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ABSTRACT

Objective Birth weight is an indicator of newborn health and a strong predictor of health outcomes in later life. Significant variation in diet during pregnancy between ethnic groups in high-income countries provides an ideal opportunity to investigate the influence of maternal diet on birth weight.

Setting Four multiethnic birth cohorts based in Canada (the NutriGen Alliance).

Participants 3997 full-term mother–infant pairs of diverse ethnic groups who had principal component analysis-derived diet pattern scores—plant-based, Western and health-conscious—and birth weight data.

Results No associations were identified between the Western and health-conscious diet patterns and birth weight; however, the plant-based dietary pattern was inversely associated with birth weight (β=−67.6 g per 1-unit increase; P<0.001), and an interaction with non-white ethnicity and birth weight was observed. Ethnically stratified analyses demonstrated that among white Europeans, maternal consumption of a plant-based diet associated with lower birth weight (β=−65.9 g per 1-unit increase; P<0.001), increased risk of small-for-gestational age (SGA; OR=1.46; 95% CI 1.08 to 1.54; P=0.005) and reduced risk of large-for-gestational age (LGA; OR=0.71; 95% CI 0.53 to 0.95; P=0.02). Among South Asians, maternal consumption of a plant-based diet associated with a higher birth weight (β=+40.5 g per 1-unit increase; P=0.01), partially explained by cooked vegetable consumption.

Conclusions Maternal consumption of a plant-based diet during pregnancy is associated with birth weight. Among white Europeans, a plant-based diet is associated with lower birth weight, reduced odds of an infant born LGA and increased odds of SGA, whereas among South Asians living in Canada, a plant-based diet is associated with increased birth weight.

INTRODUCTION

Birth weight is an indicator of infant health and a strong predictor of future health outcomes.1 Infants born small (birth weight <10th percentile) or large (birth weight ≥90th percentile) for sex and gestational age are at increased risk of future health complications, including asthma,2 obesity3 and cardiovascular disease.4 High-income countries generally have similar proportions of babies who weigh <2500g at birth, but there is greater variation (up to 10%) in the proportion of infants born >4000g.5,6 However, such population figures often mask important differences in the distribution of birth weight between ethnic groups. In the USA, white Europeans have more high birth weight (9.6%) than low birth weight infants (7.0%); African–Americans have more low birth weight (13.1%) than high birth weight infants (4.3%); and Hispanics have an equal proportion (7.1% high birth weight and 7.1% low birth weight infants).7 Furthermore, we recently reported that newborns in Canada
of South Asian ancestry (those who originate from the Indian subcontinent) are of lower birth weight, and that Aboriginal newborns are of higher birth weight compared with full-term newborns of white European ancestry in Canada. This provides additional evidence that among full-term newborns, ethnic differences in birth weight distribution exist. Numerous factors influence birth weight, including gestational weight gain, maternal prepregnancy weight, maternal height, gestational diabetes and fetal smoke exposure. It has been postulated that maternal dietary intake also influences birth weight. The investigation of specific food items and nutrients has advanced our understanding of specific nutrient deficiency syndromes (eg, neural tube defects) and facilitated the identification of particularly harmful food components (eg, trans fats). However, single-nutrient studies may be misleading because they fail to capture the complex interplay between foods and nutrients consumed as meals over long periods of time. To overcome this, the empirical derivation of dietary patterns has been proposed as a method to characterise diet that more accurately reflects how we consume foods and nutrients consumed as meals over long periods of time. In this study, we investigated the association between maternal diet and birth weight in a multiethnic cohort using the dietary pattern analysis approach.

METHODS
Study population

The NutriGen Alliance is a consortium of four birth cohorts in Canada investigating the contribution of nutritional, genetic and epigenetic factors to the health of pregnant women and their children—(1) Canadian Healthy Infant Longitudinal Development study (CHILD); (2) Family Atherosclerosis Monitoring In earLy life (FAMILY) study; (3) SouTh Asian birth cohoRT (START); and (4) Aboriginal Birth Cohort (ABC). Of these, ABC is still recruiting. To date (October 2016), 5018 pregnant women have provided comprehensive clinical and dietary data and 4556 (90.8%) have provided birth data. From this group, 559 women were excluded from the present analysis because they (1) reported an implausible energy intake (<500 or ≥6500 kcal/day), (2) were non-singleton pregnancies, (3) delivered preterm (<36 weeks) and/or (4) reported not knowing their ethnic origin. Preterm infants were excluded to avoid confounding by pregnancy or neonatal complications (eg, trauma, stress, infections or placenta previa). The remaining 3997 full-term infant–mothers represented multiple maternal self-reported ethnicities—white European (n=2367), South Asian (n=884), East/South-East Asian (n=335), Aboriginal (n=190), African (n=60) and women who reported another ethnicity (n=141, eg, Egyptian, Haitian and others) (online supplementary efigure 1). Informed written consent was obtained from each participant within each study.

Dietary assessment

Dietary information during pregnancy was collected in each cohort 24–28 weeks’ gestation using a validated semiquantitative Food Frequency Questionnaire (FFQ). The CHILD cohort used a version of the Fred Hutchinson Cancer Center tool. The FAMILY, START and ABC cohorts used ethnic-specific FFQs developed for the Study of Health Assessment and Risk in Ethnic groups.

Diet pattern analysis

Detailed methods of FFQ harmonisation and the statistical derivation of dietary patterns within the NutriGen cohort have been previously described. We performed principal component analysis (PCA) to identify three orthogonal dietary patterns—‘plant-based’, ‘western’ and ‘health-conscious’ (online supplementary table 1). This approach retains the information of the quantitative data from the original FFQ but shifts the focus from individual food components to food combinations. An empirically derived measure of adherence (ie, loading scores) for each person to each of the individual dietary patterns is calculated in the PCA. The PCA loading scores for each individual were adjusted to the mean total population caloric intake (2500 kcal/day) using the residual method.

Clinical parameters

The primary outcome of this study was newborn birth weight. Birth weight was collected from the birth chart or measured in duplicate by trained staff perinatally (median <24 hours; 95% ≤48 hours). Due to the ethnic diversity of the study population, sex-specific and ethnic-specific birth weight cut points were used to define infants born small (SGA <10th percentile) or large (LGA ≥90th percentile) for gestational age, which demonstrate greater accuracy to identify risk in multiethnic cohorts. Gestational age was determined by ultrasound at the initial visit. Data on all clinical parameters are provided in table 1.

Statistical analysis

The distribution of exposures and covariates was summarised using JMP (V9.0.1) as means (SD) for continuous variables, or counts (%) for categorical variables; between groups, differences were assessed using analysis of variance (continuous variables) or χ² test (categorical variables). Prepregnancy weights from the CHILD cohort were available for 73% of women. To impute missing weights, we constructed a multivariable model with white Europeans recruited in CHILD and FAMILY. The correlation between the value predicted by this equation and observed prepregnancy weight was 0.42. Due to the numerous biological, sociological and environmental variables that associate with birth weight, we aimed to identify a parsimonious set of covariates. All variables listed in table 1 significant by association with birth weight as the response variable in a simple linear regression (α≤0.10) were entered into a forward stepwise selection procedure. To account for perinatal reductions in weight, timing of birth weight measurement was...
Table 1  Participant characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Overall</th>
<th>White European</th>
<th>South Asian</th>
<th>East/South-East Asian</th>
<th>Aboriginal</th>
<th>Other ethnicity</th>
<th>African</th>
<th>P value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>3997</td>
<td>2367</td>
<td>884</td>
<td>335</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at enrolment (years)</td>
<td>31.6 (4.7)</td>
<td>32.1 (4.6)</td>
<td>30.2 (4.0)</td>
<td>33.1 (4.2)</td>
<td>28.0 (6.0)</td>
<td>32.2 (5.1)</td>
<td>31.2 (5.4)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>164.4 (6.9)</td>
<td>166.0 (6.5)</td>
<td>162.2 (6.4)</td>
<td>160.2 (6.6)</td>
<td>165.3 (6.9)</td>
<td>161.5 (6.3)</td>
<td>163.7 (7.7)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prepregnancy weight (kg)</td>
<td>66.8 (13.9)</td>
<td>69.1 (14.1)</td>
<td>62.4 (11.9)</td>
<td>58.7 (9.2)</td>
<td>75.4 (16.9)</td>
<td>64.3 (10.6)</td>
<td>69.7 (14.2)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prepregnancy body mass index (kg/m²)</td>
<td>24.7 (4.8)</td>
<td>25.1 (5.0)</td>
<td>23.7 (4.4)</td>
<td>23.0 (3.3)</td>
<td>27.6 (6.2)</td>
<td>24.8 (4)</td>
<td>26 (4.8)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final pregnancy weight (kg)</td>
<td>81.4 (15.7)</td>
<td>84.2 (16.2)</td>
<td>76.5 (12.7)</td>
<td>72.4 (12.5)</td>
<td>91.3 (22.7)</td>
<td>80.1 (14.1)</td>
<td>83.1 (14.8)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primiparous (%)</td>
<td>49.8</td>
<td>51.3</td>
<td>41.8</td>
<td>59.7</td>
<td>40.8</td>
<td>58.9</td>
<td>45.0</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gestational diabetes (%)</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>24.2</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Years lived in Canada</td>
<td>23.4 (12.2)</td>
<td>29.2 (8.3)</td>
<td>8.4 (7.8)</td>
<td>20.4 (12.5)</td>
<td>28.5 (5.8)</td>
<td>17.2 (12.4)</td>
<td>19.4 (12.6)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postsecondary education (%)</td>
<td>85.1</td>
<td>86.8</td>
<td>84.7</td>
<td>95.2</td>
<td>52.9</td>
<td>82.6</td>
<td>85.0</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking during pregnancy (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never smoked</td>
<td>77.1</td>
<td>70.4</td>
<td>99.6</td>
<td>87.7</td>
<td>40.6</td>
<td>71.6</td>
<td>88.3</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quit before pregnancy</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>21.8</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>25.7</td>
<td>21.3</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quit during pregnancy</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Currently smoking</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Household income (%)</td>
<td>77.2</td>
<td>88.9</td>
<td>43.5</td>
<td>87.2</td>
<td>48.1</td>
<td>80.3</td>
<td>68.3</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total income ≥$60K</td>
<td>85.8</td>
<td>89.3</td>
<td>71.8</td>
<td>95.9</td>
<td>91.4</td>
<td>87.4</td>
<td>83.4</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multivitamin use (%)</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>37.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegetarianism (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newborn</td>
<td>51.8</td>
<td>52.3</td>
<td>49.1</td>
<td>52.2</td>
<td>46.8</td>
<td>58.2</td>
<td>53.2</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gestational age (weeks)</td>
<td>39.6 (1.1)</td>
<td>39.7 (1.1)</td>
<td>39.4 (1.1)</td>
<td>39.4 (1.1)</td>
<td>39.6 (1.1)</td>
<td>39.8 (1)</td>
<td>39.5 (1.1)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth weight (g)</td>
<td>3432 (466)</td>
<td>3493 (457)</td>
<td>3265 (438)</td>
<td>3300 (448)</td>
<td>3567 (469)</td>
<td>3456 (481)</td>
<td>3347 (468)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth length (cm)</td>
<td>51.3 (2.5)</td>
<td>51.4 (2.5)</td>
<td>51.3 (2.5)</td>
<td>51.0 (2.4)</td>
<td>51.6 (3.2)</td>
<td>51.2 (2.3)</td>
<td>51.4 (2.4)</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LGA (n)</td>
<td>370 (9.8%)</td>
<td>224 (9.9%)</td>
<td>87 (9.9%)</td>
<td>30 (9.2%)</td>
<td>18 (8.6%)</td>
<td>12 (9.0%)</td>
<td>10 (17%)</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SGA (n)</td>
<td>348 (9.2%)</td>
<td>219 (9.6%)</td>
<td>83 (9.4%)</td>
<td>29 (8.9%)</td>
<td>14 (7.5%)</td>
<td>10 (7.4%)</td>
<td>1 (1.7%)</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Continuous measures (mean and SD) were compared using analysis of variance, and categorical variables were compared using χ². Non-matching superscript letters indicate significant difference (P<0.05) between ethnic groups. Data on parity, preconception smoking, history, ethnicity, postgraduate education, marital status, employment status and total household income were self-reported. Maternal age, height and gestational age of offspring at birth were obtained from participant medical records. Last measured maternal pregnancy weight was obtained from medical records at time of birth (ABC, FAMILY and START) or using a combination of medical records or maternal recollection (CHILD). Gestational diabetes was determined either through (1) self-reported by the mother or recorded from the medical chart (CHILD), or (2) diagnosed using an oral glucose tolerance test, self-reported by the mother or recorded from the medical chart (ABC, FAMILY and START).

ABC, Aboriginal Birth Cohort; CHILD, Canadian Healthy Infant Longitudinal Development study; FAMILY, Family Atherosclerosis Monitoring In earLY life; LGA, ethnic-specific large-for-gestational age (birth weight ≥90th percentile for gestational age and sex); SGA, ethnic-specific small-for-gestational age (birth weight <10th percentile for gestational age and sex); START, South Asian birth cohort.
assessed as a covariate. Covariates significant at $\alpha<0.05$ in the stepwise multivariable model were retained as covariates in the final model.

Multivariable linear regression was used to assess the association between maternal dietary patterns and covariates on infant birth weight. The main effects, ethnicity and each of the dietary patterns were included in the initial model. Interaction terms between a dietary pattern and ethnicity were added if they were both significant at $P<0.05$. To determine which foods contributed to the observed diet and birth weight association, food groups were added one at a time to the full dietary pattern–birth weight multivariable model. If the association between the dietary pattern and birth weight was rendered non-significant by the addition of the food group, we deemed it to be an important explanatory variable.

For ethnic-specific analyses, we had adequate power to investigate the association between plant-based diet and birth weight within the two largest ethnic groups—white Europeans (>95% power) and South Asians (~80% power)—but <40% power to do so in the other ethnic groups. Therefore, stratified analyses by ethnic group were limited to white Europeans and South Asians.

**RESULTS**

**Demographic and clinical parameters**

Maternal demographic and clinical parameters for each of the six ethnic groups are presented in **table 1**.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Model 1</th>
<th></th>
<th>Model 2</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\beta$</td>
<td>$P$ value</td>
<td>$\beta$</td>
<td>$P$ value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>-4551.9</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>-4560.8</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prepregnancy weight (kg)</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal height (cm)</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parity (number of children)</td>
<td>67.6</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>66.0</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gestational age (weeks)</td>
<td>158.4</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>158.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking status</td>
<td>-21.9</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>-23.6</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infant sex (female=1)</td>
<td>-118.6</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>-118.8</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-white ethnicity</td>
<td>-33.5</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>-28.1</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant-based diet</td>
<td>-34.6</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>-67.6</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western diet</td>
<td>-12.7</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health-conscious diet</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant-based diet × non-white</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>40.5</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Model 1 included all covariates, non-White ethnicity indicator, three diet patterns identified using PCA: plant-based, Western and health-conscious diet. Model 2 tests interactions between non-white ethnicity and significant diet patterns after removal of non-significant variables from model 1 (n=3997). Non-significant variables removed from the model are denoted as ‘ns’. Smoking status was ordinal and input as either 0=never smoked, 1=quit smoking prepregnancy, 2=quitting smoking during pregnancy or 3=currently smoking. Parity was ordinal and reported as having 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 or 6 (or more) children. Non-whites included individuals who self-reported as being of South Asian, East/South-East Asian or Aboriginal descent.

**Birth weight**

There were significant differences in birth weight by ethnic group (P<0.001). Aboriginal newborns had the highest birth weight, followed by white Europeans, other ethnicity, African origin, East/South-East Asians and South Asians (**table 1**).

**Effect of dietary patterns on birth weight**

In the fully adjusted main-effects multivariable model (**table 2**, model 1), non-white ethnicity and plant-based diet were significantly associated, while the Western and health-conscious diet patterns were not. After removal of non-significant dietary patterns, an interaction between the plant-based diet and non-white ethnicity was tested and found to be significant (**table 2**, model 2).

**Stratified analyses**

Multivariable models, stratified by ethnicity (white European and South Asian) and adjusted for the same covariates as the main model (except for smoking in South Asians, as its prevalence was <0.5%), were built, treating dietary pattern scores as either continuous (**table 3**) or dichotomous (fourth vs first quartile) variables (**table 3**). In white Europeans, an inverse association was observed between plant-based diet and birth weight. Among South Asians, a positive association was observed between plant-based diet and birth weight (**figure 1**).

A sensitivity analysis, which excluded mothers who were diagnosed with gestational diabetes and/or hypertension, resulted in dietary associations that were largely...
Table 3  Multivariable regression of plant-based diet pattern (as a continuous measure) and birth weight stratified by ethnicity: white European and South Asians

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>White Europeans</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>South Asians</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>−4723.7</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>−4248.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prepregnancy weight (kg)</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal height (cm)</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parity (number of children)</td>
<td>73.8</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>43.5</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gestational age (weeks)</td>
<td>159.3</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>155.6</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking status</td>
<td>−37.6</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infant sex (female=1)</td>
<td>−127.7</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>−98.3</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant-based (continuous)</td>
<td>−65.9</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>40.5</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

White European $r^2=0.246$, South Asian $r^2=0.178$. The same covariates were included for each ethnic-specific model, but non-significant covariates (eg, ‘smoking’ in South Asians) were removed in the final model (denoted as ‘ns’). Smoking status was coded as follows: 0=never smoked, 1=quit smoking prepregnancy, 2=quit smoking during pregnancy or 3=currently smoking. Parity was reported as having 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 or 6 (or more) children. White Europeans (n=2367) and South Asians (n=884). NA, not applicable.

unchanged, with a negative association remaining in white Europeans ($\beta$=−64.5 g per 1-unit increase in score; n=2038; P=0.002) and a positive association in South Asians ($\beta$=38.3 g per 1-unit increase in score; n=728; P=0.03).

In white Europeans, a 1-unit increase in plant-based diet score was associated with a 50% increase in odds of SGA and a 30% decrease in odds of LGA (table 4). In South Asians, a 1-unit increase in plant-based diet score presented a non-significant reduction in odds of SGA (P=0.428) and non-significant increase in odds of LGA (P=0.249) (table 4).

Validity of the plant-based diet score

The classification of individuals as plant-based consumers using the harmonised FFQ/PCA was validated against a classification using preharmonisation FFQ data. Using the raw number of servings obtained by each study-specific FFQ, the sum of servings for five plant-based foods groups common across all FFQs—that is, total dairy (an important protein source for lacto-vegetarians), vegetables, legumes, fruit, and breads and rice—was calculated. There was a high degree of agreement between preharmonised and postharmonised scores, with >90% of the same plant-based consumers being classified in the fourth quartile using either metric (P<0.001).

Diet comparison

The food groups among individuals in the fourth quartile of the plant-based diet were compared between white Europeans and South Asians to understand the ethnic-specific structure of plant-based diets. For white Europeans, the plant-based diet was characterised by high intakes of fruit, nuts and seeds, convenience foods, sweet drinks, sweets and eggs, and moderate intakes of fish, poultry and red meat, while the South Asian plant-based diet was characterised by higher intakes of South Asian breads (eg, roti, naan), rice, legumes, raw vegetables and cooked vegetables (online supplementary etable 2). The use of multivitamins was comparable among high consumers of the plant-based diet in white Europeans (79%) and South Asians (78%), but differed in the low consumers of the plant-based diet, with white Europeans (94%) more likely to take multivitamins than South Asians (66%).

Among white Europeans, higher levels of postsecondary education, employment and marriage were observed in the fourth versus the first quartile of the plant-based
Table 4  Multivariable regression of plant-based diet pattern (as a categorical measure) and birth weight stratified by ethnicity: white European and South Asians

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>White Europeans</th>
<th>South Asians</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>β</td>
<td>P value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>−5001.5</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prepregnancy weight (kg)</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal height (cm)</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parity (number of children)</td>
<td>77.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gestational age (weeks)</td>
<td>168.6</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking status</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infant sex (female=1)</td>
<td>−130.4</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant-based (fourth vs first quartile)</td>
<td>−81.2</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

White European $r^2=0.246$, South Asian $r^2=0.155$. The same covariates were included for each ethnic-specific model, but non-significant covariates (eg, ‘smoking’ in South Asians) were removed in the final model (denoted as ‘ns’). Smoking status was coded as follows: 0=never smoked, 1=quit smoking prepregnancy, 2=quit smoking during pregnancy or 3=currently smoking. Parity was reported as having 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 or 6 (or more) children. White Europeans (n=2367) and South Asians (n=884).

discussion

Among women living in a high-income country, ethnicity was a predictor of birth weight, independent of caloric intake, in white Europeans and South Asians. Foods and diet differ substantially between ethnic groups and may contribute to the association. A plant-based diet in pregnancy is associated with newborn birth weight, the effects of which are moderated by ethnicity. In white European women, consumption of a plant-based diet is associated with lower birth weight, an increased risk of an SGA infant and a reduced risk of an LGA infant, while in South Asian women the plant-based diet is associated with higher birth weight but had no significant effect on the risk of having an SGA or LGA infant.

Our findings are consistent with a recent systematic review that failed to find a consistent association of vegetarian diets on birth weight; five studies reported vegetarian mothers had lower birth weight babies, and two studies reported higher birth weights.22 The interpretation of the studies was complicated by (1) the numerous ethnic groups investigated, (2) differences in the foods that characterised the vegetarian and non-vegetarian (ie, control) groups within each of the reported studies, and (3) variability in the socioeconomic status (SES) of individuals on a vegetarian diet. Similar, in our study, birth weight was not associated with self-reported vegetarianism, which may be partly explained by the high degree of variability in the foods that comprise a plant-based diet across ethnic groups. This suggests that ‘vegetarianism’ may be too crude a descriptor of diet to allow for discovery of true associations with birth weight, because the definition of this term is qualitatively different across ethnic groups.

Studies investigating the effect of a plant-based diet in ethnically homogeneous populations are sparse. No study in pregnant South Asian women living in a high-income country has reported on dietary patterns and birth
weight. However, our findings in white Europeans are consistent with previous work indicating that smoking is associated with reduced birth weight, an increased risk of SGA and reduced risk of LGA, and that increased parity, maternal weight and height are associated with a reduced risk of SGA and increased risk of LGA. Concerning diet, a previous study in white Europeans (n=38) reported that infants born to mothers whose diets were classified as ‘plant-based’ were lighter (3310 g; 95% CI 3080 to 3350 g) than infants born to ‘omnivore’ mothers (3480 g; 95% CI 3350 to 3620 g). The Danish National Birth Cohort (n=44,612) reported that mothers adhering to a plant-based dietary pattern were at reduced risk of having an SGA infant (OR=0.74; 95% CI 0.64 to 0.86) compared with mothers adhering to a Western diet pattern. However, this observation may be confounded by the high prevalence of smoking during pregnancy in the Western diet (38%) versus plant-based diet group (14%).

Our investigation to determine which of the individual food groups characteristic of the plant-based diet may be driving the association revealed that controlling for cooked vegetables reduced the magnitude and significance of the association between the plant-based diet and birth weight in South Asians but not among white Europeans. In South Asians, cooked vegetables were independently associated with birth weight (after removing plant-based dietary pattern from the model), whereas multivitamin use was not. This suggests that consumption of ‘cooked vegetables’ may be a driver of the observed association between the plant-based diet and increased birth weight in South Asians.

Prior cohort studies from India have shown that lower levels of serum vitamin B12 and folate in pregnancy are associated with low birth weight. In a randomised controlled trial, prenatal supplementation with vitamins A, D, E, C and B, as well as iron, zinc, copper, selenium and iodine, reduced the risk of low birth weight (Risk Ratio (RR) =0.88; 95% CI 0.85 to 0.91). In the slum-dwelling population of Mumbai (India), micronutrient-rich snacks (green leafy vegetables, fruit and milk) consumed before or during pregnancy increase infant birth weight (+48 g; 95% CI 1 to 96 g) compared with a low-micronutrient snack (potato and onion). However, the dietary context in Canada differs substantially from that of low-income countries. Since 1998 flour and cereals have been fortified with folate. This, coupled with relatively high rates of multivitamin supplementation in pregnancy, makes folate and vitamin B12 deficiency in pregnancy unlikely among Canadians. In addition, women who avoid meat products yet consume milk, yoghurt, cheese and eggs usually attain adequate vitamin B12. Thus, even in the context of virtually no dietary folate and vitamin B12 deficiency, high consumption of cooked vegetables as part of a traditional Indian diet is associated with higher birth weight compared with a lower plant-based/higher animal protein diet.

We can only speculate about the mechanisms underlying the association with cooked vegetables as it did not collect detailed information on cooking methods. In our study, South Asian women reported consuming a higher percentage of energy from fat than did white Europeans. Differences in food preparation methods can significantly alter the chemical and nutritional composition of dishes. This was recently examined using spectrophotometry to determine the chemical composition of fresh and fried portions of ovo-vegetarian dishes. Fried ovo-vegetarian dishes prepared in oil were lower in protein, fibre and carbohydrate content but higher in caloric and lipid content per 100 g serving, compared with fresh dishes. This aligns with work in pregnant South Asian women residing in East London (UK), who had a higher proportion of energy from fat (40% energy) compared with other ethnic groups (white European, African and African–Caribbean). Further, a prospective birth study of 797 rural Indian women, reported that, although protein and carbohydrate intake at 18 weeks of gestation was unrelated to birth measurements, fat intake was associated with newborn length and adiposity. This suggests that South Asian cooking methods may alter the macronutrient composition of food by increasing the proportion of total fat relative to other nutrients, and offers a possible explanation regarding the difference in the association between the plant-based diet and birth weight in South Asians and white Europeans.

Although markers of SES were not significantly associated with birth weight, understanding differences in sociodemographic factors between high and low consumers of the plant-based diet is informative to understand the context in which these diets are consumed. In the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children (ALSPAC) birth cohort (n=11,833), SES was not associated with birth weight but was associated with food choices, whereby women of high SES consumed more healthy foods and less processed foods than women of a lower SES. In the present study, white European women who adhered most strongly to the plant-based diet represented the highest socioeconomic group compared with less adherent white European women. Interestingly this group consumed multivitamin supplements less frequently, and it is possible they perceived their ‘healthy diet consumption’ negated the need for the recommended daily supplement in pregnancy. In contrast, South Asian women who adhered most strongly to the plant-based diet were more recent immigrants to Canada, had lower income and were living with more extended family members, indicating more traditional cultural practices compared with the South Asian women who were low adherers to the plant-based diet. More recent immigrants with a high adherence to a plant-based diet also had greater multivitamin use compared with South Asians who were longer settled in Canada. The relationship between immigration and diet is complex due to the numerous and varied factors that influence acculturation within each ethnic group and country. Thus, policy recommendations for dietary guidelines should consider the socioeconomic profile of the population. Although recent evidence suggests that
plant-based diets may improve health and reduce risk of
disease,41 42 our observations suggest that the food compo-
sition of the plant-based diets matters. Emphasis should
likely be placed on whole foods, minimally processed and
non-refined items. In light of this, dietary counselling and
antenatal practitioners should tailor dietary guidance to
match the SES and ethnicity of the patient whenever
possible.

Our study has several strengths, including prospective
data collection, large sample size, adequate power to
allow for comparisons between ethnic groups, and
detailed measurement of diet using validated, ethnic-spe-
cific FFQs. There are some limitations to our analyses,
including self-reported retrospective food intake, the
inability to account for differences in cooking methods
and residual confounding, which is a potential bias in
all cohort studies. To minimise this potential bias, we
conducted a sensitivity analysis and excluded mothers
who may have altered their diet due to a medical condi-
tion, such as diagnosed gestational diabetes or hyper-
tension in pregnancy. After these exclusions, our results
remained consistent.

CONCLUSION
Maternal consumption of a plant-based diet during preg-
nancy is associated with infant birth weight. While white
Europeans a plant-based diet is associated with lower birth
weight, reduced odds of LGA and increased odds of SGA
babies, whereas among South Asians living in Canada, a
plant-based diet is associated with higher birth weight.
Future studies are necessary to replicate these results and
to elucidate potential mechanisms that underlie these
ethnic-specific associations.
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