



UNIVERSITY OF LEEDS

This is a repository copy of *Effect of sulfate additions on hydration and performance of ternary slag-limestone composite cements*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:

<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/125760/>

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Adu-Amankwah, S, Black, L orcid.org/0000-0001-8531-4989, Skocek, J et al. (2 more authors) (2018) Effect of sulfate additions on hydration and performance of ternary slag-limestone composite cements. *Construction and Building Materials*, 164. pp. 451-462. ISSN 0950-0618

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2017.12.165>

Crown Copyright © 2017 Published by Elsevier Ltd. Licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International
<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>

Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/>

Effect of sulfate additions on hydration and performance of ternary slag-limestone composite cements

Samuel Adu-Amankwah^a, Leon Black^a, Jan Skocek^b, Mohsen Ben Haha^b, Maciej Zajac^b

^a Institute of Resilient Infrastructure, School of Civil Engineering, University of Leeds, Woodhouse Lane, Leeds, LS2 9JT, UK

^b Heidelberg Technology Center GmbH, Oberklamweg 2-4, 69181 Leimen, Germany

Abstract

The global cement industry is striving to reduce its carbon footprint. Common approaches have included reduced clinker factors by blending cement clinker with supplementary cementitious materials (SCM). However supplies of SCMs are not sufficient to achieve replacement above about 30 %. Limestone ternary cements offer the opportunity to reduce the clinker factor of cements while maximizing the efficiency of SCMs. In these cements, calcite from limestone reacts with dissolved aluminates to form carboaluminate and in the process influence hydration of other constituents. However, sulfates which are conventionally added to regulate the early reactions in cement also compete for aluminates. Here we have used complementary techniques to investigate the effects of calcium sulfate additions on hydration, microstructure and performance of composite Portland clinker-slag-limestone cements.

The results show that the presence of sulfate influenced the early-age reaction kinetics of the clinker phases and supplementary cementitious materials. However, even after sulfate depletion, the course of hydration and microstructures formed were significantly influenced. Increasing the sulfate level resulted in a gradual increase of the fraction of ettringite over AFm phases, coarser porosity and lower water content of the C-S-H. These microstructural changes impact the total porosity and hence cement strength in opposing ways, namely porosity is reduced with increasing ettringite fraction while the space filling capacity of the C-S-H is also reduced due to the lower water content of the C-S-H. These findings have important implications for optimizing the mechanical properties and durability of ternary blends.

Key words

Ternary blended cements, Limestone, GGBS, PONKCS, Sulfates, Thermodynamic modelling

1. Introduction

Despite many years of research on cement hydration, many phenomena and interactions are still not sufficiently understood. One example is the effect of sulfate on cement hydration and performance. Sulfate is always a component of Portland cement, present as gypsum, basanite (calcium sulfate hemihydrate) or anhydrite. The main role of sulfate is to regulate early-age cement properties [1]. However, the addition of sulfate influences not only the setting time but has also a strong impact on the evolution of the compressive strength of cement mortars and concretes.

Primarily, sulfate reacts with aluminate phases and retards the hydration of C_3A , thus controlling early-age properties [1-3]. The products of the reaction between C_3A (and C_4AF to a lesser extent) and sulfate are initially ettringite and later on monosulfoaluminate. The content of the sulfate thus regulates the balance between the AFt and AFm phases in Portland cement [4]. Hence, the sulfate addition modifies the composition of the phase assemblage, the resulting volume of the hydrates, the remaining porosity

1 and consequently performance. This is mainly related to the fact that ettringite is characterized by the
2 low density; 1.8 g/cm^3 and has a high bound water content compared to the other AFm phases [5].
3 Thermodynamic calculations show that an increasing sulfate content leads to the formation of more
4 ettringite and less AFm phases, and thus to a larger hydrate volume and lower porosity [6, 7]. These
5 theoretical observations agree with experimental observations, where in general the formation of more
6 ettringite is accompanied by an increase in compressive strength [6, 8]. However, above 3 – 4 % SO_3
7 content, a decrease in compressive strength is typically observed [9-11], even though the volume of the
8 hydrates is expected to increase due to greater ettringite formation [1, 6, 8]. The origin of this
9 phenomenon is not yet understood.

10 The phenomena described are further complicated in the case of composite cements. In this type of
11 cement, additional alumina is provided by cementitious additions such as fly ash [12, 13], slag [7] and
12 calcined clays [14]. This alumina can react with the sulfate. Moreover, modern composite cements contain
13 limestone whose main component is calcite. Ternary limestone blends with aluminosilicate-bearing SCMs
14 and Portland clinker offer the possibility to significantly reduce the clinker fraction of cements [15].
15 Limestone is a raw material for cement production and it is readily available in most parts of the world.
16 When used without thermal treatment, limestone reduces the clinker factor and hence a lower embodied
17 CO_2 and also maximizes the efficiency of other constituents in composite cements. In this type of
18 composite cements, calcite reacts with the available alumina to form hemi and monocarboaluminate
19 phases instead of the monosulfoaluminate phase [6, 13, 14, 16-18]. This results in ettringite stabilization,
20 decreased porosity and increased strength. In parallel, gradual reaction of the calcite changes the alumina-
21 sulfate balance during the course of hydration. In addition, the presence of limestone may result in an
22 acceleration of the cement clinker and SCM reaction [6, 18]. This means that in systems containing high
23 volumes of supplementary cementitious material, the sulfate content must be carefully adjusted in order
24 to prevent undersulfation and ensure optimal performance [6, 14, 19]. The optimal sulfate levels is
25 generally higher than expected from the cement clinker only [6].

26 This paper reports on investigations of the interactions of sulfate within ternary slag-limestone composite
27 cements. The impacts of sulfate dosage on hydration kinetics, the hydrated phase assemblages and
28 microstructure are studied. The changes induced by the different sulfate content were correlated with
29 the changes in the compressive strength development. Techniques used include isothermal calorimetry,
30 chemical shrinkage, X-ray diffraction supported by the Rietveld-PONKCS method (QXRD),
31 thermogravimetric analysis (TGA), scanning electron microscopy (SEM-EDS), mercury intrusion
32 porosimetry (MIP) and thermodynamic modelling.

33 **2. Materials and methods**

34 **2.1. Materials**

35 Ternary slag-limestone composite cements with a total sulfate content of 2 %, 3 % or 4 % of SO_3
36 (designated as S-2, S-3 and S-4, respectively) were investigated. In order to prepare the composite
37 cements, industrial CEM I 52.5 R cement, ground granulated blast furnace slag and natural limestone were
38 used. Natural anhydrite additions were used to meet the targeted SO_3 levels.

39 The chemical composition and specific surface area of the materials, determined by XRF and Blaine
40 measurements respectively, are shown in Table 1. The mineralogical compositions of the cement and the

1 supplementary materials are shown in Table 2 and Table 3 respectively. The particle size distribution of
 2 all the constituent materials, measured by laser granulometry, is shown in Figure 1.

3
 4

Table 1 Chemical composition (%weight) and Blaine fineness of the investigated materials

Material	CEM I	Slag	Limestone	Anhydrite
SiO ₂	20.4	34.9	2.0	2.0
Al ₂ O ₃	5.6	11.6	0.8	0.6
TiO ₂	0.3	1.1	0.0	0.0
MnO	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.0
Fe ₂ O ₃	2.5	0.5	0.3	0.2
CaO	62.1	41.8	53.1	38.3
MgO	1.7	5.8	0.6	1.5
K ₂ O	0.7	0.5	0.1	0.2
Na ₂ O	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
SO ₃	3.5	3.1	0.0	52.2
P ₂ O ₅	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
LOI	2.0	(+1.45)	42.3	3.7
Blaine Fineness, m ² /kg	593	454	328	472

5
 6

Table 2 Mineralogical composition of CEM I (%weight)

Phase	C ₃ S	β-C ₂ S	C ₃ A	C ₄ AF	Calcite	Anhydrite	Hemihydrate	Others
Content (%)	58.1	14.3	9.2	6.7	1.9	1.7	3.0	5.1

7
 8

Table 3 Mineralogical composition of supplementary materials (%weight)

Phase	Slag (%)	Limestone (%)	Anhydrite (%)
Calcite	2.4	96.6	-
Quartz	0.1	0.4	2.1
Dolomite	-	1	5.5
Anhydrite	-	-	90.7
Gypsum	-	-	1.7
Amorphous	97.5	2	




Figure 1 Particle size distribution of constituent materials, determined by laser granulometry.

The mix proportions used are detailed in Table 4. The clinker to SCM ratio was maintained at 50:50, with limestone considered as an SCM where incorporated. The total sulfate contents were attained by adding the required amounts of ground anhydrite. The 1.9 % calcite and the sulfates in the CEM I 52.5 R were accounted for in calculating the total limestone and sulfate contents for the ternary blends. The formulated cements were homogenized in a laboratory ball mill for at least 3 hours, using polymer balls to prevent further grinding of the materials.

Table 4 Composition of mixes investigated (%weight)

Mix designation	Clinker	Slag/Quartz	Calcium carbonate	Sulfate
S-2	49.0	39.2	9.8	2.0
S-3	48.5	38.8	9.7	3.0
S-4	48.0	38.4	9.6	4.0
L	49.1	39.3	9.8	1.8

Note: Calcium carbonate is that in CEM I and added limestone; Sulfate is that in the CEM I plus added anhydrite. The sulfate in mix designation L is that in the CEM I only.

2.2. Methods

Compressive strength was measured in accordance with EN 196-1. Mortar prisms, 40x40x160 mm were made from 1 part of cement to 3 parts of sand at 0.5 w/b ratio using a 5-litre capacity digital mixer. The prisms were demolded after 24 hours and immediately stored in water at 20 °C until testing. Six test specimens, obtained from splitting the prisms into halves were tested at selected ages up to 90 days.

To clarify the compressive strength evolution as a function of the sulfate content in the cements, hydration was followed, and microstructures were characterized, using paste samples. These were prepared according to the procedure for mortar preparation, as described in EN 196-1 but without

1 aggregates using a vortex mixer. The mortar samples were prepared on a 5-litre capacity digital mixer.
2 Care was taken to ensure homogeneous mixing by additional hand mixing during the mixing breaks.
3 Isothermal calorimetry was conducted on 9.0 g of paste prepared with 0.5 w/b ratio. The heat of reaction
4 was measured continuously for 28 days at 20 °C using an 8-channel TAM Air calorimeter. Reference
5 channels were filled with ampoules containing 6 g of quartz mixed with 3 g of deionized water.
6 Chemical shrinkage was measured by dilatometry, with 15g of paste prepared with a 0.5 w/b ratio. The
7 paste was mixed by hand for 2 minutes before being poured into a plastic beaker, 34 mm in diameter and
8 68 mm high. The beakers were tapped to level the paste and remove any entrapped air. The rest of the
9 beaker was filled with deionized water, using a plastic syringe to minimize disturbance. The beaker was
10 then sealed shut with a rubber stopper through which a 1mL pipette was passed; with water filling the
11 pipette in the process. A few drops of paraffin oil dyed with 1-(methylamino) anthraquinone, was added
12 on top of the water, acting as a tracer, to follow the total shrinkage. Chemical shrinkage was continuously
13 measured with a 10 MP camera, automatically capturing an image every 5 minutes for 28 days, monitoring
14 the height of the tracer in the pipette. The images were analyzed using Zeiss Axiovision analyzer.
15 For calorimetry and shrinkage, parallel measurements were performed on mixes in which slag was
16 replaced with quartz of similar fineness. The objective here was to isolate the filler effect from the SCM
17 reaction as done elsewhere [16].
18 Samples for XRD, TGA, SEM-EDS and MIP were cast into 15 ml plastic vials, sealed and rotated for the first
19 12 hours to prevent bleeding. The vials were then stored in a water bath at 20 °C until testing.
20 XRD scans were performed on freshly ground samples without hydration stopping. The data were
21 acquired on a PANalytical MPD Pro using a CuK α anode operating at 40 kV and 40 mA equipped with an
22 X'Celerator detector, over a range of 5-80 °2 θ using a step size of 0.0334 °. Automatic incident divergence
23 and fixed anti-scatter slits were used together with a 10 mm incident beam mask. The continuous scan
24 mode was adopted for all data acquisitions. The data analysis was performed on TOPAS Academic
25 software v4.2. The QXRD/PONKCS method used to evaluate slag hydration is detailed elsewhere [18].
26 Specimens for TGA and MIP were hydration-stopped using the solvent exchange technique. The regime
27 consisted of crushing the hydrated cement into 1 – 2 mm particles in isopropanol for 20 minutes, and
28 filtering off the isopropanol under gravity in a glove-box which was kept free of CO₂ by purging with
29 nitrogen gas. Maintaining the sample in the glovebox, the residue was rinsed with diethyl-ether before
30 drying at 40 °C on a pre-heated glass plate for further 20 minutes. Following hydration stopping, samples
31 were kept in mini-grip bags and stored in the glove-box until analysis.
32 TGA was performed on a Stanton Redcroft 780 Series Analyzer under nitrogen gas atmosphere, purged at
33 58 ml/min. About 16-18 mg of additionally ground powder sample was heated in a platinum crucible at
34 a rate of 20 °C/minute up to 1000 °C. The bound water and portlandite contents were computed between
35 50-550 °C and ~400-500 °C from the TGA data using equations (1-2) respectively. The contents were then
36 normalized to the ignited weight at 550 °C. The calcium carbonate content was also calculated from the
37 TGA curve using equation 3 and the results normalized to the ignited weight at 1000 °C.

38
$$BW(\%) = \frac{(M_{50^{\circ}C} - M_{550^{\circ}C})}{M_{550^{\circ}C}} * 100 \% \text{-----} (1)$$

39
$$CH(\%) = \frac{CH_{TG} \cdot 74/18}{M_{550^{\circ}C}} * 100 \% \text{-----} (2)$$

$$C_c(\%) = \frac{C_{c_{TG}} \cdot 100 / 44}{M_{1000^\circ C}} * 100\% \quad (3)$$

Where, BW is the bound water, CH is the portlandite content, CH_{TG} is % weight loss from water associated with calcium hydroxide, Cc is calcium carbonate content and $C_{c_{TG}}$ is the weight loss associated with carbon dioxide, $M_{550^\circ C}$ is the ignited weight at 550°C and $M_{1000^\circ C}$ is the ignited weight at 1000°C. Note that CH_{TG} and $C_{c_{TG}}$ were calculated by the tangent method.

Total pore volume evolution was assessed from the TGA data using equation (4). We calculated the remaining water, not consumed by ongoing hydration, and normalized it to the initial volume of paste. The chemical shrinkage is considered at each stage of hydration according to the equation:

$$P(\%) = \frac{V_{por}}{V_{water} + V_{cement}} * 100\% = \frac{V_{water} - \frac{BW * M_{cement}}{1.3 \frac{g}{cm^3}} * 100\%}{V_{water} + \frac{M_{cement}}{\rho_{cement}}} * 100\% \quad (4)$$

where P is the pore volume, V_{water} is the volume of the mixing or free water, V_{cement} is volume of cement, M_{water} is the mass of the mixing water per 100 g of cement ($V_{water} = M_{water}$ assuming density of 1 g/cm³), M_{cement} is the initial cement mass, ρ_{cement} is the density of the cement, BW is the bound water content measured by the TGA at a given time and 1.3 g/cm³ is the average density for chemically bound water assumed [20].

Samples for SEM-EDS were 2 mm thick discs cut from the paste cylinders using low speed Isomet saw and hydration stopped by freeze drying. The samples were resin impregnated and polished down to 0.25 μm using a combination of diamond paste and silicon carbide cloths. These were then carbon-coated under vacuum before the analysis. SEM/EDS analysis was performed in backscattered electron mode on a Zeiss EVO MA15 SEM equipped with an 80 mm² EDS detector. The instrument was operated at 15 KeV accelerating voltage. EDS point analysis was also performed on the C-S-H and hydrated slag rims for the composition at 90 days. The Ca/Si ratio was obtained by plotting Al/Ca vs Si/Ca from 50 points and taking the high Si/Ca end of the cluster of EDS points; the Al/Si was taken as the slope of a line drawn from the origin and remaining tangential to the lowest cluster of points [21]. The S/Si ratio was similarly measured as the Al/Si ratio but after plotting the S/Ca versus Si/Ca atomic ratios.

MIP measurements were performed on 1 – 2 mm thick hydration-stopped samples using a Quantachrome Instruments' PoreMaster-60. Approximately 1 g of the sample was intruded with mercury at the rate of 6-19 MPa/min up to 400 MPa at 22 °C. The intrusion data was converted to cumulative pore volume using the cylindrical and plate model together with the Washburn equation, taking the contact angle and the surface tension of mercury to be 140 ° and the 0.48 N/m respectively. The data was analyzed in terms of the derivative of the cumulative curve after smoothing by the adjacent averaging method. It is noteworthy that crushing may induce micro-cracks and thus lead to potentially misleading results [22, 23]. However, such effects would be common to all investigated samples and hence may be discounted for comparative studies such as this. Additionally, the present study focused on the nanoscale. Consequently, micrometer level defects may not interfere significantly. The pore structure was characterized by the pore size distributions. The samples were frequently measured in duplicates and were found to be consistent.

1 Thermodynamic modeling was used to calculate the evolution of hydrate assemblages, from which
2 hydrate volumes could be determined. This was carried out using the geochemical modelling program
3 GEMS[24, 25] with thermodynamic data from the PSI-GEM Sdatabase [26, 27] supplemented by cement
4 specific data [28-30]. The model was applied as described elsewhere [13]. The dissolution kinetics of
5 anhydrous phases was mathematically described with multi-parametric smooth functions fitting the
6 experimentally determined dissolution kinetics of clinker phases and slag. Hence, the composition of the
7 hydrate assemblage was predicted based on the degree of reaction of the cement clinker and the slag as
8 a function of time, assuming thermodynamic equilibrium at each stage of hydration. The following
9 assumptions were introduced into the model:


- 10 • All anhydrous phases were assumed to dissolve congruently
- 11 • Calcite and gypsum contents were calculated to dissolve freely, i.e. without prescribing their
12 dissolution degrees. Their amounts at equilibrium resulted from the availability of reacted alumina.
- 13 • C-S-H composition was corrected with Al and S incorporation to account for Al-S uptake determined
14 experimentally, without altering its thermodynamic properties.

15 It should be noted that the volume of the C-S-H phase does not include the gel porosity associated with
16 this phase, but only the interlayer water [30].

18 3. Results

19 3.1. Compressive strength

20 Compressive strength development in the cements is shown in Figure 2. Strength evolved slowly over the
21 course of hydration as expected in composite cements. Sample S-3 showed the highest compressive
22 strength while the lowest strength was measured in S-2 with the trends consistent throughout.



23 **Figure 2 Compressive strength development over time for the three samples.**

24
25
26 Consequently, under-sulfation (S-2) and over-sulfation (S-4) in ternary systems affected compressive
27 strength negatively in a manner similar to other cement types reported elsewhere [6, 9, 10]. The
28 remainder of this paper therefore explores the kinetic and microstructural effects which give further
29 insight into the relationship between sulfate levels and compressive strength in ternary CEM I-slag-
30 limestone cements.

3.2. Kinetics of hydration

3.2.1. Sulfates

The rate of heat evolution in the investigated cements is shown in Figure 3. The onset of the acceleration stage was independent of the sulfate content. The intensity of the silicate reaction peak (I) decreased slightly with increasing sulfate content, but this was because of the reduced overlap with the second effect (labelled as II). The peak labelled as II, which is associated with the depletion of sulfate [31, 32], showed the expected significant dependence on the sulfate content. It was retarded with the increasing sulfate content, with its maximum being after 12, 32 and 60 hours at 2, 3 and 4 % sulfate contents, respectively.




Figure 3 Isothermal calorimetry results of investigated cements


Figure 4 Effect of sulfate addition on the reaction of chosen cement components at early times; XRD data. AN – anhydrite, C_3S , C_2S and C_3A , Ah is the anhydrous cement

The sulfate depletion peak indicated by calorimetry did not however correspond to the complete dissolution of calcium sulfates, which was shown by XRD to occur earlier in the hydration process (Figure 3). Potential explanation includes the persistence of sulfates in the pore solution for longer periods after physical dissolution [33]. During the initial stages of hydration, the high concentration of sulfate in the pore solution is stabilized by the presence of calcium sulfates leading to sulfate adsorption on the C-S-H. Once anhydrite is depleted, the sulfate concentration in the pore solution drops with sulfates desorbing from the C-S-H [32, 34]. The continuing desorption of sulfate delays the sulfate depletion/aluminate reaction peak (II). XRD data reveal that in the mix containing 2 % sulfate, the complete reaction of anhydrite had occurred within the first 12 hours. In the case of the samples S-3 and S-4, the complete anhydrite dissolution had already occurred within 1 and 2 days respectively (Figure 4). Hemihydrate and arcanite were present in the CEM I, in addition to the additional anhydrite. There was no trace of these in any diffraction patterns after 12 hours.

3.2.2. Clinker

Figure 4 indicated notable modifications in the hydration kinetics of the cement clinker phases by the sulfate addition. These were quantitatively analyzed by the Rietveld refinement method and the results shown in Figure 4.

Alite hydration was fast and was not significantly affected by the sulfate content, consistent with the rate of heat evolution curves (Figure 3). The hydration of belite was slower than that of alite, but the results suggested that, at later times, belite hydration was accelerated at lower sulfate contents. However, the observed differences were smaller than the measurement error. The reaction kinetics of C_3A were inversely proportional to the sulfate content. The observed differences were still within the error of the Rietveld calculations (Figure 4), but they were confirmed by the analysis of the XRD traces (Figure 4). Some C_3A reacted alongside the silicates in the first 12 hours (see Figure 3). While hydration of C_3A was visible in the XRD traces, it was also possible to observe that, distinct C_3A peaks were still present after 1 day in the 3 and 4 % sulfate mixes and after 2 days in the 4 % sulfate mix.



The hydration degree of C_4AF is not discussed here since it was generally low (Table 2) and hence loaded with respectively high errors in the Rietveld calculations.

3.2.3. Slag

Chemical shrinkage is a semi-quantitative method suitable for determination of the degree of slag (or cement) hydration in composite cements of similar compositions [18, 35]. The filler effects associated with the presence of SCMs (F) and the active hydration of slag (S) in the three cements could be distinguished upon normalizing the data to the clinker contents (Figure 6). Chemical shrinkage indicated a greater degree of slag hydration in samples S-3 and S-4, compared to S-2, from about the third day of hydration. Earlier evaluation of slag hydration was not possible because of the occurrence of the effect associated with the sulfate depletion as for the calorimetry data shown in Figure 3. The QXRD/PONKCS method was employed to monitor slag hydration (Figure 6). Within the accuracy of the technique, a higher degree of slag hydration was measured at 3 % sulfate dosage while the lowest degree of hydration was measured in mix S-2, consistent with the chemical shrinkage results.





Figure 6 Effect of the progress of the slag hydration by mean of the chemical shrinkage method (left) and calculated by means of PONKS-Rietveld approach (right). S– is the active effect of slag, F is the filler effect. Note: L is the ternary CEM I-quartz-limestone mix and contained no additional sulfate other than was present in the CEM I. The error of Rietveld calculation is estimated to $\pm 5\%$ for slag.

1
2
3
4
5
6

3.2.4. Limestone

The calcite content was quantified from the TGA curve following the procedures described in [18] and the results shown in Figure 6. The reaction of calcite was limited to approximately 3 g per 100 g of binder over the first 90 days. The early reaction of calcite was retarded at higher sulfate contents, but the differences were generally smaller than the measurement error.



7
8
9


Figure 7 Evolution of calcite content in the investigated samples from TGA method. The error of the measurement is estimated $\pm 1\%$

3.3. Evolution of hydrated phase assemblages


The thermodynamic modeling results (shown in Figure 8 for S-2 and S-4 as extreme cases) predicted C-(A)-S-H, ettringite, portlandite, hydrotalcite and carboaluminate as the main hydrates, irrespective of the sulfate dosage. As expected, more ettringite was predicted at higher sulfate contents, being stabilized over monosulfoaluminate due to the presence of calcite. Modeling closely predicted the bound water

14

1 content (Figure 9), plus the quantity of the crystalline phases; ettringite (Figure 10) and portlandite (Figure
 2 11). Monocarboaluminate was predicted over hemicarboaluminate due to the thermodynamic stability
 3 [19]. A higher fraction of monocarboaluminate was predicted at lower sulfate levels.



4



5

6


7

8

9 3.3.1. AFt/ AFm phases

10 The quantitative evaluation of the crystalline AFt/AFm assemblages based on Rietveld in addition to the
 11 thermodynamic calculations are shown in Figure 10. Considering the measurement accuracy ($\pm 1\%$),
 12 similar amounts of ettringite were formed after 12 hours, irrespective of the initial sulfate content (Figure
 13 10 left). However, from 1 day onwards the ettringite contents were significantly different among the

1 mixes; being higher in the mixes containing more sulfate. After reaching a maximum, the ettringite
 2 contents did not change significantly with further hydration.
 3 Figure 10 (right) indicate crystalline hemicarboaluminate was already present in the 2 % sulfate mix after
 4 1 day, and then after 2 and 7 days in the 3 and 4 % sulfate mixes respectively. Monocarboaluminate were
 5 formed alongside hemicarboaluminate. The sulfate content further impacted on the hemi- to
 6 monocarboaluminate balance over the course of hydration. The content of hemicarboaluminate was
 7 similar in the investigated samples (accounting for the accuracy of the QXRD method), however trends
 8 indicated higher contents in the samples with lower SO_3 content. The conversion to monocarboaluminate
 9 was accelerated compared to the mixes containing higher sulfate contents. The trends are consistent with
 10 the dissolution kinetics of calcite (Figure 7) such that carboaluminate formation is proportional to the
 11 extent of calcite dissolution, which varied inversely with the sulfate content. The early age kinetic effects
 12 seem to dominate the AFt/AFm balance such that, rapid C_3A dissolution in the sample S-2 promoted
 13 calcite reaction once there was no more sulfate. This, in turn led to increased carboaluminate formation.
 14



15
 16 It is noteworthy that as shown in Figure 8, the thermodynamic modelling predicts only
 17 monocarboaluminate. Consequently, direct comparison between the AFm contents from the modelling
 18 and Rietveld is not possible [6, 16]. Additionally, the AFm contents calculated by Rietveld are limited by
 19 their semi-crystalline nature [16].
 20

21 3.3.2. C-S-H phase and Hydrotalcite composition

22 Molar Ca/Si , Al/Si and S/Si ratios of the C-S-H as measured by backscattered SEM-EDS are summarized in
 23 Table 5. Also shown is the Mg/Al ratio of the hydrotalcite phase, which was measured from the hydrated
 24 slag rim [36]. The C-S-H Ca/Si and S/Si ratios after 90 days increased with increasing sulfate content in the

sample. However, the Al/Si ratios were similar in all investigated samples. Meanwhile, the Mg/Al ratio varied with the sulfate dosage; being highest at the 3 % sulfate content.

Table 5 Molar ratios of the C-S-H and hydrotalcite composition after 90 days hydration as a function of the sulfate content (Note: ± 2 % error associated with elemental analysis)

Mix	C-S-H			Hydrotalcite
	Ca/Si	Al/Si	S/Si	Mg/Al
S-2	1.66	0.12	0.05	2.1
S-3	1.71	0.11	0.07	2.6
S-4	1.72	0.11	0.09	2.4

3.3.3. Portlandite

The modelling data (Figure 11) indicate a marginally lower portlandite content with increasing sulfate content but the differences were not obvious from the TGA measurements. Notwithstanding, both sets of results pointed to a gradual decrease in the CH contents at longer hydration times. To understand these effects, the calcium incorporated into the crystalline ettringite and carboaluminate was calculated from the QXRD results, assuming stoichiometric hydrate composition. As illustrated in Figure 11, despite significant variations in the data over the course of hydration, the molar fractions of calcium incorporated into the AFt/AFm were generally similar among the three cements at longer hydration times. Consequently, the decreasing portlandite contents may be caused by the consumption of Ca to form C-(A)-S-H or the increased slag reaction with the sulfate content.





Figure 11 Portlandite content evolution over the hydration time (left); Points- TGA method results, Error of measurement is estimated to be ± 1 %, Lines- results of the thermodynamic modelling, and equivalent Ca bound into ettringite and carboaluminate (right)

3.4. Porosity

Mercury intrusion porosimetry was used to assess the samples' pore structures (Figure 12). With hydration, porosity refinement was observed. It is noticeable that the different sulfate content had no significant impact on the pore threshold diameter. However, it did change the distribution of the coarse

1 and fine porosity. At lower sulfate contents, there were fewer coarse pores and more fine pores (in the
 2 range 4 - ~20 nm), which can generally be associated with the C-S-H phase.
 3



4
 5 **Figure 12 Pore size distribution in the chosen samples. Lines indicate the critical pore diameter at 2 and 90 days**
 6 **of hydration. Gray area highlight the fine porosity associated with the C-S-H phase. Note: Solid lines represent**
 7 **2 days and dashed lines 90 days samples**
 8

9 Additionally, the total pore volume was assessed using the TGA method, as mentioned earlier (section
 10 2.2). Figure 13 compares the results from the TGA method to those from thermodynamic modelling. MIP
 11 total porosity was not considered, since it is not a reliable technique for determining total porosity [37].
 12 Generally, both methods showed a porosity decrease over time. Initially, the porosities were similar. But
 13 beyond 1 day they started to differ, depending on the sulfate level. GEMS showed a successively
 14 decreasing pore volume with increasing sulfate content. However, TGA suggested otherwise, with sample
 15 S-3 defined by the lowest pore volume, and sample S-2 by the highest.




Figure 13 Total pore volume calculated from TGA (left) data and by GEMS (right)

16

4. Discussion

The sulfate content has multiple effects on the hydration kinetics and resulting phase assemblage. The calcium sulfate content significantly influences the early-age properties and continue to have a pronounced impact, even after the calcium sulfate is fully consumed.

4.1. Kinetics of hydration

An increasing calcium sulfate content has a pronounced impact on the early kinetics of C_3A hydration. This phase reacts more slowly while calcium sulfate is present, and accelerates once it is depleted [31, 32, 38]. The retardation mechanism is well recognized and related to the high sulfate concentration in the pore solution that is stabilized by the solubility of gypsum [2, 3]. The time to the complete depletion of the calcium sulfate is proportional to its content. Once it is depleted, acceleration of C_3A hydration is observed, for example by calorimetry (c.f. Figure 3) or chemical shrinkage (c.f. Figure 6). It is noticeable that the feature within the calorimetry data associated with sulfate depletion correlates well with accelerated chemical shrinkage.

Besides C_3A , it has been suggested previously that sulfate accelerates the hydration of alite [6, 9, 10]. However, this effect has not been observed here (Figure 5). This is because we used finely ground CEM I 52.5R cement. Hence, alite reacts rapidly hydrating by over 60 % within 12 hours and 90 % within 1 day. Since sulfate is depleted within about 12 hours in S-2 and even later in the other samples (Figure 3), most of the alite reaction occurs in the presence of calcium sulfate and hence in a sulfate-bearing pore solution. Consequently, the potential impact of sulfate content on alite reaction cannot be observed.

However, changes could be seen in the rate of slag hydration. Increasing the sulfate content from 2 to 3 % accelerated the slag reaction (Figure 6), but a further increase in sulfate content to 4% had a minimal effect.

The acceleration of slag hydration may be explained by the change in pore solution concentration, or by the effect of sulfate on the microstructure. The lower sulfate content in the C-S-H of sample S-2, compared to S-3, indicates that the sulfate concentration in the pore solution is also lower, since the C-S-H phase composition is in close equilibrium with the pore solution [39]. Consequently, the higher reactivity may be an effect of the higher sulfate concentration. Note that Table 5 indicates little change in the Al/Si in the C-S-H, indicating a similar Al concentration in the pore solutions of all of the samples. This in turn excludes the effect described in [18], i.e. that lower Al concentration favours slag dissolution. Another possible explanation of this phenomenon is a change in the microstructure of the cementitious matrix. It is recognized that densification of the matrix leads to retardation of later-age reaction kinetics [40]. Here, the matrix is coarser at all times at higher sulfate levels, despite similar threshold pore diameters. Whittaker *et al.* [7] investigated the effect of sulfate, amongst other things, on similar systems, however using considerably lower limestone contents. They observed similar changes in C-S-H composition, plus similar porosity evolution, i.e. a decrease in the fine fraction and an increase in the coarse fraction. Similar to the results presented here, the total porosity calculated by GEM S was lower [41] as a result of the increase in sulfate content; from about 2 % to 4 %.

The XRD and TGA data indicate that the initial sulfate content modestly affected the reaction of calcite particularly at the early stages as it was evident through precipitation of hemicarboaluminate once sulfate was no longer present. The products of the reaction with calcite are hemi- and monocarboaluminate phases. Thermodynamic calculations indicate that monocarboaluminate is the stable AFm phase in all of

1 these systems, because of the high limestone, i.e. calcite, content (Figure 8). Meanwhile, XRD data show
2 that it is hemicarboaluminate which forms initially, indicating kinetic hindrance, with hemicarboaluminate
3 transforming slowly to monocarboaluminate (Figure 10). The quantities of hemi- and
4 monocarboaluminate formed are related to the sulfate content of the cement. The sulfate content also
5 affects the kinetics of carboaluminate formation; these being delayed by the presence of sulfate.
6 However, the shape of the evolution in Figure 10 is the same for all of the samples investigated here.
7 These findings further support the outcome of [18] that the kinetics of calcite reaction are limited by the
8 availability of alumina and by the rate of formation of hemi- and monocarboaluminate.

9 **4.2. Phase assemblages**

10 The first and most obvious phenomenon observed with increasing sulfate levels is the increasing ettringite
11 content and associated decrease of the AFm contents. This has been reported several times in the
12 literature [7, 18]. However, it is interesting to note that the increase in ettringite content is limited by the
13 adsorption of sulfate on the C-S-H, as shown in Table 5. Since these systems contain significant amounts
14 of calcite, the ettringite is stabilized, even at later hydration times, in agreement with literature data [16,
15 19, 29]. As discussed above, higher sulfate levels retard the formation of hemicarboaluminate and mono-
16 carboaluminate. It is worth noting that, from the perspective of performance evolution, it is beneficial to
17 increase the AFt to AFm ratio. This results in a lower total porosity because of the lower density of
18 ettringite when compared to the AFm phases. The precise nature of the AFm phases, here being hemi- vs.
19 monocarboaluminate, is of a secondary importance since their densities are similar.

20 The addition of more anhydrite leads to higher S/Si and Ca/Si atomic ratios in the C-S-H, as determined
21 by EDS (Table 5) and consistent with the literature [6, 7]. These changes indicate that the different sulfate
22 content has a pronounced impact on the cement paste properties well after the calcium sulfate is
23 depleted:

- 24 • Different C-S-H composition indicates the different pore solution concentrations at later
25 hydration times as discussed in the section “4.1 Kinetics of hydration”
- 26 • Varying Ca/Si has impact on the portlandite content in the investigated systems
- 27 • The increased content of S in C-S-H has a pronounced impact on the microstructure and water
28 content of C-S-H phase and on the AFt/AFm ratio as discussed above.

29 The increased Ca/Si ratio of the C-S-H indicated that the decrease of portlandite content in the systems
30 is related to the changes of the C-S-H phase composition. It is noticeable that independently of the sulfate
31 content, the calcium bound in the AFt and AFm phases is similar, as shown in Figure 11. A similar increase
32 in both the S/Si and Ca/Si ratios has been observed for different systems: in Portland cements [6, 7, 11],
33 in C₃S [9] and in C-S-H - ettringite mixtures [42]. The simultaneous increase of Ca in the C-S-H indicates
34 a coupled uptake of Ca and sulfate within the C-S-H [34]. Furthermore, the decrease of the portlandite
35 content in the samples characterized by the higher sulfate level is as well related to the greater degree of
36 slag hydration, as indicated by the thermodynamic modelling (Figure 11).

37 Sulfate content has a pronounced impact on the microstructure of the C-S-H phase. Several authors
38 observed that an increase in the S/Si ratio in the C-S-H resulted in a decrease of the water content in the
39 C-S-H and a clear decrease of the compressive strength of C₃S and C₂S samples [9-11, 43, 44]. Gunay *et al.*
40 [10] indicated that the absorption of calcium sulfate on C-S-H results in a decrease of the forces
41 between C-S-H particles which could be related to the decrease of the compressive strength of hydrated

1 alite pastes. Our results confirm these findings. Firstly, the MIP data indicate that the C-S-H phase in S-2
 2 is characterized by a finer porosity compared to sample S-4. It is noticeable that these differences are
 3 visible from the second day of hydration. These differences are preserved till the end of experiments, i.e.
 4 at 90 days in our study. Analysis of the pore volumes (Figure 13) provides additional information about
 5 the C-S-H phase. Figure 14 plots the total porosities obtained by TGA against GEMS. The figure reveals
 6 differences in the relationships for the three samples. Since most of the other hydrates are crystalline
 7 and of well-defined composition (hydrotalcite content being low), the data suggest modification of the C-
 8 S-H microstructure in terms of the water content and hence the apparent density. Increasing the sulfate
 9 content increased the apparent density of the C-S-H. Adopting the appropriate density of the C-S-H (Table
 10 6) for the calculation of the total porosity resulted in Figure 14 where a linear relationship was found
 11 between the porosity from GEMS and based on the TGA bound water. This confirms variations in the C-
 12 S-H composition and its water content as a function of the sulfate level.
 13




Figure 14 Comparison between porosity calculated from the TGA method and calculated by the GEMS; left the density of C-S-H as provided by GEMS (lines are guide for the eye), right; density corrected by the water addition to C-S-H phase.

14
 15
 16

Table 6 Modification of the C-S-H as a function of the sulfate dosage

Sample	Molar Si/H ₂ O	Apparent density (g/cm ³)	Comment
S-2	2.9	2.2	Fitted
S-3	2.5	2.3	Fitted
S-4	2.0	2.4	As given by GEMS

17

18 4.3. Effect of phase assemblage on compressive strength

19 Increasing a cement's sulfate content results in an increase in the ettringite content (Figure 10) and a
 20 consequent porosity reduction, as predicted by thermodynamic modelling. This should result in an
 21 increased strength proportional to the sulfate content. However, mortar strength measurements showed
 22 that sample S-3 had the highest strength (c.f. Figure 13), while sample S-4, characterized by a higher

1 ettringite content, and had the lowest strength. This effect is readily explained by the effect of sulfate on
 2 the water content of C-S-H and its apparent density as shown in Figure 15.




Figure 15 Comparison between porosity calculated by GEMS and measured compressive strength; left the density of C-S-H as provided by GEMS, right; density corrected by the water addition to C-S-H phase.

3
 4 Consequently, the sulfate content has a two opposing effects on the compressive strength: increased
 5 volume of ettringite that increases the compressive strength and decreased density of the C-S-H phase
 6 that decreases the strength. The presence of these two contradictory phenomena clearly explains the
 7 need for the sulfate optimization that is the common practice in the cement industry.

8 5. Conclusions

9 The effect of sulfate dosage in ternary composite Portland clinker-slag-limestone cements has been
 10 investigated. The content of calcium sulfate has a pronounced impact on the reaction of the clinker
 11 phases and supplementary cementitious materials as well on the hydrate assemblages, hydrate properties
 12 and resulting cement performance. The results confirm the well-known effect of sulfate content on early-
 13 age properties, i.e. when calcium sulfate is still present. However, the results here also demonstrate that
 14 sulfate content also has a significant impact on the later-age properties of the hydrated cements.

15 The following conclusions are drawn based on the results of the present work:

- 16 • The sulfate content influenced the kinetics of clinker, slag and limestone hydration, though C_3S was
 17 not significantly affected.
- 18 • More ettringite was formed at the 4 % sulfate content while hemi and monocarboaluminate
 19 dominated at 2 %. The sulfate content ultimately determined the quantities of ettringite and
 20 carboaluminate formed.
- 21 • Finer pore structure was observed in the systems with lower sulfate levels.
- 22 • The sulfate dosage modified the microstructure and the properties of the C-S-H phase. The results
 23 points to changes in the water content of the C-S-H and hence of its apparent density.

24 Finally, this study reveals that increasing sulfates content modify paste microstructures in two opposing
 25 ways. Increased sulfate contents increase the volume of hydrates and thus the strength because of
 26 increased ettringite formation. Conversely, excess sulfate levels negatively impact on the performance of

1 C-S-H phase, which reduces the strength. This phenomenon appears as the common, occurring
2 independently on the studied cement.
3

4 **Acknowledgements**

5 We thank the two anonymous reviewers for their invaluable suggestions. This work was funded by the
6 University of Leeds. Additional financial and technical support was also provided by Heidelberg Cement,
7 Leimen, Germany.
8

9 **References**

- 10 [1] Taylor HFW. Cement Chemistry. 2nd ed: Thomas Telford; 1997.
- 11 [2] Pourchet S, Regnaud L, Perez JP, Nonat A. Early C3A hydration in the presence of different kinds of
12 calcium sulfate. Cement and Concrete Research. 2009;39(11):989-96.
- 13 [3] Minard H, Garrault S, Regnaud L, Nonat A. Mechanisms and parameters controlling the tricalcium
14 aluminate reactivity in the presence of gypsum. Cement and Concrete Research. 2007;37(10):1418-26.
- 15 [4] Matschei T, Skapa R, Lothenbach B, Glasser FP. The distribution of sulfate in hydrated Portland cement
16 paste. 12th Intern Congress on the Chemistry of Cements. Montreal, Canada2007.
- 17 [5] Lothenbach B, Matschei T, Möschner G, Glasser FP. Thermodynamic modelling of the effect of
18 temperature on the hydration and porosity of Portland cement. Cement and Concrete Research.
19 2008;38(1):1-18.
- 20 [6] Zajac M, Rossberg A, Le Saout G, Lothenbach B. Influence of limestone and anhydrite on the hydration
21 of Portland cements. Cement and Concrete Composites. 2014;46(0):99-108.
- 22 [7] Whittaker M, Zajac M, Ben Haha M, Bullergahn F, Black L. The role of the alumina content of slag, plus
23 the presence of additional sulfate on the hydration and microstructure of Portland cement-slag blends.
24 Cement and Concrete Research. 2014;66:91-101.
- 25 [8] Soroka I, Abayneh M. Effect of gypsum on properties and internal structure of PC paste. Cement and
26 Concrete Research. 1986;16(4):495-504.
- 27 [9] Bentur A. Effect of Gypsum on the Hydration and Strength of C3S Pastes. American Ceramic Society.
28 1976;59(5-6):210-3.
- 29 [10] Gunay S, Garrault S, Nonat A, Termkhajornkit P. Influence of calcium sulphate on hydration and
30 mechanical strength of tricalcium silicate. Intern Congress on the Chemistry of Cements. Madrid,
31 Spain2011. p. 3-8.
- 32 [11] Copeland L. Kantro: Hydration of Portland cement. Proc 5th Intern Congress on the Chemistry of
33 Cements. Tokyo, Japan1968. p. 387-421.
- 34 [12] Durdziński PT, Ben Haha M, Zajac M, Scrivener KL. Phase assemblage of composite cements. Cement
35 and Concrete Research. 2017;99(Supplement C):172-82.
- 36 [13] De Weerd K, Ben Haha M, Le Saout G, Kjellsen KO, Justnes H, Lothenbach B. Hydration mechanisms
37 of ternary Portland cements containing limestone powder and fly ash. Cement and Concrete Research.
38 2011;41(3):279-91.
- 39 [14] Antoni M, Rossen J, Martirena F, Scrivener K. Cement substitution by a combination of metakaolin
40 and limestone. Cement and Concrete Research. 2012;42(12):1579-89.
- 41 [15] Scrivener KL, Vanderley M. John, M. E. Eco-efficient cements: Potential, economically viable solutions
42 for a low-CO₂, cement based materials industry. 2016.
- 43 [16] Lothenbach B, Le Saout G, Gallucci E, Scrivener K. Influence of limestone on the hydration of Portland
44 cements. Cement and Concrete Research. 2008;38(6):848-60.

- 1 [17] Schöler A, Lothenbach B, Winnefeld F, Zajac M. Hydration of quaternary Portland cement blends
2 containing blast-furnace slag, siliceous fly ash and limestone powder. *Cement and Concrete Composites*.
3 2015;55:374-82.
- 4 [18] Adu-Amankwah S, Zajac M, Stabler C, Lothenbach B, Black L. Influence of limestone on the hydration
5 of ternary slag cements. *Cement and Concrete Research*. 2017;100:96-109.
- 6 [19] Matschei T, Lothenbach B, Glasser FP. The role of calcium carbonate in cement hydration. *Cement
7 and Concrete Research*. 2007;37(4):551-8.
- 8 [20] Powers TC, Brownyard TL. Studies of the physical properties of hardened Portland cement paste.
9 *Journal Proceedings* 1946. p. 101-32.
- 10 [21] Scrivener KL. Backscattered electron imaging of cementitious microstructures: understanding and
11 quantification. *Cement and Concrete Composites*. 2004;26(8):935-45.
- 12 [22] Gallé C. Effect of drying on cement-based materials pore structure as identified by mercury intrusion
13 porosimetry: A comparative study between oven-, vacuum-, and freeze-drying. *Cement and Concrete
14 Research*. 2001;31(10):1467-77.
- 15 [23] Ma H. Mercury intrusion porosimetry in concrete technology: tips in measurement, pore structure
16 parameter acquisition and application. *Journal of porous materials*. 2014;21(2):207-15.
- 17 [24] Kulik DA, Wagner T, Dmytrieva SV, Kosakowski G, Hingerl FF, Chudnenko KV, et al. GEM-Selektor
18 geochemical modeling package: revised algorithm and GEM3K numerical kernel for coupled simulation
19 codes. *Computational Geosciences*. 2013;17(1):1-24.
- 20 [25] Wagner T, Kulik DA, Hingerl FF, Dmytrieva SV. GEM-Selektor geochemical modeling package: TSoMod
21 Library and data interface for multicomponent phase models. *The Canadian Mineralogist*.
22 2012;50(5):1173-95.
- 23 [26] Hummel W, Berner U, Curti E, Pearson F, Thoenen T. Nagra/PSI chemical thermodynamic data base
24 01/01. *Radiochimica Acta*. 2002;90(9-11/2002):805-13.
- 25 [27] Hummel W, Berner U, Curti E, Pearson F, Thoenen T. Nagra Technical Report NTB 02-16. Wettingen
26 Switz. 2002.
- 27 [28] Empa - 308 - Concrete/Construction Chemistry - Services.
- 28 [29] Matschei T, Lothenbach B, Glasser FP. Thermodynamic properties of Portland cement hydrates in the
29 system $\text{CaO}-\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3-\text{SiO}_2-\text{CaSO}_4-\text{CaCO}_3-\text{H}_2\text{O}$. *Cement and Concrete Research*. 2007;37(10):1379-
30 410.
- 31 [30] Kulik DA. Improving the structural consistency of C-S-H solid solution thermodynamic models. *Cement
32 and Concrete Research*. 2011;41(5):477-95.
- 33 [31] Bullard JW, Jennings HM, Livingston RA, Nonat A, Scherer GW, Schweitzer JS, et al. Mechanisms of
34 cement hydration. *Cement and Concrete Research*. 2011;41(12):1208-23.
- 35 [32] Scrivener KL, Julland P, Monteiro PJM. Advances in understanding hydration of Portland cement.
36 *Cement and Concrete Research*. 2015;78, Part A:38-56.
- 37 [33] Lothenbach B, Winnefeld F. Thermodynamic modelling of the hydration of Portland cement. *Cement
38 and Concrete Research*. 2006;36(2):209-26.
- 39 [34] Barbarulo R, Peycelon H, Leclercq S. Chemical equilibria between C-S-H and ettringite, at 20 and
40 85 °C. *Cement and Concrete Research*. 2007;37(8):1176-81.
- 41 [35] Kocaba V, Gallucci E, Scrivener KL. Methods for determination of degree of reaction of slag in blended
42 cement pastes. *Cement and Concrete Research*. 2012;42(3):511-25.
- 43 [36] Taylor R, Richardson I, Brydson R. Composition and microstructure of 20-year-old ordinary Portland
44 cement-ground granulated blast-furnace slag blends containing 0 to 100% slag. *Cement and Concrete
45 Research*. 2010;40(7):971-83.
- 46 [37] Zajac M, Skocek J, Adu-Amankwah S, Black L, Ben Haha M. Impact of microstructure on the
47 performance of composite cements: why higher total porosity can result in higher strength. Submitted
48 *Cem Concr Compos*.

- 1 [38] Quennoz A, Scrivener KL. Interactions between alite and C3A-gypsum hydrations in model cements.
2 Cement and Concrete Research. 2013;44(Supplement C):46-54.
- 3 [39] Lothenbach B, Nonat A. Calcium silicate hydrates: Solid and liquid phase composition. Cement and
4 Concrete Research. 2015;78, Part A:57-70.
- 5 [40] Berodier E, Scrivener K. Evolution of pore structure in blended systems. Cement and Concrete
6 Research. 2015;73:25-35.
- 7 [41] Whittaker M, Zajac M, Ben Haha M, Black L. The impact of alumina availability on sulfate resistance
8 of slag composite cements. Construction and Building Materials. 2016;119:356-69.
- 9 [42] Labbez C, Pochard I, Nonat A, Jönsson B. Colloidal Behavior of C-S-H Nanohydrates in Cement Paste.
10 Symposium on concrete modelling (CONMOD 2010). Lausanne, Switzerland 2010. p. 1-4.
- 11 [43] Kantro DL, Weise CH. Hydration of various beta-dicalcium silicate preparations. J Am Ceram Soc.
12 1979;62:621-6.
- 13 [44] Copeland L, Bodor E, Chang T, Weise CH. Reactions of tobermorite gel with aluminates, ferrites and
14 sulfates. Portland Cement Association. 1967.
- 15
16