampling standard is <10  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 cfu/m<sup>3</sup>.<sup>5,6</sup> We obtained 0-40 cfu/m<sup>3</sup> from active air sampling, with 15/40 samples giving >10  
2 cfu/m<sup>3</sup> (failure rate: 37.5%). Thus, proportionate fails from passive air sampling (50%) more  
3 closely resembled surface failure rate (47%) than from active sampling (37.5%). Quantitative  
4 data was examined on a site-by-site basis for each sampling day (Appendix 1). Beds were  
5 categorised based on their proximity to sampling sites as previously described (Fig 1). The  
6 pass/fail status from air sampling methods was compared with the pass/fail status for  
7 surface sampling ( $\leq 2.5$ cfu/cm<sup>2</sup>). Only 19/40 (47.5%) pairs agreed for active air data and  
8 surface bioburden. There was a closer alignment between passive air data and surface  
9 counts (26/40: 65%).

10 The comparison above depends on using 2.5cfu/cm<sup>2</sup> as surface benchmark. We wondered  
11 whether pass/fail proportions for air counts would show similar agreement with surface  
12 data if another standard was chosen. Consequently, pass/fail agreement between active  
13 and passive air data was compared with surface standards from 0-20 cfu/cm<sup>2</sup>. Figure 2  
14 shows percentage pass/fail agreement between air parameters and different surface  
15 standards. The highest percentage agreements between air and surface standards occur  
16 with passive air counts for surface standards between 0.5-6 cfu/cm<sup>2</sup>; there is similar  
17 proportionate agreement for both active and passive air sampling if surface standards are 7-  
18 8 cfu/cm<sup>2</sup>; and surface standards from 9-17.5 cfu/cm<sup>2</sup> show closer agreement with active air  
19 pass/fail proportions. The best agreement (70%) between any air parameter and specific  
20 surface standard occurs at 5cfu/cm<sup>2</sup> for passive air counts. Five cfu/cm<sup>2</sup> is a recognised  
21 benchmark for food industry surfaces and has already been proposed for hospitals.<sup>7</sup>

1 There was a positive correlation between MSSA/MRSA isolation and quantitative count from  
2 the same sites ( $p=0.0007$ ; 95% CI=1.02-1.12) but not for air ( $p=0.8$ , 95% CI=0.89-1.11).  
3

4 Surfaces with the highest contact (bedrails, tables) were more likely to host MSSA/MRSA  
5 compared with other sites. No staphylococci were recovered from surfaces or air within the  
6 side-room. Recovery of MSSA/MRSA was predictably low, with four MSSA isolates from air  
7 and ten staphylococcal isolates (including one MRSA) from surfaces (Tables I, II; Appendix 1).  
8 Only once were MSSA or MRSA detected both on surfaces and air (sampling day 9). There  
9 were no relationships between the likelihood of finding MSSA/MRSA from surfaces and air  
10 on any day, nor were there any between surface MSSA/MRSA and the likelihood of pass/fail  
11 outcome for air counts. While staphylococcal isolation intimates a hygiene 'fail', adding  
12 these fails to those already obtained did not change overall findings.  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30

31 As expected, bed occupancy was associated with people-traffic, but surface contamination  
32 was found to decrease slightly with increasing footfall, which is unexpected ( $p=0.00485$ )  
33 (Appendix 2). Passive air data and people-traffic were not associated ( $p=0.54$ ) but active air  
34 sampling was correlated with higher traffic ( $p=0.09$ ). No relationship was found between  
35 either bed occupancy or people-traffic and detection of MSSA/MRSA, although the number  
36 of patients with MSSA/MRSA had a statistically significant effect on colony counts at the  
37 90% (instead of 95%) level ( $p=0.08$ ) (Appendix 1). Eleven patients acquired staphylococcal  
38 infections during the 72hr period encompassing sampling days (Appendix 3). The number of  
39 infections was adjusted for %bed occupancy and plotted against total surface count/bed for  
40 Beds 2-10, since these patients were accommodated in the main ICU, none in the side-room  
41 (Bed 1) (Fig 3). Rate adjusted ICU-acquired staphylococcal infection was associated with  
42 average surface count for beds 2-10 ( $p=0.012$ ) (Appendices). There was no indication that  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61

1 external meteorological conditions influenced any microbiological findings in ICU on  
2 sampling days.  
3  
4  
5  
6

## 7 ***Discussion***

8  
9

10  
11  
12 There continues to be a strong focus on HAI in the UK's NHS. We still know little about the  
13 transmission of infection, particularly the role of the air.<sup>19</sup> This study attempts to link air and  
14 surface bioburden in a controlled environment in order to compare and contrast  
15 quantitative and qualitative values using proposed microbiological criteria.  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24

25  
26 Air and surface counts at near-patient sites agreed on pass or fail just one third of the time  
27 (15/40) (Appendix 1). Most disagreements occurred where there was a fail on allied surfaces  
28 and a pass from air; only 3/40 showed a pass from the surface with fails from air (beds 5-7,  
29 study days 1 and 2). This suggests that surface counts are a combination of air deposition  
30 and contact routes, while air samples represent a proportion of total surface contamination.  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37 Thus, passive air sampling could be used as a routine monitoring strategy, while outbreak  
38 investigation should combine both passive air and surface sampling. Surface sampling offers  
39 a more accurate risk assessment since it is less likely to give a false positive. A measure of  
40 the air is included in surface data and this provides assurance that air quality is acceptable.  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49 Air sampling alone cannot detect surface contamination from other routes.  
50  
51  
52  
53

54  
55 On 10 of 40 occasions, either MSSA or MRSA or both were recovered from surfaces or air;  
56 for these 10 occasions, nine showed surface hygiene failures from bed sites adjacent to a  
57 specific sampling point. This reflects previous work that noted the association of  
58  
59  
60  
61

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

MSSA/MRSA with higher surface counts.<sup>20</sup> The more microbial soil in the vicinity, the more likely it is that a pathogen can be isolated.<sup>20</sup>

Surfaces in the side-room were cleaner than the rest of ICU although the data varied ( $p=0.001$ ). This was attributed to the fact that the door was kept shut when the room was occupied and the room itself was often left unused. More people-traffic and positive correlation with active air sampling ( $p=0.04$ ) at higher bed occupancy is also unsurprising. However, there was no association between surface counts and people-traffic, nor passive air data and people-traffic. This may have been due to the method used for auditing footfall in ICU. People-traffic was measured beside the nurses' station, which is situated away from beds and sampling points (Fig 1). Furthermore, air samples were collected in the morning, which illustrates a major limitation of the study. A previous study in a naturally ventilated ward showed that airborne bioburden fluctuated significantly with activity over a day and yielded values that were considerably higher than this study.<sup>16</sup>

There are additional limitations. These include the fact that the study was performed in a single ICU only; there were just 10 sampling days in 10 months; patient demographics were not reported (other than patients with ICU-acquired staphylococcal infection: Appendix 3); and there was no data on other factors, such as the effectiveness of environmental cleaning; or whether patients were isolated when indicated along with compliance with contact precautions, etc. It is also possible that some staphylococcal carriers were unscreened, due to short (<4 hours) admission periods or fatal outcome.

1 At present, there is no reliable method for assessing infection risk from the environment.  
2  
3 Visual inspections cannot accurately determine HAI risk for patients.<sup>15</sup> Monitoring  
4  
5 cleanliness using microbiological screening is resource dependent, and ATP bioluminescence  
6  
7 is expensive and monitors organic soil, not presence of pathogens.<sup>21</sup> Previous work suggests  
8  
9 that surface counts and HAI risk are related, in that the higher the surface soil, the more  
10  
11 likely it is that patients will suffer HAI.<sup>13,14</sup> This study supports that relationship, since  
12  
13 average count/bed was associated with ICU-acquired MSSA/MRSA. Given the association  
14  
15 between settle plate and surface data, perhaps settle plates could be utilised as a proxy for  
16  
17 routine screening. Passive air sampling is easy to do, inexpensive, and would not require  
18  
19 microbiological interpretation other than counting colonies.<sup>4</sup> Future work should consider a  
20  
21 long term study that investigates passive air sampling against HAI in order to explore this.  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30

31 In conclusion, this study systematically screened near-patient hand-touch sites and air using  
32  
33 both active and passive air sampling over 10 months in an ICU. There may be an association  
34  
35 between surface counts and settle plate data, provided that ACCs are interpreted according  
36  
37 to given benchmark standards. The surface standard gaining the best alignment between  
38  
39 passive air sampling and surface counts in this ICU was 5cfu/cm<sup>2</sup>.  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45

#### 46 ***Acknowledgements***

47 We wish to acknowledge ICU staff and the microbiology laboratory at Hairmyres hospital.  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53

#### 54 ***Conflicts of interest***

55 None reported.  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61

## Funding

NHS Lanarkshire, Edinburgh Napier and Leeds Universities, UK.

## References

1. Hobday RA, Dancer SJ. Historical and current perspectives on the role of sunlight and natural ventilation for controlling infection. *J Hosp Infect* 2013; **84**: 271-282.
2. Creamer E, Shore AC, Deasy EC, Galvin S, Dolan A, Walley N et al. Air and surface contamination patterns of meticillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* on eight acute hospital wards. *J Hosp Infect* 2014; **86**: 201-208.
3. Beggs CB, Kerr KG, Noakes CJ, Hathway EA, Sleigh PA. The ventilation of multiple-bed hospital wards: review and analysis. *Am J Infect Control* 2008; **36**(4): 250-9.
4. Pasquarella C, Pitzurra O, Svino A. The index of microbial air contamination. *J Hosp Infect* 2000; **46**: 241-256.
5. Department of Health 2007. Health Technical Memorandum HTM 03-01: Specialised ventilation for healthcare premises, Part A: Design and Validation. The Stationary Office, London.
6. Pasquarella C, Vitali P, Saccani E, Manotti P, Boccuni C, Ugolotti M et al. Microbial air monitoring in operating theatres: experience at the University Hospital of Parma. *J Hosp Infect* 2012; **81**(1): 50-7.
7. Dancer SJ. How do we assess hospital cleaning? A proposal for microbiological standards for surface hygiene in hospitals. *J Hosp Infect* 2004; **56**:10-15.

- 1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65
8. Schmidt MG, Attaway HH, Sharpe PA, John J Jr, Sepkowitz KA, Morgan A, et al. Sustained reduction of microbial burden on common hospital surfaces through introduction of copper. *J Clin Microbiol* 2012; **50**(7): 2217-23.
9. Schmidt MG, Anderson T, Attaway HH 3rd, Fairey S, Kennedy C, Salgado CD. Patient environment microbial burden reduction: a pilot study comparison of 2 terminal cleaning methods. *Am J Infect Control* 2012; **40**(6): 559-61.
10. Mulvey D, Redding P, Robertson C, Woodall C, Kingsmore P, Bedwell D, et al. Finding a benchmark for monitoring hospital cleanliness. *J Hosp Infect* 2011; **77**: 25-30.
11. Bogusz A, Stewart M, Hunter J, Devanny I, Yip B, Reid D et al. How quickly do hospital surfaces become contaminated after detergent cleaning? *Healthcare Infect* 2013; **18**: 3-9.
12. Stewart M, Bogusz A, Hunter J, Devanny I, Yip B, Reid D et al. Microbiological effect of cleaning near-patient sites with electrolysed water. *Infect Control Hospital Epidemiol* 2014; **35**(12): 1505-10.
13. White L, Dancer SJ, Robertson C, MacDonald J. Are hygiene standards useful in assessing infection risk? *Am J Infect Control* 2008; **36**: 381-4.
14. Salgado CD, Sepkowitz KA, John JF, Cantej JR, Attaway HH, Freeman KD et al. Copper surfaces reduce the rate of healthcare-acquired infections in the Intensive Care Unit. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2013; **34**: 479-86.
15. Dancer SJ. Importance of the environment in MRSA acquisition: the case for hospital cleaning. *Lancet Infectious Diseases* 2008; **8**: 101-113.
16. Hathway EA, Noakes CJ, Fletcher LA, Sleigh PA, Clifton I, Elliott MW. The role of nursing activities on the bioaerosol production in hospital wards. *Indoor Built Environ* 2013; **22**(2): 410-421.



- 1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30
17. Adams CE, Smith J, Robertson C, Watson V, Dancer SJ. Examining the relationship between surface bioburden and frequently touched sites in Intensive Care. *J Hosp Infect* 2017; **95**: 76-80.
  18. Griffith CJ, Obee P, Cooper RA, Burton NF, Lewis M. The effectiveness of existing and modified cleaning regimens in a Welsh hospital. *J Hosp Infect* 2007; **66**: 352-59.
  19. King MF, Noakes CJ, Sleight PA. Modelling environmental contamination in hospital single and four-bed rooms. *Indoor Air* 2015; **25**: 694-707.
  20. Dancer SJ, White L, Robertson C. Monitoring environmental cleanliness on two surgical wards. *Int J Environ Health Research* 2008; **18**: 357-364.
  21. Dancer SJ. Controlling hospital-acquired infection: focus on the role of the environment and new technologies for decontamination. *Clin Microbiol Rev* 2014; **27**(4): 665-90.

### 31 **Figure Legends**

32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38

Figure 1: Intensive Care Unit (ICU) layout.

39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48

Figure 2: Agreement between active and passive air sampling and surface bioburden using a range of surface standards from 0-20 cfu/cm<sup>2</sup>. The X axis shows the percentage pass or fail agreement between active and passive air data for each bioburden standard; the Y axis shows the surface bioburden value in cfu/cm<sup>2</sup>.

49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62

Figure 3: Total bioburden (5 sites)/bed (cfu/cm<sup>2</sup>) plotted against % ICU-acquired MSSA/MRSA infection (adjusted for bed occupancy) for Beds 2-10 on 10 sampling days.

**Table I: Microbial soil categories for five hand-touch sites on ICU**

Site	No Growth	Scanty Growth <2.5 cfu/cm <sup>2</sup>	Light Growth ≥2.5-12 cfu/cm <sup>2</sup>	Moderate Growth >12-40 cfu/cm <sup>2</sup>	Heavy Growth >40 cfu/cm <sup>2</sup>	No. of Hygiene fails (>2.5 cfu/cm <sup>2</sup> )
Infusion Pump	16	47 MSSA	22	13 MSSA	2	37/100: 37%
Cardiac Monitor	45	28	16 MSSA	9	2	27/100: 27%
Right Bedrail	6	38	17	27	12 MSSA	56/100: 56%
Over-bed Table	13	35	33 MSSA	16 MSSA	3	52/100: 52%
Left Bedrail	6	31	26	25 MSSA x2	12 MSSA & MRSA	63/100: 63%

**MSSA:** methicillin-susceptible *S. aureus* and **MRSA:** methicillin-resistant *S.aureus* isolated on one or two occasions only.

Hygiene standard for surfaces: <2.5 cfu/cm<sup>2</sup>(ref 6)

Average surface fail = **47%** (range: 27-63%)

**Table II: Microbial burden categories for air (active and passive sampling) and hygiene fails according to standards**

Passive air sampling N=40	No Growth	Scanty Growth 0-2 cfu/plate	Light Growth >2-10 cfu/plate	Moderate Growth >10-40 cfu/plate	Heavy Growth >40 cfu/plate	No. of Hygiene fails (>2 cfu/plate/hr)
Air settle cfu/plate/hr	1	19 <b>MSSA</b>	18	2	0	20/40 = 50%
Active air sampling N=40	No Growth	Scanty Growth 0-2 cfu/m <sup>3</sup>	Light Growth >2-10 cfu/m <sup>3</sup>	Moderate Growth >10-40 cfu/m <sup>3</sup>	Heavy Growth >40 cfu/m <sup>3</sup>	No. of Hygiene fails (>10 cfu/m <sup>3</sup> )
Air sampler cfu/m <sup>3</sup>	1	6	18 <b>MSSAx2</b>	15 <b>MSSA</b>	0	15/40 = 37.5%

**MSSA:** methicillin-susceptible *S. aureus* and **MRSA:** methicillin-resistant *S.aureus* isolated on one or two occasions only.

Hygiene standard for air (passive)<sup>4</sup>:  $\leq 2$  cfu/9cm<sup>2</sup> plate/hr

Hygiene standard for air (active)<sup>5</sup>: <10 cfu/m<sup>3</sup>

Overall, 50% passive air samples fail standards; 37.5% active air samples fail standards.

## APPENDIX 1

Surface and air bioburden (cfu) assigned pass/fail according to proposed standards for surfaces and air sampling (active & passive) in ICU

STUDY DAY No. of MSSA MRSA patients	Bed 1 (5 sites)		Beds 2-4 (15 sites)		Beds 5-7 (15 sites)		Beds 8-10 (15 sites)	
	Surface bioburden	Air Active Passive	Surface bioburden	Air Active Passive	Surface bioburden	Air Active Passive	Surface bioburden	Air Active Passive
1 <b>MSSAx2</b> <b>MRSAx1</b>	NGx2 SGx3	A=1 P  S=1 P	NGx3 SGx11 MGx1	A=1 P  S=1 P	NGx2 SGx12 MGx1	A=26 F  S=1 P	NGx2 SGx11 MGx2	A=1 P  S=1 P
Total cfu Av site cfu	3 0.6 P		37 2.5 P		38 2.5 P		63 4.2 F	
2 <b>MSSAx2</b>	MGx4 HGx1	A=5 P  S=1 P	NGx2 SGx6 LGx5 MGx2	A=5 P  S=5 F	NGx8 SGx6 LGx1	A=26 F <b>MSSA</b> S=26 F	NGx5 SGx8 HGx2	A=5 P <b>MSSA</b> S=5 F
Total cfu Av site cfu	144 28.8 F		93 6.2 F		11 0.73 P		88 5.9 F	
3 <b>MSSAx3</b>	NGx5	A=1 P  S=1 P	NGx4 SGx10 LGx1	A=5 P  S=0 P	NGx4 SGx3 LGx5 MGx3	A=26 F  S=1 P	NGx3 SGx7 <b>MSSA</b> LGx2 MGx2 HGx1	A=5 P  S=5 F
Total cfu Av site cfu	0 0 P		15 1.0 P		106 7.1 F		109 7.3 F	
4 <b>MSSAx3</b>	MGx4 HGx1	A=0 P  S=1 P	NGx2 SGx3 LGx2 MGx4 <b>MSSA</b> HGx4 <b>MSSA</b>	A=26 F  S=1 P	NGx1 SGx7 LGx4 MGx3	A=5 P  S=5 F	NGx3 SGx1 LGx5 MGx5 HGx1	A=5 P  S=1 P
Total cfu Av site cfu	144 28.8 F		277 18.5 F		105 7.0 F		196 13.1 F	
5 <b>MSSAx1</b> <b>MRSAx1</b>	NGx2 SGx2 MGx1	A=1 P  S=1 P	NGx1 SGx3 LGx3 MGx4 <b>MSSA</b> HGx4 <b>MRSA</b>	A=5 P  S=26 F	SGx2 LGx3 MGx9 <b>MSSA</b> HGx1	A=5 P  S=5 F	NGx1 SGx2 LGx11 MGx1	A=5 P  S=5 F
Total cfu Av site cfu	28 5.6 F		282 18.8 F		291 19.4 F		83 5.5 F	

## APPENDIX 1

### Surface and air bioburden (cfu) assigned pass/fail according to proposed standards for surfaces and air sampling (active & passive) in ICU

6	LGx2 HGx3	A=1 P  S=1 P	SGx1 LGx8 MGx6	A=5 P  S=1 P	SGx5 LGx8 MGx2	A=26 F  S=5 F	SGx6 LGx6 MGx2 HGx1	A=26 F  S=5 F
<b>MSSA X1</b>					<b>MSSA</b> <b>MSSA</b>			
Total cfu	130		197		97		128	
Av site cfu	26.0 F		13.1 F		6.5 F		8.5 F	
7	NGx3 SGx1 LGx1	A=5 P  S=1 P	NGx3 SGx7 LGx2 MGx1 HGx2	A=5 P  S=5 F	NGx1 SGx6 LGx4 MGx2 HGx2	A=26 F  S=5 F	NGx2 SGx7 LGx2 MGx4	A=5 P  S=5 F
<b>MSSA X4</b>								
Total cfu	6		123		158		121	
Av site cfu	1.2 P		8.2 F		10.5 F		8.1 F	
8	NGx3 SGx1 LGx1	A=5 P  S=1 P	NGx2 SGx7 LGx4 MGx1 HGx1	A=26 F  S=5 F	NGx2 SGx3 LGx4 MGx3 HGx3	A=26 F  S=5 F	NGx6 SGx5 LGx1 MGx1 HGx2	A=26 F  S=5 F
<b>MSSA x2</b>								
Total cfu	6		93		221		116	
Av site cfu	1.2 P		6.2 F		14.7 F		7.7 F	
9	NGx1 SGx2 LGx1 MGx1	A=5 P  S=1 P	NGx1 SGx7 LGx4 MGx3	A=26 F  S=1 P	NGx3 SGx5 LGx1 MGx6	A=5 P <b>MSSA</b> S=1 P	NGx2 SGx7 LGx4 MGx2	A=26 F  S=1 P <b>MSSA</b>
<b>MSSA X6</b>			<b>MSSA</b>		<b>MSSA</b>			
Total cfu	38		105		171		79	
Av site cfu	7.6 F		7.0 F		11.4 F		5.3 F	
10	NGx3 SGx1 MGx1	A=26 F  S=5 F	NGx1 SGx2 LGx6 MGx4 HGx2	A=26 F  S=5 F	NGx1 SGx7 LGx5 MGx2	A=5 P  S=5 F	NGx2 SGx2 LGx8 MGx3	A=26 F  S=5 F
<b>MSSA x2</b>								
Total cfu	27		216		84		120	
Av site cfu	5.4 F		14.4 F		5.6 F		8.0 F	

Surface bioburden mid category in cfu/cm<sup>2</sup>: NG=0; SG=1; LG=5; MG=26; HG=40

Surface bioburden fails if the standard is >2.5 cfu/cm<sup>2</sup> at hand touch site; P=pass; F=fail

Passive (S) air standards fail if >2 cfu/plate/hour; Active (A) air standards fail if >10 cfu/m<sup>3</sup>

**MSSA**: methicillin-susceptible *S.aureus*; **MRSA**: methicillin-resistant *S.aureus*; T = Total cfu/cm<sup>2</sup>; Av = Average cfu/cm<sup>2</sup> (for all hand touch sites).

**Appendix 2: Weather and temperature variables, people-traffic, staphylococcal infections, bed-occupancy and bioburden in air and on surfaces for ten sampling days in a 10-bed ICU**

STUDY DAY N=10	Temp°C	Humidity (%)	Bed Occupancy (%) N=10 (100%)	People Traffic* (av.3 values)	Average bioburden (cfu/cm <sup>2</sup> ) per site (all beds)	Average bioburden (cfu/cm <sup>2</sup> ) per bed (beds2-10)	No. & rate % of ICU-acquired MSSA/MRSA infection#	Average bioburden: active air sampling (cfu/m <sup>3</sup> )	Average bioburden: passive air sampling (cfu/plate/hr)
	Inside ICU	Pressure (mb)			Weather	n=50/day		n=9/day	n=4/day
1	22 6	81 1032 Dry: cloudy	90	14.67	2.82	15.33	X1 MSSA  11.1	7.25	1.00
2	22 7	55 1010 Dry: light cloud	90	14.67	6.72	21.33	0  0	10.25	9.25
3	22 9	67 1032 Dry: sunny	50	9.00	4.6	25.55	0  0	9.25	1.75
4	22 10	60 1032 Dry: some sun	70	11.00	14.44	64.22	x1 MSSA  14.3	9.00	2.00
5	22 9	60 1020 Rain: some cloud	60	5.33	13.68	72.88	x1 MSSA x1 MRSA  33.3	4.00	9.25
6	22 15	61 1017 Dry: light cloud	50	12.33	11.04	46.88	x1 MSSA  20.0	14.50	3.00
7	22 13	52 1020 Sunny intervals	70	14.33	8.16	44.66	x1 MSSA  14.3	10.25	4.00
8	22 15	58 1013 Rain: scattered clouds	70	12.67	8.72	47.77	x2 MSSA  28.6	20.75	4.00

9	22 12	71 1017 Sunny intervals	80	12.00	7.86	39.44	x1 MSSA 12.5	15.50	1.00
10	22 7	87 1013 Sun; some cloud	80	16.30	8.94	46.66	x2 MSSA 25.0	20.75	5.00

NB: \*People traffic estimates as number of people passing nursing station in 5 mins; repeated three times 30 mins apart during 2 hr sampling period.

#Staphylococcal acquired infection rate adjusted according to Bed Occupancy.

**MSSA: methicillin-susceptible *S. aureus*; MRSA: methicillin-resistant *S.aureus***

Appendix 3: Details of patients with confirmed ICU-acquired staphylococcal infection during ten sampling periods

Patient	Date of admission	No. of days to infection	Age/sex	Diagnosis	Type of infection	Positive specimens	Site of infection
1	4/2/15	4	52/M	Pancreatitis	MSSA	Sputum; BLC	Chest
2	15/4/15	2	60/M	Colectomy for Ca colon	MSSA	Sputum	Chest
3	2/5/15	5	74/M	Ruptured aortic aneurysm	MSSA	Wound swab	Abdominal wound
4	10/5/15	6	57/M	Colitis	MRSA	Drain fluid	Peritoneal collection
5	22/6/15	2	72/F	Necrotising fasciitis	MSSA	Sputum	Chest
6	11/7/15	8	85/F	Ruptured aortic aneurysm	MSSA	CVL site; sputum	Line site (neck)
7	22/7/15	5	61/F	APR for Ca rectum	MSSA	Wound swab	Perineal wound
8	23/7/15	4	63/F	Sigmoid volvulus	MSSA	Wound swab	Cellulitis arm
9*	1/9/15	4	20/M	Overdose	MSSA	Sputum	Chest
10*	5/10/15	8	73/M	EVAR	MSSA	Wound swab	Groin
11	8/10/15	2	46/F	Amputation ischaemic toes	MSSA	Arterial line site	Line site (arm)

**Key:** MSSA: Methicillin-susceptible *S.aureus*, MRSA: Methicillin-resistant *S.aureus*, ICU: Intensive Care Unit, BLC: Blood cultures, CVL: Central Venous Line, APR: Abdominoperineal Resection, Ca: Cancer, EVAR: Endovascular Aneurysm Repair

\*denotes diagnosis after ICU discharge.

NB. Patients were diagnosed with ICU-acquired staphylococcal infection according to national criteria, >48 hrs following admission and within 72 hrs of study sampling days. The average time to acquired staphylococcal infection was 4.5 days.







