



This is a repository copy of *Relapse and recurrence of common mental health problems after low intensity cognitive behavioural therapy: the WYLOW longitudinal cohort study*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:

<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/124733/>

Version: Accepted Version

---

**Article:**

Delgadillo, J. [orcid.org/0000-0001-5349-230X](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5349-230X), Rhodes, L., Moreea, O. et al. (6 more authors) (2018) Relapse and recurrence of common mental health problems after low intensity cognitive behavioural therapy: the WYLOW longitudinal cohort study. *Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics* , 87 (2). pp. 116-117. ISSN 0033-3190

<https://doi.org/10.1159/000485386>

---

**Reuse**

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

**Takedown**

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing [eprints@whiterose.ac.uk](mailto:eprints@whiterose.ac.uk) including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



[eprints@whiterose.ac.uk](mailto:eprints@whiterose.ac.uk)  
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/>

# **Relapse and recurrence of common mental health problems after low intensity CBT: The WYLOW longitudinal cohort study**

Jaime Delgadillo <sup>a\*</sup>, Laura Rhodes <sup>b</sup>, Omar Moreea <sup>c</sup>, Dean McMillan <sup>d</sup>, Simon  
Gilbody <sup>d</sup>, Chris Leach <sup>e</sup>, Mike Lucock <sup>e</sup>, Wolfgang Lutz <sup>f</sup> and Shehzad Ali <sup>g</sup>

- a. Clinical Psychology Unit, Department of Psychology, University of Sheffield, UK
- b. Leeds Community Healthcare NHS Trust, Leeds, UK
- c. Centre for Clinical Practice, National Institute for Health and Care Excellence, UK
- d. Hull York Medical School and Department of Health Sciences, University of York, United Kingdom
- e. South West Yorkshire Partnership NHS Foundation Trust and University of Huddersfield
- f. Department of Psychology, University of Trier, Germany
- g. Department of Health Sciences and Centre for Health Economics, University of York, UK

**Declaration of interest:** None.

**Key terms:** depression, anxiety, low intensity CBT, relapse, recurrence

---

\* Correspondence: Dr. Jaime Delgadillo, Clinical Psychology Unit, University of Sheffield, Cathedral Court, Floor F, 1 Vicar Lane, Sheffield S1 1HD, UK. Email: jaime.delgadillo@nhs.net

Depression and anxiety disorders are highly prevalent and relapse-prone conditions.<sup>1-2</sup> Cognitive behavioural therapy (CBT), a well-established psychological intervention, has been shown to reduce the longer-term risk of depression relapse. For example, average relapse rates following CBT (~30%) compare favourably to relapse rates observed after discontinued pharmacotherapy which tend to be upwards of 50%.<sup>3-4</sup> In spite of the effectiveness and durability of CBT for common mental health problems, barriers to its dissemination include the cost of delivery and the scarce availability of specialists in many countries. In order to overcome such barriers, briefer and less costly 'low intensity' forms of CBT (LiCBT) have been developed to guide people on how they could self-manage and overcome their symptoms.<sup>5</sup> LiCBT can be delivered by trained coaches or lay helpers, using a variety of methods including individual, group-based, telephone-based or online support. Meta-analyses of controlled trials support the use of LiCBT for the treatment of acute depression and anxiety symptoms, although there is still inconclusive evidence about its longer-term effects.<sup>6-7</sup> Given its short-term duration (typically < 8 sessions), LiCBT does not typically allow for intensive relapse prevention work or booster sessions that may account for the durability of more intensive CBT interventions.<sup>8</sup> For this reason, prospective follow-up studies are necessary to establish if the effects of LiCBT are as durable as those observed in more conventional CBT interventions. This study reports relapse and recurrence rates in a cohort of patients who completed LiCBT in routine care, and who were followed-up on a monthly basis for up to 2-years post-treatment.

The *West Yorkshire Low Intensity Outcome Watch* (WYLOW) was a prospective, longitudinal cohort study. The study recruited 439 patients with depression and anxiety disorders who completed LiCBT interventions in a

stepped care psychological therapy service linked to the *Improving Access to Psychological Therapies* (IAPT) programme in England. LiCBT interventions were highly standardised, were delivered by trained psychological wellbeing practitioners, and included individual, group and online forms of treatment delivery, consistent with clinical guidelines.<sup>9</sup> Participants were eligible for inclusion if they completed LiCBT with remission of depression and anxiety symptoms, established using diagnostic cut-offs on the PHQ-9<sup>10</sup> and GAD-7<sup>11</sup> measures. Participants were contacted once per month by the research team, and completed these measures to monitor their symptoms for up to 24 months. Cases that met criteria for reliable (>5 points increase) and clinically significant (above diagnostic cut-off) deterioration of symptoms on any of the 2 measures during follow-up were classified as having a risk event. Consistent with previous research,<sup>1-3</sup> a risk event was considered a *relapse* if it occurred within 12 months after treatment, and a *recurrence* if it occurred after 12 months. We performed a survival analysis using Kaplan-Meier (KM) curves to model *time-to-event* in months. KM curves plot the probability of survival (remission) over time, while taking account of censored (i.e., missing) data points.

The sample included a majority of white British (94.2%) female participants (59.7%) with a mean age of 41.28 (SD = 14.59), who on average accessed 7.04 (SD = 1.99) treatment sessions. Mean pre- and post-treatment measures were PHQ-9 = 13.60 (SD = 5.41), 3.44 (SD = 2.40); GAD-7 = 13.20 (SD = 4.38), 3.19 (SD = 2.17). Full details about recruitment, inclusion criteria and methods can be found in a preliminary report of 12-month outcomes.<sup>12</sup> The study was approved by the NHS Health Research Authority (Yorkshire and Humber REC; Ref: 12/YH/0095).

[Figure 1]

Overall, 65.8% (95% CI: 59.3 to 72.2) of cases met criteria for a risk event within the 2-year follow-up period (34.2% remained in remission at 24 months). Figure 1 displays KM survival estimates, plotting the proportion of cases remaining in remission at each monthly measurement point. The figure quantifies cumulative relapse/recurrence rates at 6 (41.7%), 12 (52.8%), and 18 months (59.4%). The steeper inclination of the curve in the left side of the figure indicates that most risk events (77.8%) occurred within the first 6 months post-treatment. Thereafter, 90.6% of events were observed by 12 months and 96.2% at 18 months.

These results indicate that 24 month relapse/recurrence rates (65.8%) after completing LiCBT are twice as high as those observed in controlled trials of high intensity CBT.<sup>3-4</sup> The limited durability of LiCBT for some patients could be related to structural constraints such as the lower number of sessions, or the emphasis on didactic treatment methods. This suggests that halving the length and intensity of treatment may also halve the durability of effects. The first 6 months after treatment should be considered a critical period where the majority (2 out of 3) of relapse-prone cases become apparent. Consistent with earlier studies, we have previously reported that cases that completed therapy with residual depression symptoms (PHQ-9 = 5 to 9) had double the risk of relapse within the first year.<sup>12</sup>

Some limitations in this study concern cases lost to follow-up, and the lack of structured diagnostic interviews to establish if participants met full diagnostic criteria for a mental disorder during the follow-up phase. To deal with the first challenge, we applied *censoring* in survival analysis, which is

appropriate to deal with missing data. Regarding the second problem, we applied a stringent and conservative operational definition of relapse, based on the observation of both statistically reliable and clinically important deterioration in well-established depression and anxiety measures.<sup>10-11</sup>

On balance, the present results indicate that LiCBT can be an effective way to treat the acute symptoms of depression and anxiety disorders, although dedicating time and attention to relapse prevention strategies<sup>3,6</sup> is a clear area for future development. The findings support the notion that, for some individuals, problems like depression are experienced as long-term and recurrent conditions and therefore self-management support should be an important component of psychological care.

## References

1. Burcusa SL, Iacono WG. Risk for recurrence in depression. *Clin Psychol Rev* 2007; 27: 959–985.
2. Bruce SE, Yonkers KA, Otto MW, Eisen JL, Weisberg RB, Pagano M, et al. Influence of psychiatric comorbidity on recovery and recurrence in generalized anxiety disorder, social phobia, and panic disorder: a 12-year prospective study. *Am J Psychiatry* 2005; 162: 1179-87.
3. Hollon SD, DeRubeis RJ, Shelton RC, Amsterdam JD, Salomon RM, O'Reardon JP, et al. Prevention of relapse following cognitive therapy vs medications in moderate to severe depression. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 2005; 62: 417-22.
4. Scott J, Palmer S, Paykel E, Teasdale J, Hayhurst H. Use of cognitive therapy for relapse prevention in chronic depression. *Br J Psychiatry* 2003; 182: 221-7.
5. Bennett-Levy J, Richards DA, Farrand P. Low intensity CBT interventions: a revolution in mental health care. In: Bennett-Levy, J., Richards, D.A., Farrand, P., Christensen, H., Griffiths, K.M., Kavanagh, D.J., et al., editors. *Oxford guide to low intensity CBT interventions*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2010.
6. Gellatly J, Bower P, Hennessy S, Richards D, Gilbody S, & Lovell K. (2007). What makes self-help interventions effective in the management of depressive symptoms? Meta-analysis and meta-regression. *Psychol Med* 2007; 37: 1217–1228.
7. Coull G, Morris PG. (2011). The clinical effectiveness of CBT-based guided self-help interventions for anxiety and depressive disorders: a systematic review. *Psychol Med* 2011; 41: 2239–2252.

8. Gearing RE, Schwalbe CSJ, Lee RH, Hoagwood KE. The effectiveness of booster sessions in CBT treatment for child and adolescent mood and anxiety disorders. *Depress Anxiety* 2013; 30: 800-808.
9. Clark DM. Implementing NICE guidelines for the psychological treatment of depression and anxiety disorders: The IAPT experience. *Int Rev Psychiatry* 2011; 23: 318-327.
10. Kroenke K, Spitzer RL, & Williams JB. The PHQ-9: Validity of a brief depression severity measure. *J Gen Intern Med* 2001; 16: 606-613.
11. Spitzer RL, Kroenke R, Williams JB, & Lowe B. A brief measure for assessing generalized anxiety disorder: The GAD-7. *Arch Intern Med* 2006; 166: 1092-1097.
12. Ali S, Rhodes L, Moreea O, McMillan D, Gilbody S, Leach C, et al. How durable is the effect of low intensity CBT for depression and anxiety? Remission and relapse in a longitudinal cohort study. *Behav Res Ther* 2017; 94: 1-8.

**Figure 1. Survival analysis: Kaplan-Meier curves plotting time-to-event**

