

This is a repository copy of *Affine q -deformed symmetry and the classical Yang-Baxter σ -model*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:
<http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/125907/>

Version: Published Version

Article:

Vicedo, Benoit orcid.org/0000-0003-3003-559X, Magro, Marc, Delduc, Francois et al. (1 more author) (2017) Affine q -deformed symmetry and the classical Yang-Baxter σ -model. Journal of High Energy Physics. ISSN 1029-8479

[https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP03\(2017\)126](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP03(2017)126)

Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) licence. This licence allows you to distribute, remix, tweak, and build upon the work, even commercially, as long as you credit the authors for the original work. More information and the full terms of the licence here:
<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/>

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.

Affine q -deformed symmetry and the classical Yang-Baxter σ -model

F. Delduc,^a T. Kameyama,^a M. Magro^a and B. Vicedo^b

^a *Université de Lyon, ENS de Lyon, Université Claude Bernard, CNRS, Laboratoire de Physique, F-69342 Lyon, France*

^b *School of Physics, Astronomy and Mathematics, University of Hertfordshire, College Lane, Hatfield AL10 9AB, United Kingdom*

E-mail: francois.delduc@ens-lyon.fr, takashi.kameyama@ens-lyon.fr, marc.magro@ens-lyon.fr, benoit.vicedo@gmail.com

ABSTRACT: The Yang-Baxter σ -model is an integrable deformation of the principal chiral model on a Lie group G . The deformation breaks the $G \times G$ symmetry to $U(1)^{\text{rank}(G)} \times G$. It is known that there exist non-local conserved charges which, together with the unbroken $U(1)^{\text{rank}(G)}$ local charges, form a Poisson algebra $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$, which is the semiclassical limit of the quantum group $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$, with \mathfrak{g} the Lie algebra of G . For a general Lie group G with $\text{rank}(G) > 1$, we extend the previous result by constructing local and non-local conserved charges satisfying all the defining relations of the infinite-dimensional Poisson algebra $\mathcal{U}_q(L\mathfrak{g})$, the classical analogue of the quantum loop algebra $U_q(L\mathfrak{g})$, where $L\mathfrak{g}$ is the loop algebra of \mathfrak{g} . Quite unexpectedly, these defining relations are proved without encountering any ambiguity related to the non-ultralocality of this integrable σ -model.

KEYWORDS: Integrable Field Theories, Sigma Models

ARXIV EPRINT: [1701.03691](https://arxiv.org/abs/1701.03691)

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	The Yang-Baxter σ-model	3
3	Expansion around the poles of the twist function	5
3.1	Expansion of the Lax matrix	6
3.2	Expansion of the monodromy matrix	6
3.3	Defining relations of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$	7
3.4	The α_i -string through $-\theta$	8
4	Defining relations of $\mathcal{U}_q(L\mathfrak{g})$	10
4.1	First set of defining relations	10
4.2	q -Poisson-Serre relations	10
5	Discussion	13
A	Poisson brackets	14

1 Introduction

The Yang-Baxter σ -model is an integrable one-parameter deformation of the principal chiral model on any real Lie group constructed by C. Klimčík nearly fifteen years ago [1, 2]. More recently, this model was rederived within the hamiltonian framework by means of deforming the integrable structure of the principal chiral model [3]. In particular, it was shown that the Yang-Baxter σ -model belongs to a broader class of new integrable σ -models which have now come to be known as η -deformations. Soon after, the list of known integrable σ -models grew further still with the addition of the class of so-called λ -deformations introduced in [4]. New deformations of both types were successively defined in [1–12].

One of the hallmarks of an integrable field theory is having an infinite-dimensional algebra of hidden symmetries. In this article we focus on such symmetries at the classical level. In the case of the principal chiral model on a Lie group G , for instance, there is an obvious global $G \times G$ symmetry corresponding to left and right multiplication of its G -valued field. The conserved charges associated with the left and right G -symmetries each combine with a tower of non-local charges to form classical analogues of the Yangian $Y(\mathfrak{g})$ [13, 14]. Here we denote by \mathfrak{g} the Lie algebra of the Lie group G .

The purpose of the present article is to identify the classical symmetry algebra of the Yang-Baxter σ -model. More precisely, we consider the inhomogenous Yang-Baxter σ -model, meaning that the skew-symmetric R -matrix which appears in the action of this field theory is the standard solution of the modified classical Yang-Baxter equation (mCYBE).

In this setting, the global $G \times G$ symmetry of the principal chiral model is broken to $U(1)^{\text{rank}(G)} \times G$ once the deformation parameter η is switched on.

As in the undeformed case, the local charges associated with the right G -symmetry combine with non-local charges to form a classical analogue of the Yangian $Y(\mathfrak{g})$. This was shown in [15] for the Yang-Baxter model on $SU(2)$. This result can also be deduced from the analogous statement for a certain two-parameter deformation of the principal chiral model established in [16] (see also [17–20] in the $SU(2)$ case). From now on we shall no longer be concerned with this part of the infinite-dimensional symmetry algebra.

It was shown in [3] that the left G -symmetry of the Yang-Baxter σ -model is q -deformed. That is to say, there exist non-local conserved charges which, together with the unbroken $U(1)^{\text{rank}(G)}$ local charges, form a Poisson algebra denoted $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$, the semiclassical limit of the quantum group $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ (see for instance [21]), where q is a function of the deformation parameter η . This property generalises what was first shown to hold in the $SU(2)$ case [22]. It is natural to expect that the classical analogue of the Yangian $Y(\mathfrak{g})$ associated with the left G -symmetry of the principal chiral model should itself be deformed when η is switched on. Specifically, one expects that the Poisson algebra $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$ is enlarged to an infinite-dimensional Poisson algebra $\mathcal{U}_q(L\mathfrak{g})$, the classical analogue of the quantum loop algebra $U_q(L\mathfrak{g})$, where $L\mathfrak{g}$ is the loop algebra of \mathfrak{g} . This was indeed shown to be the case when $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{su}(2)$ in [23, 24] and also when a Wess-Zumino term is present in [20].

In this article we consider a general Lie group G with $\text{rank}(G) > 1$ and construct local and non-local conserved charges satisfying all the defining relations of the infinite-dimensional Poisson algebra $\mathcal{U}_q(L\mathfrak{g})$ (see e.g. [25] for the defining relations of the quantum affine algebra $U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})$ of which $U_q(L\mathfrak{g})$ is a quotient). The fact that this is possible is somewhat surprising. Indeed, Poisson brackets of generic non-local conserved charges in a classical integrable field theory are known to be ill-defined due to the presence of non-ultralocal terms in the Poisson brackets of the Lax matrix with itself [26, 27]. In fact, all proofs of the defining relations of the classical analogue of Drinfeld’s first realization of the Yangian are in some sense incomplete since they require dealing with such ambiguities [13, 16, 28]. It is worth stressing that, in this context, ambiguities are in fact already encountered when computing Poisson brackets of the level 0 charges! Furthermore, it was observed in [23, 24] that ambiguities also appear in the $SU(2)$ Yang-Baxter σ -model when deriving the q -Poisson-Serre relations of $\mathcal{U}_q(L\mathfrak{su}(2))$ involving the non-local charge associated with the affine root. As we shall see, the $SU(2)$ case appears not to be representative of the general situation. There is no need to use any regularisation prescription when considering the defining relations for higher rank cases. Note that there is also no ambiguity for anisotropic $SU(2)$ Landau-Lifshitz σ -models [29].

Let us summarise the method we shall use to establish the defining relations of $\mathcal{U}_q(L\mathfrak{g})$. Following the analysis of [3], conserved charges are extracted from the monodromy $T^g(\lambda)$ of the gauge transformation $\mathcal{L}^g(\lambda, x)$ of the Lax matrix by the G -valued field g of the model. Here we denote by λ the spectral parameter and x is the spatial coordinate. A special role is played by the two poles at $\pm i\eta$ of the twist function [3]. For these values of the spectral parameter, $\mathcal{L}^g(\pm i\eta, x)$ belong to opposite Borel subalgebras of the complexification of \mathfrak{g} . This enables, in particular, to define a set of conserved charges $Q_{\pm\alpha_i}^E$ and $Q_{\alpha_i}^H$ associated

with every simple root $\alpha_i, i = 1, \dots, \text{rank}(G)$ of \mathfrak{g} . The charges $Q_{\pm\alpha_i}^E$ are non-local whereas the charges $Q_{\alpha_i}^H$ associated with the unbroken $U(1)^{\text{rank}(G)}$ symmetry are local. It was shown in [3] that these charges satisfy the defining relations of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$. Moreover, the Cartan-Weyl basis of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$ is obtained by taking q -Poisson brackets of the generating charges $Q_{\pm\alpha_i}^E$ and $Q_{\alpha_i}^H$. This complete basis of non-local charges encoded in the two monodromy matrices $T^g(\pm i\eta)$ are schematically represented by the two halves of the middle line in figure 1.

Constructing conserved charges which satisfy the defining relations of the Poisson algebra $\mathcal{U}_q(L\mathfrak{g})$ requires going to the next order in the expansion of the monodromy $T^g(\lambda)$ at the points $\pm i\eta$. More generally, the order in this expansion corresponds to the level of the charges. For the purpose of describing the defining relations we only need two extra conserved charges, which we will call $\tilde{Q}_{\mp\theta}^E$, associated with the affine simple root $\alpha_0 = \delta - \theta$ and $-\alpha_0$, where θ is the highest root of \mathfrak{g} and δ is the imaginary root of $L\mathfrak{g}$. These will be constructed from the coefficient of the generators $E^{\mp\theta}$ in the linear term of the expansion of the monodromy $T^g(\lambda)$ around the point $\pm i\eta$, respectively (see equation (3.12a)). These are again depicted schematically at levels ± 1 in figure 1. We proceed to show that together with the level 0 charges $Q_{\pm\alpha_i}^E$ and $Q_{\alpha_i}^H$ they satisfy the following Poisson bracket relations

$$i\{Q_{\alpha_i}^H, \tilde{Q}_{\pm\theta}^E\} = \pm d_i^{-1}(\theta, \alpha_i) \tilde{Q}_{\pm\theta}^E, \tag{1.1a}$$

$$i\{\tilde{Q}_{\theta}^E, \tilde{Q}_{-\theta}^E\} = \frac{q^{d_{\theta}Q_{\theta}^H} - q^{-d_{\theta}Q_{\theta}^H}}{q^{d_{\theta}} - q^{-d_{\theta}}}, \tag{1.1b}$$

$$i\{Q_{\pm\alpha_i}^E, \tilde{Q}_{\pm\theta}^E\} = 0, \tag{1.1c}$$

where Q_{θ}^H is a certain linear combination of the $Q_{\alpha_i}^H$ and, with (\cdot, \cdot) denoting the inner product on the set of roots of \mathfrak{g} , we define $d_i = \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_i, \alpha_i)$ and $d_{\theta} = \frac{1}{2}(\theta, \theta)$. Furthermore, $q = e^{\gamma}$ and $\gamma = -\eta/(1 + \eta^2)^2$. Finally, we also prove the q -Poisson-Serre relations

$$\underbrace{\{Q_{\alpha_i}^E, \{Q_{\alpha_i}^E, \dots, \{Q_{\alpha_i}^E, \tilde{Q}_{-\theta}^E\}_q \dots\}_q}_{q+1 \text{ times}} = 0, \tag{1.1d}$$

$$\{\{Q_{\alpha_i}^E, \tilde{Q}_{-\theta}^E\}_q, \tilde{Q}_{-\theta}^E\}_q = 0, \tag{1.1e}$$

where q is the smallest positive integer such that $-\theta + (q + 1)\alpha_i$ is not a root. Here the q -Poisson bracket of any pair of charges A_{α} and A_{β} associated with roots α and β is defined as

$$\{A_{\alpha}, A_{\beta}\}_q = \{A_{\alpha}, A_{\beta}\} + i\gamma(\alpha, \beta)A_{\alpha}A_{\beta}. \tag{1.2}$$

The above relations (1.1) together with the ones already proved in [3] form the defining relations of the Poisson algebra $\mathcal{U}_q(L\mathfrak{g})$.

2 The Yang-Baxter σ -model

Action. The action of the Yang-Baxter σ -model is given by [1-3]

$$S = -\frac{1}{2}(1 + \eta^2)^2 \int dt dx \kappa \left(\partial_+ gg^{-1}, \frac{1}{1 - \eta R} \partial_- gg^{-1} \right). \tag{2.1}$$




Figure 1. The middle line depicts the level 0 conserved charges, namely those of the finite-dimensional Poisson algebra $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$, with the red and green portions corresponding to charges coming respectively from $T^g(\pm i\eta)$. The dots on the ends of the upper and lower lines correspond to two new level ± 1 conserved charges of the infinite-dimensional Poisson algebra $\mathcal{U}_q(L\mathfrak{g})$, coming respectively from the linear terms in the expansion of $T^g(\lambda)$ around $\pm i\eta$.

The field $g(t, x)$ takes values in a real semi-simple Lie group G with Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . We denote by $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ the complexification of \mathfrak{g} . In this expression, κ is the Killing form and $\partial_{\pm} = \partial_t \pm \partial_x$. This integrable σ -model is characterised by a skew-symmetric solution R of the mCYBE. This means that the linear operator R satisfies

$$\kappa(M, RN) = -\kappa(RM, N), \tag{2.2a}$$

$$[RM, RN] = R([RM, N] + [M, RN]) + [M, N] \tag{2.2b}$$

for any $M, N \in \mathfrak{g}$. Following [1–3], we choose the standard R -matrix of Drinfeld-Jimbo type [30–32] (see equations (2.8a) and (2.9) below). The real parameter η plays the role of the deformation parameter with $\eta = 0$ corresponding to the principal chiral model. Finally, we study the case when x belongs to \mathbb{R} and the field $g(t, x)$ tends to constants when $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$.

Lax and monodromy matrices. The starting point is the Lax matrix $\mathcal{L}^g(\lambda, x)$ defined in [3]. It takes values in $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ and has the following expression

$$\mathcal{L}^g(\lambda, x) = \frac{1}{1 - \lambda^2} \left(-(\lambda^2 + \eta^2) \partial_x g(x) g^{-1}(x) + \frac{1}{1 + \eta^2} (\lambda - \eta R) X(x) \right). \tag{2.3}$$

The field $X(x)$ takes values in \mathfrak{g} and plays the role¹ of the field conjugate to $g(x)$ while λ is the spectral parameter. The central object in our analysis of the symmetries of the Yang-Baxter σ -model is the monodromy $T^g(\lambda)$, which is defined as the path ordered exponential of $\mathcal{L}^g(\lambda, x)$,

$$T^g(\lambda) = P\overleftarrow{\exp} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \mathcal{L}^g(\lambda, x).$$

This monodromy is a conserved quantity, from which conserved charges will be extracted.

¹More precisely, $g(x)$ and $X(x)$ parameterise the cotangent bundle T^*LG with LG the loop group associated with G .

Fundamental Poisson brackets. The Poisson brackets of the fields $g(x)$ and $X(x)$ are given by [3]

$$\{g_1(x), g_2(y)\} = 0, \quad (2.4a)$$

$$\{X_1(x), X_2(y)\} = [C_{12}, X_2(x)] \delta_{xy}, \quad (2.4b)$$

$$\{X_1(x), g_2(y)\} = C_{12} g_2(x) \delta_{xy}, \quad (2.4c)$$

with δ_{xy} the Dirac distribution and C_{12} the tensor Casimir. The definition of the latter as well as notations used in [3] and in the present article are recalled in the next paragraph.

Notations. Given a choice of a Cartan subalgebra of the complexification $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ we let Φ denote the associated root system. Let $\alpha_i, i = 1, \dots, n = \text{rk } \mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ be a basis of simple roots, and $E^{\pm\alpha}$ for $\alpha \in \Phi$ and $H^i = H^{\alpha_i}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ be the corresponding Cartan-Weyl basis of $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$. The matrix

$$B_{ij} = (\alpha_i, \alpha_j) = d_i A_{ij} \quad (2.5)$$

is the symmetrised Cartan matrix where (\cdot, \cdot) is the inner product on the set of roots and

$$d_i = \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_i, \alpha_i). \quad (2.6)$$

For any roots $\alpha, \beta \in \Phi$, we have

$$[E^\alpha, E^\beta] = N_{\alpha, \beta} E^{\alpha+\beta} \quad (2.7)$$

if $\alpha + \beta$ is a root, that is $\alpha + \beta \in \Phi$. The Casimir tensor may then be written as

$$C_{12} = \sum_{i,j=1}^n B_{ij}^{-1} H^i \otimes H^j + \sum_{\alpha>0} (E^\alpha \otimes E^{-\alpha} + E^{-\alpha} \otimes E^\alpha).$$

For later purposes, we express $X(x)$ and $\partial_x g(x)g^{-1}(x)$ as

$$X(x) = i \sum_{j=1}^n h_j(x) H^j + \frac{i}{2} \sum_{\alpha>0} (e_\alpha(x) E^\alpha + e_{-\alpha}(x) E^{-\alpha}), \quad (2.8a)$$

$$\partial_x g(x)g^{-1}(x) = i \sum_{j=1}^n J_j^H(x) H^j + \frac{i}{2} \sum_{\alpha>0} (J_\alpha(x) E^\alpha + J_{-\alpha}(x) E^{-\alpha}). \quad (2.8b)$$

Note that the R -operator acts on X as

$$RX(x) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha>0} (e_\alpha(x) E^\alpha - e_{-\alpha}(x) E^{-\alpha}). \quad (2.9)$$

3 Expansion around the poles of the twist function

In this section we expand the gauge-transformed monodromy matrix $T^g(\lambda)$ around the poles $\pm i\eta$ of the twist function. We then recall how conserved charges appearing in the defining relations of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$ are obtained at these poles. Finally, we explain how the non-local charges needed to establish the defining relations of $\mathcal{U}_q(L\mathfrak{g})$ are computed.

3.1 Expansion of the Lax matrix

We first expand the Lax matrix $\mathcal{L}^g(\lambda, x)$ defined in (2.3) around the poles $\pm i\eta$ of the twist function. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}^g(\pm i\eta + \epsilon_{\pm}, x) &= -\frac{\eta}{(1 + \eta^2)^2} (R \mp i)X(x) \\ &+ \frac{\epsilon_{\pm}}{1 + \eta^2} \left[\frac{1 - \eta^2 \mp 2i\eta^2 R}{(1 + \eta^2)^2} X(x) \mp 2i\eta \partial_x g(x)g^{-1}(x) \right] + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon_{\pm}^2). \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

By using the expressions (2.8), this can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}^g(\pm i\eta + \epsilon_{\pm}, x) &= \pm\gamma \left(\sum_{j=1}^n h_j(x)H^j + \sum_{\alpha>0} e_{\pm\alpha}(x)E^{\pm\alpha} \right) \\ &+ \frac{\epsilon_{\pm}}{(1 + \eta^2)^2} \left(\frac{i}{2} \sum_{\alpha>0} \tilde{e}_{\mp\alpha}(x)E^{\mp\alpha} + \psi_{\pm} \right) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon_{\pm}^2), \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

where ψ_{\pm} contain terms proportional to generators H^j and $E^{\pm\alpha}$ respectively. Such terms will not play any role in establishing the defining relations of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{Lg})$. We have also introduced the parameter

$$\gamma = -\frac{\eta}{(1 + \eta^2)^2},$$

and defined

$$\tilde{e}_{\pm\alpha}(x) = e_{\pm\alpha}(x) \pm 2i\eta(1 + \eta^2) J_{\pm\alpha}(x). \quad (3.3)$$

Taking $\epsilon_{\pm} = 0$ in (3.2), we see that $\mathcal{L}^g(\pm i\eta)$ belong to opposite Borel subalgebras of $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$.

3.2 Expansion of the monodromy matrix

To expand the monodromy matrix, we will apply the following identity

$$\begin{aligned} P\overleftarrow{\text{exp}} \left[\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \partial_x \phi_i(x)H^i + \sum_{\alpha} L_{\alpha}(x)E^{\alpha} \right) \right] &= \\ &\exp \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(+\infty)H^i \right) \times \\ \times P\overleftarrow{\text{exp}} \left[\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx \sum_{\alpha} e^{-\sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha, \alpha_i) \phi_i(x)} L_{\alpha}(x)E^{\alpha} \right] &\exp \left(-\sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(-\infty)H^i \right) \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

to specific functions $\phi_i(x)$ and $L_{\alpha}(x)$. Firstly, to expand $T^g(\lambda)$ around $i\eta$ we start from (3.2) and choose, for $\alpha > 0$,

$$\phi_i(x) = \int_{-\infty}^x dy \gamma h_i(y), \quad L_{\alpha}(x) = \gamma e_{\alpha}(x), \quad L_{-\alpha}(x) = \frac{\epsilon_+}{(1 + \eta^2)^2} \frac{i}{2} \tilde{e}_{-\alpha}(x).$$

This leads to

$$T^g(i\eta + \epsilon_+) = e^{\gamma \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx h_i(x) H^i} P \overleftarrow{\text{exp}} \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \left(\gamma \sum_{\alpha > 0} \mathfrak{J}_{\alpha}^E(x) E^{\alpha} + \frac{\epsilon_+}{(1 + \eta^2)^2} \left[\frac{i}{2} \sum_{\alpha > 0} \tilde{\mathfrak{J}}_{-\alpha}^E(x) E^{-\alpha} + \tilde{\psi}_+ \right] + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon_+^2) \right) \right]. \quad (3.5a)$$

where $\tilde{\psi}_+$ contains terms proportional to generators H^j and E^{α} with $\alpha > 0$. The expressions of $\mathfrak{J}_{\alpha}^E(x)$ and $\tilde{\mathfrak{J}}_{-\alpha}^E(x)$ will be given shortly. Secondly, to expand $T^g(\lambda)$ around $-i\eta$ we start from (3.2) and choose, for $\alpha > 0$,

$$\phi_i(x) = \int_x^{\infty} dy \gamma h_i(y), \quad L_{\alpha}(x) = \frac{\epsilon_-}{(1 + \eta^2)^2} \frac{i}{2} \tilde{e}_{\alpha}(x), \quad L_{-\alpha}(x) = -\gamma e_{-\alpha}(x),$$

which leads to

$$T^g(-i\eta + \epsilon_-) = P \overleftarrow{\text{exp}} \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \left(-\gamma \sum_{\alpha > 0} \mathfrak{J}_{-\alpha}^E(x) E^{-\alpha} + \frac{\epsilon_-}{(1 + \eta^2)^2} \left[\frac{i}{2} \sum_{\alpha > 0} \tilde{\mathfrak{J}}_{\alpha}^E(x) E^{\alpha} + \tilde{\psi}_- \right] + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon_-^2) \right) \right] e^{-\gamma \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx h_i(x) H^i}. \quad (3.5b)$$

In (3.5), we have introduced the following functions,

$$\mathfrak{J}_{\pm\alpha}^E(x) = e^{\gamma \chi_{\alpha}(\mp\infty)} e^{-\gamma \chi_{\alpha}(x)} e_{\pm\alpha}(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\mathfrak{J}}_{\pm\alpha}^E(x) = e^{\gamma \chi_{\alpha}(\mp\infty)} e^{+\gamma \chi_{\alpha}(x)} \tilde{e}_{\pm\alpha}(x), \quad (3.6)$$

where $\chi_{\alpha}(x)$ is defined as

$$\chi_{\alpha}(x) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha, \alpha_i) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy \epsilon_{xy} h_i(y).$$

Here the signature function is defined as $\epsilon_{xy} \equiv \theta_{xy} - \theta_{yx}$ and $\theta_{xy} = \theta(x - y)$ is the Heaviside step function.

3.3 Defining relations of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$

Let us recall the result of the analysis carried out in [3], the starting point of which is the limit $\epsilon_{\pm} = 0$ of (3.5). Making a choice of a normal ordering on the set of positive roots, i.e. such that if $\alpha < \beta$ and $\alpha + \beta$ is a root then $\alpha < \alpha + \beta < \beta$, one can write $T^g(\pm i\eta)$ as

$$T^g(i\eta) = \exp \left(\gamma \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \sum_{i=1}^n h_i(x) H^i \right) \prod_{\alpha > 0}^{\prec} \exp \left(\gamma \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \mathfrak{Q}_{\alpha}^E(x) E^{\alpha} \right), \quad (3.7a)$$

$$T^g(-i\eta) = \prod_{\alpha > 0}^{\succ} \exp \left(-\gamma \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \mathfrak{Q}_{-\alpha}^E(x) E^{-\alpha} \right) \exp \left(-\gamma \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \sum_{i=1}^n h_i(x) H^i \right). \quad (3.7b)$$

The superscripts \prec and \succ refer to the choice of normal ordering of positive and negative roots respectively. It is easy to see that for simple roots we have $\mathfrak{Q}_{\pm\alpha_i}^E(x) = \mathfrak{J}_{\pm\alpha_i}^E(x)$, where

the latter were defined in (3.6). The conserved charges associated with Cartan generators and simple roots α_i are then

$$Q_{\alpha_i}^H = d_i^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \mathfrak{J}_{\alpha_i}^H(x) \quad \text{and} \quad Q_{\pm\alpha_i}^E = D_i \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \mathfrak{J}_{\pm\alpha_i}^E(x). \quad (3.8)$$

For the densities associated with Cartan generators, we have

$$\mathfrak{J}_{\alpha_i}^H(x) = \sum_{j=1}^n B_{ij} h_j(x) \quad (3.9)$$

with

$$D_i = \left(\frac{\gamma}{4 \sinh(d_i \gamma)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (3.10)$$

The symmetrised Cartan matrix B_{ij} and d_i are defined in (2.5) and (2.6), respectively.

The Poisson brackets of the charges (3.8) are then found to be

$$i\{Q_{\alpha_i}^H, Q_{\alpha_j}^H\} = 0, \quad (3.11a)$$

$$i\{Q_{\alpha_i}^H, Q_{\pm\alpha_j}^E\} = \pm A_{ij} Q_{\pm\alpha_j}^E, \quad (3.11b)$$

$$i\{Q_{+\alpha_i}^E, Q_{-\alpha_j}^E\} = \delta_{ij} \frac{q^{d_i Q_{\alpha_i}^H} - q^{-d_i Q_{\alpha_i}^H}}{q^{d_i} - q^{-d_i}}, \quad (3.11c)$$

where $q \in \mathbb{R}$ is related to the deformation parameter η as $q = e^\eta$. We refer to [3] for the statement and derivation of the q -Poisson-Serre relations.

3.4 The α_i -string through $-\theta$

To prove the defining relations of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{Lg})$, we shall study charges associated with the string of roots $-\theta + r\alpha_i$ with r taking values from 0 to the smallest strictly positive integer \mathfrak{q} such that $-\theta + (\mathfrak{q} + 1)\alpha_i$ is not a root. These roots are ordered as

$$-\theta < -\theta + \alpha_i < -\theta + 2\alpha_i < \dots < -\theta + \mathfrak{q}\alpha_i.$$

Expanding the path-ordered exponential appearing in (3.5a), we may write it as

$$T^g(i\eta + \epsilon_+) = e^{\gamma \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \sum_{i=1}^n h_i(x) H^i} \left(1 + \frac{i\epsilon_+}{2(1 + \eta^2)^2} v^+ + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon_+^2) \right) \prod_{\alpha > 0}^< e^{\gamma \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \mathfrak{Q}_{\alpha}^E(x) E^{\alpha}}. \quad (3.12a)$$

We are only interested in terms from v^+ which will contribute to the α_i -string through $-\theta$ defined above. We write such terms as

$$\sum_{r=0}^{\mathfrak{q}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \tilde{\mathfrak{Q}}_{-\theta+r\alpha_i}^E(x) E^{-\theta+r\alpha_i}. \quad (3.12b)$$

This will be our definition of the charge densities $\tilde{\mathfrak{Q}}_{-\theta+r\alpha_i}^E(x)$. We proceed in the same way for $T^g(-i\eta + \epsilon_-)$.

Charge densities $\tilde{\mathfrak{Q}}_{\mp\theta}^E(x)$ and Charges $\tilde{Q}_{\mp\theta}^E$. The simplest charge densities of interest can be obtained directly from (3.5), namely we have

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{Q}}_{\mp\theta}^E(x) = \tilde{\mathfrak{J}}_{\mp\theta}^E(x), \quad (3.13)$$

where $\tilde{\mathfrak{J}}_{\mp\theta}^E(x)$ were defined in (3.6). We also define the associated charges

$$\tilde{Q}_{\mp\theta}^E = D_\theta \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \tilde{\mathfrak{Q}}_{\mp\theta}^E(x), \quad (3.14)$$

where

$$d_\theta = \frac{1}{2}(\theta, \theta) \quad \text{and} \quad D_\theta = \left(\frac{\gamma}{4 \sinh(d_\theta \gamma)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Charge densities $\tilde{\mathfrak{Q}}_{-\theta+r\alpha_i}^E(x)$ and Charges $\tilde{Q}_{-\theta+r\alpha_i}^E$. For completeness and to illustrate the mechanism behind the expansion (3.12), we also indicate briefly how the other charges would be computed. We stress, however, that we do not strictly need this derivation to prove the defining relations. Indeed, in the next section we shall obtain these charges recursively as q -Poisson brackets of conserved charges. This ensures that they are themselves conserved. In particular, we shall see later that the charge densities $\tilde{\mathfrak{Q}}_{-\theta+r\alpha_i}^E(x)$ with $0 < r \leq q$ are expressed recursively as

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{Q}}_{-\theta+r\alpha_i}^E(x) = \tilde{\mathfrak{J}}_{-\theta+r\alpha_i}^E(x) - \gamma N_{-\theta+(r-1)\alpha_i, \alpha_i} \tilde{\mathfrak{J}}_{\alpha_i}^E(x) \int_{-\infty}^x dy \tilde{\mathfrak{Q}}_{-\theta+(r-1)\alpha_i}^E(y), \quad (3.15)$$

with $N_{-\theta+(r-1)\alpha_i, \alpha_i}$ defined in (2.7). We define the associated charge²

$$\tilde{Q}_{-\theta+r\alpha_i}^E = D_i^r D_\theta \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \tilde{\mathfrak{Q}}_{-\theta+r\alpha_i}^E(x). \quad (3.16)$$

Consider the case $r = 1$. We see from the expression (3.12a), taking into account (3.12b), that it contains terms in $E^{-\theta+\alpha_i}$ and $E^{-\theta} E^{\alpha_i}$ but no terms in $E^{\alpha_i} E^{-\theta}$. On the other hand, by expanding (3.5a) we would get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \left[\tilde{\mathfrak{J}}_{-\theta+\alpha_i}^E(x) E^{-\theta+\alpha_i} + \gamma \tilde{\mathfrak{J}}_{-\theta}^E(x) \int_{-\infty}^x dy \tilde{\mathfrak{J}}_{\alpha_i}^E(y) \theta_{xy} E^{-\theta} E^{\alpha_i} + \right. \\ & \left. + \gamma \tilde{\mathfrak{J}}_{\alpha_i}^E(x) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy \tilde{\mathfrak{J}}_{-\theta}^E(y) \theta_{xy} E^{\alpha_i} E^{-\theta} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

Yet using the relation $E^{\alpha_i} E^{-\theta} = -[E^{-\theta}, E^{\alpha_i}] + E^{-\theta} E^{\alpha_i}$ where $[E^{-\theta}, E^{\alpha_i}] = N_{-\theta, \alpha_i} E^{-\theta+\alpha_i}$, we may rewrite (3.17) as

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \left(\tilde{\mathfrak{J}}_{-\theta+\alpha_i}^E(x) - \gamma N_{-\theta, \alpha_i} \tilde{\mathfrak{J}}_{\alpha_i}^E(x) \int_{-\infty}^x dy \tilde{\mathfrak{J}}_{-\theta}^E(y) \right) E^{-\theta+\alpha_i} \\ & + \gamma \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy \left(\tilde{\mathfrak{J}}_{\alpha_i}^E(x) \tilde{\mathfrak{J}}_{-\theta}^E(y) + \tilde{\mathfrak{J}}_{\alpha_i}^E(y) \tilde{\mathfrak{J}}_{-\theta}^E(x) \right) \theta_{xy} E^{-\theta} E^{\alpha_i}. \end{aligned}$$

The first line above allows us to identify $\tilde{\mathfrak{Q}}_{-\theta+\alpha_i}^E(x)$ as in (3.15) while the term in the second line gives $\gamma Q_{\alpha_i}^E \tilde{Q}_{-\theta}^E E^{-\theta} E^{\alpha_i}$.

²The normalisations in (3.14) and (3.16) are fixed for later convenience.

4 Defining relations of $\mathcal{U}_q(L\mathfrak{g})$

In this section we prove that the defining relations of $\mathcal{U}_q(L\mathfrak{g})$ are satisfied. The computations are straightforward but quite lengthy. For this reason, intermediate Poisson brackets have been collected in the appendix. Let us stress that no ambiguity is encountered when proving these defining relations. We shall comment on this in the next section.

4.1 First set of defining relations

The first result concerns the Poisson brackets between the level ± 1 charges $\tilde{Q}_{\pm\theta}^E$ introduced in (3.14) and the level 0 charges (3.8). Since the derivation closely follows that of [3] we omit the details. Starting from the definitions (3.6) and (3.9), we find, after some algebra

$$\begin{aligned} \{\mathfrak{J}_{\alpha_i}^H(x), \tilde{\mathfrak{J}}_{\pm\theta}^E(y)\} &= \mp i(\theta, \alpha_i) \tilde{\mathfrak{J}}_{\pm\theta}^E(x) \delta_{xy}, \\ \{\tilde{\mathfrak{J}}_{\theta}^E(x), \tilde{\mathfrak{J}}_{-\theta}^E(y)\} &= -4i \partial_x \chi_{\theta}(x) e^{2\gamma\chi_{\theta}(x)} \delta_{xy}, \\ \{\mathfrak{J}_{\pm\alpha_i}^E(x), \tilde{\mathfrak{J}}_{\pm\theta}^E(y)\} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

This allows one to deduce the following Poisson brackets of conserved charges

$$i\{Q_{\alpha_i}^H, \tilde{Q}_{\pm\theta}^E\} = \pm d_i^{-1}(\theta, \alpha_i) \tilde{Q}_{\pm\theta}^E, \quad (4.1a)$$

$$i\{\tilde{Q}_{\theta}^E, \tilde{Q}_{-\theta}^E\} = \frac{q^{d_{\theta}Q_{\theta}^H} - q^{-d_{\theta}Q_{\theta}^H}}{q^{d_{\theta}} - q^{-d_{\theta}}}, \quad (4.1b)$$

$$i\{Q_{\pm\alpha_i}^E, \tilde{Q}_{\pm\theta}^E\} = 0, \quad (4.1c)$$

where the conserved charge Q_{θ}^H is defined as

$$Q_{\theta}^H = d_{\theta}^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \mathfrak{J}_{\theta}^H(x) \quad \text{with} \quad \mathfrak{J}_{\theta}^H(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n (\theta, \alpha_i) h_i(x),$$

and $h_i(x)$ are defined by (2.8a). Note that the charge Q_{θ}^H is not independent of the Cartan charges $Q_{\alpha_i}^H$ since we have the linear relation

$$d_{\theta}Q_{\theta}^H = \sum_{i,j=1}^n B_{ij}^{-1}(\theta, \alpha_i) d_j Q_{\alpha_j}^H.$$

The results (4.1) are among the defining relations of $\mathcal{U}_q(L\mathfrak{g})$.

4.2 q -Poisson-Serre relations

We now turn to the proof of the q -Poisson-Serre relations

$$\underbrace{\{Q_{\alpha_i}^E, \{Q_{\alpha_i}^E, \dots, \{Q_{\alpha_i}^E, \tilde{Q}_{-\theta}^E\}_q \dots\}_q}_q = 0, \quad (4.2a)$$

$$\{\{Q_{\alpha_i}^E, \tilde{Q}_{-\theta}^E\}_q, \tilde{Q}_{-\theta}^E\}_q = 0. \quad (4.2b)$$

Recall the definition of the q -Poisson bracket in (1.2) and of the relevant charge densities in (3.13) and (3.15). We shall need the following properties of $N_{\alpha,\beta}$ defined in (2.7).

Consider the α -string through β whose roots are $\beta + p\alpha, \dots, \beta, \dots, \beta + q\alpha$, with $p \leq 0$ and $q \geq 0$. Then,

$$N_{\alpha, \beta}^2 = q(1 - p) \frac{(\alpha, \alpha)}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{2(\beta, \alpha)}{(\alpha, \alpha)} = -(p + q). \quad (4.3)$$

For the α_i -string through $-\theta$, since $-\theta - \alpha_i$ is not a root, we have

$$p = 0, \quad q = \frac{2(\theta, \alpha_i)}{(\alpha_i, \alpha_i)}, \quad N_{-\theta, \alpha_i}^2 = N_{\alpha_i, -\theta}^2 = (\theta, \alpha_i). \quad (4.4)$$

For any r such that $0 \leq r \leq q$, we then have the following identities

$$\begin{aligned} N_{-\theta+r\alpha_i, \alpha_i}^2 &= N_{-\theta+(r-1)\alpha_i, \alpha_i}^2 + (\theta - r\alpha_i, \alpha_i), \\ N_{-\theta+r\alpha_i, \alpha_i}^2 &= \left((r+1)\theta - \frac{r(r+1)}{2} \alpha_i, \alpha_i \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

We shall also need the identities for the step functions,

$$\theta_{yy'} \theta_{xy'} = \theta_{yy'} \theta_{xy} + \theta_{xy'} \theta_{yx}, \quad (4.6)$$

$$\theta_{yy'} \theta_{y'x} = \theta_{yy'} \theta_{yx} - \theta_{xy'} \theta_{yx}. \quad (4.7)$$

q -Poisson bracket $\{Q_{\alpha_i}^E, \tilde{Q}_{-\theta}^E\}_q$. Consider the Poisson bracket $\{\mathfrak{J}_{\alpha_i}^E(x), \tilde{\mathfrak{J}}_{-\theta}^E(y)\}$ given in (A.1b). Rewriting $\theta_{xy} = \frac{1}{2}(\epsilon_{xy} + 1)$ and using (4.4), we obtain the q -Poisson bracket

$$\{\mathfrak{J}_{\alpha_i}^E(x), \tilde{\mathfrak{J}}_{-\theta}^E(y)\}_q = 2iN_{-\theta, \alpha_i} \left(\tilde{\mathfrak{J}}_{-\theta+\alpha_i}^E(x) \delta_{xy} - \gamma N_{-\theta, \alpha_i} \mathfrak{J}_{\alpha_i}^E(x) \tilde{\mathfrak{J}}_{-\theta}^E(y) \theta_{xy} \right). \quad (4.8)$$

Integrating (4.8) over x and y and using the definition (3.15) for $r = 1$, the q -Poisson bracket between $Q_{\alpha_i}^E$ and $\tilde{Q}_{-\theta}^E$ is found to be

$$\{Q_{\alpha_i}^E, \tilde{Q}_{-\theta}^E\}_q = 2iN_{-\theta, \alpha_i} \tilde{Q}_{-\theta+\alpha_i}^E. \quad (4.9)$$

q -Poisson-Serre relation (4.2a). Next, we compute the q -Poisson bracket between $Q_{\alpha_i}^E$ and $\tilde{Q}_{-\theta+\alpha_i}^E$ in a similar way. Using the relations (A.1), the result for the Poisson bracket between $\mathfrak{J}_{\alpha_i}^E(x)$ and $\tilde{\mathfrak{Q}}_{-\theta+\alpha_i}^E(x)$ can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} \{\mathfrak{J}_{\alpha_i}^E(x), \tilde{\mathfrak{Q}}_{-\theta+\alpha_i}^E(y)\}_q &= \{\mathfrak{J}_{\alpha_i}^E(x), \tilde{\mathfrak{Q}}_{-\theta+\alpha_i}^E(y)\} + i\gamma(\alpha_i, -\theta + \alpha_i) \mathfrak{J}_{\alpha_i}^E(x) \tilde{\mathfrak{Q}}_{-\theta+\alpha_i}^E(y) \\ &= 2i \left(N_{-\theta+\alpha_i, \alpha_i} \tilde{\mathfrak{J}}_{-\theta+2\alpha_i}^E(x) \delta_{xy} + \gamma(\alpha_i, -\theta + \alpha_i) \mathfrak{J}_{\alpha_i}^E(x) \tilde{\mathfrak{Q}}_{-\theta+\alpha_i}^E(y) \theta_{xy} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \gamma(\alpha_i, -\theta) \mathfrak{J}_{\alpha_i}^E(y) \tilde{\mathfrak{Q}}_{-\theta+\alpha_i}^E(x) \theta_{yx} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

Here we have used the identity (4.6). Integrating (4.10) on x and y , the q -Poisson bracket between $Q_{\alpha_i}^E$ and $\tilde{Q}_{-\theta+\alpha_i}^E$ is then given by

$$\begin{aligned} \{Q_{\alpha_i}^E, \tilde{Q}_{-\theta+\alpha_i}^E\}_q &= 2iD_\theta D_i^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \left(N_{-\theta+\alpha_i, \alpha_i} \tilde{\mathfrak{J}}_{-\theta+2\alpha_i}^E(x) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \gamma(\alpha_i, -2\theta + \alpha_i) \mathfrak{J}_{\alpha_i}^E(x) \int_{-\infty}^x dy \tilde{\mathfrak{Q}}_{-\theta+\alpha_i}^E(y) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

Using the identity $(\alpha_i, -2\theta + \alpha_i) = -N_{-\theta + \alpha_i, \alpha_i}^2$ and the definition (3.15) for $r = 2$ to rewrite the right hand side of (4.11), we obtain

$$\{Q_{\alpha_i}^E, \tilde{Q}_{-\theta + \alpha_i}^E\}_q = 2iN_{-\theta + \alpha_i, \alpha_i} \tilde{Q}_{-\theta + 2\alpha_i}^E. \quad (4.12)$$

Finally, let us evaluate the q -Poisson bracket between $Q_{\alpha_i}^E$ and $\tilde{Q}_{-\theta + 2\alpha_i}^E$. Using the relations (A.1) and the identities (4.6) and (4.7), we find

$$\begin{aligned} & \{\mathfrak{J}_{\alpha_i}^E(x), \tilde{\mathfrak{Q}}_{-\theta + 2\alpha_i}^E(y)\}_q \\ &= 2i \left[N_{-\theta + 2\alpha_i, \alpha_i} \tilde{\mathfrak{J}}_{-\theta + 3\alpha_i}^E(x) \delta_{xy} \right. \\ & \quad + \gamma(\alpha_i, -\theta + 2\alpha_i) \mathfrak{J}_{\alpha_i}^E(x) \tilde{\mathfrak{J}}_{-\theta + 2\alpha_i}^E(y) \theta_{xy} - \gamma N_{-\theta + \alpha_i, \alpha_i}^2 \mathfrak{J}_{\alpha_i}^E(y) \tilde{\mathfrak{J}}_{-\theta + 2\alpha_i}^E(x) \theta_{yx} \\ & \quad - \gamma^2 N_{-\theta + \alpha_i, \alpha_i} \left((\alpha_i, -\theta + \alpha_i) \mathfrak{J}_{\alpha_i}^E(x) \mathfrak{J}_{\alpha_i}^E(y) \int_{-\infty}^y dy' \tilde{\mathfrak{Q}}_{-\theta + \alpha_i}^E(y') \theta_{xy} \right. \\ & \quad + (\alpha_i, -\theta + \alpha_i) \mathfrak{J}_{\alpha_i}^E(x) \mathfrak{J}_{\alpha_i}^E(y) \int_{-\infty}^x dy' \tilde{\mathfrak{Q}}_{-\theta + \alpha_i}^E(y') \theta_{yx} \\ & \quad + (\alpha_i, \alpha_i) \mathfrak{J}_{\alpha_i}^E(x) \mathfrak{J}_{\alpha_i}^E(y) \int_{-\infty}^y dy' \tilde{\mathfrak{Q}}_{-\theta + \alpha_i}^E(y') \theta_{xy} \\ & \quad + (\alpha_i, -\theta) \mathfrak{J}_{\alpha_i}^E(y) \tilde{\mathfrak{Q}}_{-\theta + \alpha_i}^E(x) \int_{-\infty}^y dy' \mathfrak{J}_{\alpha_i}^E(y') \theta_{yx} \\ & \quad \left. - (\alpha_i, -\theta) \mathfrak{J}_{\alpha_i}^E(y) \tilde{\mathfrak{Q}}_{-\theta + \alpha_i}^E(x) \int_{-\infty}^x dy' \mathfrak{J}_{\alpha_i}^E(y') \theta_{yx} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.13)$$

Integrating (4.13) over x and y , using the relations (4.5) for $r = 2$ and the definition (3.15) for with $r = 3$, we obtain

$$\{Q_{\alpha_i}^E, \tilde{Q}_{-\theta + 2\alpha_i}^E\}_q = 2iN_{-\theta + 2\alpha_i, \alpha_i} \tilde{Q}_{-\theta + 3\alpha_i}^E. \quad (4.14)$$

Hence we have shown that for $0 \leq r \leq 2$,

$$\{Q_{\alpha_i}^E, \tilde{Q}_{-\theta + r\alpha_i}^E\}_q = 2iN_{-\theta + r\alpha_i, \alpha_i} \tilde{Q}_{-\theta + (r+1)\alpha_i}^E. \quad (4.15)$$

For the general untwisted affine Kac-Moody algebra, the α_i -string through $-\theta$ has at most $q = 2$ and $N_{-\theta + q\alpha_i, \alpha_i} = 0$. As a consequence, the q -Poisson-Serre relation (4.2a) is satisfied.

q -Poisson-Serre relation (4.2b). It follows from the q -Poisson bracket (4.9) that the left hand side of the q -Poisson-Serre relation (4.2b) may be rewritten as $2iN_{-\theta, \alpha_i} \{\tilde{Q}_{-\theta + \alpha_i}^E, \tilde{Q}_{-\theta}^E\}_q$. Using the Poisson brackets (A.1), the q -Poisson bracket for the charge densities $\tilde{\mathfrak{Q}}_{-\theta + \alpha_i}^E(x)$

and $\tilde{\mathfrak{Q}}_{-\theta}^E(y)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 \{\tilde{\mathfrak{Q}}_{-\theta+\alpha_i}^E(x), \tilde{\mathfrak{Q}}_{-\theta}^E(y)\}_q &= 2i\gamma \left[(-\theta + \alpha_i, -\theta) \tilde{\mathfrak{Q}}_{-\theta+\alpha_i}^E(x) \tilde{\mathfrak{J}}_{-\theta}^E(y) \theta_{xy} \right. \\
 &\quad + (\alpha_i, -\theta) \left(\tilde{\mathfrak{J}}_{-\theta+\alpha_i}^E(x) \delta_{xy} - \gamma N_{-\theta, \alpha_i} \tilde{\mathfrak{J}}_{\alpha_i}^E(x) \tilde{\mathfrak{J}}_{-\theta}^E(y) \theta_{xy} \right) \int_{-\infty}^x dx' \tilde{\mathfrak{J}}_{-\theta}^E(x') \\
 &\quad + \gamma N_{-\theta, \alpha_i} \tilde{\mathfrak{J}}_{\alpha_i}^E(x) \left((-\theta + \alpha_i, -\theta) \tilde{\mathfrak{J}}_{-\theta}^E(y) \theta_{xy} \int_{-\infty}^y dx' \tilde{\mathfrak{J}}_{-\theta}^E(x') \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. + (\alpha_i, -\theta) \int_{-\infty}^x dx' \tilde{\mathfrak{J}}_{-\theta}^E(x') \tilde{\mathfrak{J}}_{-\theta}^E(y) \theta_{x'y} \right) \right]. \tag{4.16}
 \end{aligned}$$

Integrating (4.16) over x and y , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \{\tilde{Q}_{-\theta+\alpha_i}^E, \tilde{Q}_{-\theta}^E\}_q &= D_\theta^2 D_i (-\theta, 2\alpha_i - \theta) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \left[\tilde{\mathfrak{Q}}_{-\theta+\alpha_i}^E(x) \int_{-\infty}^x dy \tilde{\mathfrak{J}}_{-\theta}^E(y) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \gamma N_{\alpha_i, -\theta} \tilde{\mathfrak{J}}_{\alpha_i}^E(x) \int_{-\infty}^x dy \tilde{\mathfrak{J}}_{-\theta}^E(y) \int_{-\infty}^y dx' \tilde{\mathfrak{J}}_{-\theta}^E(x') \right]. \tag{4.17}
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $(-\theta, 2\alpha_i - \theta) = -N_{\alpha_i, -\theta, -\theta}^2 = 0$, where the last equality is because $\alpha_i - 2\theta$ is not a root, the q -Poisson bracket (4.17) vanishes. This proves the q -Poisson-Serre relation (4.2b).

5 Discussion

We have shown that the conserved local charges $Q_{\alpha_i}^H$ and non-local charges $(Q_{\pm\alpha_i}^E, \tilde{Q}_{\pm\theta}^E)$ of the Yang-Baxter σ -model satisfy the defining relations of the Poisson algebra $\mathcal{U}_q(L\mathfrak{g})$. This result is valid when the rank of \mathfrak{g} is greater than or equal to two. We would like to conclude by discussing a puzzle raised by this result.

The main observation is that, despite the non-utlralocal nature of the model considered, there are no ambiguities in the Poisson brackets entering the defining relations of the Poisson algebra $\mathcal{U}_q(L\mathfrak{g})$. The reason for this is that the problematic terms in derivatives of the Dirac δ -distribution from the Poisson bracket of Lax matrices never showed up in the derivation. This is quite bewildering. Indeed, although the defining relations of $\mathcal{U}_q(L\mathfrak{g})$ are unambiguous, the Poisson brackets of certain conserved charges are still ill-defined! An example of this is given by the Poisson bracket between the charges Q_θ^E and $\tilde{Q}_{-\theta}^E$, which does not appear in the defining relations. For concreteness, let us consider the case $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{su}(3)$. The highest root is then $\theta = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2$ ($\alpha_1 < \alpha_2$). The charge density $\mathfrak{Q}_\theta^E(x)$ and charge Q_θ^E are given by [3]

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathfrak{Q}_\theta^E(x) &= \mathfrak{J}_\theta^E(x) - \gamma N_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} \mathfrak{J}_{\alpha_2}^E(x) \int_{-\infty}^x dy \mathfrak{J}_{\alpha_1}^E(y), \tag{5.1} \\
 Q_\theta^E &= D_{\alpha_1} D_{\alpha_2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \mathfrak{Q}_\theta^E(x).
 \end{aligned}$$

It is then clear that the Poisson bracket $\{\mathfrak{Q}_\theta^E(x), \tilde{\mathfrak{Q}}_{-\theta}^E(y)\}$ contains a derivative of the Dirac δ -distribution. The value of this term follows directly from (A.1) and reads

$$-8i\eta(1+\eta^2)e^{-\gamma\chi_\theta}(x)e^{\gamma\chi_\theta}(y)\partial_x\delta_{xy}. \tag{5.2}$$

As a result, the Poisson bracket $\{Q_\theta^E, \tilde{Q}_{-\theta}^E\}$ is not well defined. Note that when \mathfrak{g} is of higher rank, the expression for the charge density $\mathfrak{Q}_\theta^E(x)$ contains further non-local terms than those in (5.1). However, the Poisson bracket of these terms with $\tilde{\mathfrak{Q}}_{-\theta}^E(y)$ does not generate any derivative of the Dirac δ -distribution. The result (5.2) therefore remains valid.

Although puzzling, the situation is slightly better than in the undeformed case for the classical analogue of the Yangian $Y(\mathfrak{g})$. Indeed, in order to establish the defining relations in this case one has to deal with such ambiguities which, as already pointed out, arise even in the Poisson brackets of level 0 charges [13, 16, 28]. It is interesting here to recall why, in the deformed case, not only the defining relations but in fact all the Poisson bracket relations of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$ are well defined. Indeed, all the conserved charges spanning the Poisson algebra $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$ can be extracted from the monodromy matrix $T^g(\lambda)$ evaluated at the poles of the twist function. It is then immediate from (3.1) that, for these values of the spectral parameter, the Lax matrix only depends on the field X . In particular, no spatial derivatives ∂_x ever appear and therefore all Poisson brackets are well defined.

The presence of ambiguities in the full set of relations of $\mathcal{U}_q(L\mathfrak{g})$ may also be understood as follows. It was shown in [33] that $(T^g(i\eta), T^g(-i\eta))$ satisfies the Semenov-Tian-Shansky Poisson bracket, which corresponds precisely to the full set of Poisson bracket relations of the Poisson algebra $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$. As we have shown, enhancing the latter to an affine symmetry algebra requires working in the vicinity of the poles at $\pm i\eta$, and yet the Poisson bracket of $T^g(\lambda)$ with $T^g(\mu)$ for two arbitrary spectral parameters λ and μ is notoriously ill-defined!

Acknowledgments

We thank Io Kawaguchi, Takuya Matsumoto, Kentaroh Yoshida and Charles Young for useful discussions. This work is partially supported by the program PICS 6412 DIGEST of CNRS and by the French Agence Nationale de la Recherche (ANR) under grant ANR-15-CE31-0006 DefIS.

A Poisson brackets

In this appendix we collect a number of useful Poisson brackets used in the main text. To begin with, we shall need the Poisson brackets between the coefficients of H^i and $E^{\pm\alpha}$ in the expressions of $X(x)$ and $\partial_x g(x)g^{-1}(x)$, i.e. $h_i(x), e_{\pm\alpha}(x)$ defined in (2.8a) and $J_i^H(x), J_{\pm\alpha}(x)$ introduced in (2.8b). These are obtained by comparing terms appearing on both sides of (2.4). We find

$$\begin{aligned} \{h_i(x), h_j(y)\} &= 0, \\ \{h_i(x), e_\alpha(y)\} &= -i \sum_{j=1}^n B_{ij}^{-1}(\alpha, \alpha_j) e_\alpha(x) \delta_{xy}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \{e_\alpha(x), e_{-\beta}(y)\} &= \begin{cases} -4i \partial_x \chi_\alpha(x) \delta_{xy} \delta_{\alpha\beta}, \\ 2i N_{-\beta, \alpha} e_{\alpha-\beta}(x) \delta_{xy}, \end{cases} & \text{if } \alpha \neq \beta, \\
 \{h_i(x), J_j^H(y)\} &= B_{ij}^{-1} \partial_x \delta_{xy}, \\
 \{h_i(x), J_\alpha(y)\} &= -i \sum_{j=1}^n B_{ij}^{-1}(\alpha, \alpha_j) J_\alpha(x) \delta_{xy}. \\
 \{e_\alpha(x), J_i^H(y)\} &= i \sum_{j=1}^n B_{ij}^{-1}(\alpha, \alpha_j) J_\alpha(x) \delta_{xy}, \\
 \{e_\alpha(x), J_{-\beta}(y)\} &= \begin{cases} 4i \left(-\sum_{j=1}^n (\alpha, \alpha_j) J_j^H(x) \delta_{xy} - i \partial_x \delta_{xy} \right) \delta_{\alpha\beta}, \\ 2i N_{-\beta, \alpha} J_{\alpha-\beta}(x) \delta_{xy}, \end{cases} & \text{if } \alpha \neq \beta, \\
 \{J_i^H(x), J_\beta(y)\} &= 0, \\
 \{J_\alpha(x), J_\beta(y)\} &= 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

For simple roots α_i and α_j , we then obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \{h_i(x), e_{\pm\alpha_j}(y)\} &= \mp i e_{\pm\alpha_j}(x) \delta_{ij} \delta_{xy}, \\
 \{e_{\alpha_i}(x), e_{\alpha_j}(y)\} &= 2i N_{\alpha_j, \alpha_i} e_{\alpha_i + \alpha_j}(x) \delta_{xy}, \\
 \{e_{\pm\alpha_i}(x), e_{\mp\alpha_j}(y)\} &= \mp 4i \partial_x \chi_{\alpha_i}(x) \delta_{ij} \delta_{xy}, \\
 \{e_{\pm\alpha_i}(x), J_j^H(y)\} &= \pm i J_{\pm\alpha_j}(x) \delta_{ij} \delta_{xy}, \\
 \{e_{\pm\alpha_i}(x), J_{\pm\alpha_j}(y)\} &= 2i N_{\alpha_j, \alpha_i} J_{\alpha_i + \alpha_j}(x) \delta_{xy}, \\
 \{e_{\pm\alpha_i}(x), J_{\mp\alpha_j}(y)\} &= 4i \left(\mp \sum_{k=1}^n B_{ik} J_k^H(x) \delta_{xy} - i \partial_x \delta_{xy} \right) \delta_{ij}.
 \end{aligned}$$

For the highest root θ and a positive root $\alpha \in \Phi^+$, since $\theta + \alpha$ is not a root, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
 \{e_{\pm\alpha}(x), e_{\pm\theta}(y)\} &= 0, \\
 \{e_{\pm\alpha}(x), J_{\pm\theta}(y)\} &= 0, \\
 \{e_{\pm\theta}(x), J_{\pm\alpha}(y)\} &= 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, the Poisson brackets for $\tilde{e}_{\pm\alpha}(x)$ defined in (3.3) are computed as

$$\begin{aligned}
 \{h_i(x), \tilde{e}_\alpha(y)\} &= -i \sum_{j=1}^n B_{ij}^{-1}(\alpha, \alpha_j) \tilde{e}_\alpha(x) \delta_{xy}, \\
 \{e_\alpha(x), \tilde{e}_{-\beta}(y)\} &= \begin{cases} -4i \left[\partial_x \chi_\alpha(x) \delta_{xy} - \epsilon(\alpha) 2i\eta (1 + \eta^2) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha, \alpha_i) J_i^H(x) \delta_{xy} + i \partial_x \delta_{xy} \right) \right] \delta_{\alpha\beta}, \\ 2i N_{-\beta, \alpha} [e_{\alpha-\beta}(x) - \epsilon(\beta) 2i\eta (1 + \eta^2) J_{\alpha-\beta}(x)] \delta_{xy}, \end{cases} & \text{if } \alpha \neq \beta, \\
 \{\tilde{e}_\alpha(x), \tilde{e}_{-\beta}(y)\} &= \begin{cases} -4i \partial_x \chi_\alpha(x) \delta_{xy} \delta_{\alpha\beta}, \\ 2i N_{-\beta, \alpha} [e_{\alpha-\beta}(x) + (\epsilon(\alpha) - \epsilon(\beta)) 2i\eta (1 + \eta^2) J_{\alpha-\beta}(x)] \delta_{xy}, \end{cases} & \text{if } \alpha \neq \beta,
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\epsilon(\alpha) = \text{sign}(\alpha)$. We also make use of the following results

$$\begin{aligned} \{h_i(x), \tilde{e}_{\pm\theta}(y)\} &= \mp i \sum_{j=1}^n B_{ij}^{-1}(\theta, \alpha_j) \tilde{e}_{\pm\theta}(x) \delta_{xy}, \\ \{e_{\pm\alpha_i}(x), \tilde{e}_{\pm\theta}(y)\} &= 0, \\ \{e_{\pm\alpha_i}(x), \tilde{e}_{\mp\theta}(y)\} &= 2iN_{\mp\theta, \pm\alpha_i} \tilde{e}_{\mp(\theta-\alpha_i)}(x) \delta_{xy}, \\ \{e_{\theta}(x), \tilde{e}_{-\theta}(y)\} &= -4i \left[\partial_x \chi_{\alpha}(x) \delta_{xy} - 2i\eta(1+\eta^2) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n (\theta, \alpha_i) J_i^H(x) \delta_{xy} + i\partial_x \delta_{xy} \right) \right], \\ \{\tilde{e}_{\theta}(x), \tilde{e}_{-\theta}(y)\} &= -4i \partial_x \chi_{\theta}(x) \delta_{xy}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, the following Poisson brackets between the densities $\mathfrak{J}_{\alpha}^E(x)$ and $\tilde{\mathfrak{J}}_{\beta}^E(x)$ hold

$$\begin{aligned} \{\mathfrak{J}_{\alpha}^E(x), \mathfrak{J}_{\beta}^E(y)\} &= 2iN_{\beta, \alpha} \mathfrak{J}_{\alpha+\beta}^E(x) \delta_{xy} \\ &\quad + i\gamma(\alpha, \beta) \mathfrak{J}_{\alpha}^E(x) \mathfrak{J}_{\beta}^E(y) \epsilon_{xy}, \quad \text{if } \alpha + \beta \neq 0, \end{aligned} \tag{A.1a}$$

$$\{\mathfrak{J}_{\alpha_i}^E(x), \tilde{\mathfrak{J}}_{-\theta}^E(y)\} = 2iN_{-\theta, \alpha_i} \tilde{\mathfrak{J}}_{-\theta+\alpha_i}^E(x) \delta_{xy} + i\gamma(-\theta, \alpha_i) \mathfrak{J}_{\alpha_i}^E(x) \tilde{\mathfrak{J}}_{-\theta}^E(y) \epsilon_{xy}, \tag{A.1b}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \{\mathfrak{J}_{\alpha_i}^E(x), \tilde{\mathfrak{J}}_{-\theta+\alpha_i}^E(y)\} &= 2iN_{-\theta+\alpha_i, \alpha_i} \tilde{\mathfrak{J}}_{-\theta+2\alpha_i}^E(x) \delta_{xy} \\ &\quad + i\gamma(-\theta + \alpha_i, \alpha_i) \mathfrak{J}_{\alpha_i}^E(x) \tilde{\mathfrak{J}}_{-\theta+\alpha_i}^E(y) \epsilon_{xy}, \end{aligned} \tag{A.1c}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \{\mathfrak{J}_{\alpha_i}^E(x), \tilde{\mathfrak{J}}_{-\theta+2\alpha_i}^E(y)\} &= 2iN_{-\theta+2\alpha_i, \alpha_i} \tilde{\mathfrak{J}}_{-\theta+3\alpha_i}^E(x) \delta_{xy} \\ &\quad + i\gamma(-\theta + 2\alpha_i, \alpha_i) \mathfrak{J}_{\alpha_i}^E(x) \tilde{\mathfrak{J}}_{-\theta+2\alpha_i}^E(y) \epsilon_{xy}, \end{aligned} \tag{A.1d}$$

$$\{\tilde{\mathfrak{J}}_{-\theta}^E(x), \tilde{\mathfrak{J}}_{-\theta}^E(y)\} = i\gamma(-\theta, -\theta) \tilde{\mathfrak{J}}_{-\theta}^E(x) \tilde{\mathfrak{J}}_{-\theta}^E(y) \epsilon_{xy}, \tag{A.1e}$$

$$\{\tilde{\mathfrak{J}}_{-\theta}^E(x), \tilde{\mathfrak{J}}_{-\theta+\alpha_i}^E(y)\} = i\gamma(-\theta, -\theta + \alpha_i) \tilde{\mathfrak{J}}_{-\theta}^E(x) \tilde{\mathfrak{J}}_{-\theta+\alpha_i}^E(y) \epsilon_{xy}, \tag{A.1f}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \{\mathfrak{J}_{\theta}^E(x), \tilde{\mathfrak{J}}_{-\theta}^E(y)\} &= i\gamma(\theta, -\theta) \mathfrak{J}_{\theta}^E(x) \tilde{\mathfrak{J}}_{-\theta}^E(y) \epsilon_{xy} \\ &\quad - 8i\eta(1+\eta^2) e^{-\gamma\chi_{\theta}}(x) e^{\gamma\chi_{\theta}}(y) \partial_x \delta_{xy} \\ &\quad - 4i \sum_{i=1}^n (\theta, \alpha_i) \left[h_i(x) - 2i\eta(1+\eta^2) J_i^H(x) \right] \delta_{xy}. \end{aligned} \tag{A.1g}$$

Open Access. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License ([CC-BY 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)), which permits any use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited.

References

- [1] C. Klimčík, *Yang-Baxter σ -models and dS/AdS T duality*, *JHEP* **12** (2002) 051 [[hep-th/0210095](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0210095)] [[INSPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/59811)].
- [2] C. Klimčík, *On integrability of the Yang-Baxter σ -model*, *J. Math. Phys.* **50** (2009) 043508 [[arXiv:0802.3518](https://arxiv.org/abs/0802.3518)] [[INSPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/77011)].
- [3] F. Delduc, M. Magro and B. Vicedo, *On classical q -deformations of integrable σ -models*, *JHEP* **11** (2013) 192 [[arXiv:1308.3581](https://arxiv.org/abs/1308.3581)] [[INSPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/117111)].
- [4] K. Sfetsos, *Integrable interpolations: From exact CFTs to non-Abelian T-duals*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 880** (2014) 225 [[arXiv:1312.4560](https://arxiv.org/abs/1312.4560)] [[INSPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/117111)].

- [5] F. Delduc, M. Magro and B. Vicedo, *An integrable deformation of the $AdS_5 \times S^5$ superstring action*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **112** (2014) 051601 [[arXiv:1309.5850](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [6] F. Delduc, M. Magro and B. Vicedo, *Derivation of the action and symmetries of the q -deformed $AdS_5 \times S^5$ superstring*, *JHEP* **10** (2014) 132 [[arXiv:1406.6286](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [7] I. Kawaguchi, T. Matsumoto and K. Yoshida, *Jordanian deformations of the $AdS_5 \times S^5$ superstring*, *JHEP* **04** (2014) 153 [[arXiv:1401.4855](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [8] F. Delduc, M. Magro and B. Vicedo, *Integrable double deformation of the principal chiral model*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **891** (2015) 312 [[arXiv:1410.8066](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [9] T.J. Hollowood, J.L. Miramontes and D.M. Schmidt, *Integrable Deformations of Strings on Symmetric Spaces*, *JHEP* **11** (2014) 009 [[arXiv:1407.2840](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [10] T.J. Hollowood, J.L. Miramontes and D.M. Schmidt, *An Integrable Deformation of the $AdS_5 \times S^5$ Superstring*, *J. Phys. A* **47** (2014) 495402 [[arXiv:1409.1538](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [11] B. Hoare, *Towards a two-parameter q -deformation of $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times M^4$ superstrings*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **891** (2015) 259 [[arXiv:1411.1266](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [12] K. Sfetsos, K. Siampos and D.C. Thompson, *Generalised integrable λ and η deformations and their relation*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **899** (2015) 489 [[arXiv:1506.05784](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [13] N.J. MacKay, *On the classical origins of Yangian symmetry in integrable field theory*, *Phys. Lett. B* **281** (1992) 90 [Erratum *ibid.* **B 308** (1993) 444] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [14] D. Bernard, *An introduction to Yangian symmetries*, *International Journal of Modern Physics B* **7** (1993) 3517 [[hep-th/9211133](#)].
- [15] I. Kawaguchi and K. Yoshida, *Hidden Yangian symmetry in σ -model on squashed sphere*, *JHEP* **11** (2010) 032 [[arXiv:1008.0776](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [16] G. Itsios, K. Sfetsos, K. Siampos and A. Torrielli, *The classical Yang-Baxter equation and the associated Yangian symmetry of gauged WZW-type theories*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **889** (2014) 64 [[arXiv:1409.0554](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [17] D. Orlando, S. Reffert and L.I. Uruchurtu, *Classical Integrability of the Squashed Three-sphere, Warped AdS_3 and Schroedinger Spacetime via T-duality*, *J. Phys. A* **44** (2011) 115401 [[arXiv:1011.1771](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [18] I. Kawaguchi, D. Orlando and K. Yoshida, *Yangian symmetry in deformed WZNW models on squashed spheres*, *Phys. Lett. B* **701** (2011) 475 [[arXiv:1104.0738](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [19] D. Orlando and L.I. Uruchurtu, *Integrable Superstrings on the Squashed Three-sphere*, *JHEP* **10** (2012) 007 [[arXiv:1208.3680](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [20] I. Kawaguchi and K. Yoshida, *A deformation of quantum affine algebra in squashed Wess-Zumino-Novikov-Witten models*, *J. Math. Phys.* **55** (2014) 062302 [[arXiv:1311.4696](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [21] A. Ballesteros, E. Celeghini and M.A. del Olmo, *Poisson-Hopf limit of quantum algebras*, *J. Phys. A* **42** (2009) 275202 [[arXiv:0903.2178](#)].
- [22] I. Kawaguchi and K. Yoshida, *Hybrid classical integrability in squashed σ -models*, *Phys. Lett. B* **705** (2011) 251 [[arXiv:1107.3662](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [23] I. Kawaguchi, T. Matsumoto and K. Yoshida, *On the classical equivalence of monodromy matrices in squashed σ -model*, *JHEP* **06** (2012) 082 [[arXiv:1203.3400](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].

- [24] I. Kawaguchi, T. Matsumoto and K. Yoshida, *The classical origin of quantum affine algebra in squashed σ -models*, *JHEP* **04** (2012) 115 [[arXiv:1201.3058](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [25] V. Tolstoy and S. Khoroshkin, *The universal R-matrix for quantum untwisted affine Lie algebras*, *Funct. Anal. Appl.* **26** (1992) 69.
- [26] J.M. Maillet, *Hamiltonian Structures for Integrable Classical Theories From Graded Kac-Moody Algebras*, *Phys. Lett. B* **167** (1986) 401 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [27] J.M. Maillet, *New Integrable Canonical Structures in Two-dimensional Models*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **269** (1986) 54 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [28] N.J. MacKay, *Introduction to Yangian symmetry in integrable field theory*, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **20** (2005) 7189 [[hep-th/0409183](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [29] T. Kameyama and K. Yoshida, *Anisotropic Landau-Lifshitz σ -models from q -deformed $AdS_5 \times S^5$ superstrings*, *JHEP* **08** (2014) 110 [[arXiv:1405.4467](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [30] V.G. Drinfeld, *Hopf algebras and the quantum Yang-Baxter equation*, *Sov. Math. Dokl.* **32** (1985) 254 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [31] M. Jimbo, *A q difference analog of $U(g)$ and the Yang-Baxter equation*, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **10** (1985) 63 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [32] V.G. Drinfeld, *Quantum groups*, *J. Sov. Math.* **41** (1988) 898 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [33] F. Delduc, S. Lacroix, M. Magro and B. Vicedo, *On q -deformed symmetries as Poisson-Lie symmetries and application to Yang-Baxter type models*, *J. Phys. A* **49** (2016) 415402 [[arXiv:1606.01712](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].