

This is a repository copy of Beyond electoralism: reflections on anarchy, populism, and the crisis of electoral politics.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/124741/

Version: Published Version

#### Article:

Araujo, E., Ferretti, F., Ince, A. et al. (5 more authors) (2017) Beyond electoralism: reflections on anarchy, populism, and the crisis of electoral politics. ACME: An International E-Journal for Critical Geographies, 16 (4). pp. 607-642. ISSN 1492-9732

#### Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND) licence. This licence only allows you to download this work and share it with others as long as you credit the authors, but you can't change the article in any way or use it commercially. More information and the full terms of the licence here: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/

## **Takedown**

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.





## Beyond Electoralism: Reflections on anarchy, populism, and the crisis of electoral politics

## A Collective of Anarchist Geographers

Erin Araujo, Memorial University of Newfoundland Federico Ferretti, University College Dublin Anthony Ince, Cardiff University Kelvin Mason, para-academic Joshua Mullenite, Florida International University Jenny Pickerill, University of Leicester Toby Rollo, University of British Columbia Richard J White, Sheffield Hallam University InceA@cardiff.ac.uk

#### **Abstract**

This paper is comprised of a series of short, conversational or polemical interventions reflecting on the political 'moment' that has emerged in the wake of the rise of right-populist politics, particularly in the Global North. We position the UK's 'Brexit' vote and the election of Donald Trump as US President as emblematic of this shift, which has a longer genesis and a wider scale than these events alone. In particular, we draw on anarchist principles and approaches to consider opportunities for re-energising and re-orienting our academic and activist priorities in the wake of these turbulent times. Following a short introductory section, in which we collectively discuss key questions, challenges and tensions, each contributor individually draws from their own research or perspective to explore the possibilities of a politics beyond electoralism.

## **Keywords**

Anarchism; populism; social movements; voting



"Not fear but hope in the Apocalypse" (Mandarini, 2008)

#### Introduction

The recent rise of right-populist politics has capitalised on, and nurtured, growing uncertainties and anxieties across Europe and the USA. Following the result of the UK referendum to leave the European Union (Brexit) and the election of Donald Trump as President of the USA, anarchists, like everyone else caught up in the aftermath of this electoral whirlwind, found themselves in a turbulent political environment. This environment had emerged out of – and produced new space for – an intensification of political polarisation and particularly the mainstreaming of populist hard-right policies, discourses and values. To recoil is unavoidable but to retreat from struggles in such challenging times only serves to embolden the kind of destructive politics that we must confront. Herein, we are interested in what is faced, what ways forward exist, and how to actively generate a hopeful politics beyond electoralism as a form of resistance (Solnit, 2016) in our various academic and activist positionalities.

The populist reactions in western democracies against political-economic elites is neither a cause for optimism and celebration nor a time for lamentation and despair at the failure of 'good citizens' to adhere to liberal representative democracy's norms and expectations. In practice, the electoral alternatives offered were no real alternatives at all. To vote 'Remain' in the UK meant the continuation of David Cameron's austerity government and the aggressive implementation of EU neoliberal trade policies. To vote against Trump effectively meant voting for a right-wing liberal, Hillary Clinton, as US Commander-in-Chief; a foreign policy 'hawk' who backed coercive regime change in Iraq, Libya and Honduras. Even those with a more progressive agenda, like left-populist political parties such as Podemos in Spain or the UK Labour Party's recent swing to the left, the crushing of Syriza in Greece is a particularly bruising example of how the matrices of power which such politics orbit are designed to discipline and quell even mildly divergent hopes and dreams when they become a threat to ruling establishments.

The aim of this intervention is therefore to understand recent political crises and transformations through anarchists' critiques of power relations, intersectional injustices, and narratives of revolt and freedom. Much of this paper is far from academic in tone, format, referencing, and style – and deliberately so – in order to clear pathways that might otherwise have been obscured. Specifically, pathways beyond electoralism – beyond a self-defeating cycle of reliance on the sovereign violence of coercive leadership – are urgently needed. Faced with a widely-felt crisis of liberal representative democracy in the Global North, and coupled with the ugly resurgence of authoritarian and far-right ideologies, what might collective responses of anarchists and other egalitarian anti-authoritarian perspectives look like, sound like, or feel like?

As geographers, social scientists and social movement activists, many of us have experienced frustration across the field of 'critical' scholarship. Experts in leftist critique and deconstruction now tend to occupy decidedly comfortable positions in the proverbial Ivory Tower of academia. Yet, efforts to propose concrete ideas, strategies or approaches within the pages of critical academic publications can face considerable resistance. In the newly-emerging political landscape, especially in polities and political cultures of the Global North, it is beneficial to step back from the comfort of critique and think carefully about what knowledge our academic labour is producing, and its relevance to imagining and creating new forms, structures, and relations. These, we believe, must recognise the grounded realities of the present but boldly prefigure alternative futures nonetheless. As such, recognising, envisioning and enacting (anarchistic) spaces of hope and liberation in the present moment necessitates a keen focus on praxis – on putting ideas into action – learning from, collaborating with, and ensuring their applicability for social movements and other radical initiatives.

Europe and the USA are not the only regions in the world to experience a populist surge, nor are they the first. There is also a worrying global trend to the right – to right-populism and neo-fascism – which suggests that the problems lie not just with specific electoral systems but in how we organise as societies and understand that organisation politically. While some on the left propose the need for new progressive political parties or blocs, anarchist alternatives look beyond electoralism and explore the possibilities of direct democracy and new post-statist epistemologies (and ontologies). We also acknowledge the need for our alternatives to reach out beyond predictable and perhaps stale ideology, and beyond familiar platforms, to appeal to disaffected and self-disenfranchising citizens. How, in short, should anarchism engage with populism? In what places and spaces can we meet to contest and construct the political in our diverse contexts (Mouffe, 1999)?

## **Anarchist perspectives**

The infamous circled-A represents Pierre-Joseph Proudhon's maxim "anarchy is order", yet the stereotype of anti-authoritarian politics is quite the opposite. Our individual contributions in this paper point to the recurring theme of how horizontal and anti-authoritarian forms of organisation are a central component of an effective response. Indeed as Schneider (2017) has argued:

'the bulk of anarchist tradition has sought for people to be better organised in their everyday lives—while they work, where they live, how they manage disagreements. This type of power emanates from below, and it is shared. Anarchists aspire to a kind of world in which the Donald Trumps among us can shout all they want but nobody has the need for flocking to them. *Real*, *daily democracy does not leave much room for quite so much greatness*.' (emphasis added)

Beyond the strict hierarchies of political parties - be they revolutionary or reformist in nature - anarchist organisational imaginaries and strategic analyses are diverse. Consider the highly-disciplined 'platformist' tradition that emerged from the Makhnovshchina's vast anarchist Black Armies in the Russian Revolution (Arshinov, 2005), or the mass anarchist-communist collectivisation of large parts of Spain in the mid-1930s (Peirats, 2010). More recently, the Bookchin-inspired organisational structures that have developed in the absence of a functioning state in Rojava and the longevity of insurrectionary communities of the Zapatistas in Chiapas are clear examples of effective mass horizontal organisation, but also examples of how anarchistic forms vary in relation to their diverse geographical and historical contexts. The origins of these forms are likewise diverse – springing from complex regional histories and movements that, rather than 'fizzling out' or becoming co-opted, actually flourished, became embedded, and developed complex organisational cultures and structures for moving beyond immediate moments of transformation, crisis, or collapse. These sources of inspiration - both in inspiration's emotive and institutional/organisational senses - can help us trace the genealogies and trajectories of new forms, and thereby identify potential leverage points and courses of action.

Nevertheless, there is an altogether more everyday dimension of anarchistic approaches to form, structure, and strategy; one that lives and breathes among us irrespective of political persuasion, and which, therefore, has enduring allure in anarchist imaginations. As several of our contributions outline below, there is a wide range of anarchistic organisational forms that operate daily - within, against, and beyond capitalist-statist spaces and relations. As Schneider details above, anarchism generates great power through these often rather mundane acts at the grassroots. There is an important everyday quality to these ways of being which can create different relations in society. It is a belief in the power of horizontality, of individuals organising equally with each other, of grassroots self-determination, which binds together our approaches to anarchism. However, many such examples (e.g. trust and collaboration in organisations, sharing, hospitality, responsibility) are so ingrained in various modes of accumulation and coercion that they are often barely distinguishable from that against which we fight. This anarchy on which capitalism and state power are based raises important questions regarding how to expand such relations and disembed them from the machine that feeds off their vitality.

This collective conception of autonomy on which anarchists base their thinking also unearths intersections between tactical decisions and ethical commitments. For example, we may agree that in contrast to the coercive violence of the state, acts of physical confrontation with the far-right or police are critical ruptures from this monopoly of violence that acts upon us every day. Moreover, the intersecting oppressions of capitalist-statist society mean that the violence of that society is wielded many times more on certain groups than on others. Therefore violence against the representatives of oppressive structures could be legitimate -

even liberating - in some circumstances. In the fallout from Trump's victory and the anonymous attack on US 'alt-right' poster boy Richard Spencer, the question "is it ethical to punch a Nazi?" became a point of debate across the political spectrum. However, accepting the critique of statist violences does not necessarily lead to the conclusion that all violence committed against structures of domination is justified in and of itself. As Emmanuel Levinas would suggest, partly following Kropotkin's and Tolstoy's anarchist ethics, our entwinement with the other (whoever they may be, and whatever they may represent) necessarily demands of us an ethical sensibility rooted in a radical co-responsibility for all others at all times. Politically, Hannah Arendt argues that while 'Violence can destroy power; it is utterly incapable of creating it' (1970, p.59). Acknowledging the contextuality of tactical violence, Uri Gordon suggests that anarchists must 'be responsible, experiment and keep their options open' (2008, p.108). How, then, can we forge practical solidarities between different tactics and approaches that appear to be at odds with one another? Learning from the 'messy' debates and discussions within movements themselves, again, may present answers that academics all too easily overlook in their search for 'neat', logical conclusions.

What those who seek to move beyond electoralism now face, therefore, is a complex matrix of challenges and opportunities in the present turbulence and uncertainty. This raises the question, addressed in many of our individual contributions that follow, of how realistic interventions can be made to carve spaces for forms of scholarship and praxis that can not only prefigure the futures we seek but also make concrete impacts in present struggles. These interventions will necessarily differ according to the context in which organising and mobilisation takes place. The tactical diversity of anarchist approaches thus comes into its element; freed from the constraints of the Party and ballot box, the configurations through which we might act become myriad.

## What next? Nurturing spaces for action

The post-electoral moment signalled by Brexit and the election of President Trump threatens to become an epoch defined by othering, jingoism, and attacks on the most vulnerable, particularly migrants. The responses gathered here highlight the mobilisation of diverse geographies in response to right-populism to generate forms of hopeful and resistant politics. Federico Ferretti recovers the insights of early anarchist geographers, stressing the need for anarchist academics to rediscover links with grassroots movements. In an exchange with his imaginary Socratic interlocutor, Kelvin Mason considers local activist responses to populism (see also Finley, 2017). Toby Rollo's engagement with white supremacy considered as love calls into question how academics construct justice from positions of privilege. Decolonising electoral politics is Erin Araujo's focus, questioning a blanket anarchist rejection of engagement with electoral politics through the example of the CNI in Mexico. Joshua Mullenite argues that the shift to right-wing populism will have little impact on the catastrophic climate change

already set in motion through neoliberal forms of government. Richard White argues for an ethics of care in activism. A tactical anarchist focus on anti-fascism is considered by Anthony Ince. Finally, Jenny Pickerill considers the generation of prefigurative politics in the present.

A number of themes cut across and emerge from these diverse individual responses:

- 1. Scale, and the continuing need for anarchists to engage with the local and grassroots while developing strategies to counter a global trend.
- 2. Praxis, whereby anarchist academics have an obligation to work constructing alternatives as much as formulating critique.
- 3. Communalism, co-constructing town, village, and neighbourhood assemblies and federations as alternatives to electoral state politics.
- 4. Inclusion, or how anarchists can reach 'disenfranchised' citizens who have turned to populism to co-construct alternative stories of collectively reclaiming the power.
- 5. 'Acting up', acknowledging the imperative to keep battling, stirring things up, making a noise and disrupting new regimes even if it may look as if we are losing.
- 6. An ethic of care and mutual aid as integral to anarchist responses, as well as critical engagement with right-wing populisms.

In the contributions that follow, we draw from our individual research interests and activisms to discuss analyses, critiques, and proposals for moving forward - boldly but mindfully - into the new political period that faces us. In many ways, we should not see this shift as a sudden rupture but as an intensification and a rendering-visible of dynamic conditions and relations that have existed for some time (e.g. Ingram, 2017). As such, when we refer to 'moving forward' we do not propose a singular, teleological programme of action; indeed, to move forward is a situated and contextual practice that requires a certain relational negotiation between oneself and what stands ahead. Forward, beyond what confronts us, is a multitude of possibilities for developing new, perhaps liberatory, ways of researching, relating, and organising. Despite - or, perhaps, precisely *because of* - such ambiguities in tracing out pathways, we feel it is important that scholars take this intensification as an opportunity for revisiting our priorities, practices, and understandings.

## What now? First, let's stop "being dupes"!

#### Federico Ferretti

The anarchist tradition contains a rich set of ideas on the inadequacy of electoral politics for a program of social transformation; nevertheless, this "classical" corpus

is generally overlooked, or even discarded with some sense of superiority, by most of contemporary scholarship. As the early anarchist tradition and the geographical one intersect significantly, it is worth considering which insights early anarchist geographers can furnish to present day non-electoral politics and their spatialities. Contemporary criticisms of elections as rituals of giving away power (Purcell, 2014) resonates clearly with the arguments of the authors I address here.

Anarchist critiques of parliamentary politics started from Pierre Joseph Proudhon's deception after his experience at the 1848 *Assemblée nationale constituante*, the assembly which followed the insurrections of February 1848 and ruled the French Second Republic from 4 May 1848 to 26 May 1849. The first political thinker who labelled himself explicitly as "an anarchist", Proudhon hoped to represent there the revolutionary aspirations of the working classes. The failure of the Second Republic to perform a social revolution and the repression and reaction which followed are considered by anarchist thinkers like Kropotkin (1896) as a milestone in the definitive rift between anarchism and parliamentarianism, and inspired Proudhon's famous statement that being governed means to be "noted, registered, enrolled, taxed, stamped, measured, numbered, assessed, licensed, authorized, admonished, forbidden, reformed, corrected, punished .... repressed, fined, despised, harassed, tracked, abused, clubbed, disarmed, choked, imprisoned, judged, condemned, shot, deported, sacrificed, sold, betrayed ... mocked, ridiculed, outraged, dishonoured" (Proudhon 1851, 341).

These words, which are considered to anticipate the contemporary concept of biopolitics (Springer 2013, 117), were echoed by anarchist geographers Reclus and Kropotkin in their respective claims against French elections in the 1880s. Reclus (quoted from the version published by the journal *Freedom* in 1910) argued that "to vote is to be dupes" because "to vote is to abdicate, to nominate one or more masters for a period short or long to renounce one's own sovereignty" (*Freedom* 249, January 1910, 4). The same concept was expressed by Kropotkin, who added that political corruption leads to a loss of interest for political participation: "What a shame that there are no special trains to allow the electors to see their 'Chamber' at work! They would soon be disgusted. .... To this rabble of nonentities the people abandons all its rights, except that of dismissing them from time to time and naming others in their places [so that] the great mass of the people ends up losing interest in the comedy" (Kropotkin 1885, 197).

Reclus and Kropotkin focused then on organisation and competences, drawing on geographical matters such as the critique of centralist state in favour of decentralisation. According to Reclus, people should stop believing "that men like yourselves acquire suddenly at the tinkling of a bell the power of knowing and understanding everything. Your mandatories having to legislate on everything, from lucifer matches to ships of war, from clearing off caterpillars from trees to the extermination of peoples, red or black, it must seem to you that their intelligence will enlarge the virtue of the immensity of the task" (*Freedom* 249, January 1910, 4). Kropotkin, who experienced the inefficiency of central administration since his

explorations in Siberia, was equally sarcastic: "Your representative is expected to express an opinion ... on the whole infinitely various series of questions that surge up in that formidable machine -- the centralized State. He must vote the dog tax and the reform of university instruction, without ever having set foot in a university or known a country dog. ... He will vote on phylloxera, on tobacco, on guano, on elementary education and on the sanitation of the cities .... He will kill the vine, imagining he is protecting it; he will vote for reforestation against pasture, and protect the pastures against the forests. He will know all about railways.... An omniscient and omnipotent Proteus, today soldier, tomorrow pig breeder, in turn banker, academician, sewer-cleaner, doctor, astronomer, drug manufacturer, currier and merchant, ... in the Chamber his opinion becomes law" (Kropotkin 1885, 197-198).

A critique of the different dimensions of power is apparent in Reclus's idea that "power has always made its possessors foolish ... if you send your mediocrities into a place of corruption, be not astonished if they come out corrupted" (*Freedom* 249, January 1910, 5). These statements also show that early anarchists did not cultivate much illusions on the "good nature" of human beings, because they considered that gaining political power is likely to corrupt well-intentioned people. This concept was also developed by Errico Malatesta, who argued that parliamentary mandates had a bad pedagogical impact for both elected and electors, as the former might be corrupted by the mechanism they entered and the latter might lose the habit of direct struggle once accustomed to delegating to others.

The famous Italian anarchist also clarified that an anarchist refusal of voting is not an absolute one, because a vote can be considered when it has a direct value, e.g. the vote at a free assembly. There, an anarchist criterion is not necessarily seeking unanimous consensus, but ensuring that a majority should not be able to impose its decisions to a minority, and that every individual is entitled to keep only the engagements they freely accepted. According to Malatesta, "it is not true that it is impossible to act together if there is not the agreement of everybody ... what is true is that, if a minority cedes to a majority, it must be by its free will" (L'Agitazione, 14 March 1897). It is worth noting that these ideas owed to a complex and problematic conception of power: anarchists like Malatesta acknowledged the multidimensional nature of power including what is currently called its 'microscale', anticipating later elaborations on this topic. As shown by recent scholarship, their way to counter power at all scales was first and foremost federalist egalitarian and horizontal organisation, tough militants such as Malatesta and Luigi Fabbri (1877-1935) remain disgracefully little-known to contemporary English-speaking scholarship (Ferretti, 2016; Turcato, 2015).

A prefigurative example of the use of non-statist decisional scales came with the 1936-39 Spanish collectivisation (Breitbart, 1978), following the 1936 definition of *Libertarian Communism* by the CNT, based on the three levels of "the individual, the commune, the federation" (Puente, 2013). The Spanish case also

shows that the refusal of 'tactical' voting is not a religious dogma for anarchists, as a great part of the CNT activists voted at the 1936 elections because the left had promised liberation of political prisoners in the case of victory, though this choice has been harshly criticised by anarchist historiography (Richards, 1953).

Why should one consider now these authors, writings and concepts? Because experiences of direct democracy and bottom-up organisation, from Chiapas to Rojava, are rediscovering this set of ideas while, on the other side, the political left remains unable to provide alternatives to the existing order all over the world. Thus, the emergence of figures like Trump and the advance of the far right in Europe are a result of this failure. The anarchist tradition provides a number of experiences of spatial and social prefiguration and a related corpus of critical thinking with which critical scholarship (and not only the anarchist one) should engage more in order to enhance the transformation of society starting by the spaces and scales at which decisions are made. Geographers and other scholars can contribute to this by rediscovering links with grassroots movements and by reviving this critical tradition beyond disciplinary barriers and beyond the walls of academic institutions, assuming in this the example of early anarchist geographers such as Reclus and Kropotkin, who refused political power but did not neglect any way to reach wider publics. This included collaboration with both popular and specialized publishers; contribution to both mainstream and militant journals; conferences in academic contexts and learned societies as well as in public meetings and protest mobilisations; and interdisciplinary, multilingual and transnational approaches as a challenge to nationalist and institutional (academic and non-academic) ways of producing knowledge (Ferretti, 2014).

## On anarchist responses to electoral populism: a dialogue from the edge

#### Kelvin Mason

You look troubled,' the Gadfly said, landing on my laptop.

'Well, Brexit then Trump... Most of my political community is despondent, terrified even. Where do we go from here?' What am I to write? How am I to write?'

'Your local political community is unusual, isn't it?'

'Living on the west Wales coast, we're not only on a geographic edge but a political one too. Our representative democracy at both the Wales and UK government scales is contested between peripheral parties, Plaid Cymru and the Liberal Democrats, both pro-Remain. We're the most Europhile area in Britain (YouGov, 2016).'

'But your personal activism is with social movements outside electoral politics?'

'Outside but not beyond. In a small town, in a rural area, such activism must involve alliances with groups from the more progressive political parties. And, though we campaign against the political establishment, in the immediate reality we are most often seeking to change it through its institutions rather than overthrow it. The local authority, itself oppressed by central government policies, can be an ally.'

'And why are people terrified? What's changed? Surely your struggles were largely defined by the European Union and establishment politics in the US, neoliberal economic policies and global military aggression as ready examples?'

'The electoral choices in both the UK and US was between two wrongs, either of which would result in a shade of right – politically, not morally (e.g. Mason, 2016a, Van Reybrouck, 2016; Mounk, 2017). Regarding Brexit, my local community has already mobilised against an increasing incidence of hate crime and the imminent prospect of diluting environmental regulation. With Trump as President-elect, people's fears for themselves, never mind for women's and minority rights within the US, are even more existential: climate change, even nuclear war (e.g. Mehta, 2016).'

'I repeat, though,' the Gadfly said, rolling his compound eyes, 'what's changed?'

'Populism. Explicitly, right-wing populism. Judis proposes that left-wing populism champions 'the people' against an elite and/or an establishment (Judis, 2016). Right wing populism does the same, but in addition scapegoats others – 'out' groups, typically immigrants – whom it claims the elite/establishment favours over the people.'

'And the definition of 'the people' is a moveable feast?'

'As suits the populist rhetoric of the moment. But in the case of Brexit, the people are mainly defined as white and British, especially English.'

'And for Trump, white and American, whatever American signifies?' The Gadfly checked, pacing the keyboard, 'So, 'the people' are working class, particularly unemployed, under-employed and lowly-paid workers whose misfortunes populist rhetoric attributes to immigrants, outsiders prepared to work for lower wages under inferior conditions?'

'While the same or perhaps another 'out group' is blamed for crime, terrorism and other social problems - the strain on health services, for instance.'

'Trump is still part of a very establishment political party, however?'

'And the populist discourse that helped swing the Brexit vote emanated mainly from UKIP which, although an anti-establishment party, did not gain any direct political power from the Leave decision.'

'Not straight-forward right-wing populist party political coups, then? Given that right-wing, establishment political parties will continue to exercise power in the UK and US through the institutions of the state and in favour of corporate capitalism, I ask again: what's changed for anarchist struggles?'

'Right-wing populism is even more morally reprehensible than right-wing elitism. It conjures skewed visions of social justice based on notions of nation and identity, home, belonging and territory. But such visions clearly appeal to a lot of people in the UK and US.'

'Not to mention in other western nations currently - France, Austria, Italy, Hungary and the Netherlands.'

'Owen Jones wrote that the left needs a 'new populism' (Jones, 2016). Among some comrades in social movements, his proposal received a hostile response. Associating populism with an appeal to self-interest, othering, charismatic and fickle leaders (e.g. Crick, 2002), one social media response ran: 'We need to fight for what is right without compromising any of our values. Only by repeating our truths time and time again will we achieve a just society.'

'Except that repeating our 'truths' isn't working?'

'Repetition is but one aspect of communication. And aren't the left going to make space for new truths - new knowledges? It's a pity that Owen Jones used the term populism in his title, because what he was actually asking for was not any compromise of values 'in the fight against racism, misogyny and homophobia but it (the left) must work out how to do that in a way that connects with the unreached... We need an emotionally compelling vision. Because we know that stating the facts and hoping for the best will not blunt the Right or build a progressive alliance (Jones, 2016)."

'Despondency doesn't suit you,' the Gadfly decided, rubbing his forelegs together, 'and it won't help your local community. You need to get on with building that defiant hope you talk about, from the ashes (Solnit, 2009, 2016). Some have presented the rise of right-wing populism as an opportunity, tuning into to its anti-establishment strand, calling for progressive international alliances (e.g. Mason, 2016b, Varoufakis, 2016ab, Žižek, 2016).'

'If it is an opportunity, we need to develop our emotionally compelling vision differently from past efforts, and present it very differently – much more creatively and poetically via different media and forms. (e.g. Brown, 2015; Mason, 2017; Sartre, 2001; Springer, 2017; Thompson, 2012). To compliment the hard-graft of traditional grassroots politics, we need a politics of art.'

'From what you've said, progressive alliances are already in formation locally?'

'As a primary instance, we have a People's Assembly that involves members of the more progressive parties as well as people who might self-identify

as anarchists. Such alliances can reach the unreached. Certainly, our local People's Assembly has attracted or re-attracted a number of dis-engaged people to become actively involved in politics. Moreover, through their agenda for action, our People's Assembly group is consciously reaching out to support people betrayed by establishment politics: the homeless, users of foodbanks, those on workfare and zero hours contracts...'

'Bookchin wrote,' the Gadfly said, taking off and landing on a book, 'that 'to get from a centralised statist 'here' to a civically decentralised and confederal 'there'', we need conscious movements 'to seek out counter-institutions that stand in opposition to the power of the nation state (Bookchin, 1989).''

'And he's clear that he doesn't mean marginalised communes or co-ops, but a libertarian municipal movement that 'establishes a system of confederal relationships between municipalities; one that will form a regional power in its own right.'''

'Bookchin would favour making space for new knowledges. He would surely also back new ways of communicating such knowledges. What he highlights is that ideas such as a 'Progressive International' or 'all-European Left' can perhaps – and should perhaps - begin with progressive local alliances.'

'For me, the challenge is to develop emotionally compelling visions – plural, rooted in the local, which eschew populist irrationalities – othering or exclusionary localism (Mason & Whitehead, 2012, see also Featherstone, 2012; Brown & Yaffe, 2014).'

'Judging by your comrade's reaction to Owen Jones' proposal, I anticipate that creatively, poetically and dramatically developing such visions might meet with more opposition from within progressive alliances than from the Right?!'

'There's a lot of work to do; we need to communicate.'

'Get typing, then' the Gadfly said, and flew away.

## Love and Hate: The Center and the Periphery of Whiteness

## Toby Rollo

"Today I believe in the possibility of love; that is why I endeavour to trace its imperfections, its perversions." — Frantz Fanon

Following the Brexit referendum and the election of Donald Trump, many have expressed shock and discontent that racist and xenophobic movements have secured democratic legitimacy and further emboldened a politics of hate and anger. Many concerned citizens claim that the phenomena of Brexit and Trump reflects an unprecedented infusion of malevolence into the political mainstream. This may be true, yet the heightened anxiety is also indicative of a preoccupation with the vocal periphery of white nationalism, a relatively small group that is motivated by

ignorant, hateful, and authoritarian ideologies. Overlooked by this telescopic fixation on a rise of political belligerence and prejudice, endorsed and encouraged by a declaredly 'ignorant' set of citizens, are those forms of racist and colonial domination that characterize progressive cosmopolitan politics. Exclusionary violence is also prevalent in more progressive segments of political society, though these elements are obscured insofar as they tend to be inspired by love and empathy rather than hate and anger.

There are at least four popular assumptions regarding white nationalism that obscure its connections to progressive ideals that are motivated by love and empathy: (a) that whiteness reflects adherence to a political ideology, (b) that it is overtly based on a racial hierarchy, (c) that it is cultivated in conditions of misinformation and ignorance, (d) and that it is motivated by fear, anger and hate. While these features do describe the rather thin periphery of vocal and vulgar racism, as a definition it fails to capture the motivations and the harm perpetuated within the political mainstream. Mainstream white nationalism finds expression in even the most benign discourses of progress, modernity, and civilization (Mignolo, 2011). It is characterized by a set of practices and relationships that preserve and promote European civilizational ideals including the privileging of mind over body, reason over emotion, the modern over the primitive, and commerce over mutual aid. Historically, exclusionary violence operated through the doctrinal veneration of European ideals of mind, reason, civilization, and markets over their corresponding subordinates (associated in the early-modern era with the peoples of Africa, Asia, and the Americas). The ideological and material violence thrust on the globe by European empire, colonialism, and slavery were justified through the authority granted to these ostensibly inclusive liberal principles. The 'white man's burden' was thus conceived of as a relationship that required the cultivation of the mind and reason through the education of the primitive non-European, the promotion of literacy and sophistication, the establishment of a civilized political order predicated on liberal notions of citizenship rather than parochial kinship relations, and the generation of wealth through the imposition of private property and free market economies.

Few of the catastrophes precipitated by the Euro-American civilizational project have been a product of malice, and there is no necessary link between the idea of European supremacy and spurious theories of biological race or racial hierarchy. Indeed, the racial segregation of humanity emerged to buttress an already existing system of colonization structured by the identification of enlightened humanity with the reasoning mind, the indubitable value assigned to formal education, along with the veneration of the intellect, civility, and citizen spirit. From the outset, mainstream white nationalists have been animated by love and compassion for those who uphold moral doctrines of education, citizenship, and capitalism, as well as empathetic regret those uneducated, illiterate, poor, and stateless groups who represent a moral tragedy (Williams, 2012). Whatever happiness these groups might claim is dismissed as the inane pleasure of the fool or

the infant whose debased condition calls for an intervention of compassionate discipline and tutelage. The vast majority of white nationalists abhor the grotesque propaganda espoused by their vulgar racist cousins. It is love, not hate, which stands as the central pillar of modern exclusionary politics, enabling the coercion and disciplining of those who do not aspire to its civilizational ideals.

Whiteness manifests in love for God and country; in the way people naturalize parental authority, discipline, and even pain as necessary to civilize human beings out of primitive childhood (a process associated with unconditional parental love); it manifests in the way parents and young children bond over racist narratives and nursery rhymes; in the way laughter and racist humour brings people closer to their uncles and cousins; in the honour bestowed on parents and grandparents who fought in wars predicated on the preservation of empire; in the sympathy directed to the parent, sibling, or good friend whose livelihood has been exported to a developing country; in the way citizenship in a particular nation-state is associated with esteem and even virtue while the most vulnerable are compelled to undertake 'criminal' transgressions of national borders; in the way the memories that constitute people focus on a formative family home or a home-land made possible through the ongoing dispossession and genocide of Indigenous peoples; in the convivial distribution of wealth among friends and family made possible by centuries of African and Indigenous enslavement; in the way people enact care and reciprocity through gifts manufactured by enslaved brown and black children; in the way the success of businesses, colleagues, and commercial ventures hinges on the ongoing global exploitation of labour and displacement of vulnerable communities. In these ways and many more, white nationalism and loving relations are co-constitutive.

It is not without irony that an individual's ignorance and lack of education are seen as the basic pre-conditions of exclusionary politics. It is, after all, precisely the alleged ignorance of non-Europeans that led to their exclusion and racialization in the first place (Rollo, 2016a). This irony seems sufficient to give pause for a critical reassessment of the place of progress in progressive movements, and to consider that the bonds of whiteness are not intellectual or ideological but affective and relational. The superiority of European society is not a hypothesis awaiting contradictory evidence, nor a philosophical premise open to superior argument, nor is it an ideology or popular myth that can be undone by a powerful counternarrative or new political party. The bonds of white nationalism are emotional and directed at values that are not exclusive to Republicans, Brexit supporters, or Trump voters. We find the same affection for education and industriousness extolled by Clinton, Sanders, Stein, Corbyn, and virtually every other political figure in memory. The emergence of Brexit and Trump, along with any corresponding rise in overt racial rancour, must be understood as emerging against a backdrop of the progressive civilizational violence that we refuse to name.

The vast majority of white nationalists are not cartoonish red-necks or skinheads who subscribe to social Darwinist fictions or pseudo-scientific racial categories. Rather, they exist among the masses of moderates, centrists, liberal egalitarians, progressives, and socialists who reject the explicit violence of racialization while proudly upholding the natural superiority of literate society, of civilized society, of market society over all else. Mainstream white nationalists might model themselves as activists, or as staunch supporters of affirmative action, or as allies of Black Lives Matter, or as advocates for a borderless society, or as dedicated students of postcolonial scholarship. But far from upholding the plurality of ways of being, knowing, and living, they commit themselves to principles of justice and progress tellingly actualized through the very systems of schooling, enfranchisement, and employment deployed at the historical zenith of assimilationist colonial politics. The tenor of dialogue and the demeanour of interaction may be soft, inclusive, and civil, but the preservation of whiteness is no less present.

A simple association between vulgar racism and violence allows mainstream white nationalism to operate under pernicious illusions. Our experts hold, for instance, that the number of domestic hate crimes is an appropriate social barometer of racism and xenophobia, ignoring the carnage imposed globally as states force the world into a Euro-American civilizational mould. Likewise, citizens perceive that a lull in racist threats and vandalism signals a greater social context of equality, all but ignoring the orderly and bureaucratic destruction of black and Indigenous peoples in homes, schools, workplaces, and prisons. Citizens hold that mass electoral politics originally devised and sustained in the context of slavery and settler colonial genocide somehow provides the most effective bulwark against these forces. If we wish to understand the robustness of white nationalist institutions, which is essential to the goal of abolition and decolonization, we can no longer suffer under the delusion that violence marches predominantly under the banners of racism, hate, and ignorance. Rather, we must confront the reality that the forces of whiteness are marshalled around perverse yet durable relations of love and conviviality that prefigure democratic politics.

What is to be done? I submit that reorganizing relations of love and conviviality around genuine political equality and plurality will require a reorientation of society around the sites of childhood where we are first introduced to civilizational ideals (Rollo 2016b). It is in childhood that future citizens are trained into an affinity for these values and come to internalize the 'white man's burden'. It is in childhood that a perverse love is encouraged for those who complete the arc of the human *telos* from 'ignorant savage' to 'civilized Man' and cultivate a paternalistic empathy for those who refuse to follow its course. It is as children that we come to experience first-hand how coercion, violence, and power are necessary to propel human beings along that moral trajectory of education, citizenship, and labour. Before electoral politics can make a difference, a genuine revolution of political thought and practice requires a revolution of these relations.

## **Decolonising Electoral Politics**

## Erin Araujo

The struggle for the right to vote has cost the lives of many women and men, and the desire to participate in the decision-making process of the nation-state and other governing bodies runs deep for many people. Electoral politics are presented to its publics as democracy, duty, voice, choice, membership in a nation, gender and racial power, enfranchisement, and even condoning a subjectivity of "being of worth". In my view, however, electoral politics as majority vote and/or Electoral College is an assembled actor and tool in a system of explicit hierarchies used to maintain an untouchable, un-malleable epistemic praxis of socio-political-economic networked power relationships.

It is my understanding that people want to have a voice about how they live and participate in their communities. However, living within the territory of a nation-state we are given seemingly few choices about how to participate in local, regional-state and national politics. Rather, participation in politics is often limited to interactions between the individual and the government. Be it through education or violence, entertainment or oppression, one is constantly aware that they are directed and controlled through a series of steps, agreements, bureaucracies and laws (Graeber, 2016).

Writing from the perspectives of decoloniality and anarchism, I argue that national elections and referendums are mechanisms that reify a continuance of coloniality/modernism. I then contrast the recent proposal of the National Indigenous Congress [Congreso Nacional Indigena, (CNI) in Spanish] in Mexico to place an indigenous woman candidate in the 2017 presidential elections with the western concept of electoral democracy.

The fall 2016 presidential elections and public referendums in the Americas have driven many people to reflect on electoral politics. Donald Trump in the United States of America was elected with 46.3% of the voting-age population not voting (United States Electoral project, 2016), Daniel Ortega in Nicaragua was elected with 37.75% of the vote (where abstentions range from 40%-80%) (La Prensa, 2016), and the referendum on the peace accords between the FARC and the government of Colombia were rejected with more than 60% of the population not voting and a 0.5% margin on the results (Lafuente, 2016). While each of these decisions was made in the name of democracy and democratic process, it is difficult to find the demos in these events. Rather, limited percentages of each population participated, favouring right-wing politics of racism, sexism, xenophobia and neoliberal projects of big business that further the United Nations 2030 Development Goals. It is important to situate the United States of America in the same discursive space as Nicaragua and Colombia, for within a discourse of decoloniality the developed and developing worlds are parts of the same construction. Decoloniality suggests a de-westernisation in both theory and practice

by prising apart history through a lens that privileges an equality of epistemes and ontos<sup>1</sup> across geographies and histories.

Walter Mignolo (2009) writes that the concept of democracy, in a genealogy of Western thought arising from the Greco-Roman empire, became foundational during the European Renaissance, the same time that the conquest of the Americas began. While democracy as a practice applicable to the governance of a nation-state – or even the existence of nation-states in general – began centuries later, other imperialist practices within that genealogy, including territorial expansion, cultural destruction and homogenisation, epistemicide, and enslavement, were used excessively. Democracy in the Americas has always been a project of coloniality.

When Europeans arrived in the Americas there was already an immense diversity of decision-making practices around territory, governance and cultural practices in general. Authors such as Lenkersdorf (2002), Quintero Weir (2013), Mignolo (2009) and Zibechi (2010) have examined how communal thinking in various parts of the indigenous Americas continues in use around local politics, economics and other necessary decision-making spaces. The communal here refers not to socialist communes but rather to an episteme of an ecology of knowledges where well-being within a community of people, the land and ecosystems come together. While democratic decision-making constructs individuals that decide for themselves who will lead (with few options to choose from) and then compiles votes to create a majority, a communal politics refers to a process of many (both human and non-human actors) deciding how the well-being of all will continue. In an anarchist decolonial communality this process would establish all participants as equals.

It is in this sense of communal well-being that the CNI in Mexico presented a proposal for an indigenous woman candidate to run in the 2017 presidential elections. While the proposal is (as of December, 2016) under consultation in over fifty indigenous regions of Mexico, it has sparked debate not only in the regions of the consultation but among those living and working in solidarity with the Zapatistas in Chiapas and the larger movement of communities associated with the CNI. It is currently unknown how the candidacy will manifest if it is approved. The Zapatistas have expressed that it will have a non-capitalist form, driven with the goal of privileging the experience of indigenous communities and their struggles nationally. This process reinforces the need to return to local decision-making practices, increased engagement in one's community and furthering the belief that

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Where ontos is the noun of ontology, if ontology is the study of ways of being then ontos is subject of that study. Ontos are the foundations of ways of being and the discourse that evolves therein.

each person has a right to participate in the governance process. By way of conclusion I offer a comment by Walter Mignolo (2009): "The left, with its European genealogy of thought, cannot have the monopoly over the right to imagine what a non-capitalist future shall be. There are many non-capitalist pasts that can be drawn from, many experiences and memories that perhaps do not wish to be civilised – neither by the right nor by the left."

#### Paris Can't Save Us

#### Joshua Mullenite

With the election of Donald Trump to the Office of the President and the results of the United Kingdom's "Brexit" referendum signalling the beginning of the end for the country's membership in the European Union, there has been a growing concern among scientists, policymakers, and environmentalists over the future of the Paris Climate Agreement, a global regulatory measure designed to reduce the impacts of climate change by limiting global warming to 2°C (Schiermeier, 2016; Scott, 2016; UNFCCC, 2015; Wernick, 2016). Though the agreement lacks a mechanism for legally binding member countries to meeting this goal (Dimitrov, 2016), in the world of liberal democratic politics this concern is justified. If two of the most powerful industrial states change their relationship to the agreement it could prove a fatal blow to the stated goals of the Paris Agreement, increasing our collective perpetual vulnerability by removing one of the regulatory measures meant to mitigate against it. However, a focus limited to the ways in which electoral results impact the implementation of global climate agreements ignores a bigger and broader issue: global agreements, like the recent focus in environmental and disaster policy on building "resilience" (see e.g. Grove, 2014), are concerned primarily with the potential future impacts of climate change, ignoring the environmental violence being experienced in marginalised communities today. A focus on the status and future of global environmental policy ignores the general impotency of this policy to enact meaningful change in the environment, ignores the specific role of capitalist enterprises in producing violent environmental conditions, and places continued faith in electoral politics in solving problems that it cannot solve.

By focusing on electoralism and placing hope in an ever-changing cadre of politicians rather than looking at the everyday experiences of environmental violence within marginalised and targeted communities, the solutions to collective vulnerabilities are being continuously placed in the future, setting the stage for global catastrophe. Climate change is not simply a problem for the future; it is a problem in the present. Direct, causal relationships have been identified between climate change and the rise of wildfires, changes in water runoff and riverine flooding patterns, and agricultural productivity, among other factors (Abatzoglou and Williams, 2016; Arnell et al, 2016). While it is true that experiences with these climate change impacts will only intensify if dramatic reductions in greenhouse gas

emissions do not occur, a focus on the role and impact of international climate treaties obscures not only the reality that people are suffering today but that climate change is not just a cause of this suffering but also an effect of a deeper-seeded, much longer history of environmental harm in the name of capitalist gain (see Parr, 2013; Vinthagen, 2013). It also places the focus on state-centered, regulatory options, obscuring more liberatory alternatives (e.g. Mullenite, 2016). A more liberal candidate or different referendum result would not have changed this.

While there is no hope to be found in the Paris agreement, there might be in resilience. In the days and weeks following a disaster the concept of resilience emerges both in praise of the actions of individuals impacted by the disaster and as something that needs to be built to protect others from future disasters. Through decades of policy formulation and scientific development, resilience has moved from a term used to describe socioecological, psychological, and engineered states to a disciplinary tactic employed by in neoliberal forms of governance (see Chandler, 2014; Chandler and Reid, 2016). In the process, vulnerability to traumatic shocks such as the myriad disasters brought on by climate change has become naturalized. Divorced from their political and economic origins, disasters become unwieldy, contained only by regulatory environmental policy meant to minimise their inevitable effects and through the resilience of individuals and institutions to survive these effects. In this way, resilience policy offers a tacit acknowledgement that the state is unable to act sufficiently on its own to protect individuals from a disaster. Instead, as Kevin Grove (2014) argues, the state relies parasitically on the actions of vulnerable citizens to protect themselves. We are now and forever vulnerable and the state can only offer minimal assistance in mitigating this vulnerability (cf. Evans and Reid, 2014).

Despite the threat of perpetual vulnerability, examples from New Orleans' Common Ground Collective and Occupy Sandy show how ideas of community resilience can be redefined along lines that resist the social and environmental alienation of capitalism and the neoliberal biopolitics normally associated with resilience (see Crow, 2011; Solnit, 2010; cf. Mullenite, 2016). If it is up to individuals to prepare themselves, it is also up to individuals to define the terms of their own preparations. In the process of building this new sort of resilience – one not based on the ability of the community to bounce back to a previous, potentially violent state but instead on mutual aid and solidarity – the ability to reconfigure the politics of everyday life along the same lines begins to emerge. Catastrophic changes are coming with or without the Paris Agreement. Catastrophes represent a complete upending of the dominant social ordering of society and, in the process, open new ways of being (Aradau and van Munster, 2011; Solnit, 2010).

# Community-based Activism: for ethics of care, expressions of solidarity and a spirit of revolt

## Richard J. White

Whatever differences exist between individuals, we do not exist in some splendid isolation.... Rather, our lives are intimately and intrinsically connected with the lives — and freedoms — of others, a claim that bears out through a geographical understanding of relationality and solidarity. (White et al, 2016: 7)

In June 2016, following a particularly ugly and divisive campaign for Britain to exit the European Union, 17,410,742 individuals – a 51.9% majority - voted for Brexit (BBC, 2016). Following the Referendum, many vulnerable groups and communities across the UK, particularly those already fragmented along the fault lines of nationalism, class, race, gender, ethnicity, and religion experienced a resurgence of hate-related crime (O'Shea, 2016; Weaver, 2016). Just a few months later, similar communities across North American were having to face the dystopian consequences that followed the Presidential election of Donald Trump. Trump waged an unprecedentedly toxic, bitter and hate-filled campaign; a campaign that deliberately stirred the hornets' nests of American patriotism, misogyny, and racism to play on people's fears and differences (Rushton, 2016). The explosion of violence across North America that followed the election was as appalling as it was predictable. Reporting on the harassment and intimidation in the ten days that followed the Presidential Election, the SPCL (2012) drew attention to 867 hate incidents across public spaces, private spaces, workplaces, university campuses. As widely documented, many if not most of these hate crimes were fuelled by anti-immigrant, anti-black, anti-Muslim, anti-LGBT, anti-woman, anti-Semitic, and white nationalist sentiments.

Without desiring in any way to diminish the socio-spatial manifestations of these malevolent forces of anger and hatred that certain communities experienced/ are experiencing it is important that a simplistic myopic reading of these events is refused. Rather, it is vital that these should be interpreted as part of a more extensive and much deeper ongoing struggle for social justice. In doing so this allows these events, to be viewed more contextually as symptomatic of an intensification of certain anti-political/ anti-democratic tendencies. Think, for example of what the alternatives (to Brexit or Trump) offered. Supporting Remain in the EU campaign, or voting for Clinton, would also have perpetuated neoliberal, un/anti-democratic, and post-political futures (see Asher, 2016). In both these cases the alternatives would also have led to a political economy designed to further exploit, weaken and divide the most fragile and vulnerable communities and citizens within these societies (WSM in Ireland, 2016).

## Ethics of Care, Solidarity and Revolt

Before acting and engaging intentionally in ways to promote social and spatial justice, it is important to honestly appraise our own relative skills, abilities, strengths and limitations. In addition to acknowledging the strength and limitations of where we act from, we must also better recognise our own situated knowledges, partial perspectives and privilege, and open these up to ongoing critical reflection and problematisation at all times (Haraway, 1988). To better protect and empower vulnerable communities and groups at this time of crisis there is a compelling (anarchistic) argument for a geography of direct action that is rooted in an ethical praxis of care, solidarity and revolt. Thinking about possible guiding principles, there is much to critically reflect on by engaging with the approach and principles that underpin some of the most prominent and effective anti-fascist campaigns. For example, consider how the basic principles captured by Anti Raids (2016, n.p.) embody the values of solidarity that have historically characterised many left-libertarian and syndicalist social movements:

- It should be decentralised and grassroots
- It should target all forms of nationalism and xenophobia from the streets to the state.
- It should be braver: When the time comes to hold the line, we need to be there for each other.
- It should be creative
- It should be multiform.

Indeed, it might be we might also add that a further bullet point: if the current dystopic climate has taught us anything, it is that (anarchist) geography/ies matters more than ever. As Springer (2016: 4) notes, "Our greatest resource comes from out bonds to one another though the relationship spaces of a universal geography and via the common interest of mutual aid." Indeed, while on-line expressions of support and solidarity with people and communities are welcome, and necessary, far greater is the need for real-life tangible, human-scale and geographically embedded actions, initiatives and interventions where it is most needed. This, of course can be both come through participating in group-based actions and/ or individual ones. For example, in the UK, the Bristol branch of the revolutionary labour union, the IWW, in recognition of the increased vulnerability of migrants in the aftermath of the Brexit vote, gave their explicit support for people from migrant communities (Bristol IWW, 2016). On a more individual level, one of the most effective, yet simple, acts of solidarity was the wearing of a safety pin. Importantly, the act of wearing of the pin was never intended to be purely symbolic (i.e. an end in itself) – a mere token gesture of solidity – but a real commitment to combat racism where it is encountered. As Alison, the woman who initiated the safety pin campaign argued:

"To me the pin is simply meant to be, one, a gesture of silent reassurance – that if something were to kick off, the victim of the attack would know he or she wouldn't have to face it alone. And, two, for those wearing it, it would be a constant reminder of the promise they've made not to stand idly by while racism happens to someone else." (Nagesh, 2016)

Where possible, in the short term, approaches to direct action should be community-led, in ways that co-create space of justice, and support communities help themselves achieve their own solutions to the problems that they face. Longer term, the question of how to create meaningful and lasting dialogue across fragmented communities to heal and repair becomes central.

#### Conclusion

There is much to be done to eradicate the flames of violence and bigotry reignited by Brexit and the success of Trump in America. For many Anglo-Americans, who have previously retained a blind faith in (their) mainstream versions of 'democracy' dominated by political and economic elites, its hollow and shallow nature has been decisively exposed. In this way, this unprecedented set of events should be a source of hope: crisis as an opportunity for more people to think, and act, differently. For them: "The need for a new life...becomes apparent" (Kropotkin, 2002). For the heightened levels of fear, despair, grief indeed terror felt by many, has also been emboldened and fashioned new or more purposeful, strident waves of community-orientated activism, steeped in an ethics of care, intersectional solidarity and spirit of revolt. There is no blueprint for how to "best" engage and participate, or how to "heal" communities, nor should such a predetermined pathway be desired. But to engage now by speaking out against bigotry and hatred in all its forms, and seek to act in ways that help empower vulnerable communities and people is the first step; and a vitally important one at that.

## Recommended Reading

Keelty, Christopher (2016) How to Easily be a White Ally to Marginalised Communities. http://christopherkeelty.com/easily-white-ally-marginalized-communities/

#### Anti-fascism: attack as defence / defence as attack

#### Anthony Ince

In the present moment, we find ourselves amidst debates across the Global North about the nature and extent of fascism in our states, parliaments, and streets. The emotive label, "fascist", can risk becoming a 'scatter-gun' effort to discredit or confront a range of regressive or reactionary policies of the right, and if overused can lose its power as a tool of critique or confrontation. The trouble is that defining fascism can be difficult, which may partly explain why so many across the social sciences prefer to study the theoretically less ambiguous notion of racism. Despite

these caveats, I will suggest that a renewed, nuanced, and explicitly antiauthoritarian notion of anti-fascism is an important dimension in confronting the uncertain future we now face. I will also argue that anti-fascism offers much more than simply opposing fascism as such.

Setting aside the wide diversity of terms – fascism, neo-fascism, far-right, alt-right, neo-Nazi, radical right, etc. – fascism (as a broad family of far-right ideologies) is usually composed of four common characteristics: 1) a fanatical affiliation to protecting and promoting national and/or ethnic identity and 'interests', 2) unwavering militarism, 3) deference to (particular forms and symbols of) authority, and 4) anti-liberalism and anti-libertarianism. These usually come draped in a broadly social-democratic ethos that shrouds fascism's ultimate submission to capital. Fascisms may also have roots in modernist discourses of order and progress (Gentile, 2004), or draw from anti-/pre-modern sentiments of blood, land and heritage (Feldman and Pollard, 2016) – often both. In recent years, growing pan-European far-right movements and parties have generated a distinct ideological shift – from biologically-driven ethno-nationalism towards a "Europe of the peoples" (Spektorowski, 2015) in which a specific, exclusionary, Eurocentric form of diversity is embraced. Yet, despite this shift, the core underpinnings of far-right ideology remain.

In the UK, the far-right threat has been growing over a number of years, but two incidents in the Anglophone world have rendered this gradual re-emergence newly visible and newly empowered. While the vast majority of Donald Trump's supporters or 'Brexiteers' cannot be defined as *bona fide* fascists, their electoral successes are certainly 'fascist-enabling', in the sense that they have served to legitimise attitudes, discourses and agendas that contribute to the mainstreaming and normalising of far-right politics. In the aftermath of the Brexit vote, for example, it is no coincidence that there was a substantial spike in reports of racist incidents (Institute for Race Relations, 2016a, 2016b).

In the present turbulence, and the past, electoral campaigning has proven woefully insufficient in confronting these dynamics, which stretch far beyond party politics and into the everyday lives of communities and individuals. This is something that anti-racist geographers have discussed, at least implicitly, for some time. Literatures on encounter and living with diversity foreground not periodic electoral participation as an antidote to the complexities of living in a diverse, mobile society but understanding and negotiating the lived experiences of difference in place more effectively (e.g. Wilson, 2016). However, this field arguably does not do enough to integrate their analyses with more political-economic questions of inequalities in work and housing<sup>2</sup>, and can overlook problems of hierarchical mediation or coercion (Ince, 2015).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Many thanks to Richard Gale for recent discussions on this.

While anti-racism continues to be fundamental to critical and radical geographies (e.g. Nayak, 2010; Pulido, 2015), anti-fascism offers something quite distinct. Anti-fascism intervenes at the intersection of racism and authoritarianism, confronting the ways in which the two play off one another and are manifested in tandem. The dimensions of fascism that we might call 'more-than-racial' – such as suppression of independent democratic institutions (e.g. unions), restrictions to the press, and hyper-militarism – sometimes enter into anti-racist geographies but are integral to anti-fascist analysis and action. Thus, there is a renewed necessity for research on, and participation in, anti-fascism, which operates largely beyond the electoral realm and is woefully underexplored in geography. More specifically, an anti-fascist geography driven by anarchist sensibilities is something that radical scholars could do well to explore in two key ways.

Firstly, anti-fascism may offer opportunities to integrate the political-economic and the everyday, affective dimensions of life in divided times. These dimensions mesh through anarchism as an analytical approach and mode of praxis that fundamentally seeks to develop a politics of everyday life rooted both in material, collective questions of equity and in wider imaginaries of liberation. When we imagine anti-fascism, it is easy to think of a reactive phenomenon manifested in the spectacle of public confrontation, but it can be undertaken equally in many spheres – work, communities, pubs, homes, etc. Anarchists have long been at the forefront of anti-fascism, not only on the streets but also in these other spheres, such as incorporating anti-fascist approaches into the labour movement. Historical examples include the inter-war anarcho-syndicalism of Germany's Freie ArbeiterInnen Union<sup>3</sup> or the Spanish Confederación Nacional del Trabajo, whereas a contemporary example is the General Defence Committees of the anarchist-leaning syndicalist union, the Industrial Workers of the World (USA).

Secondly, the complexity and diversity of anti-fascisms (plural) is distinctive and prefigurative. Anti-fascism does not solely focus on self-defence, or defence of others, even though these are central elements; anti-fascist imaginaries tend also to promote wider visions for society too. At a basic level, solidarity across ethnic, gender and other differences often provides a framework for such visions. This unsettles the reactive-sounding 'anti-' prefix of anti-fascism, since anti-fascist action is ultimately action *for* something, as well as defensive action *against* something. As such, anti-fascism can articulate 'defence' and 'attack' as one, creating a heterodox politics that cuts across different modes and visions of attack and defence. For liberal anti-fascists, this may be to preserve the liberal-democratic state, individual freedoms and the free-market economy, whereas anti-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The name during this period was *Freie Arbeiter Union Deutschlands* but here I use the more gender-inclusive contemporary name.

fascism for orthodox Marxists would propose class solidarity in the face of fascism's 'unholy alliance' of capital and labour.

Anarchist anti-fascism links with Marxist class analysis in this regard but crucially offers something different again, since anarchism is underpinned by an anti-authoritarianism that extends to the logics of statism and hierarchy altogether. Whereas most political perspectives critique certain forms of authority (typically, fascism), anarchists promote modes of action in which authority as an organising principle should play no role in governing societies. For anarchists, then, the antifascist imagination includes rejecting (or only instrumentally using) electoral methods; instead focusing on grassroots, extra-parliamentary activisms and participative forms of democracy. Electoralism may offer a straightforward way of 'beating' the far-right, since keeping certain parties out of political office is a specific, measurable goal, but this maintains the same power relations, discourses and agendas that produced the conditions for the far right to emerge in the first place. A lack of electoral support does not necessarily equal a lack of tacit or informal support. We must therefore think on a more systemic level, considering how fascism has multiple lines of flight that extend far beyond the ballot box. An analysis driven principally by anarchism can help us do this.

A first step in developing what we might term 'anti-fascist geographies' is to consider what this could entail. What might anti-fascist praxis look like in an everyday academic context? To what extent can academics continue collaboration with a state that is increasingly authoritarian, coercive, and racist by design? What role is our academic labour playing in the production and reproduction of these agendas? And how can we ensure our thinking and research strengthens or informs praxis beyond the academy? It is also necessary to distinguish between geographies of anti-fascism (an empirical topic) and anti-fascist geographies (an approach to scholarship). These have crossovers, especially in the sense that geographers can use analysis to support more effective anti-fascist strategies. A finer-grained analysis of the far right itself is also necessary for both of these approaches, since the relational interplay of anti-fascists with their political opponents is a fundamental dynamic, both in place and across space. However, incorporating an anti-fascist ethic into other empirical topics or academic activities (e.g. pedagogy) is a different task that requires further debate to generate approaches that cut across multiple fields.

Geography has long prided itself on its critical ethos and grounded relevance to pressing social issues. Yet, critique alone no longer feels sufficient. Our task, then, is to adapt swiftly but with nuance to this new world that is emerging around us. It will not provide all the answers, but supporting a reenergising of anti-fascism beyond the ballot box as a fusion of defence and attack – a deliberate affront to passive victimhood – is one way we as geographers can begin to do this.

## (In)visibly creating anarchist futures

## Jenny Pickerill

In a world seemingly intent on supporting fascism, racism, misogyny, patriarchy, neoliberalism, environmental destruction and growing inequality it can be tempting to retreat from public political battles. We can use this urge to think carefully about the power of being invisible, of using 'unseen' spaces to build alternative imaginaries and practice prefigurative acts. We need to use invisibility strategically and with purpose as a way to rebuild while we live in an era of fear, anger and unpredictability.

Now is not the time to rely on the electoral system to counter such politics. White Americans and Europeans are being encouraged to articulate themselves as victims, as being treated unfairly, a move that eradicates any sense of history or complicity in structural inequalities (Bump, 2017). Such victimhood erases responsibility, solidarity and mutual obligation to tackle any structural inequalities. It decouples any links with others, with place, and with history. The system has already failed many in society and the history of representative democracies illustrates the tendency to repeatedly fail the marginalised, the environment, and the non-elite (Bartels, 2016; Purcell, 2013). While the state has had moments of protecting workers, responding to ecological crises, and providing welfare, it has only done so under pressure from social movements and even then, it has often been too slow and weak in taking any actions that might curtail the destructive effects of capitalism. For example, while labour movements such as trade unions have fought for employment rights and in countries like the UK there is now a broad range of legislation that protects workers from unfair dismissal, leave entitlement and maternity and paternity leave, there has at the same time been an exponential growth in the use of zero-hour employment contracts (Frege and Kelly, 2003). These contracts are legal and carefully sidestep employment legislation by enabling employers to avoid providing a stable living wage, holiday or sick pay (Burgess, 2013). Even when state legislation has been able to change or modify capitalist practices for the benefit of workers or the environment, the British vote for Brexit and the US support for Trump now illustrate how unstable, temporary and fragile such protective acts are.

If we reject relying on electoral politics it becomes more obvious that we, as individuals, are the ones who need to, and can, act to build a different type of politics (Wall, 1999; Purcell, 2013). Anarchism has always understood the value of people-power. Although it has been accused of failing to adequately confront power (Mueller, 2003) – by seeking to bypass the state and perhaps not always articulating how it would deal with the powerful or the oligarchical elite – anarchism has repeatedly illustrated that that grassroots, autonomous, solidaristic and collective activism can generate internationally progressive transformative politics (Scarce, 2016; Springer, 2016; Pickerill and Chatterton, 2006). This rests

on a belief that right-wing populism can be effectively challenged by a left politics of justice, equality and inclusivity (Purcell, 2014).

This people-power can be mobilised visibly and invisibly. While confrontation and public resistance is necessary and timely, it is also vital that we attend to the less visible forms of activism that can be crucial to a successful transformative politics. In social movement studies these periods have been theorised as latent or organisational moments where activists regroup and reorganise ready for new visible mobilisations at a later date (Tarrow, 2011). But employing less visible forms of prefigurative politics is subtly different. Anarchist prefigurative politics are in themselves a powerful form of change that are not waiting for a future moment of mobilisation but require living now as if we already inhabit the world we want (Chatterton and Pickerill, 2010). It is a way to embody political values and reflect these in daily practices and acts, leading to new social relations (Ince, 2012). Prefiguration is a process of creation, of optimism; of action in the now that is flexible, local and diverse. On a micro-scale, for example, it is ensuring that our everyday practices do not contradict our politics ('walking our talk'). Prefigurative acts build an alternative future.

Sometimes being invisible is incredibly powerful and silences useful (Gatwiri and Karanja, 2016). This invisibility creates space and time to remake ideas, resource flows and infrastructures but also to put into practice these ways of being. As Tsing (2015) explores in her examination of invisible networks of trade of matsutake mushrooms, there is much in the world that exists and flourishes on the edges of capitalist encroachment. It is in these 'unseen' spaces that alternative imaginaries are built and experimental ideas tested, not just as radical spatial interventions but also in our everyday lives in our homes and workplaces. Creative new ways of being and acting are practiced. There are also, of course, many forms of direct action that seem to appear (and need to be seen to appear) from invisible sources, such as hacking by Anonymous.

There is a huge range of post/non/alter-capitalist spaces to be employed here, including eco-communities, squats, online spaces, pop-up shops, secular halls and social centres, but informal spaces can also be used, such as people's homes, or local community spaces such as village halls, allotments and meeting spaces above shops or in charity offices (Chatterton, 2016; Pickerill, 2016). Crucially, many of these spaces are hidden from public view - the squats only known by its residents, the eco-communities constructed without planning permission on rural fields and the meeting spaces squirrelled away in the back of charity offices all offer space to live and organise differently (Pickerill, 2012).

It is about seeing what might not at first sight be immediately visible and finding the cracks in places to be occupied or the moments to be ruptured (Purcell, 2013). Prefiguration enables the struggle to be grounded in place, for acts to be local, relevant and culturally appropriate. It is about developing responses to local events regardless of the unpredictability and the fear, of using what space we must

try out new ways of being (Mason, 2014; Maeckelbergh, 2016). Small daily acts, be that calling out racism, making ethical consumption choices (like where you purchase food and what you eat), or countering gender stereotypes, can appear nonconfrontational, almost invisible and yet open up space for dialogue with differentiated others. These small acts can seep out into the public space and gradually connect those willing to be attentive to, or moved towards, more participatory radical politics. These seemingly small daily acts open up a space of dialogue where difficult conversations about how privilege and oppression are structural and replicated can happen. These discussions can be the beginnings of creating the commons. Invisibility helps new necessary alliances (especially with the white working classes) be built. These less visible daily practices are just as important as filling the streets for a protest. This is about using invisibility to intensify our existing practices, to put into practice our creations and ideas, to remake the world without drawing unwanted attention to this creativity and therefore without making visible these spaces of production that are at risk of surveillance and repression. While it is necessary that we signal our withdrawal of consent to state power (especially to Trump) and resist coercion, the state response is predictable – it will be swift, violent, and merciless.

As we enter a new political era it is tempting to retreat from overt public political battles, but if we do it should be to put into practice our alternatives, continue to literally build alternative ways of being and ready ourselves for future public political encounters. It is strategic to be as invisible as we are visible, but only if we are practicing anarchist prefigurative politics, if we are experimenting in 'unseen' spaces, and if we are slowly but surely building new alliances of solidarity.

## Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the ACME editors, especially Simon Springer, for their support for this unorthodox writing project, as well as the useful advice provided by the anonymous referees. We also thank the participants in our diverse research projects to which much of our thinking is linked, and the fellow collaborators, activists, and trouble-makers with whom we organise.

#### References

Abatazoglou, John T. and A. Park Williams. 2016. Impact of anthropogenic climate change on wildfire across western US forests *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 113(42): 11770–11775.

- Anti Raids. 2016. Building an anti-fascist culture post-Brexit <a href="https://rabble.org.uk/anti-raids-building-an-anti-fascist-culture-post-brexit/">https://rabble.org.uk/anti-raids-building-an-anti-fascist-culture-post-brexit/</a> [Accessed 21 April 2017]
- Aradau, Claudia and Rens Van Munster. 2011. *Politics of catastrophe: genealogies of the unknown*. New York: Routledge.
- Arendt, Hannah. 1970. On Violence. San Diego: Harcourt Brace.
- Arnell, Nigel W., Sally Brown, Simon N. Gosling et al. 2016. The impacts of climate change across the globe: A multi-sectoral assessment. *Climatic Change* 134: 457-474.
- Arshinov, Peter. 2005. *History of the Makhnovist Movement*, 1918-21. Trans. L. Perlman and F. Perlman. London: Freedom Press.
- Asher, Gordon. 2016. 'Options for the Left regarding the UK's EU Referendum' Bella Caledonia http://bellacaledonia.org.uk/2016/06/17/options-for-the-left-regarding-the-uks-eu-referendum/ [Accessed 18 April 2017]
- Bartels, Larry M. 2016. *Unequal democracy: The political economy of the new gilded age*. Princeton University Press.
- BBC. 2016. EU Referendum Results. http://www.bbc.co.uk/news/politics/eu\_referendum/results [Accessed 22 February 2017]
- Bookchin, Murray. 1989. Remaking Society. New York: Black Rose.
- Breitbart, Myrna M. 1978. The theory & practice of anarchist decentralism in Spain, 1936-1939 (PhD Dissertation), Worcester, Clark University.
- Bristol IWW. 2016. 'Solidarity with migrant communities after Brexit' http://www.bristoliww.org.uk/solidarity-with-migrant-communities-after-brexit/[Accessed 20 April 2017]
- Brown, Adrienne M. 2015. 'Outro' In, Adrienne M. Brown and Walidah Imarisha (eds.), *Octavia's Brood: Science fiction stories from social justice movements*. Oakland: AK Press, pp. 279-281
- Brown, Gavin and Helen Yaffe. 2014. Practices of Solidarity: Opposing Apartheid in the Centre of London. *Antipode*, 46: 34–52.
- Bump, Philip. 2017. 'Trump awards himself a new trophy for victimhood.' *The Washington Post*, 18th May, https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/politics/wp/2017/05/18/trump-awards-himself-a-new-trophy-for-victimhood/?utm\_term=.1360b0e0cc48 [Accessed 8 July 2017]
- Burgess, John, Julia Connell, and Jonathon Winterton. 2013. Vulnerable workers, precarious work and the role of trade unions and HRM. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management* 24(22): 4083-4093.

Chandler, David. 2014. *Resilience: The Governance of Complexity*. London and New York: Routledge.

- Chandler, David and Julian Reid. 2016. *The Neoliberal Subject: Resilience, Adaptation, and Vulnerability*. London and New York: Rowman & Littlefield.
- Chatterton, Paul. 2016. Building transitions to post-capitalist urban commons. Transactions of the Institute of British Geographers, 41(4): 403-415.
- Chatterton, Paul and Jenny Pickerill. 2010. Everyday activism and transitions towards post-capitalist worlds. *Transactions of the institute of British Geographers*, 35(4): 475-490.
- Crick, Bernard. 2002. *Democracy*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Crow, Scott. 2011. Black Flags and Windmills: Hope, Anarchy, and the Common Ground Collective. Oakland: PM Press.
- Dimitrov, Radoslav S. 2016. The Paris Agreement on Climate Change: Behind Closed Doors *Global Environmental Politics* 16(3): 1-11
- Evans, Brad and Julian Reid. 2014. *Resilient Life: The Art of Living Dangerously*. Malden, MA: Polity Press.
- Fanon, Frantz. 1967. Black Skin, White Masks. New York: Grove Press.
- Featherstone, David. 2012. Solidarity: Hidden Histories and Geographies of Internationalism. London: Zed.
- Feldman, Matthew and John Pollard. 2016. The ideologues and ideologies of the radical right: an introduction. *Patterns of Prejudice* 50(4-5): 327-336.
- Ferretti, Federico. 2014. Elisée Reclus, pour une géographie nouvelle. Paris, CTHS.
- Ferretti, Federico. 2016. Organisation and formal activism: insights from the anarchist tradition. *International Journal of Sociology and Social Policy*, 36(11-12): 726-740.
- Finley, Eleanor. 2017. 'The Commune from Rojava to the Zapatistas'. *Roar Magazine*. https://roarmag.org/essays/communalism-bookchin-direct-democracy/ [Accessed 4 April 2017]
- Frege, Carola M. and John Kelly. 2003. Union revitalization strategies in comparative perspective. *European Journal of Industrial Relations* 9(1): 7–24.
- Gatwiri, Glory J. and Karanja A. Mumbi. 2016. Silence as Power: Women Bargaining with Patriarchy in Kenya. *Social Alternatives* 35(1): 13-18.
- Gordon, Uri. 2008. Anarchy Alive! London: Pluto Press.
- Graeber, David. 2016. The Utopia of Rules. London: Palgrave.

- Grove, Kevin. 2014. Adaptation Machines and the Parasitic Politics of Life in Jamaican Disaster Resilience. *Antipode* 46 (3): 611–628.
- Ince, Anthony. 2012. In the shell of the old: Anarchist geographies of territorialisation. *Antipode* 44(5): 1645-1666.
- Ince, Anthony. 2015. From middle ground to common ground: Self-management and spaces of encounter in organic farming networks. *Annals of the American Association of Geographers* 105(4): 824-840.
- Ingram, Alan. 2017. Geopolitical events and fascist machines. *Political Geography* 57(1): 91-93.
- IRR. 2016a. 'Post-Brexit Racism'. http://www.irr.org.uk/news/post-brexit-racism/ [Accessed 30 November 2016]
- IRR. 2016b. 'Post-Brexit Racism Update'. http://www.irr.org.uk/news/post-brexit-racism-update/ [Accessed 30 November 2016]
- Jones, Owen. 2016. 'The left needs a new populism. It's clear what happens if we fail'. *The Guardian*, 10 November. https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2016/nov/10/the-left-needs-a-new-populism-fast [Accessed 1 December 2016].
- Judis, John B. 2016. The Populist Explosion: How the Great Recession Transformed American and European Politics. New York: Columbia Global Reports.
- Kropotkin, Peter. 1885. Paroles d'un révolté. Paris: Flammarion.
- Kropotkin, Peter. 1892. La conquête du pain. Paris: Stock.
- Kropotkin, Peter. 2002. The spirit of revolt. In, R.N. Baldwin (ed.), *Peter Kropotkin. Anarchism: a collection of revolutionary writings*. New York: Dover Publications, pp. 34-43.
- Lafuente, Javier. 2016. 'Colombia dice 'no' al acuerdo de paz con las FARC' *El Pais* 
  - http://internacional.elpais.com/internacional/2016/10/02/colombia/1475420001 \_242063.html [Accessed 21 April 2017].
- La Prensa. 2016. 'Así te contamos las elecciones presidenciales en Nicaragua' *La Prensa*. http://www.laprensa.com.ni/2016/11/06/politica/2130024-en-vivo-elecciones-en-nicaragua [Accessed 21 April 2017].
- Lekensdorf, Carlos. 2002. Filosofar en clave Tojolobal. Mexico: Grupo Editorial Miguel Angel Porrua.
- Maeckelbergh, Marianne. 2016. The prefigurative turn: The time and place of social movement practice'. In, Ana Cecilia Dinerstein (ed.), *Social Sciences for an Other Politics*. Springer International Publishing, pp. 121-134.

Mandarini, Matteo. 2008. 'Not fear but hope in the Apocalypse' *Ephemera* 8(2): 176-181.

- Mason, Kelvin and Mark Whitehead. 2012. Transition Urbanism and the Contested Politics of the Spatial Practice. *Antipode*, 44(2): 493 -516.
- Mason, Kelvin. 2014. Becoming Citizen Green: prefigurative politics, autonomous geographies, and hoping against hope. *Environmental Politics* 23(1): 140-158.
- Mason, Kelvin. 2017. Ghosts of the future. *ACME: An International Journal for Critical Geographies*, 16(1): 149-155 Available at https://vimeo.com/182695880 [Accessed 1 December 2016]
- Mason, Paul. 2016a. 'The leftwing case for Brexit (one day)'. *The Guardian*, 16 May. https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2016/may/16/brexit-eureferendum-boris-johnson-greece-tory [Accessed 2 December 2016].
- Mason, Paul. 2016b. 'How the left should respond to Brexit', *New Statesman*, 17 October. http://www.newstatesman.com/politics/uk/2016/10/paul-mason-how-left-should-respond-brexit. [Accessed 31 November 2016].
- Mehta, Vijay. 2016. Peace Beyond Borders: How the EU Brought Peace to Europe and How Exporting it Would End Conflicts Around the World. Oxford: New Internationalist Publications.
- Mignolo, Walter D. 2009. 'The communal and the decolonial' *Turbulence: ideas for movement* http://turbulence.org.uk/turbulence-5/decolonial/. [Accessed December 9, 2016]
- Mignolo, Walter D. 2011. *The Darker Side of Western Modernity: Global Futures, Decolonial Options*. Durham, NC: Duke University Press.
- Miller, C. and A. Werner-Winslow. 2016. *Harassment and Intimidation in the Aftermath of the Election*. Washington: Southern Poverty Law Centre.
- Mouffe, Chantal. 1999. Deliberative Democracy or Agonistic Pluralism? *Social Research* 66(3, Prospects for Democracy): 745-758.
- Mounk, Yascha. forthcoming. The People vs. Democracy: The Threat of Illiberal Democracy and the Rise of Undemocratic Liberalism. Harvard: Harvard University Press.
- Mueller, Tadzio. 2003. Empowering anarchy: Power, hegemony, and anarchist strategy. *Anarchist Studies* 11(2): 122-149.
- Mullenite, Joshua. 2016. Resilience, political ecology, and power: Convergences, divergences, and the potential for a postanarchist geographical imagination. *Geography Compass* 10(9): 378-88.
- Nagesh, Ashitha. 2016. 'Wearing a safety pin because of post-Brexit racism? There's something you need to know'. *Metro* http://metro.co.uk/2016/07/01/wearing-a-safety-pin-because-of-post-brexit-

- racism-theres-something-you-need-to-know-5978819/ [Accessed 19 February 2017]
- Nayak, Anoop. 2010. Race, affect and emotion: young people, racism, and graffiti in the postcolonial English suburbs. *Environment and Planning A*, 42(10): 2370-2390.
- O'Shea, Josef. 2002. 'From Cable Street to Brexit: how a chilling wave of racism echoes down the decades'\_http://www.prruk.org/from-cable-street-to-brexit-how-a-chilling-wave-of-racism-echoes-down-the-decades/ [Accessed 19 February 2017]
- Parr, Adrian. 2013. The Wrath of Capital: Neoliberalism and Climate Change Politics. New York: Columbia University Press.
- Peirats, José. 2010. The CNT in the Spanish Revolution, Volume 1. Oakland: PM Press.
- Pickerill, Jenny. 2012. Permaculture in practice: Low Impact Development in Britain. In, J. Lockyer and J. Veteto (eds.), *Localizing Environmental Anthropology: Bioregionalism, Permaculture, and Ecovillage Design for a Sustainable Future*. Berghahn Books pp. 180-194.
- Pickerill, Jenny. 2016. Eco-homes: People, place and politics. Zed Books, London
- Pickerill, Jenny and Paul Chatterton. 2006. Notes towards autonomous geographies: creation, resistance and self-management as survival tactics. *Progress in Human Geography* 30(6): 730-746.
- Proudhon, Pierre-Joseph. 1851. *Idée générale de la révolution au XIXe siècle*. Paris: Garnier.
- Puente, Isaac. 2013. Libertarian Communism. Hastings: Christiebooks.
- Pulido, Laura. 2015. Geographies of race and ethnicity 1: White supremacy vs white privilege in environmental racism research. *Progress in Human Geography* 39(6): 809-817.
- Purcell, Mark. 2013. The down-deep delight of democracy. John Wiley & Sons.
- Purcell, Mark. 2014. Rancière and revolution. Space and Polity 18(2): 168-181.
- Quintero Weir, Jose Á. 2013. *El Camino con las Comunidades*. Maracaibo: Universidad del Zulia.
- Richards, Vernon. 1953. Lessons of the Spanish Revolution, London, Freedom Press.
- Rollo, Toby. 2016a Feral Children: Settler Colonialism, Progress and the Figure of the Child, *Settler Colonial Studies*. Advance online publication: doi: 10.1080/2201473X.2016.1199826

Rollo, Toby. 2016b. Democracy, Agency, and Radical Children's Geographies. In, Richard J. White, Simon Springer, and Marcelo Lopes de Souza (eds.), *The Practice of Freedom: Anarchism, Geography and the Spirit of Revolt*. Washington: Rowman and Littlefield, pp. 235-255.

- Rushton, Steve. 2016. 'Season of the Demagogues: Brexit Exposes ways Britain is moving closer to the U.S.' *Occupy*. http://www.occupy.com/article/season-demagogues-brexit-exposes-ways-britain-moving-closer-us#sthash.morWhd18.dpbs [Accessed 14 January 2017]
- Sartre, Jean-Paul. 2001. What is literature? London: Routledge.
- Scarce, Rik. 2016. *Eco-warriors: Understanding the radical environmental movement*. Routledge, London
- Schiemeier, Quirin. 2016. 'Brexit looms large over EU climate agenda'. *Nature News & Commentary*, July 26. http://www.nature.com/news/brexit-looms-large-over-eu-climate-agenda-1.20322 [Accessed 5 December 2016]
- Schneider, Nathan. 2017. 'Why we should listen to anarchists in the age of Trump'. America Magazine, http://www.americamagazine.org/politics-society/2017/01/22/why-we-should-listen-anarchists-age-trump [Accessed 22 January 2017]
- Scott, Andrew. 2016. 'Brexit: Implications for Climate Change Commitments'. https://www.odi.org/sites/odi.org.uk/files/resource-documents/10907.pdf [Accessed 8 July 2017]
- Solnit, Rebecca. 2009. A Paradise Built in Hell: The extraordinary communities that arise in disaster. New York: Penguin.
- Solnit, Rebecca. 2016. *Hope In The Dark: Untold Histories, Wild Possibilities*. Edinburgh: Canongate.
- Spektorowski, Alberto. 2015. Fascism and post-national Europe: Drieu la Rochelle and Alain de Benoist. *Theory*, *Culture and Society* 33(1): 115-138.
- Springer, Simon. 2016. The Anarchist Roots of Geography: Toward Spatial Emancipation. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.
- Springer, Simon. 2017. Earth writing. *GeoHumanities*, 3(1): 1-19.
- Tarrow, Sidney G. 2011. Power in movement: Social movements and contentious politics. Cambridge University Press.
- Thompson, Hunter S. 2012. Fear and Loathing on the Campaign Trail '72. London: Simon & Schuster.
- Tsing, Anna L. 2015. The Mushroom at the End of the World: On the Possibility of Life in Capitalist Ruins. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Turcato, Davide. 2015. Making sense of anarchism: Errico Malatesta's Experiments with Revolution, 1889-1900, Oakland: AK Press.

- UNFCCC. 2015. FCCC/CP/2015/L.9/Rev.1, Adoption of the Paris Agreement. http://unfccc.int/resource/docs/2015/cop21/eng/l09r01.pdf
- United States Electoral Project. 2016. 2016 November General Election Turnout Rates. http://www.electproject.org/2016g [Accessed 21 April 2017]
- Van Reybrouck, David. 2016. *Against Elections: The Case for Democracy*. London: The Bodley Head.
- Varoufakis, Yiannis. 2016a. 'After Donald Trump's awful victory, the left must be more ambitious (interview)'. *New Statesman*, 13 November. http://www.newstatesman.com/politics/brexit/2016/11/yanis-varoufakis-afterdonald-trump-s-awful-victory-left-must-be-more [Accessed 15 November 2016].
- Varoufakis, Yiannis. 2016b. 'Trump victory comes with a silver lining for the world's progressives'. *The Conversation*, 11 November. https://theconversation.com/trump-victory-comes-with-a-silver-lining-for-the-worlds-progressives-68523 [Accessed 04 December 2016].
- Vinthagen, Stellan. 2013. Ten theses on why we need a "Social Science Panel on Climate Change". *ACME: An International of Critical Geographies* 12(1): 155-176.
- Wall, Derek. 1999. Earth First! and the Anti-Roads Movement: Radical environmentalism and comparative social movements. Taylor & Francis.
- Weaver, M. 2016. "Horrible spike" in hate crime linked to Brexit vote, Met police say'. *The Guardian*. https://www.theguardian.com/society/2016/sep/28/hate-crime-horrible-spike-brexit-vote-metropolitan-police [Accessed 19 January 2017]
- Wernick, A. 2016. 'The world's nations vow to move forward with the Paris Agreement, with or without US President-elect Donald Trump'. *PRI*, December 4. http://www.pri.org/stories/2016-12-04/worlds-nations-vow-move-forward-paris-agreement-or-without-us-president-elect [Accessed 5 December 2016]
- White Richard J., Simon Springer and Marcelo Lopez de Souza. 2016. Performing anarchism, practicing freedom, pursuing revolt. In Richard J. White, Simon Springer, and Marcelo Lopes de Souza (eds.) *The Practice of Freedom:* Anarchism, Geography and the Spirit of Revolt, Washington: Rowman & Littlefield. pp 1-22.
- Williams, Robert A. 2012. Savage Anxieties: The Invention of Western Civilization. New York: Palgrave.
- Wilson, Helen F. 2016. On geography and encounter: Bodies, borders and difference. *Progress in Human Geography* 41(4): 451-471.

WSM in Ireland. 2016. '10 point guide for post Brexit resistance as racist right wins EU referendum' <a href="http://www.anarkismo.net/article/29389">http://www.anarkismo.net/article/29389</a> [Accessed 20 March 2017]

- YouGov. 2016. 'The Eurosceptic map of Britain. 28 February 2016' https://yougov.co.uk/news/2016/02/28/eurosceptic-map-britain/ [Accessed 4 December 2016]
- Zibechi, Raúl. 2010. Dispersing Power. Oakland: Ak Press
- Žižek, Slavoj. 2016. 'Dear Britain'. *The Guardian*, 04 June. https://www.theguardian.com/books/2016/jun/04/dear-britain-letters-from-europe-referendum [Accessed 29 November 2016].