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Abstract This paper presents our approach and results for the 2017 PAN Author Profiling Shared Task. Language-specific corpora were provided for four languages: Spanish, English, Portuguese, and Arabic. Each corpus consisted of tweets authored by a number of Twitter users labeled with their gender and the specific variant of their language which was used in the documents (e.g. Brazilian or European Portuguese). The task was to develop a system to infer the same attributes for unseen Twitter users. Our system employs an ensemble of two probabilistic classifiers: a Logistic regression classifier trained on TF-IDF transformed n-grams and a Gaussian Process classifier trained on word embedding clusters derived for an additional, external corpus of tweets.

1 Introduction

Author profiling is the task of determining the characteristics of the individual who wrote a document. Many different characteristics can be determined (e.g. personal characteristics such as gender, age, personality [19] and socioeconomic indicators [5,13,14,15]) across a variety of media (e.g. written essays, books, blogs and other social media). Despite their potential ethical concerns, author profiling techniques can be a valuable component in various applications, such as bias reduction in predictive models [2] and language-variant adaption in part-of-speech taggers [1].

In this paper, we present our approach to the 2017 edition of the PAN Author Profiling shared task [10,11,16]. A dataset was provided consisting of Twitter users across four languages and their variants. Each user was labeled with a binary gender label (male/female) and the particular variant of their language (e.g. Brazilian vs European Portuguese). The dataset was balanced by both gender and language variant. Given an unseen user (and their native language), the task is to determine their gender and language variant being used.

To predict gender and language variant, we applied an ensemble of probabilistic machine learning classifiers (described in detail in Section 2). First, an external Twitter corpus was acquired and Tweets geo-located within the countries covered in the tasks languages were extracted (except for the Arabic language variants). This corpus was divided into individual languages (Portuguese, English and Spanish) and used to derive Word2Vec word embeddings [7,8] for each language. Then, each set of language
specific word embeddings were clustered using K-Means to derive a set of word to
cluster mappings, which can be thought of as roughly analogous to topics in a topic
model. The normalised frequency of each word cluster across a user’s tweets was used
to train a Gaussian Process classifier. Second, a Logistic Regression classifier was then
trained using TF-IDF transformed unigram and bigram frequencies. Both classifiers
were employed in an ensemble approach by averaging the predicted probabilities for
each sample to determine the label.

2 Approach

Our approach combines two probabilistic classifiers trained on distinct feature sets in
an ensemble to predict gender and language variant. Two classifiers were applied: a
Logistic Regression classifier trained on TF-IDF n–grams (Section 2.1) and a Gaussian
Process classifier trained on word cluster frequencies (Section 2.2). For each unseen
document, probabilities from both classifiers are taken and averaged, and the highest
average probability class is taken as the prediction. Models were trained using the im-
plementations found in scikit-learn [9] unless stated otherwise.

For Arabic data, only the Logistic Regression classifier is applied, as the volume
of geo-located Arabic tweets collected was too low to allow for training of robust
Word2Vec models for use with the Gaussian Process classifier.

2.1 Logistic regression classifier with TF-IDF n–grams

Word unigram and bigram features were extracted for each training document. The
text was tokenised using a Twitter-aware tokeniser [4]; no additional steps were taken
to deal with the extra complexities of Arabic text. A list of stop words was not used
while deriving n–gram features, instead tokens that appeared in more than 90% of the
documents were removed, as this allows for the removal of n–grams common across a
language’s variants while also removing stop words.

TF-IDF weighting was applied to down-weight n–grams common across the docu-
ments and assign a higher weight to n–grams which are rare.

A Logistic Regression classifier was trained for each language using the n–gram
features. Logistic Regression was chosen for use with the n–gram features because it
has been shown to perform well on similar high-dimensional classification tasks, and
produces probabilistic predictions [3].

2.2 Gaussian process classifier with word embedding clusters

We obtained the data for our word embedding clusters from a Twitter Firehose\(^1\) sample
collected throughout 2015. We only used tweets that were geo-located in the specific
language regions determined by the shared task (see Table 1).

Some language variants were less frequent in the resulting datasets than others, for
instance we collected very few tweets from Ireland compared to the U.S.A. Down-
sampling was used to avoid over representation of the more prevent language variants.

\(^1\) Twitter Firehose has since been discontinued and can no longer be accessed.
Table 1. Countries scraped for each language.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>English ($F_{en}$)</th>
<th>Spanish ($F_{es}$)</th>
<th>Portuguese ($F_{pt}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>Chile</td>
<td>Portugal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Great Britain</td>
<td>Colombia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ireland</td>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>Peru</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Venezuela</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data for the language variant with the largest volume of documents was reduced so that it contained no more than 10 times number of tweets of the smallest language variant.

Word embeddings For each language dataset ($F_{en}$, $F_{es}$, and $F_{pt}$) were trained using the Word2Vec [7,8] implementation in gensim [18] with Continuous Bag of Words (CBOW), negative sampling, 200 dimensions, and a window size of 10.

We applied K-Means clustering [6] to the word embeddings to derive a set of 100 clusters for each language, in which each word is assigned a cluster based on its nearest cluster in the embedding space. We then computed the frequency distribution of the clusters for every training document, and used them as features to train a Gaussian Process classifier with an RBF kernel [17].

Similar word embedding clusters have been applied with Gaussian Processes to perform other author profiling tasks such as socio-economic status detection [5]; furthermore, the derived clusters are similar to topics derived in a topic model, in that they identify semantically similar groups of words in documents, which we found to perform well in a similar task [12].

3 Results

Table 2 shows the accuracy scores achieved by a Support Vector Machine (SVM) classifier with a linear kernel, trained on the same TF-IDF $n$–grams described in Section 2.1. We chose this approach as our baseline, as it has been shown to perform well on similar tasks and represent a strong baseline.

Table 2. Baseline accuracy scores for gender and language variant prediction for each language derived from a SVM classifier trained on TF-IDF $n$–grams.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Spanish</th>
<th>English</th>
<th>Portuguese</th>
<th>Arabic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>0.7361</td>
<td>0.7896</td>
<td>0.8263</td>
<td>0.7450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Language variant</td>
<td>0.9532</td>
<td>0.8617</td>
<td>0.9800</td>
<td>0.8150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joint</td>
<td>0.7007</td>
<td>0.6838</td>
<td>0.8113</td>
<td>0.6275</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. Accuracy scores for gender and language variant prediction for each language as submitted for the PAN: Author Profiling task 2017.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Spanish</th>
<th>English</th>
<th>Portuguese</th>
<th>Arabic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>0.7939</td>
<td>0.7829</td>
<td>0.8388</td>
<td>0.7738</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Language variant</td>
<td>0.9368</td>
<td>0.8038</td>
<td>0.9763</td>
<td>0.7975</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joint</td>
<td>0.7471</td>
<td>0.6254</td>
<td>0.8188</td>
<td>0.6356</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 shows the results of our final submitted run for the PAN: Author Profiling task 2017. For Spanish, English and Portuguese the results were attained by applying the ensemble of Logistic Regression and Gaussian Process classifiers described in Section 2; for Arabic only the Logistic regression classifier was applied (Section 2.1). In the rankings for the PAN Author Profiling shared task [16], our approach achieved 7th place out of 22 entries for joint prediction and 6th for gender, exceeding reported baselines. We achieved poorer results for language variant prediction at 9th place, and did not exceed the baseline approach.

3.1 Discussion

In Table 3, we see that the our ensemble performs quite well for identifying language variant or gender individually. For joint prediction our ensemble performs less well, likely due to errors in either gender or language variant prediction propagating through to incorrect joint predictions. Of the three languages the ensemble was applied to, the best performance was observed for Portuguese and the worst for English. Broad topics of interest appear to be effective for the gender prediction problem while individual terms that are unique to specific language variants are more discriminating for language variant prediction.

Similar to our results in a previous PAN: Author Profiling Profiling shared task entry [12], in which LDA topic models were able to improve predictive performance over word \(n\)-grams, word embedding clusters improved predictive accuracy for gender classification. For the language variant differentiation task, introducing the word embedding clusters in fact reduced accuracy scores over earlier runs.

Under our current clustering scheme, each term was assumed to be equally as representative of its cluster as each other term; in practise though, certain terms were closer to the centroid in embedding space than others. Prior to submission we had begun experimenting with weighting terms based on their proximity to their closest centroid, and our initial findings were promising. In future work we would like to investigate the effect of weighting terms in more detail.

4 Conclusion

In this notebook, we have shown that by employing an ensemble of classifiers and utilising clusters of word embeddings reasonable results can be achieved. We propose,
that our approach can be improved by weighting the word embedding clusters by the distance to the cluster centroid.
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