



This is a repository copy of *On approximations of the de Rham complex and their cohomology*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:

<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/124641/>

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Bavula, V.V. and Akcin, H.M.T. (2018) On approximations of the de Rham complex and their cohomology. *Communications in Algebra*, 46 (4). pp. 1447-1463. ISSN 0092-7872

<https://doi.org/10.1080/00927872.2017.1346109>

This is an Accepted Manuscript of an article published by Taylor & Francis in *Communications in Algebra* on 05 Jul 2017, available online:

<http://www.tandfonline.com/10.1080/00927872.2017.1346109>.

Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/>

On approximations of the de Rham complex and their cohomology

V. V. Bavula and H. Melis Tekin Akcin

Abstract

For a commutative algebra R , its de Rham cohomology is an important invariant of R . In the paper, an infinite chain of de Rham-like complexes is introduced where the first member of the chain is the de Rham complex. The complexes are called *approximations of the de Rham complex*. Their cohomologies are found for polynomial rings and algebras of power series over a field of characteristic zero.

Key Words: differentials, the de Rham complex, the de Rham cohomology, polynomial algebra, algebra of power series, approximations.
Mathematics subject classification 2010: 13D03, 13N05, 13N10, 13N15.

1 Introduction

Let R be a commutative K -algebra with 1 over a commutative ring K . Module means a left module. For each natural number $m \geq 1$, let $\Omega_m(R)$ be the universal module of derivations of order m of R (the module of m^{th} order differentials), see [7, 4, 6] and Section 2. The modules $\Omega_m(R)$ were studied in [5, 7, 4, 6, 1, 8, 2, 3] to name just a few. In particular, Ω_1 is the module of differentials of R over K and the exterior algebra of the left R -module Ω_1 , $(\wedge^\bullet \Omega_1, d_1)$, is the de Rham cochain complex of R . There is a chain of cochain complexes

$$\cdots \rightarrow \wedge^\bullet \Omega_m \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \wedge^\bullet \Omega_2 \rightarrow \wedge^\bullet \Omega_1 \rightarrow 0$$

(see (21)) that are called *approximations of the de Rham complex*. The main result of the paper is an explicit description of the cohomology groups $H^\bullet(R, m) := H^\bullet(\wedge^\bullet \Omega_m)$ for the polynomial algebra $P_n = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ and the algebra $S_n = K[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$ of power series over a field K of characteristic zero (below $\binom{i}{j} = \frac{i!}{j!(i-j)!}$ is the binomial coefficient):

- (Theorem 2.7)

$$H^i(P_n, m) \simeq \begin{cases} K^{\binom{\text{rk}(\Omega_m) - n}{i}} & \text{if } 0 \leq i \leq \text{rk}(\Omega_m) - n, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $\text{rk}(\Omega_m) = \binom{n+m}{n} - 1$.

- (Theorem 3.2)

$$H^i(S_n, m) \simeq \begin{cases} K^{\binom{\text{rk}(\Omega_m) - n}{i}} & \text{if } 0 \leq i \leq \text{rk}(\Omega_m) - n, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $\text{rk}(\Omega_m) = \binom{n+m}{n} - 1$.

2 Approximations of the de Rham complex

In this paper, a module means a left module. Let R be a commutative K -algebra where K is a commutative ring, $R \otimes R := R \otimes_K R$, $E := \text{End}_K(R \otimes R)$ be the endomorphism algebra of $R \otimes R$, i.e., the algebra of all K -homomorphisms $R \otimes R \rightarrow R \otimes R$. Let M be an R -bimodule. A K -linear map $\partial : R \rightarrow M$ such that $\partial(rs) = \partial(r)s + r\partial(s)$ is called a K -derivation from R to M . The set of all K -derivations from R to M is denoted by $\text{Der}_K(R, M)$. In particular, for $M = R$, $\text{Der}_K(R) := \text{Der}_K(R, R)$ is the set of all K -derivations of the K -algebra R . For each $a \in R$, let

$$\ell_a : R \otimes R \rightarrow R \otimes R, \quad b \otimes c \mapsto ab \otimes c, \quad (1)$$

$$\tau_a : R \otimes R \rightarrow R \otimes R, \quad b \otimes c \mapsto b \otimes ca. \quad (2)$$

The maps ℓ_a, τ_a and $\Delta_a := \ell_a - \tau_a$ commute. The algebra $R \otimes R$ contains two subalgebras $R \otimes 1$ and $1 \otimes R$. The map

$$d : R \rightarrow R \otimes R, \quad r \mapsto d(r) := r' := r \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes r \quad (3)$$

is a K -derivation, $d \in \text{Der}_K(R, R \otimes R)$, that is $(rs)' = r's + rs' = r' \cdot 1 \otimes s + r \otimes 1 \cdot s'$ for all $r, s \in R$. Let I be the kernel of the algebra epimorphism

$$\varphi : R \otimes R \rightarrow R, \quad r \otimes s \mapsto rs. \quad (4)$$

Then $\varphi d = 0$, so $R' := dR := \text{im}(d) \subseteq I$ and the map

$$d : R \rightarrow I, \quad r \mapsto r' = r \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes r \quad (5)$$

is a K -derivation, $d \in \text{Der}_K(R, I)$.

Lemma 2.1 1. $I = RR' = R'R$, i.e., the ideal I is generated by the set R' as a left or right R -module.

2. $I^m = R(R')^m = (R')^m R$ for all $m \geq 1$.

Proof. 1. Statement 1 follows from the equality $r's = (rs)' - rs'$.
2. Statement 2 follows from statement 1. \square

The involution o . An automorphism of an algebra of degree 2 is called an *involution*. The map

$$o : R \otimes R \rightarrow R \otimes R, \quad r \otimes s \mapsto s \otimes r \quad (6)$$

is an involution since $(r \otimes s)^{oo} = r \otimes s$. Clearly, $(R \otimes 1)^o = 1 \otimes R$ and $(1 \otimes R)^o = R \otimes 1$. For all $r \in R$,

$$(r')^o = -r'. \quad (7)$$

Therefore, $I^o = I$, by Lemma 2.1. Let $x_1, x_2 \in R$. In particular, $x_1 x_2 = x_2 x_1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} x'_1 x'_2 &= x'_2 x'_1 = x_1 x'_2 - x'_2 x_1 = x_2 x'_1 - x'_1 x_2, \\ (x_1 x_2)' &= x'_1 x_2 + x_1 x'_2 = x_2 x'_1 - x'_2 x_1 + x'_1 x_2 + x_1 x'_2 = x_2 x'_1 - x'_1 x'_2 + x_1 x'_2, \\ (x_1 x_2)' &= x_1 x_2 + x_1 x_2 = x'_1 x_2 + x_1 x'_2 - x_2 x_1 + x_2 x_1 = x'_1 x_2 + x_1 x'_2 + x_2 x_1. \end{aligned}$$

The equalities above do not hold if the elements x_1 and x_2 do not commute. Let n be a natural number such that $n \geq 2$ and $[n] := \{1, \dots, n\}$. For a subset I of the set $[n]$, let $CI := [n] \setminus I$ be its complement and $|I|$ be the number of elements in I .

Lemma 2.2 *Given elements $x_1, \dots, x_n \in R$, we have*

$$(x_1 \cdots x_n)' = \sum_{\phi \neq I \subseteq [n]} (-1)^{|I|+1} x^{CI} (x')^I = \sum_{\phi \neq I \subseteq [n]} (x')^I x^{CI} \quad (8)$$

where $x^{CI} := \prod_{j \in CI} x_j$ and $(x')^I := \prod_{i \in I} x'_i$. In particular,

$$(x_i^n)' = \sum_{m=1}^n (-1)^{m+1} \binom{n}{m} x_i^{n-m} x_i'^m = \sum_{m=1}^n \binom{n}{m} x_i'^m x_i^{n-m}.$$

More generally, for all $0 \neq \alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$,

$$(x^\alpha)' = \sum_{0 \neq \beta \leq \alpha} (-1)^{|\beta|+1} \binom{\alpha}{\beta} x^{\alpha-\beta} x'^\beta = \sum_{0 \neq \beta \leq \alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\beta} x'^\beta x^{\alpha-\beta} \quad (9)$$

where $x^\alpha = \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{\alpha_i}$, $x'^\beta := \prod_{i=1}^n x_i'^{\beta_i}$, $|\beta| := \beta_1 + \dots + \beta_n$, $\beta \leq \alpha$ means $\beta_1 \leq \alpha_1, \dots, \beta_n \leq \alpha_n$, and $\binom{\alpha}{\beta} := \prod_{i=1}^n \binom{\alpha_i}{\beta_i}$ is a multi-nomial coefficient. Furthermore, for a polynomial $P = P(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in K[t_1, \dots, t_n]$, let $p = P(x_1, \dots, x_n)$. Then

$$p' = \sum_{\beta \neq 0} (-1)^{|\beta|+1} \frac{\partial^\beta p}{\partial x^\beta} \frac{x'^\beta}{\beta!} = \sum_{\beta \neq 0} \frac{x'^\beta}{\beta!} \frac{\partial^\beta p}{\partial x^\beta} \quad (10)$$

where $\frac{\partial^\beta p}{\partial x^\beta} = \frac{\partial^\beta p}{\partial t^\beta} |_{t_1=x_1, \dots, t_n=x_n}$.

Proof. Let us prove by induction on n that the second equality in (8) holds, i.e.,

$$(x_1 \cdots x_n)' = \sum_{\phi \neq I \subseteq [n]} x'^I x^{CI}.$$

The case $n = 2$ was proven above. So, let $n > 2$ and we assume that the equality holds for all $n' < n$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned} (x_1 \cdots x_n)' &= (x_1 \cdots x_{n-1})' x_n + x_1 \cdots x_{n-1} x'_n \\ &= \sum_{\phi \neq J \subseteq [n-1]} x'^J x^{CJ} x_n + x'_n x_1 \cdots x_{n-1} + x_1 \cdots x_{n-1} x'_n - x'_n x_1 \cdots x_{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that

$$x_1 \cdots x_{n-1} x'_n - x'_n x_1 \cdots x_{n-1} = (x_1 \cdots x_{n-1})' x'_n = \sum_{\phi \neq J \subseteq [n-1]} x'^J x^{CJ} x'_n$$

and the second equality follows. By applying the automorphism o to the second equality we obtain the first equality:

$$(x_1 \cdots x_n)' = -((x_1 \cdots x_n)')^o = - \sum_{\phi \neq I \subseteq [n]} x^{CI} ((x')^I)^o = \sum_{\phi \neq I \subseteq [n]} (-1)^{|I|+1} x^{CI} x'^I,$$

by (7). The equalities in (9) follows from (8). The equality in (10) follows at once from (9). \square

The short exact sequence of left R -modules

$$0 \rightarrow I \rightarrow R \otimes R \xrightarrow{\varphi} R \rightarrow 0 \quad (11)$$

admits a section $\ell : R \rightarrow R \otimes R$, $r \mapsto r \otimes 1$, that is $\varphi \ell = \text{id}_R$. Therefore,

$$R \otimes R = R \otimes 1 \oplus I \quad (12)$$

is the direct sum of left R -modules. Similarly, the short exact sequence of right R -modules (11) admits a section $r : R \rightarrow R \otimes R$, $a \mapsto 1 \otimes a$, that is $\varphi r = \text{id}_R$. Therefore,

$$R \otimes R = 1 \otimes R \oplus I \quad (13)$$

is the direct sum of right R -modules. The I -adic filtration of the ring $R \otimes R$,

$$R \otimes R \supseteq I \supseteq I^2 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq I^m \supseteq \cdots$$

determines the chain of ring epimorphisms

$$\cdots \rightarrow R \otimes R / I^m \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow R \otimes R / I^2 \rightarrow R \otimes R / I \rightarrow 0.$$

Let $\mathcal{P}(R) := \varprojlim R \otimes R/I^m$. For each $m \geq 1$, the ideal $\Omega_m := I/I^{m+1}$ of the ring $R \otimes R/I^{m+1}$ is called *the module of differentials of order m of R* . For all $m \geq 1$, by (12) and (13),

$$R \otimes R/I^{m+1} = R \otimes 1 \oplus \Omega_m = 1 \otimes R \oplus \Omega_m. \quad (14)$$

Let $\Omega_\infty := \varprojlim \Omega_m$ be the projective limit of $R \otimes R$ -module epimorphisms

$$\cdots \rightarrow \Omega_m \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \Omega_2 \rightarrow \Omega_1 \rightarrow 0. \quad (15)$$

Then

$$\mathcal{P}(R) = R \otimes 1 \oplus \Omega_\infty = 1 \otimes R \oplus \Omega_\infty. \quad (16)$$

Clearly, Ω_∞ is an ideal of the ring $\mathcal{P}(R)$ such that $\mathcal{P}(R)/\Omega_\infty \simeq R$. For each $m \geq 1$, the derivation $d: R \rightarrow R \otimes R$ (see (3)) determines the derivation

$$d_m: R \rightarrow R \otimes R/I^{m+1}, \quad r \mapsto r' + I^{m+1}$$

which can be seen as *m 'th approximation of the derivation d* . Recall that

$$R \otimes R/I^{m+1} = R \otimes 1 \oplus \Omega_m = 1 \otimes R \oplus \Omega_m.$$

By Lemma 2.1, $\text{im}(d_m) \subseteq \Omega_m$. Therefore,

$$d_m: R \rightarrow \Omega_m, \quad r \mapsto r' + I^{m+1}$$

is a derivation of R -bimodules, i.e., $d_m(rs) = d_m(r)s + rd_m(s)$ for all elements $r, s \in R$. The commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & R & & & & \\ & & \downarrow d_m & \searrow d_1 & & & \\ \cdots & \longrightarrow & \Omega_m & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & \Omega_2 \longrightarrow \Omega_1 \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

yields the derivation

$$d_\infty: R \rightarrow \Omega_\infty.$$

The polynomial algebra case. Let $R = P_n := K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be a polynomial algebra in variables x_1, \dots, x_n over a field K . The polynomial algebra $P_n \otimes P_n$ in $2n$ variables over K can be presented as the following polynomial algebras:

$$\begin{aligned} P_n \otimes 1[x'_1, \dots, x'_n] &:= P_n[x'_1, \dots, x'_n] := \left\{ \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} \lambda_\alpha x'^{\alpha} \mid \lambda_\alpha \in P_n \otimes 1 \right\} \text{ and} \\ 1 \otimes P_n[x'_1, \dots, x'_n] &:= [x'_1, \dots, x'_n]P_n := \left\{ \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} x'^{\alpha} \lambda_\alpha \mid \lambda_\alpha \in 1 \otimes P_n \right\} \end{aligned}$$

where $x'_i = x_i \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes x_i$ and $x'^{\alpha} := x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_n^{\alpha_n}$.

Proposition 2.3 *Let $R = P_n := K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be a polynomial algebra over a field K . Then*

1. $I = P_n P'_n = \bigoplus_{|\alpha| \geq 1} P_n x'^\alpha = P'_n P_n = \bigoplus_{|\alpha| \geq 1} x'^\alpha P_n$ where $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$ and $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n$. For $m \geq 1$, $I^m = \bigoplus_{|\alpha| \geq m} P_n x'^\alpha = \bigoplus_{|\alpha| \geq m} x'^\alpha P_n$. The ideal I of $P_n \otimes P_n$ is equal to (x'_1, \dots, x'_n) .

2. For $m \geq 1$,

$$\Omega_m = I/I^{m+1} = \bigoplus_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq m} P_n x'^\alpha = \bigoplus_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq m} x'^\alpha P_n. \quad (17)$$

In particular, the free left/right P_n -module Ω_m has rank $\text{rk}(\Omega_m) = \binom{n+m}{n} - 1$.

3. $\mathcal{P}(P_n) = P_n[[x'_1, \dots, x'_n]] = [[x'_1, \dots, x'_n]]P_n$ is the algebra of power series with coefficients in the polynomial algebra P_n and

$$\Omega_\infty = (x'_1, \dots, x'_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathcal{P}(P_n) x'_i = \sum_{i=1}^n x'_i \mathcal{P}(P_n)$$

is the ideal of the algebra $\mathcal{P}(P_n)$ generated by the elements x'_1, \dots, x'_n . The derivation $d_\infty : R \rightarrow \Omega_\infty$ is given by (9).

4. For all $m \geq 1$,

$$\Omega_m = \Omega_\infty / \Omega_\infty^{m+1}. \quad (18)$$

Proof. 1. By Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2, $I = P_n P'_n = \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 1} P_n (x^\alpha)' = \bigoplus_{|\alpha| \geq 1} P_n x'^\alpha$ and $I = P'_n P_n = \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 1} (x^\alpha)' P_n = \bigoplus_{|\alpha| \geq 1} x'^\alpha P_n$ since $(x')^\alpha = x^\alpha \otimes 1 + \dots + (-1)^{|\alpha|} 1 \otimes x^\alpha$. Hence,

$$I^m = \bigoplus_{|\alpha| \geq m} P_n x'^\alpha = \bigoplus_{|\alpha| \geq m} x'^\alpha P_n$$

for all $m \geq 1$. Clearly, the ideal I of the algebra $P_n \otimes P_n$ is generated by the elements x'_1, \dots, x'_n .

2. Step 2 follows from statement 1.

3. Step 3 follows from statement 2.

4. Step 4 follows from statement 3. \square

Approximations of the de Rham complex. Let R be a commutative K -algebra. For each $m \geq 1$, let

$$\Lambda^\bullet \Omega_m = R \oplus \Omega_m \oplus \Lambda^2 \Omega_m \oplus \dots \oplus \Lambda^i \Omega_m \oplus \dots$$

be the exterior algebra of the left R -module Ω_m . For each $i \geq 1$, the derivation $d_m = d_{m,0} : R \rightarrow \Omega_m$ can be extended to a map

$$d_{m,i} : \Lambda^i \Omega_m \rightarrow \Lambda^{i+1} \Omega_m, \quad a_0 a'_1 \wedge \dots \wedge a'_i \mapsto a'_0 \wedge a'_1 \wedge \dots \wedge a'_i.$$

$$R \xrightarrow{d_m = d_{m,0}} \Omega_m \xrightarrow{d_{m,1}} \Lambda^2 \Omega_m \xrightarrow{d_{m,2}} \dots \xrightarrow{d_{m,i-1}} \Lambda^i \Omega_m \xrightarrow{d_{m,i}} \dots. \quad (19)$$

Lemma 2.4 *The complex (19) is a cochain complex, i.e., $d_{m,i+1}d_{m,i} = 0$ for all $i \geq 0$.*

Proof. $d_{m,i+1}d_{m,i}(a_0a'_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge a'_i) = d_{m,i+1}(a'_0 \wedge a'_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge a'_i) = 1' \wedge a'_0 \wedge a'_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge a'_i = 0$, since $1' = 0$. Here, $a'_i = d_m(a_i)$ where $d_m : R \rightarrow \Omega_m(R)$ denotes the universal derivation, see above. \square

In a similar way, for each $m \geq 1$, the exterior algebra of the *right* R -module Ω_m is defined

$$\Lambda_r^\bullet \Omega_m = R \oplus \Omega_m \oplus \Lambda_r^2 \Omega_m \oplus \cdots \oplus \Lambda_r^i \Omega_m \oplus \cdots.$$

We add the subscript ' r ' to indicate that the right R -module structure is used for Ω_m . For each $i \geq 1$, the derivation

$$d_m = d_{m,0} = d_m^r : R \rightarrow \Omega_m$$

can be extended to a map

$$d_{m,i}^r : \Lambda_r^i \Omega_m \rightarrow \Lambda_r^{i+1} \Omega_m, \quad a'_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge a'_i a_{i+1} \mapsto a'_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge a'_i \wedge a'_{i+1}.$$

We have a cochain complex

$$R \xrightarrow{d_m = d_m^r} \Omega_m \xrightarrow{d_{m,1}^r} \Lambda^2 \Omega_m \xrightarrow{d_{m,2}^r} \cdots \xrightarrow{d_{m,i-1}^r} \Lambda^i \Omega_m \xrightarrow{d_{m,i}^r} \cdots, \quad (20)$$

$d_{m,i+1}^r d_{m,i}^r = 0$ for all $i \geq 0$. Clearly, the cochain complexes $(\Lambda_r^\bullet \Omega_m, d_{m,i}^r)$ and $(\Lambda_r^\bullet \Omega_m, (-1)^{i+1} d_{m,i}^r)$ have the same cohomology. The involution o of the ring $R \otimes R$ interchanges the left and right R -module structures of $R \otimes R$ (since, $(r \otimes 1)^o = 1 \otimes r$ for all $r \in R$). Hence, the involution $o : \Omega_m \rightarrow \Omega_m$, $a' \mapsto (a')^o = -a'$ interchanges the left and right R -module structures on Ω_m : for all $r, a \in R$,

$$(ra')^o = ((r \otimes 1)a')^o = (r \otimes 1)^o(a')^o = (1 \otimes r)(a')^o = (a')^o(1 \otimes r) = (a')^o r.$$

By the very definition, the exterior algebra $\Lambda^\bullet \Omega_m$ (resp., $\Lambda_r^\bullet \Omega_m$) of the left (resp., right) R -module Ω_m is an R -algebra where $R = R \otimes 1$ (resp., $R = 1 \otimes R$).

Lemma 2.5 *For each $m \geq 1$, the map*

$$o : \Lambda^\bullet \Omega_m \rightarrow \Lambda_r^\bullet \Omega_m, \quad ra'_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge a'_i \mapsto (ra'_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge a'_i)^o := r^o(a'_1)^o \wedge \cdots \wedge (a'_i)^o$$

is an isomorphism of R -algebras, it is also an isomorphism of cochain complexes $(\Lambda^\bullet \Omega_m, d_{m,i})$ and $(\Lambda_r^\bullet \Omega_m, (-1)^{i+1} d_{m,i}^r)$. In particular, the cohomology of the three cochain complexes $(\Lambda^\bullet \Omega_m, d_{m,i})$, $(\Lambda_r^\bullet \Omega_m, (-1)^{i+1} d_{m,i}^r)$ and $(\Lambda_r^\bullet \Omega_m, d_{m,i}^r)$ coincide.

Proof. By the definition, the map $o : \Lambda^\bullet \Omega_m \rightarrow \Lambda_r^\bullet \Omega_m$ is an isomorphism of R -modules since (by (7))

$$(ra'_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge a'_i)^o = r^o(a'_1)^o \wedge \cdots \wedge (a'_i)^o = a'_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge a'_i (-1)^i r = (a'_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge a'_i)^o r.$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} d_{m,i}^r((ra'_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge a'_i)^o) &= a'_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge a'_i \wedge r'(-1)^i, \\ (d_{m,i}(ra'_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge a'_i))^o &= (r' \wedge a'_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge a'_i)^o \\ &= (-1)^{i+1} r' \wedge a'_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge a'_i = -a'_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge a'_i \wedge r', \end{aligned}$$

that is $((-1)^{i+1} d_{m,i}^r)o = od_{m,i}$ and the map o yields an isomorphism of the cochain complexes $(\wedge^\bullet \Omega_m, d_{m,i})$ and $(\wedge_r^\bullet \Omega_m, (-1)^{i+1} d_{m,i}^r)$. Now, the last statement of the lemma follows. \square

Definition 2.6 For each natural number $m \geq 1$, let $H^\bullet(R, m) = \{H^i(R, m)\}_{i \geq 0}$ be the cohomology groups of the cochain complex (19).

When $m = 1$, the complex (19) is called *the de Rham complex of R* and its cohomology $H_{DR}^\bullet(R)$ is called *the de Rham cohomology of R* . The chain (15) yields the chain

$$\cdots \rightarrow \wedge^\bullet \Omega_m \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \wedge^\bullet \Omega_2 \rightarrow \wedge^\bullet \Omega_1 \rightarrow 0 \quad (21)$$

of complexes that are called *approximations of the de Rham complex* and its projective limit $\varprojlim \wedge^\bullet \Omega_m$ is a complex such that

$$(\varprojlim \wedge^\bullet \Omega_m)_i = \varprojlim \wedge^i \Omega_m. \quad (22)$$

The chain (21) yields the chain

$$\cdots \rightarrow H^\bullet(R, m) \rightarrow H^\bullet(R, m-1) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow H^\bullet(R, 1) = H_{DR}^\bullet(R) \rightarrow 0. \quad (23)$$

In particular, for all $s \geq 0$, we have the chain

$$\cdots \rightarrow H^s(R, m) \rightarrow H^s(R, m-1) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow H^s(R, 1) = H_{DR}^s(R) \rightarrow 0. \quad (24)$$

Let $\varprojlim_m H^\bullet(R, m)$ and $\varprojlim_m H^s(R, m)$ be the projective limits of (23) and (24), respectively. For natural numbers $n \geq 1$ and $m \geq 1$, let

$$\mathcal{H}_n(m) := \{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n \mid 1 \leq |\alpha| \leq m\} \text{ where } |\alpha| := \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n.$$

Clearly,

$$|\mathcal{H}_n(m)| = \binom{n+m}{n} - 1.$$

The degree Deg and the associative filtration on $\wedge^s \Omega_m$. For each $s = 1, \dots, |\mathcal{H}_n(m)|$, $\wedge^s \Omega_m = \oplus P_n X'^S$ where S runs through all the distinct subsets $S = \{\alpha^1, \dots, \alpha^s\}$ of the set $\mathcal{H}_n(m)$ that contains s (distinct) elements and $X'^S := x'^{\alpha^1} \wedge \cdots \wedge x'^{\alpha^s}$, the order in X'^S is fixed for each S . So, each element θ of $\wedge^s \Omega_m$ is a unique sum $\theta = \sum p_S X'^S$ where $p_S \in P_n$. For $S = \{\alpha^1, \dots, \alpha^s\}$,

$|S| := \sum_{i=1}^s |\alpha^i|$. Let us define the degree $\text{Deg}(\theta)$ by the rule: $\text{Deg}(0) := \infty$ and $\text{Deg}(\theta) = \min\{|S| \mid p_S \neq 0\}$. For the nonzero element θ , the sum

$$\ell(\theta) := \sum \{p_S X'^S \mid |S| = \text{Deg}(\theta), p_S \neq 0\}$$

is called the *leading term* of θ . So, $\theta = \ell(\theta) + \dots$ where the three dots denote the *higher terms*. For all elements $\theta, \eta \in \wedge^s \Omega_m$ and $p \in P_n \setminus \{0\}$,

$$\text{Deg}(p\theta) = \text{Deg}(\theta) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Deg}(\theta + \eta) \geq \min\{\text{Deg}(\theta), \text{Deg}(\eta)\}.$$

For each $j \in \mathbb{N}$, let $F_{\geq j}^s := F_{\geq j}^s(m) := \{\theta \in \wedge^s \Omega_m \mid \text{Deg}(\theta) \geq j\}$. Then

$$F_{\geq 0}^s(m) = \dots = F_{\geq s}^s(m) \supseteq F_{\geq s+1}^s(m) \supseteq \dots \supseteq F_{\geq j}^s(m) \supseteq \dots$$

is a descending chain of left R -modules where all but finitely many elements of the filtration are equal to zero. In this case, we say that the filtration is a *finite* filtration. Clearly, for all $i, j, s, t \geq 0$,

$$F_{\geq i}^s(m) F_{\geq j}^t(m) \subseteq F_{\geq i+j}^{s+t}(m).$$

For each $j \in \mathbb{N}$, let $F_j^s(m) := \{\theta \in \wedge^s \Omega_m \mid \text{Deg}(\theta) = j\}$. Then $F_{\geq j}^s(m) = \bigoplus_{i \geq j} F_i^s(m)$. In particular, $\wedge^s \Omega_m = \bigoplus_{j \geq s} F_j^s(m)$. So, the *associated graded* left R -module,

$$\text{gr}(\wedge^s \Omega_m) := \bigoplus_{j \geq s} F_{\geq j}^s(m) / F_{\geq j+1}^s(m) \simeq \bigoplus_{j \geq s} F_j^s(m) = \wedge^s \Omega_m,$$

coincides with the left R -module $\wedge^s \Omega_m$. For all $i, j, s, t \geq 0$, $F_i^s(m) F_j^t(m) \subseteq F_{i+j}^{s+t}(m)$. By (10), (where $p \in P_n$),

$$d_{m,s} : \wedge^s \Omega_m \rightarrow \wedge^{s+1} \Omega_m, \quad \theta = p x'^{\alpha^1} \wedge \dots \wedge x'^{\alpha^s} \mapsto d_{m,s}(\theta) \quad (25)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} d_{m,s}(\theta) &= \sum_{0 \neq \beta \in \mathbb{N}^n} \frac{(-1)^{|\beta|+1}}{\beta!} \frac{\partial^\beta p}{\partial x^\beta} x'^\beta \wedge x'^{\alpha^1} \wedge \dots \wedge x'^{\alpha^s} + I^{m+1} \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq |\beta| \leq m-t} \frac{(-1)^{|\beta|+1}}{\beta!} \frac{\partial^\beta p}{\partial x^\beta} x'^\beta \wedge x'^{\alpha^1} \wedge \dots \wedge x'^{\alpha^s} + I^{m+1} \quad \text{and } t = \sum_{i=1}^s |\alpha^i|. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$d_{m,s}(F_{\geq j}^s(m)) \subseteq F_{\geq j+1}^{s+1}(m). \quad (26)$$

So, the differential $d_{m,s}$ increases the degree Deg by at least 1 and we defined the *associated graded differential of graded degree +1* by the rule

$$\text{gr}(d_{m,s}) : \text{gr}(\wedge^s \Omega_m) \rightarrow \text{gr}(\wedge^{s+1} \Omega_m)$$

where for each $j \geq s$,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{gr}(d_{m,s}) : F_j^s(m) = F_{\geq j}^s(m) / F_{\geq j+1}^s(m) &\rightarrow F_{j+1}^{s+1}(m) = F_{\geq j+1}^{s+1}(m) / F_{\geq j+2}^{s+1}(m), \\ \theta + F_{\geq j+1}^s(m) &\mapsto d_{m,s}(\theta) + F_{\geq j+2}^{s+1}(m). \end{aligned}$$

By (25), for $\theta = px'^{\alpha^1} \wedge \cdots \wedge x'^{\alpha^s} \in F_j^s(m)$ where $p \in P_n$,

$$\text{gr}(d_{m,s})(\theta + F_{\geq j+1}^s(m)) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial p}{\partial x_i} x'_i \wedge x'^{\alpha^1} \wedge \cdots \wedge x'^{\alpha^s} + F_{\geq j+2}^{s+1}(m). \quad (27)$$

The next theorem describes the cohomology groups $H^i(P_n, m)$. The key idea is to use the *finite* filtration $\{F_{\geq j}^i(m)\}$ on $\wedge^i \Omega_m$, the explicit form of $\text{gr}(d_{m,i})$ (see (27)) and the fact that the representatives of the cohomology group H_{gr}^i of the associate graded cochain complex $(\text{gr}(\wedge^i \Omega_m), \text{gr}(d_{m,i}))$ are, in fact, cocycles of the cochain complex $(\wedge^i \Omega_m, d_{m,i})$.

Theorem 2.7 *For the polynomial algebra P_n , $\text{rk}(\Omega_m) = \binom{n+m}{n} - 1$, by Proposition 2.3.(2). Let K be a field of characteristic zero. Then for all $n, m \geq 1$,*

$$H^i(P_n, m) \simeq \begin{cases} K^{\binom{\text{rk}(\Omega_m) - n}{i}} & \text{if } 0 \leq i \leq \text{rk}(\Omega_m) - n, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. By (17), $\Omega_m = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{H}_n(m)} P_n x'^{\alpha}$ and $\text{rk}(\Omega_m) = |\mathcal{H}_n(m)| = \binom{n+m}{n} - 1$ is the number of free generators of the (left or right) P_n -module Ω_m . Let $e_1 := (1, 0, \dots, 0), \dots, e_n := (0, 0, \dots, 1)$ and $B_n := \{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$. Clearly, $B_n \subseteq \mathcal{H}_n(m)$ and

$$\mathcal{H}_n(m) = B_n \sqcup CB_n$$

where $CB_n := \mathcal{H}_n(m) \setminus B_n$ is the complement of the set B_n in $\mathcal{H}_n(m)$. It is obvious that

$$\wedge^{\bullet} \Omega_m = \bigoplus_{s=0}^{\text{rk}(\Omega_m)} \wedge^s \Omega_m$$

where $\wedge^0 \Omega_m := R$. Therefore, $H^s := H^s(P_n, m) = 0$ for all $s > \text{rk}(\Omega_m)$. By (25),

$$K \subseteq \ker(d_{m,0}) \subseteq \{P \in P_n \mid \frac{\partial P}{\partial x_1} = \cdots = \frac{\partial P}{\partial x_n} = 0\} = K,$$

and so $H^0 = \ker(d_{m,0}) = K$. It remains to consider the groups H^s where $s = 1, \dots, \text{rk}(\Omega_m)$. Clearly,

$$\wedge^s \Omega_m = \bigoplus_{S \in B_n(s)} P_n X'^S \oplus \bigoplus_{S \in W_n(s)} P_n X'^S, \quad (28)$$

$$B_n(s) := B_{n,m}(s) := \{S \subseteq \mathcal{H}_n(m) \mid |S| = s \text{ and } S \cap B_n \neq \emptyset\},$$

$$W_n(s) := W_{n,m}(s) := \{S \subseteq \mathcal{H}_n(m) \mid |S| = s \text{ and } S \cap B_n = \emptyset\},$$

where for $S = \{\alpha^1, \dots, \alpha^s\}$, $X'^S := x'^{\alpha^1} \wedge x'^{\alpha^2} \wedge \cdots \wedge x'^{\alpha^s}$ and the order of the elements in the wedge product can be arbitrary but fixed for each set S . Let $\mathcal{B}_n(s) := \bigoplus_{S \in B_n(s)} P_n X'^S$ and $\mathcal{W}_n(s) := \bigoplus_{S \in W_n(s)} P_n X'^S$. By (28),

$$\wedge^s \Omega_m = \mathcal{B}_n(s) \oplus \mathcal{W}_n(s). \quad (29)$$

The vector space $Z^s := \ker(d_{m,s})$ (resp., $B^s := \text{im}(d_{m,s-1})$) admits the induced descending filtration $\{Z_{\geq j}^s := Z^s \cap F_{\geq j}^s(m)\}_{j \geq s}$ (resp., $\{B_{\geq j}^s := B^s \cap F_{\geq j}^s(m)\}_{j \geq s}$). Then

$$\text{gr}(H^s) = \bigoplus_{j \geq s} H_j^s \quad (30)$$

where $H_j^s := Z_{\geq j}^s / Z_{\geq j+1}^s \cap (B^s + Z_{\geq j+1}^s) \simeq Z_{\geq j}^s / (Z_{\geq j+1}^s + (Z_{\geq j}^s \cap B^s)) = Z_{\geq j}^s / (Z_{\geq j+1}^s + B_{\geq j}^s)$. We denote by $H_{\text{gr}}^\bullet = \{H_{\text{gr}}^s\}_{s \geq 0}$ the cohomology groups of the associated graded complex $(\text{gr}(\wedge^\bullet \Omega_m), \text{gr}(d_m))$:

$$\dots \xrightarrow{\partial_{s-2}} \text{gr}(\wedge^{s-1} \Omega_m) \xrightarrow{\partial_{s-1}} \text{gr}(\wedge^s \Omega_m) \xrightarrow{\partial_s} \text{gr}(\wedge^{s+1} \Omega_m) \xrightarrow{\partial_{s+1}} \dots$$

where $\partial_s := \text{gr}(d_{m,s})$. Let $Z_{\text{gr}}^s := \ker(\partial_s)$, $B_{\text{gr}}^s := \text{im}(\partial_{s-1})$ and $H_{\text{gr}}^s = Z_{\text{gr}}^s / B_{\text{gr}}^s$. Then $H_{\text{gr}}^s = \bigoplus_{j \geq s} H_{\text{gr},j}^s$ where

$$H_{\text{gr},j}^s = \frac{\ker(F_{\geq j}^s \xrightarrow{\partial_s} F_{\geq j+1}^s)}{\text{im}(F_{\geq j-1}^{s-1} \xrightarrow{\partial_{s-1}} F_{\geq j}^s)}.$$

Clearly, each H_j^s is a subfactor of $H_{\text{gr},j}^s$ (given vector spaces $V_1 \subseteq V_2 \subseteq V$, the factor space V_2/V_1 is called a *subfactor* of V). In fact, we will see that $H_j^s = H_{\text{gr},j}^s$ (see Step 6).

Step 1. $Z_{\text{gr}}^s = Z_b^s \oplus Z_w^s$ where $Z_b^s := Z_{\text{gr}}^s \cap \mathcal{B}_n(s)$ and $Z_w^s := Z_w^s(n, m) := Z_{\text{gr}}^s \cap \mathcal{W}_n(s)$: Let $a \in Z_{\text{gr}}^s$. By (29), $a = a_b + a_w$ where $a_b \in \mathcal{B}_n(s)$ and $a_w \in \mathcal{W}_n(s)$. Then $0 = \partial_s(a) = \partial_s(a_b) + \partial_s(a_w)$ implies $\partial_s(a_b) = 0$ and $\partial_s(a_w) = 0$ since, by (27),

$$\partial_s(a_b) \in \sum \{P_n X'^S \mid |S| = s+1, |S \cap B_n| \geq 2\}$$

and

$$\partial_s(a_w) \in \sum \{P_n X'^S \mid |S| = s+1, |S \cap B_n| = 1\}.$$

Therefore, $Z_{\text{gr}}^s = Z_b^s \oplus Z_w^s$ as required.

Step 2. $B_{\text{gr}}^s = \text{im}(\partial_{s-1}) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_n(s)$: The inclusion is obvious. By Steps 1 and 2,

$$H_{\text{gr}}^s = (Z_b^s \oplus Z_w^s) / B_{\text{gr}}^s \simeq Z_b^s / B_{\text{gr}}^s \oplus Z_w^s.$$

Step 3. $Z_w^s = \sum_{S \in W_n(s)} K X'^S \simeq K^{|W_n(s)|}$ and $|W_n(s)| = \binom{|\mathcal{H}_n(m)| - n}{s}$: Let $a \in Z_w^s$, i.e., $a = \sum_{S \in W_n(s)} p_S X'^S$. By (27),

$$0 = \partial_s(a) = \sum_{S \in W_n(s)} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial p_S}{\partial x_i} x'_i \wedge X'^S.$$

Hence, $\frac{\partial p_S}{\partial x_i} = 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$, and we must have $p_S \in \bigcap_{i=1}^n \ker_{P_n}(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}) = K$. That is, $a \in \sum_{S \in W_n(s)} KX'^S$, as required.

Step 4. $Z_b^s/B_{\text{gr}}^s = 0$ and $H_{\text{gr}}^s = Z_w^s$ for $s \geq 1$: The main reason why this equality holds is that

$$H_{DR}^s(P_n) = 0 \text{ for } s \geq 1.$$

Let $S \in B_n(s)$. Then

$$S = S_b \sqcup S_w \text{ where } S_b := S \cap B_n \neq \emptyset \text{ and } S_w := S \cap CB_n.$$

Let $a \in Z_b^s$, i.e., $a = \sum_{S \in B_n(s)} p_S X'^S$, $p_S \in P_n$ and, by (27),

$$0 = \partial_s(a) = \sum_{S \in B_n(s)} \partial_s(p_S X'^{S_b} \wedge X'^{S_w}) = \sum_{S \in B_n(s)} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial p_S}{\partial x_i} x'_i \wedge X'^{S_b} \wedge X'^{S_w} = \sum_{S_w} (\sum_{S_b} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial p_S}{\partial x_i} x'_i \wedge X'^{S_b}) \wedge X'^{S_w}.$$

Therefore each expression in the brackets must be equal to zero and can be written as

$$\partial_{s-|S_w|}(\sum_{S_b} p_S X'^{S_b}) = 0,$$

or, equivalently,

$$\partial_{s-|S_w|}(\sum_{T \subseteq B_n, |T|=|S|-|S_w|} p_{S=S_w \sqcup T} X'^T) = 0.$$

Since $H_{DR}^s(P_n) = 0$ for $s \geq 1$ and $|T| \geq 1$ as $S \in B_n(s)$, then Step 4 follows. Therefore, $H_{\text{gr}}^s = Z_w^s$, as required.

Step 5. $d_{m,s}(Z_w^s) = 0$ (by Step 3 and (25)).

Step 6. $H_j^s = H_{\text{gr},j}^s$: By Step 4, we have the equality $H_{\text{gr}}^s = Z_w^s$. Hence, H_j^s is a factor vector space of $H_{\text{gr},j}^s$. Now, by Step 5 and finiteness of the filtration on $\wedge^s \Omega_m$, $H_j^s = H_{\text{gr},j}^s$. \square

When $m = 1$, Theorem 2.7 gives the classical result - the cohomology groups of the de Rham complex for the polynomial algebra.

Corollary 2.8

$$H^i(P_n, 1) \simeq \begin{cases} K & i = 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. For $m = 1$, $\text{rk}(\Omega_1) = \binom{n+1}{n} - 1 = n$ and $\binom{\text{rk}(\Omega_1) - n}{i} = \binom{0}{i}$. Now, by Theorem 2.7, the corollary follows. \square

Given a cochain complex, (C^\bullet, d) such that $H^i(C^\bullet) = 0$ for all but finitely many i and $\dim_K(H^i(C^\bullet)) < \infty$. The number

$$\chi(C) := \sum_i (-1)^i \dim_K H^i(C^\bullet)$$

is called the *Euler characteristic of C^\bullet* . The next corollary shows that the Euler characteristic of all complexes $\wedge^\bullet \Omega_m$ is 0 for $m \geq 1$.

Corollary 2.9 For all $m \geq 1$,

$$\sum_{i \geq 0} (-1)^i \dim_K H^i(P_n, m) = \begin{cases} 1 & m = 1, \\ 0 & m > 1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. The case $m = 1$ is obvious, see Corollary 2.8. For $m \geq 2$ and $n \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} r := \operatorname{rk}(\Omega_1) - 1 &= \binom{n+m}{n} - 1 = \frac{(n+m)(n-1+m) \cdots (n-(n-1)+m)}{n!} - 1 \\ &= \left(1 + \frac{m}{n}\right) \left(1 + \frac{m}{n-1}\right) \cdots (1+m) - 1 > m + 1 - 1 = m \geq 2. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\sum_{i \geq 0} (-1)^i \dim_K H^i(P_n, m) = \sum_{i \geq 0} (-1)^i \binom{r}{i} = (1-1)^r = 0, \text{ since } r \geq 2. \quad \square$$

The next corollary gives an explicit K -basis for the vector space $H^s(P_n, m)$.

Corollary 2.10 For all $s \geq 1$,

$$H^s(P_n, m) = Z_w^s = \left\{ \sum_{S \in W_n(s)} \lambda_S X'^S \mid \lambda_S \in K \right\}.$$

Proof. The equalities $H^s(P_n, m) = Z_w^s$ ($s \geq 1$) were established in the proof of Theorem 2.7. \square For each natural number $n \geq 1$ and $s \geq 1$, let

$$\mathcal{H}_n(\infty) := \cup_{m \geq 1} \mathcal{H}_n(m) = \mathbb{N}^n \setminus \{0\},$$

$$B_{n,\infty}(s) := \cup_{m \geq 1} B_{n,m}(s) = \{S \subseteq \mathbb{N}^n \mid |S| = s, S \cap B_n \neq \emptyset\},$$

$$W_{n,\infty}(s) := \cup_{m \geq 1} W_{n,m}(s) = \{S \subseteq \mathbb{N}^n \mid |S| = s, S \cap B_n = \emptyset\},$$

$$Z_w^s(n, \infty) := \left\{ \sum_{S \in W_{n,\infty}(s)} \lambda_S X'^S \mid \lambda_S \in K \right\} \simeq K^{W_{n,\infty}(s)},$$

where the sum is an infinite sum, it can be seen as a function on the set $W_{n,\infty}(s)$ taking values in K . As a vector space, $Z_w^s(n, \infty)$ is precisely the vector space of all functions from $W_{n,\infty}(s)$ to K .

Theorem 2.11 1.

$$\varprojlim_m H^s(P_n, m) \simeq \begin{cases} K & \text{if } s = 0, \\ K^{\mathbb{N}} & \text{if } s > 0. \end{cases}$$

2. For all $s \geq 1$, $\varprojlim_m H^s(P_n, m) \simeq Z_w^s(n, \infty)$.

Proof. 1. The case $s = 0$ is obvious as $H^0(P_n, m) = K$ and the sequence (24) for $s = 0$ is

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{\operatorname{id}} K \xrightarrow{\operatorname{id}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\operatorname{id}} K \xrightarrow{\operatorname{id}} 0.$$

For $s \geq 1$, statement 1 follows from statement 2.

2. By Corollary 2.10, for all $s \geq 1$, $H^s(P_n, m) = Z_w^s(n, m)$. So, the chain (24) takes the form

$$\dots \longrightarrow Z_w^s(n, m) \xrightarrow{\delta_m} Z_w^s(n, m-1) \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow Z_w^s(n, 1) = H_{DR}^s(P_n) = 0$$

where

$$\delta_m(X'^S) = \begin{cases} X'^S & \text{if } S \in W_{n, m-1}(s), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It follows that $\varprojlim_m H^s(P_n, m) = Z_w^s(n, \infty)$. \square

3 The cohomology groups $H^i(S_n, m)$ where S_n is an algebra of power series

The aim of this section is to find the cohomology groups $H^i(S_n, m)$ where $S_n = K[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$ is the algebra of power series in n variables over a field K of characteristic zero (Theorem 3.2). The algebra of power series (S_n, \mathfrak{m}) is a local Noetherian algebra where $\mathfrak{m} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is a unique maximal ideal of S_n . The algebra S_n is a complete topological algebra with respect to the *m-adic* topology, i.e., $\{\mathfrak{m}^i\}_{i \geq 0}$ is the set of open neighbourhoods of 0. The tensor product of algebras $S_n \otimes S_n$ is a topological algebra where the topology τ is determined by the set $\{\mathfrak{m}^i \otimes S_n + S_n \otimes \mathfrak{m}^i\}_{i \geq 0}$ of open neighbourhoods of 0. The map $d : S_n \rightarrow S_n \otimes S_n$, $s \mapsto s' = s \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes s$ is a continuous map. In particular, by (10), for all power series $p \in S_n$,

$$p' = \sum_{\beta \neq 0} (-1)^{|\beta|+1} \frac{\partial^\beta p}{\partial x^\beta} \frac{x'^\beta}{\beta!} = \sum_{\beta \neq 0} \frac{x'^\beta}{\beta!} \frac{\partial^\beta p}{\partial x^\beta}, \quad (31)$$

where both sums are infinite sums.

Proposition 3.1 *Let $S_n := K[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$ be a power series algebra over a field K of characteristic zero. Then*

1. $I = S_n S'_n = \oplus_{|\alpha| \geq 1} S_n x'^\alpha = S'_n S_n = \oplus_{|\alpha| \geq 1} x'^\alpha S_n$ where $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$ and $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n$. For $m \geq 1$, $I^m = \oplus_{|\alpha| \geq m} S_n x'^\alpha = \oplus_{|\alpha| \geq m} x'^\alpha S_n$. The ideal I of $S_n \otimes S_n$ is equal to (x'_1, \dots, x'_n) .

2. For $m \geq 1$,

$$\Omega_m = I/I^{m+1} = \oplus_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq m} S_n x'^\alpha = \oplus_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq m} x'^\alpha S_n. \quad (32)$$

In particular, the free left/right S_n -module Ω_m has rank $\text{rk}(\Omega_m) = \binom{n+m}{n} - 1$.

3. $\mathcal{P}(S_n) = S_n[[x'_1, \dots, x'_n]] = [[x'_1, \dots, x'_n]]S_n$ is the algebra of power series with coefficients in the algebra S_n and

$$\Omega_\infty = (x'_1, \dots, x'_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathcal{P}(S_n)x'_i = \sum_{i=1}^n x'_i \mathcal{P}(S_n)$$

is the ideal of the algebra $\mathcal{P}(S_n)$ generated by the elements x'_1, \dots, x'_n . The derivation

$d_\infty : R \rightarrow \Omega_\infty$ is given by (31).

4. For all $m \geq 1$,

$$\Omega_m = \Omega_\infty / \Omega_\infty^{m+1}. \quad (33)$$

Proof. 1. By Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2, $I = S_n S'_n = \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 1} S_n (x^\alpha)' = \bigoplus_{|\alpha| \geq 1} S_n x'^\alpha$ and $I = S'_n S_n = \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 1} (x^\alpha)' S_n = \bigoplus_{|\alpha| \geq 1} x'^\alpha S_n$ since $(x')^\alpha = x^\alpha \otimes 1 + \dots + 1 \otimes x^\alpha$. Hence,

$$I^m = \bigoplus_{|\alpha| \geq m} S_n x'^\alpha = \bigoplus_{|\alpha| \geq m} x'^\alpha S_n \quad (34)$$

for all $m \geq 1$. Clearly, the ideal I of the algebra $S_n \otimes S_n$ is generated by the elements x'_1, \dots, x'_n .

2. Step 2 follows from statement 1.

3. Step 3 follows from statement 2.

4. Step 4 follows from statement 3. \square

The degree Deg and the associative filtration on $\wedge^s \Omega_m$. For each $s = 1, \dots, |\mathcal{H}_n(m)|$, $\wedge^s \Omega_m = \bigoplus S_n X'^S$ where S runs through all the distinct subsets $S = \{\alpha^1, \dots, \alpha^s\}$ of the set $\mathcal{H}_n(m)$ that contains s (distinct) elements and $X'^S := x'^{\alpha^1} \wedge \dots \wedge x'^{\alpha^s}$. So, each element θ of $\wedge^s \Omega_m$ is a unique sum $\theta = \sum p_S X'^S$ where $p_S \in S_n$. For $S = \{\alpha^1, \dots, \alpha^s\}$, $|S| := \sum_{i=1}^s |\alpha^i|$. Let us define the degree $\text{Deg}(\theta)$ by the rule: $\text{Deg}(0) := \infty$ and $\text{Deg}(\theta) = \min\{|S| \mid p_S \neq 0\}$. For the nonzero element θ ,

$$\ell(\theta) := \sum \{p_S X'^S \mid |S| = \text{Deg}(\theta), p_S \neq 0\}$$

is called the *leading term* of θ . So, $\theta = \ell(\theta) + \dots$ where the three dots denote the *higher terms*. For all elements $\theta, \eta \in \wedge^s \Omega_m$ and $p \in S_n \setminus \{0\}$,

$$\text{Deg}(p\theta) = \text{Deg}(\theta) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Deg}(\theta + \eta) \geq \min\{\text{Deg}(\theta), \text{Deg}(\eta)\}.$$

For each $j \in \mathbb{N}$, let $F_{\geq j}^s(m) := \{\theta \in \wedge^s \Omega_m \mid \text{Deg}(\theta) \geq j\}$. Then

$$F_{\geq 0}^s(m) = \dots = F_{\geq s}^s(m) \supseteq F_{\geq s+1}^s(m) \supseteq \dots \supseteq F_{\geq j}^s(m) \supseteq \dots$$

is a descending chain of left R -modules where all but finitely many elements of the filtration are equal to zero. So, it is a *finite* filtration. Clearly, for all $i, j, s, t \geq 0$,

$$F_{\geq i}^s(m)F_{\geq j}^t(m) \subseteq F_{\geq i+j}^{s+t}(m).$$

For each $j \in \mathbb{N}$, let $F_j^s(m) := \{\theta \in \wedge^s \Omega_m \mid \text{Deg}(\theta) = j\}$. Then $F_{\geq j}^s(m) = \bigoplus_{i \geq j} F_i^s(m)$. In particular, $\wedge^s \Omega_m = \bigoplus_{j \geq s} F_j^s(m)$. So, the *associated graded* left R -module,

$$\text{gr}(\wedge^s \Omega_m) := \bigoplus_{j \geq s} F_{\geq j}^s(m) / F_{\geq j+1}^s(m) \simeq \bigoplus_{j \geq s} F_j^s(m) = \wedge^s \Omega_m,$$

coincides with the left R -module $\wedge^s \Omega_m$. For all $i, j, s, t \geq 0$, $F_i^s(m)F_j^t(m) \subseteq F_{i+j}^{s+t}(m)$. By (31), (where $p \in S_n$),

$$d_{m,s} : \wedge^s \Omega_m \rightarrow \wedge^{s+1} \Omega_m, \quad \theta = px'^{\alpha^1} \wedge \cdots \wedge x'^{\alpha^s} \mapsto d_{m,s}(\theta) \quad (35)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} d_{m,s}(\theta) &= \sum_{0 \neq \beta \in \mathbb{N}^n} \frac{(-1)^{|\beta|+1}}{\beta!} \frac{\partial^\beta p}{\partial x^\beta} x'^{\beta} \wedge x'^{\alpha^1} \wedge \cdots \wedge x'^{\alpha^s} + I^{m+1} \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq |\beta| \leq m-t} \frac{(-1)^{|\beta|+1}}{\beta!} \frac{\partial^\beta p}{\partial x^\beta} x'^{\beta} \wedge x'^{\alpha^1} \wedge \cdots \wedge x'^{\alpha^s} + I^{m+1} \quad \text{and } t = \sum_{i=1}^s |\alpha^i|. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$d_{m,s}(F_{\geq j}^s(m)) \subseteq F_{\geq j+1}^{s+1}(m). \quad (36)$$

So, the differential $d_{m,s}$ increases the degree Deg by at least 1 and we defined the *associated graded differential of graded degree +1* by the rule

$$\text{gr}(d_{m,s}) : \text{gr}(\wedge^s \Omega_m) \rightarrow \text{gr}(\wedge^{s+1} \Omega_m)$$

where for each $j \geq s$,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{gr}(d_{m,s}) : F_j^s(m) = F_{\geq j}^s(m) / F_{\geq j+1}^s(m) &\rightarrow F_{j+1}^{s+1}(m) = F_{\geq j+1}^{s+1}(m) / F_{\geq j+2}^{s+1}(m), \\ \theta + F_{\geq j+1}^s(m) &\mapsto d_{m,s}(\theta) + F_{\geq j+2}^{s+1}(m). \end{aligned}$$

By (35), for $\theta = px'^{\alpha^1} \wedge \cdots \wedge x'^{\alpha^s} \in F_j^s(m)$ where $p \in S_n$,

$$\text{gr}(d_{m,s})(\theta + F_{\geq j+1}^s(m)) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial p}{\partial x_i} x'_i \wedge x'^{\alpha^1} \wedge \cdots \wedge x'^{\alpha^s} + F_{\geq j+2}^{s+1}(m). \quad (37)$$

Theorem 3.2 describes the cohomology groups of $H^i(S_n, m)$.

Theorem 3.2 For all $n, m \geq 1$,

$$H^i(S_n, m) \simeq \begin{cases} K^{\binom{\text{rk}(\Omega_m) - n}{i}} & \text{if } 0 \leq i \leq \text{rk}(\Omega_m) - n, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $\text{rk}(\Omega_m) := \binom{n+m}{n} - 1$.

Proof. We keep the notation of the proof of Theorem 2.7. By Lemma 3.1.(2), $\Omega_m = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{H}_n(m)} S_n x'^\alpha$ and $\text{rk}(\Omega_m) = |\mathcal{H}_n(m)| = \binom{n+m}{n} - 1$ is the number of free generators of the (left or right) S_n -module Ω_m . Notice that $\wedge^\bullet \Omega_m = \bigoplus_{s=0}^{\text{rk}(\Omega_m)} \wedge^s \Omega_m$. Therefore, $H^s := H^s(S_n, m) = 0$ for all $s > \text{rk}(\Omega_m)$. By (35),

$$K \subseteq \ker(d_{m,0}) \subseteq \{P \in S_n \mid \frac{\partial P}{\partial x_1} = \dots = \frac{\partial P}{\partial x_n} = 0\} = K,$$

and so $H^0 = \ker(d_{m,0}) = K$. It remains to consider the groups H^s where $s = 1, \dots, \text{rk}(\Omega_m)$. Clearly,

$$\wedge^s \Omega_m = \bigoplus_{S \in \mathcal{B}_n(s)} S_n X'^S \oplus \bigoplus_{S \in \mathcal{W}_n(s)} S_n X'^S, \quad (38)$$

$$\mathcal{B}_n(s) := B_{n,m}(s) := \{S \subseteq \mathcal{H}_n(m) \mid |S| = s \text{ and } S \cap B_n \neq \emptyset\},$$

$$\mathcal{W}_n(s) := W_{n,m}(s) := \{S \subseteq \mathcal{H}_n(m) \mid |S| = s \text{ and } S \cap B_n = \emptyset\},$$

where for $S = \{\alpha^1, \dots, \alpha^s\}$, $X'^S := x'^{\alpha^1} \wedge x'^{\alpha^2} \wedge \dots \wedge x'^{\alpha^s}$ and the order of the elements in the wedge product can be arbitrary but fixed for each set S . Let $\mathcal{B}_n(s) := \bigoplus_{S \in \mathcal{B}_n(s)} S_n X'^S$ and $\mathcal{W}_n(s) := \bigoplus_{S \in \mathcal{W}_n(s)} S_n X'^S$. By (38),

$$\wedge^s \Omega_m = \mathcal{B}_n(s) \oplus \mathcal{W}_n(s). \quad (39)$$

The vector space $Z^s := \ker(d_{m,s})$ (resp., $B^s := \text{im}(d_{m,s-1})$) admits the induced descending filtration $\{Z_{\geq j}^s := Z^s \cap F_{\geq j}^s(m)\}_{j \geq s}$ (resp., $\{B_{\geq j}^s := B^s \cap F_{\geq j}^s(m)\}_{j \geq s}$). Then

$$\text{gr}(H^s) = \bigoplus_{j \geq s} H_j^s \quad (40)$$

where $H_j^s := Z_{\geq j}^s / Z_{\geq j}^s \cap (B^s + Z_{\geq j+1}^s) \simeq Z_{\geq j}^s / (Z_{\geq j+1}^s + Z_{\geq j}^s \cap B^s) = Z_{\geq j}^s / (Z_{\geq j+1}^s + B_{\geq j}^s)$. We denote by $H_{\text{gr}}^\bullet = \{H_{\text{gr}}^s\}_{s \geq 0}$ the cohomology groups of the associated graded complex $(\text{gr}(\wedge^\bullet \Omega_m), \text{gr}(d_m))$:

$$\dots \xrightarrow{\partial_{s-2}} \text{gr}(\wedge^{s-1} \Omega_m) \xrightarrow{\partial_{s-1}} \text{gr}(\wedge^s \Omega_m) \xrightarrow{\partial_s} \text{gr}(\wedge^{s+1} \Omega_m) \xrightarrow{\partial_{s+1}} \dots$$

where $\partial_s := \text{gr}(d_{m,s})$. Let $Z_{\text{gr}}^s := \ker(\partial_s)$, $B_{\text{gr}}^s := \text{im}(\partial_{s-1})$, and $H_{\text{gr}}^s = Z_{\text{gr}}^s / B_{\text{gr}}^s$. Then $H_{\text{gr}}^s = \bigoplus_{j \geq s} H_{\text{gr},j}^s$ where

$$H_{\text{gr},j}^s = \frac{\ker(F_{\geq j}^s \xrightarrow{\partial_s} F_{\geq j+1}^s)}{\text{im}(F_{\geq j-1}^{s-1} \xrightarrow{\partial_{s-1}} F_{\geq j}^s)}.$$

Clearly, each H_j^s is a subfactor of $H_{\text{gr},j}^s$. In fact, we will see that $H_j^s = H_{\text{gr},j}^s$ (see Step 6).

Step 1. $Z_{\text{gr}}^s = Z_b^s \oplus Z_w^s$ where $Z_b^s := Z_{\text{gr}}^s \cap \mathcal{B}_n(s)$ and $Z_w^s := Z_w^s(n, m) := Z_{\text{gr}}^s \cap \mathcal{W}_n(s)$: Let $a \in Z_{\text{gr}}^s$. By (39), $a = a_b + a_w$ where $a_b \in \mathcal{B}_n(s)$ and $a_w \in \mathcal{W}_n(s)$. Then $0 = \partial_s(a) = \partial_s(a_b) + \partial_s(a_w)$ implies $\partial_s(a_b) = 0$ and $\partial_s(a_w) = 0$ since, by (37),

$$\partial_s(a_b) \in \sum \{S_n X'^S \mid |S| = s+1, |S \cap B_n| \geq 2\}$$

and

$$\partial_s(a_w) \in \sum \{S_n X'^S \mid |S| = s+1, |S \cap B_n| = 1\}.$$

Therefore, $Z_{\text{gr}}^s = Z_b^s \oplus Z_w^s$ as required.

Step 2. $B_{\text{gr}}^s = \text{im}(\partial_{s-1}) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_n(s)$: The inclusion is obvious. By Steps 1 and 2,

$$H_{\text{gr}}^s = (Z_b^s \oplus Z_w^s) / B_{\text{gr}}^s \simeq Z_b^s / B_{\text{gr}}^s \oplus Z_w^s.$$

Step 3. $Z_w^s = \sum_{S \in W_n(s)} K X'^S \simeq K^{|W_n(s)|}$ and $|W_n(s)| = \binom{|\mathcal{H}_n(m)| - n}{s}$: Let $a \in Z_w^s$, i.e., $a = \sum_{S \in W_n(s)} p_S X'^S$. By (37),

$$0 = \partial_s(a) = \sum_{S \in W_n(s)} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial p_S}{\partial x_i} x'_i \wedge X'^S.$$

Hence $\frac{\partial p_S}{\partial x_i} = 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$, and we must have $p_S \in \bigcap_{i=1}^n \ker_{S_n}(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}) = K$. That is, $a \in \sum_{S \in W_n(s)} K X'^S$, as required.

Step 4. $Z_b^s / B_{\text{gr}}^s = 0$ and $H_{\text{gr}}^s = Z_w^s$ for $s \geq 1$: The main reason why this equality holds is that

$$H_{DR}^s(S_n) = 0 \text{ for } s \geq 1.$$

Let $S \in B_n(s)$. Then

$$S = S_b \sqcup S_w \text{ where } S_b := S \cap B_n \neq \emptyset \text{ and } S_w := S \cap CB_n.$$

Let $a \in Z_b^s$, i.e., $a = \sum_{S \in B_n(s)} p_S X'^S$, $p_S \in S_n$ and, by (37),

$$0 = \partial_s(a) = \sum_{S \in B_n(s)} \partial_s(p_S X'^{S_b} \wedge X'^{S_w}) = \sum_{S \in B_n(s)} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial p_S}{\partial x_i} x'_i \wedge X'^{S_b} \wedge X'^{S_w} = \sum_{S_w} (\sum_{S_b} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial p_S}{\partial x_i} x'_i \wedge X'^{S_b}) \wedge X'^{S_w}.$$

Therefore, each expressions in the brackets must be equal to zero and can be written as

$$\partial_{s-|S_w|}(\sum_{S_b} p_S X'^{S_b}) = 0,$$

or, equivalently,

$$\partial_{s-|S_w|}(\sum_{T \subseteq B_n, |T|=|S|-|S_w|} p_{S=S_w \sqcup T} X'^T) = 0.$$

Since $H_{DR}^s(S_n) = 0$ for $s \geq 1$ and $|T| \geq 1$ as $S \in B_n(s)$, then Step 4 follows. Therefore, $H_{\text{gr}}^s = Z_w^s$, as required.

Step 5. $d_{m,s}(Z_w^s) = 0$ (by Step 3 and (35)).

Step 6. $H_j^s = H_{\text{gr},j}^s$: By Step 4 we have the equality $H_{\text{gr}}^s = Z_w^s$. Hence, H_j^s is a factor vector space of $H_{\text{gr},j}^s$. Now, by Step 5 and finiteness of the filtration on $\wedge^s \Omega_m$, $H_j^s = H_{\text{gr},j}^s$. \square

Corollary 3.3 For all $m \geq 1$,

$$\sum_{i \geq 0} (-1)^i \dim_K H^i(S_n, m) = \begin{cases} 1 & m = 1, \\ 0 & m > 1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. The case $m = 1$ is obvious, since

$$H^i(S_n, 1) \simeq \begin{cases} K & i = 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For $m \geq 2$ and $n \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} r := \text{rk}(\Omega_1) - 1 &= \binom{n+m}{n} - 1 = \frac{(n+m)(n-1+m) \cdots (n-(n-1)+m)}{n!} - 1 \\ &= \left(1 + \frac{m}{n}\right) \left(1 + \frac{m}{n-1}\right) \cdots (1+m) - 1 > m + 1 - 1 = m \geq 2. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\sum_{i \geq 0} (-1)^i \dim_K H^i(S_n, m) = \sum_{i \geq 0} (-1)^i \binom{r}{i} = (1-1)^r = 0, \text{ since } r \geq 2. \quad \square$$

The next corollary gives an explicit K -basis for the vector space $H^s(S_n, m)$.

Corollary 3.4 For all $s \geq 1$,

$$H^s(S_n, m) = Z_w^s = \left\{ \sum_{S \in W_n(s)} \lambda_S X^S \mid \lambda_S \in K \right\}.$$

Proof. The equalities $H^s(S_n, m) = Z_w^s$ ($s \geq 1$) were established in the proof of Theorem 3.2. \square

Acknowledgements

The authors were supported by ‘BAP’ of the Hacettepe University (the project number FBD-2017-14108) during a visit of the first author to the Hacettepe University. The second author was supported by TUBITAK during her visit to the University of Sheffield. The hospitality of the Hacettepe University and the University of Sheffield is greatly acknowledged.

References

- [1] W. C. Brown, The algebra of differentials of infinite rank, *Can. J. Math.*, **XXV** (1) (1973) 141–155.
- [2] A. Erdogan, Homological dimensions of the universal modules for hypersurfaces, *Comm. Algebra*, **24** (5) (1996) 1565–1573.
- [3] R. Hart, Higher derivations and universal differential operators, *J. Algebra*, **184** (1996) 175–181.

- [4] R. G. Heyneman and M. E. Sweedler, Affine Hopf algebras I, *J. Algebra*, **13** (1969) 192–241.
- [5] Y. Nakai, On the theory of differentials in commutative rings, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, **13** (1961) 63–84.
- [6] Y. Nakai, High order derivations 1, *Osaka Journal of Mathematics*, **7** (1970) 1–27.
- [7] H. Osborn, Modules of differentials 1, *Mathematische Annalen*, **170** (1967) 221–244.
- [8] M. E. Sweedler, Groups of simple algebras, *Institut des Hautes Etudes Scientifiques Publications Mathematiques*, **44** (1974) 79–189.

V. V. Bavula
Department of Pure Mathematics
University of Sheffield
Hicks Building
Sheffield S3 7RH
UK
email: v.bavula@sheffield.ac.uk

H. Melis Tekin Akin
Department of Mathematics
Hacettepe University
Beytepe, Ankara
TURKEY
email: hmtekin@hacettepe.edu.tr