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Abstract—This paper introduces a novel resource for Arabic Qur’anic textual annotations: AQD, Arabic Qur’anic Database, providing an annotation-level search that draws on a number of available resources in a single query. In addition, it allows implementing a set of queries as rewrite rules, which is performed in a recursive way. The experiments show that our AQD is able to discover knowledge from very simple to very complex queries.
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I. INTRODUCTION

One of the main reasons for the lack of Arabic representation in the field of semantic web is the lack of Arabic information extraction tools, also one of the main problems for Arabic ontology [1]. For over 1,000 years, historical traditional Arabic grammars have documented in detail many Arabic linguistic or grammatical constructs. These books explained the mechanism for recognising these phenomena as sets of rules.

This paper presents a novel resource for available Qur’anic textual annotations: AQD, Arabic Qur’anic Database. It merges several annotations from different formats and resources, including morphological, structural, chronological and ontological. In addition, it provides an annotation-based search that draws on all available resources. This combination of different resources and search tool has not been achieved before for the domain of the Qur’an. Creating such an environment will enable researchers in Arabic computational linguistics and Qur’anic research to investigate new directions and new features for NLP learning tasks. The aim is to make use of these available annotations and integrate them in one single query. This will help researchers in Islamic studies discover hidden knowledge and mine Qur’anic information. It is also a helpful resource for researchers of classical Arabic grammar in that they can search for features from different resources for certain grammatical relations or patterns. We show statistical analysis of the combined annotations and examples of grammatical relations and linguistic phenomena that occur in Arabic as well as how to make queries to extract them.

The contributions presented in this paper are two-fold. First, different Qur’anic resources collected from different annotations and formats are combined. Second, an annotation-based search for making a query that integrates these different annotations in a single query is designed and implemented. In addition, this paper offers a new environment for discovering and mining hidden Qur’anic knowledge.

II. COMBINED RESOURCES

Four different types of annotations have been combined into the MySQL Database, which is a Relational Database Management System (RDBMS). We included only annotations that cover Arabic text, cover all the entire Qur’an and are available for free. Therefore, the morphological layer is based on annotations from [2], which includes segments, the basic units that comprise the text of the Qur’an. Creating such an environment will enable researchers in Arabic computational linguistics and Qur’anic research to investigate new directions and new features for NLP learning tasks. The aim is to make use of these available annotations and integrate them in one single query. This will help researchers in Islamic studies discover hidden knowledge and mine Qur’anic information. It is also a helpful resource for researchers of classical Arabic grammar in that they can search for features from different resources for certain grammatical relations or patterns. We show statistical analysis of the combined annotations and examples of grammatical relations and linguistic phenomena that occur in Arabic as well as how to make queries to extract them.

The contributions presented in this paper are two-fold. First, different Qur’anic resources collected from different
C. Chronological Annotations

Five types of orderings are included in the AQD database. Firstly, the order of verses according to their locations in the 114 chapters. This order starts from 1 and ranges to 114. The second order is the order of verses according to their revelation place, either Makkah or Madinah. It contains only two notations, 1 for verses revealed in Makkah and 2 for verses revealed in Madinah. Third order contains the 194 blocks of verses according to their revelation times; for example, block 1 contains the verses from 1 to 5 of chapter 96. Fourth order is an order proposed by Mehdi Bazargan (d. HS 1373/1995) and divides the verses into 22 groups. Fifth order is called the modified Bazargan order, and was obtained by combining some consecutive phases of the Bazargan to produce seven phases [5].

D. Ontological

Four ontological annotations have been included in this database. QAC ontology is composed of 294 concepts linked to each other hierarchically with Qur’anic words. Qurany datasets are made of topics that linked to each other and the verses of the Qur’an. QurAna has several referents linked to personal pronoun segments.

III. RELATED WORK

For Qur’anic text, which is the focus of this paper, previous work has proposed different ways for applying annotation-level search. This section is focused on annotation-level search technique made for Arabic and in particular for Qur’anic textual resources.

A dictionary and morphological search tool were made freely available online by [7]. This feature allowed annotators to search over tag like POS and root in order to find the correct occurrences of the targeted meaning. However, they only allow searching over a certain group of morphological features such as POS, form, ROOT, Lemma and Stem-based on Buckwalter transliteration and does not allow searching using Arabic letters. [8] In her MSc dissertation unified a number of Qur’anic datasets and offered an annotation-based search using sketch engine. The author made a powerful tool that allowed the user to extracted knowledge based on the annotation of three different datasets (Qurany, QurAna and QAC).

Another related work in terms of applying annotation-level search includes [9], who applied JAPE rule using only one level of annotation which is the POS value to find the collocations among a set of defined POS tags.

[10] Introduced a framework for Arabic ontology learning from textual resources. They defined a number of lexico-syntactical patterns using JAPE to model extracting noun phrases, instances, concepts and different type of relations such as “is-a” and “has-a”. The results were divided into two groups; in a first experiment by using Stanford syntactic parser on a limited number of sentences (34 sentences). Then, a second experiment covers 12779 words by using Arabic morphology analyser. The author could not parse the whole corpus due to it requiring all sentences to be well-formed in terms of punctuation.

AQD is the only database covering the entire Quran in a number of different levels, so it is not possible to comparatively evaluate the search method on a different database, unfortunately. However, we were not able to find any available tool that allows one to search documents at different levels with the ability of performing multiple queries as rewrite rules.

To solve that problem, we propose a query language for retrieving multiple resources annotations and able to perform a set of rules.

IV. THE SEARCH SYSTEM

To make use of the combined annotations, we developed a sequential annotation-level system shown in Figure 1. This search allows users to extract concordance lists of a given complex query over multiple-level resources. The query is received by the system, comprising two components: parsing the query into nodes and transferring the nodes to SQL query format. The execution manages the implementation of the SQL query in a sequence as an array of linked lists. Finally, the relevant instances, which meet all given conditions in the query, are stored in text files.

A. Query Syntax

Extended Backus-Naur forum (EBNF), one of most common context-free grammars for notation techniques, is used here to describe the query in detail. It is often used to describe how compilers and computer languages work. EBNF is used because we are presenting a language for a query that has different brackets and operators instead of words and letters.
Natural language can be described using another language that has regular expression and that deals with letters. However, this is not a natural language; it is made of different brackets and operators.

Patterns = “{”, {sequences}, “}”
Sequences = “[”, [Node], [logical_operator], “]”, [Wild_card]
Node = {Attribute, Match_operator, Value}
Logical_operator = “&” | “|”
Wild_card = “*” | “+” | “?”
Match_operator = “==” | “!=” | “re” | “not re” | “like”
Attribute = A tag in annotation
Value = “‘”, Value, “’”

Figure 2 EBNF Grammar of the Query

The previous code is called production rules and is written for describing the syntax of the complex query. The left-hand side shows the list of non-terminal symbols of the language, and the right-hand side shows the terminal as well as non-terminal symbols in a regular expression.

The first line shows how this language supports many patterns for extraction; the EBNF curly brackets mean that the content of the brackets is repeatable. For example, two patterns for extracting a sequence of one segment composed of nouns and another sequence of adjectives can be written as {{pos==“N”}} {{pos==“ADJ”}}. In this case, the execution component will run the first pattern and add its results to the file, then run the next pattern and append it to the file. A pattern starts with a square bracket followed by the details of the node and an optional logical operator as shown in line two. It ends with an optional wild card. There are differences between the brackets in the EBNF above; for example, the bracket inside the quotation marks means that it is an actual part of the query while the one without quotation marks is for describing the query. The square bracket in EBNF indicates that an element is optional; for example, the wildcard after the node is optional and the logical operator is not. However, the whole node can be optional. This syntax is similar to the Poliqarp syntax in [11], but it does not support the same operators as are shown in the following section.

B. Query Syntax

A parsed query is received as a set of nodes, and the quantifiers for the nodes are received as an array. For each node, the function finds all instances in which the given conditions are meet (the pair of attributes and values). The node also has the current position of the found instance. The second run visits the last elements for each linked list and checks whether they are null or not. If a value is null, this means that the linked list is not relevant. If it has a position number, then the second node conditions are applied for the position after the current node.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Runs</th>
<th>Conditions</th>
<th>Quantifier</th>
<th>Output</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>[pos==“N”]</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>[pos==“N”]</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>[pos==“V”]</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table I Sample data with one tag

Table I shows an example of the sample of rows in a table composed of many tags. The example in Table II shows the transition based on sample data with only one tag.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Runs</th>
<th>Conditions</th>
<th>Quantifier</th>
<th>Output</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>[pos==“N”]</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>[pos==“N”]</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>[pos==“V”]</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table II An Implementation for a Annotation-Based Search

Table II presents an example of how search runs the annotation-based search over several resources based on the query Listing 1. The first column indicates the nodes of the query. Each run adds the instances that satisfy the condition of the current node. The second column shows the current node and
the conditions that must be met in order to be added. The third column represents the repetition information of the node. As shown in the third row, there are two repetitions because this node was followed by the quantifier symbol \( n \). The third column shows an array of linked lists, which represent the retrieved instances. Every node generates an addition to this array depending on the given conditions and the linked lists, and no null values will be considered as the relevant value retrieved at the final step. Therefore, there is only one instance that satisfies the given query for this example, segments 8 to 11 in TABLE I.

V. EXPERIMENTS

In this section, the usage of AQD and the annotation-based search will be described and evaluated by different examples of linguistic phenomena occurring in the Arabic text, starting with a simple search and ending with a very complex extraction task.

A. Simple Annotation Based Search

Each annotation that was included in the AQD can be used as an attribute, as is shown in the following query. The simple query is composed of one node and does a blank tag, such as \{\}\}. This query refers to any segment stored in the database. The curly bracket, \{\}\} denotes the node, while square brackets \[\]\] represents a value of a tag for that node. A node can be retrieved using multiple tags as well as written like a regular expression. Furthermore, a node can be retrieved as a subtask using rewrite rules. These functionalities allow interested researchers to build a block of rules for retrieving sophisticated NLP tasks.

Another simple example can be shown in Listing 2, which extracts all occurrences of the word "مكتوب". This query output is shown in Figure 3. The attribute diacritics represents the diacriticised Qur’anic text. Several different features can be used as attributes.

Listing 2 \{\text{diacritics}=="مكتوب"}\}

The retrieved instances are matched to the given word. This will only search for one node matched by the given text. If there are other words with the same meaning that we wish to extract, this query will not be able to retrieve them.

Searching over lemma instead of the exact text of words will extract all occurrences of a word that belongs to the given lemma. The example shown in Listing 3 retrieves more instances because it is based on the lemma and not the word.

Listing 3 \{\text{LemmaD}=="مكتوب"\}

This query has retrieved 3 pages, and 43 lines.

Figure 3 The output of a simple query

Listing 4 \{\text{LemmaD}=="مكتوب"\\&&\\[\]\[\]\[\]\}

Another feature is the blank nodes it shown in Listing 4, which lets the user add as many as needed n-grams. The blank node just retrieves the next segment without checking content.

This is an example of how to use blank nodes. Two blank nodes were added to retrieve the two segments, followed the given lemma. Figure 4 shows the output of this query.
The query language also supports regular expression inside the values of the given attribute. Retrieval is accomplished by providing part of the text using a re-operator, as seen in Listing 5.

B. Construct State (iDAfa)

iDAfa is a construction of two nouns, or what is known in English as a compound or possessive construction. iDAfa is important for dependency parsing and is also known as an annexation construct. It makes up more than 75% of Arabic sentences.

The following example in Listing 6 shows two things. First, it shows how to enable multiple rules for a single task. Second, it shows how to extract the construct of an iDAfa. The following example shows three rules that were defined to extract iDAfa.

To prepare rules for extraction, an expert of Arabic language must define them. We used the same rules applied in [12]. A construct-state in Arabic is composed of two parts, a noun and either a definite noun or a pronoun in genitive case. We found 4,348 iDAfa constructs in the Qur’an.

The same task can be represented using context-free grammar, as in Listing 7.

This query allows users to implement a set tasks that depend on each other. For example the rule GDN is implemented as a terminal rule, while the iDAfa is implemented as non-terminal which is required to implement the GIN as a sub query of this rule. Listing 7.

Figure 4 ADD TWO NODE AS A SEARCH WINDOW

Example 1: [diacritics re "%%"]

Listing 6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rule</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[pos=='N' &amp; case=='gen']</td>
<td>[pos=='PRON']</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[pos=='DET'][pos=='N' &amp; case=='gen']</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[pos=='DET'][pos=='N' &amp; case=='gen']</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[pos=='DET'][pos=='N' &amp; case=='gen']</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Listing 7

1. GDN->([pos == "PN" & case=="gen"]) ([pos=="DET"[pos=="N" & case=="gen"]])
2. GIN->([pos=='N' & case=="gen"])
3. IN->([pos=="N"])
4. iDAfa ->([GIN][pos=="PRON"])([GIN][GDN]) ([IN][GDN])

Figure 5 SUBGRAPHS OF THE REWRITE RULES OF IDAFA
Figure 7 shows the ontological relationships between Qur’anic nouns in prepositional phrases. These type of relations need very complex rules that combines several features to be included in the query to extract these instances.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, a new database AQD Arabic Qur’anic Database has been combined from different annotation sources. AQD includes morphological, chronological, ontological and structural annotations. We conducted an implementation of an annotation-based search for different types of annotations for the Qur’an. The query supports differently-resourced annotations. This tool allows Qur’anic researchers to mine and discover new patterns within these annotations. The tool used in the experiments is available on our website, together with the source code of the annotation-based search. The package of the source code and the database are available for download. The experimental results show that the environment can extract a syntactic construct of Arabic called iDAfa, and antonym relationships occur in prepositional phrases.

This work supports more annotations for the annotation-based search of the Qur’an and allows users to make a complex query that integrates a number of annotations in one single query. In addition, it supports context-free grammar for complex extraction tasks like those shown in the extraction of iDAfa.

There is much room for further work here. We plan to investigate the possibility of adding more annotations and features for the AQD.
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