



REVIEW

Classic and recent advances in understanding amnesia [version 1; referees: 2 approved]

Richard J. Allen

School of Psychology, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK

v1 First published: 16 Mar 2018, 7(F1000 Faculty Rev):331 (doi: 10.12688/f1000research.13737.1)

Latest published: 16 Mar 2018, 7(F1000 Faculty Rev):331 (doi: 10.12688/f1000research.13737.1)

Abstract

Neurological amnesia has been and remains the focus of intense study, motivated by the drive to understand typical and atypical memory function and the underlying brain basis that is involved. There is now a consensus that amnesia associated with hippocampal (and, in many cases, broader medial temporal lobe) damage results in deficits in episodic memory, delayed recall, and recollective experience. However, debate continues regarding the patterns of preservation and impairment across a range of abilities, including semantic memory and learning, delayed recognition, working memory, and imagination. This brief review highlights some of the influential and recent advances in these debates and what they may tell us about the amnesic condition and hippocampal function.

Open Peer Review

Referee Status:

	Invited Referees	
	1	2
version 1 published 16 Mar 2018		

F1000 Faculty Reviews are commissioned from members of the prestigious F1000 Faculty. In order to make these reviews as comprehensive and accessible as possible, peer review takes place before publication; the referees are listed below, but their reports are not formally published.

- 1 **R Shayna Rosenbaum**, York University, Canada
- 2 **Francis Eustache**, Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale (INSERM) and Ecole pratique des hautes études (EPHE), Université de Caen-Normandie, Unité 1077, France

Discuss this article

Comments (0)

Corresponding author: Richard J. Allen (r.allen@leeds.ac.uk)

Author roles: Allen RJ: Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing

Competing interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

How to cite this article: Allen RJ. **Classic and recent advances in understanding amnesia [version 1; referees: 2 approved]**

F1000Research 2018, 7(F1000 Faculty Rev):331 (doi: [10.12688/f1000research.13737.1](https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.13737.1))

Copyright: © 2018 Allen RJ. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution Licence](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Grant information: The author(s) declared that no grants were involved in supporting this work.

First published: 16 Mar 2018, 7(F1000 Faculty Rev):331 (doi: [10.12688/f1000research.13737.1](https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.13737.1))

Introduction

The ‘amnesic syndrome’ has a relatively high profile both in the neuropsychological literature and in popular culture. This is likely due in part to the centrality of memory in defining our place in the world and sense of self, in enabling effective everyday functioning, and of the often-striking loss of memory function in patients with amnesia, relative to healthy individuals. The term is derived from the Greek *a-* (without) *-mnesis* (memory), and at a broad level, amnesia can be defined as a profound loss of memory. The extensive impacts of this condition mean that individuals with amnesia usually require assistance in daily life.

Amnesia can be temporary or have a psychological root (for example, transient global amnesia¹ and psychogenic/dissociative amnesia²) and is a term used in reference to memory problems in various neurological conditions (for example, amnesic mild cognitive impairment). However, the nature of these problems means that other functional and mechanistic descriptions likely apply, and so they are outside the scope of this overview. Instead, the focus is on the amnesic condition as a long-lasting or permanent disorder, emerging from an organic or neurological cause. Causes can include traumatic head injury, neurosurgery (for example, to treat severe epilepsy), anoxia/hypoxia (lack of oxygen), ischaemia, viral infection (*Herpes simplex* encephalitis), and alcoholic Korsakoff’s syndrome. The large majority of cases represent adult-acquired memory loss, although individuals have been identified as having ‘developmental amnesia’, acquired at birth or in infancy³. The neurological basis of amnesia will obviously depend on the aetiological nature and extent. Although a range of brain areas can be involved in profound memory loss (for example, the prefrontal cortex or, in the case of alcoholic Korsakoff syndrome, the thalamic/diencephalic region), neurologically derived amnesia has more commonly been associated with damage to the hippocampus specifically and to the medial temporal lobes (MTLs) more broadly. This well-established primary neurological locus means that research with patients can inform both the amnesic condition and how the hippocampus and MTL contribute to memory and cognitive function more broadly.

Understanding of amnesia has been substantially driven by case studies of patients such as HM⁴⁻⁶, and indeed this approach remains informative provided that robust methodological approaches are adopted⁷. Group studies are also informative in extending beyond the individual, offering greater statistical power, and allowing identification of consistent patterns, although care must be taken when collapsing across patients with possibly heterogeneous profiles of damage and ability, and combining behavioural and imaging methodology can be useful in this regard⁸. As noted by Clark and Maguire⁹, although the lesion-deficit model has been dominant and studies tend to focus on how individuals with amnesia are impaired, a comprehensive picture can be obtained only by contrasting this with patterns of preservation. Debate continues regarding precisely how to characterise this profile and what this reveals about the function of neural areas putatively associated with this condition. This review aims to provide a brief overview of some of the insights and debates emerging from classic work and recent advances in

the area, particularly in the context of anterograde memory difficulties displayed by individuals with hippocampal/MTL amnesia. (For more in-depth recent reviews, see, for example, 7–10.)

Long-term memory

Depending on aetiology and extent of lesion, individuals with amnesia will often show minimal memory following even short periods (>30 seconds) of distraction or interference, alongside relatively intact broader ability (for example, language and motor movement). Amnesia can be retrograde (that is, loss of memories acquired prior to onset) and anterograde (impairment in forming new memories), and patients typically exhibit both forms to varying extents. Severity of retrograde and anterograde loss appears to correlate¹¹, and retrograde loss follows a temporally graded pattern with greater preservation of distally acquired information, relative to memories immediately prior to onset (as described by Ribot’s law¹²). It has been suggested that this pattern of recent retrograde loss represents disruption of long-term memory consolidation¹³, and indeed there is some evidence that patients with amnesia show greater vulnerability to interference during consolidation¹⁴.

One of the key principles generated from research with patients with amnesia is that memory is not unitary and instead can be fractionated into separable systems or abilities. Amnesic patients with MTL damage have intact procedural memory and learning (see 15 for a review), suggesting a set of implicit or non-declarative memory systems that are distinct from explicit or declarative memory (for example, 16,17, but see 18 for an alternative interpretation). They may also have relatively preserved semantic (that is, factual) knowledge for information acquired prior to onset¹⁹. However, controversy exists regarding whether new semantic information can be acquired post-onset. Patients often show considerable impairments in memory for novel facts about news events and famous individuals (for example, 20). On the other hand, work with developmental amnesia has shown that such patients indeed have been able to acquire semantic knowledge over time, although lab studies suggest that this may proceed more slowly than in healthy controls^{3,21}. In contrast, episodic memory (that is, memory for events grounded in time and space) is consistently impaired in both (temporally graded) retrograde and anterograde form²². Indeed, these episodic memory difficulties may at least partly account for why patients with amnesia struggle with acquiring new semantic knowledge²³.

Memory deficits in patients with amnesia appear to be particularly apparent when assessed via recall, compared to relatively reduced impairments on tests of recognition^{21,24–26}. For example, Patai *et al.*²⁵ assessed 29 patients with relatively selective hippocampal damage on the recall and recognition subtests of the Doors and People Test²⁷ and found larger recall deficits relative to recognition. Moreover, hippocampal volume correlated with recall performance but not with recognition. Distinctions between recall and recognition have been mapped onto experiences of recollection and familiarity; individuals with amnesia have problems with recollection (on which recall is dependent) because the MTL underlies recollection, but they remain able to make recognition judgements based on intact

feelings of familiarity (for example, 28,29). Following this, a more precise and detailed two-process approach^{30,31} argues that the hippocampus plays a critical role in recollection, with parahippocampal regions supporting familiarity-based recognition judgements. This implies that the recall-recognition dissociation will be more dramatic in individuals with highly selective hippocampal damage. In contrast, broader MTL damage leads to substantial deficits in both recall and recognition. Although neuropsychological and imaging data provide some support for this^{25,31}, it is by no means universally accepted. Other work with patient groups rejects a clear recall-recognition dissociation in amnesia^{32,33}. For example, Smith *et al.*³⁴ found widespread recognition difficulties in groups of patients with either selective hippocampal and broader MTL lesions, with the former group intact on only one sub-category of test (immediate recognition for faces). The authors argued that recognition judgements draw on item-list associations, and so (as with other forms of associative processing) even amnesic patients with selective hippocampal damage show impairment.

Somewhat reduced recognition accuracy in selective hippocampal patients, relative to controls, should also be predicted by the dual-process account of recognition, which states that both recollection and familiarity contribute to recognition judgements in typical memory functioning³⁵. These processes can be independently indexed by asking participants to make recognition-based metacognitive judgements regarding whether they remember (R) encountering an item earlier or simply know (K) that it had been previously presented, a distinction which maps onto recollection and familiarity respectively (and parallels the fractionation of episodic and semantic memory). As would be predicted on the basis of the relative absence of recollective experience, hippocampal amnesic patients have been shown to produce reduced rates of R estimates²⁶. Similarly, although the developmental amnesic individual Jon reported R judgements, he seemed unable to understand the R-K distinction²¹, showed no brain activation differences between such judgements³⁶, and was unable to provide recollective justifications for why he made R, K, or G (guess) categorizations³⁷.

Although there is little doubt that amnesia involves severe deficits in delayed recall, debate continues as to the nature, extent, and causes of their possible difficulties in delayed recognition. Different studies have identified and emphasized patterns of relatively impaired or intact performance in various groups of patients with amnesia, and the overall picture appears to be that recognition deficits are often apparent compared with typical controls but are not as severe as those seen in recall. This may reflect, in part, the preserved ability to make familiarity-based recognition judgements, alongside deficits in recollective experience; impaired recognition performance will be observed when familiarity alone is not sufficient to adequately support performance. Nevertheless, notes of caution remain when contrasting relative patterns of recall and recognition across studies. Given the possible contributory roles played by distinct MTL structures (for example, 31), it is important that the lesion patterns of individual patients be clearly defined, as hippocampal

and extra-hippocampal damage may lead to differing patterns of impairment. Furthermore, care must be taken to consider issues such as task difficulty and data sensitivity when comparing recall and recognition measures (for example, 25), as recall tends to be a more difficult task and produces reduced accuracy levels in healthy individuals as well as patient groups³⁸.

Working memory

Classic work on amnesia indicates that, although delayed (long-term) episodic memory is impaired, patients show intact immediate or working memory (that is, the ability to “hold a limited amount of information temporarily in a heightened state of availability for use in ongoing information processing”³⁹). HM, for example, demonstrated preserved digit recall provided that he was not distracted and sequence length did not exceed his immediate memory capacity^{6,40}. Similar intact performance levels on a range of verbal and visuospatial working memory tasks have been repeatedly observed in other patients (for example, 22,41). Patients with amnesia also demonstrate apparently intact ability to use prior knowledge to facilitate working memory performance (for example, by showing superior memory for sentences over random word lists^{42,43} and for digits when embedded in familiar ‘keypad’ configurations^{43,44}).

However, it has been argued that broader abilities attributed to the hippocampus may lead to amnesic patients showing deficits even in working memory tasks that require these abilities. For example, the hippocampus plays a key role in spatial processing⁴⁵, and indeed patients with amnesia show deficits in some (but not all^{46,47}) aspects of spatial navigation⁴⁸ and allocentric memory⁴⁹. Memory for associations or relational bindings between features of a stimulus or event is also thought to have a critical hippocampal component⁵⁰⁻⁵². In regard to working memory, tasks that emphasize relational and allocentric spatial processing (regarding the relative relationship between objects in the environment, independent of the viewer) and minimize egocentric contributions indicate impaired performance in hippocampal/MTL patients, even at retention intervals of only a few seconds^{53,54}. Similarly, short-term memory for relational binding between object and location, scene, or object has also been shown to be impaired and error-prone in MTL amnesic patients⁵⁵⁻⁵⁹.

Evidence is mixed, however, with other patients (for example, Jon) showing intact immediate memory for binding between shape and color⁴², and between object and location, alongside impaired delayed memory for the same material⁶⁰. Along similar lines, Jenson *et al.*⁶¹⁻⁶³ have observed intact working memory performance at short delays and small memory loads in hippocampal/MTL amnesics (see also 64), and deficits consistently emerge only when these task factors are increased and the limits of working memory are exceeded. Consistent with this, a recent comparison of relational memory for word pairs indicated that patients with amnesia were able to recall these relations in an immediate test but (unlike controls) not in a delayed test 25 minutes later⁶⁵. It has been argued, on the basis of such results, that apparent evidence for immediate/working memory deficits

on such tasks in individuals with hippocampal/MTL amnesia reflects conditions in which working memory capacity is exceeded and support from long-term memory is required⁶¹.

Despite this, it remains possible that specific forms of temporary memory processing are indeed impaired in amnesic patients with hippocampal damage. It may be that relational binding (that is, memory for the relationship between object and context) is impaired but conjunctive memory (that is, for the binding between features of unitary objects, such as shape and color) is intact^{59,66}. Additionally, Yonelinas⁶⁷ has argued that the hippocampus supports high-resolution binding across perception, working memory, and long-term memory. Indeed, most research on working memory in amnesia has used categorical tasks not explicitly designed to index degradation of representational fidelity, although the limited number of studies using precision-based methods with patients with amnesia have produced mixed results¹⁰. Further research is clearly required here.

While these debates continue, they also illustrate how distinctions between types of task are not necessarily absolute in terms of the underlying mechanisms they may index and that, as noted by Eichenbaum⁵, hippocampal activation involved in longer-term memory retention is likely to begin during initial encoding of experiences. As such, at a functional level, individuals with amnesia may experience difficulties in memory tasks even when tested with relatively short delays.

Visualization, imagination, and scene construction

Amnesia is often referred to as a ‘global’ disorder (that is, with memory deficits that apply across verbal and visuospatial information). It is nevertheless important when assessing memory capability of such patients that a variety of tasks be administered, tapping memory for different types of information. Furthermore, there is some limited evidence that hippocampal damage may have a greater impact on visualization and visuospatial memory. For example, Patai *et al.*²⁵ found some evidence that hippocampal amnesic patients demonstrated greater memory problems in the visual domain, relative to verbal memory. Similarly, within verbal memory paradigms, there is some evidence that patients with amnesia show greater impairment in concrete relative to abstract words⁶⁸, suggesting that they may be less able to use imagery to supplement verbal memory⁶⁹.

These findings are in line with the greater emphasis that theories of hippocampal function often place on visuospatial processing⁹. For example, they speak to the theoretical framework developed by Maguire *et al.*^{69–71} proposing that hippocampal amnesic patients have imagination and scene construction deficits that emerge across a range of tasks requiring the generation of coherent internal scenario or scene. Following initial reports of well-known patients such as HM and KC experiencing problems in imagination and projection into the future^{72,73}, such deficits have subsequently been demonstrated experimentally in different groups of patients^{74–76}. These deficits typically contrast with intact ability to imagine isolated objects and fragmented scenes, and to describe pictures and generate

related narratives, in line with the possible centrality of scene construction. Similarly, problems in future thinking particularly relate to the imagination of detailed and spatially coherent future events rather than to temporal projection more generally^{77–79}. Patients with amnesia also appear to show difficulties in deciding whether scenes are spatially coherent or impossible⁸⁰, and in carrying out spatially based counterfactual thinking⁸¹, compared with controls. Finally, evidence of perceptual deficits in tasks requiring discrimination between spatial scenes has been observed in selective hippocampal damaged patients, whereas deficits in both spatial and non-spatial discrimination are apparent in patients with broader perirhinal/MTL damage⁸². It should be noted that, although the preponderance of evidence indicates imagination and scene construction deficits, some patients do demonstrate performance similar to that of control participants in such tasks^{83,84}. This may reflect, in the case of the patients of Squire *et al.*⁸⁴, the availability of relatively intact autobiographical memory in that group⁹ or the use of intact semantic memory to support scene construction in the case of Mullally *et al.*⁸³.

Concluding remarks

Although there is a consensus, at a broad level, about what the amnesic condition represents, debate continues regarding the precise patterns of preservation and impairment and what may be identified as being primary deficits or secondary/resulting features of the condition. Early studies of amnesia played a key role in the development of structural approaches to memory, drawing distinctions between systems based on dimensions such as content, capacity and temporal duration. Recent theorizing has increasingly adopted function- and process-oriented perspectives, in part through the influence of neuroimaging research with healthy and clinical populations. Within this context, it remains to be seen whether theoretical views that emphasize, for example, consolidation, recollection, relational memory, fidelity of processing, or scene construction provide the most comprehensive primary account of the patterns of impairment (and preservation) that are typically observed or whether it is a condition that is better characterised as a grouping of multiple, separable (and comorbid) deficits. Explanations at the micro level may also be usefully integrated at the macro level in order to develop systems-based structural frameworks within which patterns of preservation and deficit, and associated brain dynamics, across a broad range of tasks might be conceptualised (for example,⁸⁵).

Difficulties with theorizing regarding amnesia were noted over 20 years ago by Mayes and Downes⁸⁶. Indeed, although great progress has been made in the development of new perspectives on the condition and the integration of behavioural and imaging techniques in this context, many of these basic issues remain. The multidimensional heterogeneity that is apparent between individuals and groups in terms of aetiology, locus and extent of lesion (and corresponding residual intact tissue), and degree of post-onset compensation/neural organization remains a source of challenges for drawing interpretations that can be generalized beyond single studies. Methodological differences between

studies are also important to consider as a caveat to interpretation, as are issues of task validity and the extent to which patients may be able to supplement otherwise impaired performance through the use of strategy or intact cognitive abilities. Finally, the debates in the literature have often been framed in terms of the theoretical implication for hippocampal function, but they should also consider what the outcomes of these debates might mean for diagnostic technique and for understanding (and ameliorating) the impacts of the amnesic condition on a patient's quality of life and everyday functioning.

Abbreviations

MTL, medial temporal lobe.

Competing interests

The author declares that he has no competing interests.

Grant information

The author(s) declared that no grants were involved in supporting this work.

References



- Quinette P, Guillery-Girard B, Dayan J, *et al.*: **What does transient global amnesia really mean? Review of the literature and thorough study of 142 cases.** *Brain*. 2006; **129**(Pt 7): 1640–58.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Kopelman MD: **Psychogenic amnesia.** In *The handbook of memory disorders*. 2nd edition. John Wiley & Sons; 2003; 45.
[Reference Source](#)
- Vargha-Khadem F, Gadian DG, Watkins KE, *et al.*: **Differential effects of early hippocampal pathology on episodic and semantic memory.** *Science*. 1997; **277**(5324): 376–80.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Augustinack JC, van der Kouwe AJ, Salat DH, *et al.*: **H.M.'s contributions to neuroscience: a review and autopsy studies.** *Hippocampus*. 2014; **24**(11): 1267–86.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Eichenbaum H: **What H.M. taught us.** *J Cogn Neurosci*. 2013; **25**(1): 14–21.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Milner B, Corkin S, Teuber H: **Further analysis of the hippocampal amnesic syndrome: 14-year follow-up study of H.M.** *Neuropsychologia*. 1968; **6**(3): 215–34.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
- Rosenbaum RS, Gilboa A, Moscovitch M: **Case studies continue to illuminate the cognitive neuroscience of memory.** *Ann NY Acad Sci*. 2014; **1316**: 105–33.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Squire LR, Wixted JT: **The cognitive neuroscience of human memory since H.M.** *Annu Rev Neurosci*. 2011; **34**: 259–88.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Clark IA, Maguire EA: **Remembering Preservation in Hippocampal Amnesia.** *Annu Rev Psychol*. 2016; **67**: 51–82.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
- Hannula DE, Ryan JD, Warren DE: **Beyond long-term declarative memory: evaluating hippocampal contributions to unconscious memory expression, perception, and short-term retention.** In *The Hippocampus from Cells to Systems*. Edited by Hannula DE, & Duff MC; 2017; 281–336.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
- Smith CN, Frascino JC, Hopkins RO, *et al.*: **The nature of anterograde and retrograde memory impairment after damage to the medial temporal lobe.** *Neuropsychologia*. 2013; **51**(13): 2709–14.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Ribot T: **Les maladies de la mémoire: 1881.** Editions L'Harmattan; 1881.
[Reference Source](#)
- Wixted JT: **On Common Ground: Jost's (1897) law of forgetting and Ribot's (1881) law of retrograde amnesia.** *Psychol Rev*. 2004; **111**(4): 864–79.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Dewar MT, Cowan N, Della Sala SD: **Forgetting due to retroactive interference: a fusion of Müller and Pilzecker's (1900) early insights into everyday forgetting and recent research on anterograde amnesia.** *Cortex*. 2007; **43**(5): 616–34.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Reber PJ: **The neural basis of implicit learning and memory: a review of neuropsychological and neuroimaging research.** *Neuropsychologia*. 2013; **51**(10): 2026–42.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Corkin S: **Lasting Consequences of Bilateral Medial Temporal Lobectomy: Clinical Course and Experimental Findings in H.M.** *Semin Neurol*. 1984; **4**(2): 249–59.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
- Squire LR, Zola SM: **Structure and function of declarative and nondeclarative memory systems.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 1996; **93**(24): 13515–22.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Henke K: **A model for memory systems based on processing modes rather than consciousness.** *Nat Rev Neurosci*. 2010; **11**(7): 523–32.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Winocur G, Moscovitch M: **Memory transformation and systems consolidation.** *J Int Neuropsychol Soc*. 2011; **17**(5): 766–80.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Reed JM, Squire LR: **Retrograde amnesia for facts and events: findings from four new cases.** *J Neurosci*. 1998; **18**(10): 3943–54.
[PubMed Abstract](#)
- Baddeley A, Vargha-Khadem F, Mishkin M: **Preserved recognition in a case of developmental amnesia: implications for the acquisition of semantic memory?** *J Cogn Neurosci*. 2001; **13**(3): 357–69.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Spiers HJ, Maguire EA, Burgess N: **Hippocampal amnesia.** *Neurocase*. 2001; **7**(5): 357–82.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Gardiner JM, Brandt KR, Baddeley AD, *et al.*: **Charting the acquisition of semantic knowledge in a case of developmental amnesia.** *Neuropsychologia*. 2008; **46**(11): 2865–8.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Adlam AR, Malloy M, Mishkin M, *et al.*: **Dissociation between recognition and recall in developmental amnesia.** *Neuropsychologia*. 2009; **47**(11): 2207–10.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Patai EZ, Gadian DG, Cooper JM, *et al.*: **Extent of hippocampal atrophy predicts degree of deficit in recall.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2015; **112**(41): 12830–3.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
- Yonelinas AP, Kroll NE, Quamme JR, *et al.*: **Effects of extensive temporal lobe damage or mild hypoxia on recollection and familiarity.** *Nat Neurosci*. 2002; **5**(11): 1236–41.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
- Baddeley AD, Emslie H, Nimmo-Smith I: **Doors and People: A Test of Visual and Verbal Recall and Recognition.** Bury St. Edmunds, UK: Thames Valley Test Company; 1994.
[Reference Source](#)
- Huppert FA, Piercy M: **The role of trace strength in recency and frequency judgements by amnesic and control subjects.** *Q J Exp Psychol*. 1978; **30**(2): 347–54.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Mayes AR, Meudell PR, Pickering A: **Is organic amnesia caused by a selective deficit in remembering contextual information?** *Cortex*. 1985; **21**(2): 167–202.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Brown MW, Aggleton JP: **Recognition memory: what are the roles of the perirhinal cortex and hippocampus?** *Nat Rev Neurosci*. 2001; **2**(1): 51–61.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Eichenbaum H, Yonelinas AP, Ranganath C: **The medial temporal lobe and recognition memory.** *Annu Rev Neurosci*. 2007; **30**: 123–52.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Haist F, Shimamura AP, Squire LR: **On the relationship between recall and recognition memory.** *J Exp Psychol Learn Mem Cogn*. 1992; **18**(4): 691–702.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Knowlton BJ, Squire LR: **Remembering and knowing: two different expressions**

- of declarative memory. *J Exp Psychol Learn Mem Cogn.* 1995; **21**(3): 699–710.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
34. **F** Smith CN, Jeneson A, Frascino JC, *et al.*: **When recognition memory is independent of hippocampal function.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2014; **111**(27): 9935–40.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
35. Yonelinas AP: **The Nature of Recollection and Familiarity: A Review of 30 Years of Research.** *J Mem Lang.* 2002; **46**(3): 441–517.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
36. Düzel E, Vargha-Khadem F, Heinze HJ, *et al.*: **Brain activity evidence for recognition without recollection after early hippocampal damage.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2001; **98**(14): 8101–6.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
37. Gardiner JM, Brandt KR, Vargha-Khadem F, *et al.*: **Effects of level of processing but not of task enactment on recognition memory in a case of developmental amnesia.** *Cogn Neuropsychol.* 2006; **23**(6): 930–48.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
38. MacDougall R: **Recognition and Recall.** *The Journal of Philosophy, Psychology and Scientific Methods.* 1904; **1**(9): 229–233.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
39. **F** Cowan N: **The many faces of working memory and short-term storage.** *Psychon Bull Rev.* 2017; **24**(4): 1158–70.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
40. Drachman DA, Arbib J: **Memory and the hippocampal complex. II. Is memory a multiple process?** *Arch Neurol.* 1966; **15**(1): 52–61.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
41. Baddeley A, Jarrold C, Vargha-Khadem F: **Working memory and the hippocampus.** *J Cogn Neurosci.* 2011; **23**(12): 3855–61.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
42. Baddeley A, Allen R, Vargha-Khadem F: **Is the hippocampus necessary for visual and verbal binding in working memory?** *Neuropsychologia.* 2010; **48**(4): 1089–95.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
43. Darling S, Allen RJ, Havelka J: **Visuospatial Bootstrapping.** *Curr Dir Psychol Sci.* 2017; **26**: 3–9.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
44. Race E, Palombo DJ, Cadden M, *et al.*: **Memory integration in amnesia: prior knowledge supports verbal short-term memory.** *Neuropsychologia.* 2015; **70**: 272–80.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
45. O'Keefe J, Nadel L: **The hippocampus as a cognitive map.** Oxford: Clarendon Press; 1978.
[Reference Source](#)
46. **F** Herdman KA, Calarco N, Moscovitch M, *et al.*: **Impoverished descriptions of familiar routes in three cases of hippocampal/medial temporal lobe amnesia.** *Cortex.* 2015; **71**: 248–63.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
47. Rosenbaum RS, Priselac S, Köhler S, *et al.*: **Remote spatial memory in an amnesic person with extensive bilateral hippocampal lesions.** *Nat Neurosci.* 2000; **3**(10): 1044–8.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
48. Maguire EA, Nannery R, Spiers HJ: **Navigation around London by a taxi driver with bilateral hippocampal lesions.** *Brain.* 2006; **129**(Pt 11): 2894–907.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
49. Holdstock JS, Mayes AR, Cezayirli E, *et al.*: **A comparison of egocentric and allocentric spatial memory in a patient with selective hippocampal damage.** *Neuropsychologia.* 2000; **38**(4): 410–25.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
50. Cohen NJ, Eichenbaum H: **Memory, amnesia, and the hippocampal system.** Cambridge, MA The MIT Press; 1993.
[Reference Source](#)
51. Eichenbaum H: **Hippocampus: cognitive processes and neural representations that underlie declarative memory.** *Neuron.* 2004; **44**(1): 109–20.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
52. Konkel A, Warren DE, Duff MC, *et al.*: **Hippocampal amnesia impairs all manner of relational memory.** *Front Hum Neurosci.* 2008; **2**: 15.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
53. Hartley T, Bird CM, Chan D, *et al.*: **The hippocampus is required for short-term topographical memory in humans.** *Hippocampus.* 2007; **17**(1): 34–48.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
54. King JA, Burgess N, Hartley T, *et al.*: **Human hippocampus and viewpoint dependence in spatial memory.** *Hippocampus.* 2002; **12**(6): 811–20.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
55. **F** Hannula DE, Tranel D, Allen JS, *et al.*: **Memory for items and relationships among items embedded in realistic scenes: disproportionate relational memory impairments in amnesia.** *Neuropsychology.* 2015; **29**(1): 126–38.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
56. Hannula DE, Tranel D, Cohen NJ: **The long and the short of it: relational memory impairments in amnesia, even at short lags.** *J Neurosci.* 2006; **26**(32): 8352–9.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
57. **F** Olson IR, Page K, Moore KS, *et al.*: **Working memory for conjunctions relies on the medial temporal lobe.** *J Neurosci.* 2006; **26**(17): 4596–601.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
58. Pertzov Y, Miller TD, Gorgoraptis N, *et al.*: **Binding deficits in memory following medial temporal lobe damage in patients with voltage-gated potassium channel complex antibody-associated limbic encephalitis.** *Brain.* 2013; **136**(Pt 8): 2474–85.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
59. **F** van Geldorp B, Bouman Z, Hendriks MP, *et al.*: **Different types of working memory binding in epilepsy patients with unilateral anterior temporal lobectomy.** *Brain Cogn.* 2014; **85**: 231–8.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
60. Allen RJ, Vargha-Khadem F, Baddeley AD: **Item-location binding in working memory: is it hippocampus-dependent?** *Neuropsychologia.* 2014; **59**: 74–84.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
61. Jeneson A, Squire LR: **Working memory, long-term memory, and medial temporal lobe function.** *Learn Mem.* 2012; **19**(1): 15–25.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
62. Jeneson A, Mauldin KN, Squire LR: **Intact working memory for relational information after medial temporal lobe damage.** *J Neurosci.* 2010; **30**(41): 13624–9.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
63. Jeneson A, Wixted JT, Hopkins RO, *et al.*: **Visual working memory capacity and the medial temporal lobe.** *J Neurosci.* 2012; **32**(10): 3584–9.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
64. **F** Geva S, Cooper JM, Gadian DG, *et al.*: **Impairment on a self-ordered working memory task in patients with early-acquired hippocampal atrophy.** *Dev Cogn Neurosci.* 2016; **20**: 12–22.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
65. **F** Squire LR: **Memory for relations in the short term and the long term after medial temporal lobe damage.** *Hippocampus.* 2017; **27**(5): 608–12.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
66. **F** Parra MA, Fabi K, Luzzi S, *et al.*: **Relational and conjunctive binding functions dissociate in short-term memory.** *Neurocase.* 2015; **21**(1): 56–66.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
67. Yonelinas AP: **The hippocampus supports high-resolution binding in the service of perception, working memory and long-term memory.** *Behav Brain Res.* 2013; **254**: 34–44.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
68. Jones-Gotman M: **Incidental learning of image-mediated or pronounced words after right temporal lobectomy.** *Cortex.* 1979; **15**(2): 187–97.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
69. Maguire EA, Mullally SL: **The hippocampus: a manifesto for change.** *J Exp Psychol Gen.* 2013; **142**(4): 1180–9.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
70. Hassabis D, Maguire EA: **Deconstructing episodic memory with construction.** *Trends Cogn Sci.* 2007; **11**(7): 299–306.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
71. Hassabis D, Maguire EA: **The construction system of the brain.** *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci.* 2009; **364**(1521): 1263–71.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
72. de Vito S, Della Sala S: **Predicting the future.** *Cortex.* 2011; **47**(8): 1018–22.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
73. Rosenbaum RS, Köhler S, Schacter DL, *et al.*: **The case of K.C.: contributions of a memory-impaired person to memory theory.** *Neuropsychologia.* 2005; **43**(7): 989–1021.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
74. **F** Hassabis D, Kumaran D, Vann SD, *et al.*: **Patients with hippocampal amnesia cannot imagine new experiences.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2007; **104**(5): 1726–31.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
75. Mullally SL, Hassabis D, Maguire EA: **Scene construction in amnesia: an fMRI study.** *J Neurosci.* 2012; **32**(16): 5646–53.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
76. Race E, Keane MM, Verfaellie M: **Medial temporal lobe damage causes deficits in episodic memory and episodic future thinking not attributable to deficits in narrative construction.** *J Neurosci.* 2011; **31**(28): 10262–9.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
77. Craver CF, Kwan D, Steindam C, *et al.*: **Individuals with episodic amnesia are not stuck in time.** *Neuropsychologia.* 2014; **57**: 191–5.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
78. Kwan D, Craver CF, Green L, *et al.*: **Future decision-making without episodic mental time travel.** *Hippocampus.* 2012; **22**(6): 1215–9.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
79. Kwan D, Craver CF, Green L, *et al.*: **Dissociations in future thinking following hippocampal damage: evidence from discounting and time perspective in episodic amnesia.** *J Exp Psychol Gen.* 2013; **142**(4): 1355–69.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
80. **F** McCormick C, Rosenthal CR, Miller TD, *et al.*: **Deciding what is possible and impossible following hippocampal damage in humans.** *Hippocampus.* 2017;

- 27(3): 303–14.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
81. Mullally SL, Maguire EA: **Counterfactual thinking in patients with amnesia.** *Hippocampus*. 2014; **24**(11): 1261–6.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
82. Lee AC, Bussey TJ, Murray EA, *et al.*: **Perceptual deficits in amnesia: challenging the medial temporal lobe 'mnemonic' view.** *Neuropsychologia*. 2005; **43**(1): 1–11.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
83. Mullally SL, Vargha-Khadem F, Maguire EA: **Scene construction in developmental amnesia: an fMRI study.** *Neuropsychologia*. 2014; **52**: 1–10.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
84. Squire LR, van der Horst AS, McDuff SG, *et al.*: **Role of the hippocampus in remembering the past and imagining the future.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2010; **107**(44): 19044–8.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
85.  Eustache F, Viard A, Desgranges B: **The MNESIS model: Memory systems and processes, identity and future thinking.** *Neuropsychologia*. 2016; **87**: 96–109.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
86. Mayes AR, Downes JJ: **What do theories of the functional deficit(s) underlying amnesia have to explain?** *Memory*. 1997; **5**(1–2): 3–36.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)

Open Peer Review

Current Referee Status:



Editorial Note on the Review Process

F1000 Faculty Reviews are commissioned from members of the prestigious **F1000 Faculty** and are edited as a service to readers. In order to make these reviews as comprehensive and accessible as possible, the referees provide input before publication and only the final, revised version is published. The referees who approved the final version are listed with their names and affiliations but without their reports on earlier versions (any comments will already have been addressed in the published version).

The referees who approved this article are:

Version 1

- 1 **Francis Eustache** Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale (INSERM) and Ecole pratique des hautes études (EPHE), Université de Caen-Normandie, Unité 1077, Caen, France
Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.
- 1 **R Shayna Rosenbaum** Department of Psychology, York University, Toronto, Canada
Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

The benefits of publishing with F1000Research:

- Your article is published within days, with no editorial bias
- You can publish traditional articles, null/negative results, case reports, data notes and more
- The peer review process is transparent and collaborative
- Your article is indexed in PubMed after passing peer review
- Dedicated customer support at every stage

For pre-submission enquiries, contact research@f1000.com

F1000Research