This is a repository copy of Methodological issues in the research with the (im)migrant children: practical concerns of interviews with creative techniques' development and application.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:
http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/124226/

Version: Presentation

Conference or Workshop Item:

Reuse
Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

Takedown
If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.
Methodological issues in the research with the (im)migrant children: practical concerns of interviews with creative techniques' development and application

Olena Gundarina
edog@leeds.ac.uk
Plan:

• Introduction: Background of the Study
• The Interviews with Creative Techniques
• The Practical Concerns of Creative Techniques’ Development
• The Practical Concerns and Advantages of Creative Techniques’ Application
• Conclusion
Experiences of the Russian-speaking immigrant children in English state-funded primary schools at Key Stage Two

Research Questions:
What issues do Russian-speaking migrant pupils face in Key Stage Two and why?

How do they express their Social Actor, Motivated Agent levels of Personality Development?

What are the features of the relationships between the issues and the personality development levels?
Methods of data collection

Ethnographic participant observations during 7-month period, ‘shadowing’, (LeCompte and Schensul, 2010); (including chance conversations, ‘detached’ observations (Gillham, 2000)

12 Semi-structured interviews using creative elicitation techniques with each child (‘researcher-initiated stimuli’ (LeCompte and Schensul, 2010, p. 177))

Open-ended interviews: with the participants’ parents, class teachers and/or EAL TAs.

Sample

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Russian-speaking pupils</th>
<th>Interviews with children</th>
<th>Observations</th>
<th>Parents</th>
<th>Class teachers, EAL coordinators</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5 recently arrived Russian-speaking pupils at Key stage two</td>
<td>30 minutes <strong>twice a month</strong> during 7 months</td>
<td>7 months</td>
<td>5 Parents One-two 1-hour-interviews</td>
<td>5 class teachers on e-two 1-hour-interviews</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Multiple Case Study
My role as a researcher

• The child as a subject (child-centred) research approach

• Role of a friend – to decrease ‘generational inequalities of status’ (Gabb, 2008, p. 20) and to minimize the power dimensions (Warming, 2011).
Definitions of the Creative Methods

Authentic to children research (Finlay et al., 2013)

‘A methodological renaissance’ (Hemming, 2008, in Robinson and Gillies, 2012, p.87)

A 'powerful tool for enabling the active engagement' (Kramer-Roy, 2015, p.1207)

The open-ended approaches used and based around children which is seen as ‘an effective tool for democratically engaging [children]’ in the research ( Peek et al., 2016, p.90)
Overcoming naivety of the creative techniques

- A creative method is not a *'fool-proof technology for carrying out ethical research with children'* (Gallacher and Gallagher, 2005, p.7)

- Understanding and accepting **the ‘contradictions and instabilities** inherent in more performative participatory approaches’ (Robinson and Gillies, 2012, p.87)

- ‘**Assumed empowerment**’ of creative methods (Gillies and Robinson, 2012, p.170)

- ‘**Hierarchical and unidirectional**’ interpretation of power relations ‘something that adults can give (or take)’ distorts how the children actively create and set up their experiences (Lomax, 2012, p.107),
The Practical Concerns of Creative Techniques’ Development (before the data collection)

1. Design-related
   a) Purposeful design, i.e. strictly following the theoretical framework and the research questions
   b) Fitting the age of children,
   c) Consideration as regards monthly repetition of the interviews– ways of diversifying the techniques?

2. Contextual
   a) Time Constraints (up to 30 minutes each session)
   b) Spatial Constraints (setting)
   c) Limited Resources
## Creative techniques

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Research Question</th>
<th>Creative techniques – part of the interviews</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>What issues do Russian-speaking migrant pupils face in Key Stage Two and why?</td>
<td>The ‘interview-through-game’ (Toth, 1995), Filling-in exercise (Gregory, 2001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How do they express their Social Actor, Motivated Agent levels of Personality</td>
<td>Concentric circles with vignettes ranking Drawings ‘My Hopes and Dreams’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What are the features of the relationships between the issues and the personality</td>
<td>All methods, interviews with adults, participant-observations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>development levels?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Practical Concerns of Creative Techniques’ Application (during the data collection)

• Building good relationships with the children
• Flexible designs while retaining the holistic and systematic research structure
Drawings 'My hopes and dreams'

Advantageous method:

• Appraised by kids (Eldén, 2012, p.78)

• ‘Create an open platform for reflections’, while ‘allowing for complexities, messiness, vulnerability and competence as well as unexpected relations and practices’ to appear (Ibid., p.70).

A Russian-speaking migrant pupil, female, 7 y.o.
Concern: a perceived inability to draw (Punch, 2002), personal dislike of drawing

A Russian-speaking migrant pupil, female, 11 y.o.
Using Abstract Symbolisms (Deacon, 2000)

A Russian-speaking migrant pupil, female, 11 y.o.
Filling-in ‘Today I…’ exercise

The design adopted from Gregory (2001)
Allowing to engage Russian-speaking migrant children to freely use the whole language repertoire.
Rejection of finishing off the exercise: a sign of isolation in terms of communication in learning, sadness and even despondency

- Revealed low spirits, distress, and linguistic isolation
- Feeling free to leave any questions with no responses

A Russian-speaking migrant pupil, female, 10 y.o.
Сегодня я... Я в учил/ла... На следующем уроке я бы хотела...

Я чувствую... потому что...
Мне понравилась...
Я работал/а...
Мне не понравилось...
Я чувствую, что мой успех в английском поделился на все бене
(это)
Allowing children to direct the process of interviews’ structure, engage in the techniques’ adjustment:
Concentric Circles (Elden, 2012; Mason and Tipper, 2008; also, Davies, 2015)

A Russian-speaking migrant pupil, female, 11 y.o.

The development of her own colour system for each code
Allowing ‘to go outside the lines’ (a person named ‘Enemy’) helps to draw attention to the girl’s issues, seeking safety and protection.
Children’s ‘prolonged’ enjoyment - repeated questions and methods

‘Interview through game’
Adjustment of the technique, retaining the same focus of the interview (interview questions)

Questions added by the researcher

The pupil added drawings to the game

A Russian-speaking migrant pupil, 7 y.o.

Design adopted from Toth, (1995)
'Snakes and Ladders' with added question marks
Purposeful and flexible designs helped to 'dissolve' the general entertaining questions with the research-specific questions.
'The Perfect Holiday Board Game' (Pinigig, no date) adjusted with added question marks
Enabling children to adjust the interview questions (and the interview times) if they felt they needed to

Changing the interview questions, and grammar in the questions

A Russian-speaking migrant pupil, 11 y.o.
Adding own interview questions

A Russian-speaking migrant pupil, 7 y.o.

Do you love school?
Do you have a brother or a sister?
Who do you like in school?
Who is in your family?
Conclusion:

Creative techniques are imperative in research with children,
Uniquely ‘unlocking’ the experiences/issues,
Reaching beyond defenceless ‘incompetent’ migrant positions.

Developmental Concerns:
Developmental (Purposeful design, strictly following the theoretical framework and the research questions; Simplifying the technique which involves ranking)
Contextual (Time Constraints (up to 30 minutes each session); Spatial Constraints (setting); Limited Resources).

Application Concerns: (Developing good relationships, Flexible design, Motivational ‘No incorrect answer’)
Ensuring enjoyment of repetitive methods while building good relationships