Original Research Paper

Assessing travel time reliability implications due to roadworks on private vehicles and public transport services in urban road networks

Wael Jamous a,b, Chandra Balijepalli a,*

a Institute for Transport Studies, University of Leeds, Leeds LS2 9JT, UK
b AECOM, Manchester M1 4HD, UK

HIGHLIGHTS

• Analyses the impact of roadworks on private and public service vehicles.
• Integrates conventional assignment modelling with microsimulation modelling.
• Network level and O-D pair level reliability is analysed.
• Private and public service vehicles suffer alike due to road closures.
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ABSTRACT

Roadworks are perhaps the most controversial topic in transport professional field. On one hand, they are a necessity to assure the current and future functionality of the traffic network, while on the other, they are seen as a major disturbance by road users with concerns for excessive travel time delays. The impact of roadworks is usually analysed at a local level however the network-wide effects are crucial to ensure reliable travel times. Moreover the analysis usually focusses on private cars and the reliability impact on public transport services are too important to ignore. This paper investigates the impact of roadworks undertaken on a given road link over wider parts of the network and assesses travel time reliability for both cars and buses. This research involves setting up of a conventional network assignment model to arrive at the route choice of drivers as a result of the roadworks and then integrates the outcomes with a microsimulation model to generate space-time trajectories to arrive at travel times of individual vehicles. We adopted a reliability measure from the literature to compute travel time reliability of a given type of vehicle by unique origin-destination (O-D) pair combinations and also more generally to provide a wider picture at an aggregated network level. The method was tested on a real life network in England, and travel time reliability results were analysed both at the network scale and significant O-D pair level for private cars and bus routes.
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1. Introduction

Traffic networks are deteriorating systems which are subject to recurrent delays due to periodic maintenance works throughout their life cycle to keep up to deliver a desired level of service. Road maintenance works typically aim at improving safety levels to pedestrians and cyclists especially in urban areas as well as maintaining the ride quality and connectivity between origins and destinations. Traffic networks are also subject to improvement works to increase the supply level (capacity) to keep up with traffic growth. Thus, roadworks are events that will happen on a regular basis within traffic networks however they are unwelcomed by the road users especially when the works are on, as they are perceived to cause excessive delays and hence unreliable journey times. Evaluating proposed roadwork diversions is mainly undertaken at, and regulated on a local-scale i.e., on links where the works are taking place following the safety procedures that assure safe work zones and safe usage for public (DfT, 2013). They usually provide messages to road users wherever the impact on the link will cause a delay in travel time beyond two minutes (DfT, 2005). However, it is well known that roadworks on busy urban roads cause extensive delays not only at a local level but also on a wider network scale especially in rush hours, yet such an evaluation of reliability of travel time due to roadworks is unavailable.

Roadworks and the associated traffic diversions needed, cause changes to the traffic network degrading the supply (Emam and Al-Deek, 2006). Degradation of supply in general could be caused by other various reasons such as natural disasters – flooding, earthquakes, bushfires or even loss of capacity simply due to a broken down vehicle. The effect of degradation due to such non-recurrent events is known to be far reaching and has been the subject of vulnerability analysis of road networks which aim to identify critical network links to recover (Jenelius, 2009; Scott et al., 2006). Quite different to the vulnerability analysis of critical network links, in this paper we focus on reliability of travel time caused by recurrent events such as roadworks which cause delays to the traffic flow almost on a daily basis. Due to events such as roadworks, it is not just private vehicles which suffer from excessive delays, but the public transport services such as buses and trams sharing the right of way too will suffer due to the variability in travel time. It is well known that the public transport passengers are highly sensitive to the waiting time (than the in-vehicle travel time) and on-time arrival of bus/tram is crucial to retain the patronage. Thus we aim to address two main questions in this paper:

(i) do roadworks have a significant impact on reliability of network travel time?
(ii) does reliability of public transport service differ substantially compared to private cars within the network?

In this paper we set out to review the travel time reliability measures initially and then adopt a method suitable for analysing the variability of travel time for both public transport services and private cars. We aim to set up a network assignment model of smallish but real network to find out the rerouting effects of traffic diversions and then use a micro-simulation model to analyse the travel times of individual modes involved. We also intend to analyse the impact on reliability of travel time due to alternative diversion plans.

This paper is divided into five sections. Section 2 reviews the travel time reliability while section 3 describes the method of computing travel time reliability and the associated modelling process, followed by a numerical case study in section 4 and finally, section 5 concludes the research.

2. Literature review

This section introduces the idea of travel time reliability more formally by defining the term reliability in traffic networks and then reviews the methods used to quantify the reliability both in the literature and by various government authorities. The argument about traffic network reliability measures started in late 90's and the reliability was initially defined as the “probability that a trip can travel from its origin to destination within acceptable travel time” (Bell and Cassir, 2000). However, the traffic network reliability is a widely used term which was divided into connectivity and performance reliability measures (Bell et al., 1999). Connectivity reliability is the probability that a pair of origin-destination remains connected ensuring that there is at least one path between them (Taylor, 2000). By definition this is more pertinent to the vulnerability analysis of degraded networks especially in sparse strategic networks which is not the main subject of this paper. On the other hand, performance reliability is very relevant as it relates to (i) travel time reliability – probability that a trip can be completed within an acceptable travel time tolerance and (ii) capacity reliability – probability that the reserve capacity of a degraded network is sufficient to accommodate the demand (Bell et al., 1999). Watling (2008) argued that performance reliability measures are appropriate for managing and enhancing any changes to the traffic network. As we intend to analyse the effect of roadworks on travel times in dense urban networks, travel time reliability will be more appropriate to consider than the capacity reliability as the network offers alternative routes to divert the traffic around. Popa et al. (2012) also argued that the travel time reliability measure is relevant to both planners and public users too. Thus, in this paper we focus on travel time reliability as the chosen measure.

2.1. Quantifying travel time reliability

Travel time reliability was studied by several authors (Asakura et al., 1999; Clark and Watling, 2005; Yang et al., 2000) and many more. All the previous studies adopted the probabilistic measure of travel time with differences related to an upper threshold that declares the route as disconnected (Watling, 2008).

For example, Yang et al. (2000), Bell et al. (1999) and recently Popa et al. (2012) among others measured the travel time reliability as probability with a relative threshold to the
ratio of unaffected network travel time to the affected travel time scenario as per the following equation

\[
R = P\left(\frac{T_i}{T_0} < C\right)
\]

(1)

where \(R\) is reliability measure, \(T_i\) is total travel time on affected network under incident \(i\), \(T_0\) is total travel time on unaffected network, \(C\) is acceptable upper limit.

On the other hand, the government bodies adopt a different approach in quantifying the travel time reliability, where Department for Transport in the UK (DfT) recommends the usage of standard deviation (or the coefficient of variation) to present the travel time reliability for cars and the algebraic difference between scheduled and actual arrival time for public transport (DfT, 2009). Another approach by the Federal Highway Agency in the USA is based on indicators of 90% or 95% travel times, as well as the buffer index - “the difference between 95% and average travel times over average travel time” --, planning time index and the frequency of “days or time that travel time exceed X minutes” (FHWA, 2014). For a wider discussion on the approaches adopted by various governments the reader is referred to the review cited in Watling and Balijepalli (2012).

It is noted that the above quantification methods usually assume a similar shape of the probability distribution of travel time over time, mostly as lognormal distribution (Chang, 2010; Clark and Watling, 2005; Emam and Al-Deek, 2006; Sikka and Hanely, 2013), which averages the value of reliability over the targeted period (AM peak, PM peak etc.). Accordingly, Van Lint et al. (2008) have argued the accuracy of this assumption since travel time distribution shape is changeable during the peak-hour itself and therefore the averaged travel time distribution will lead to biased reliability values which don’t need to reflect the reality. Their argument describes the changeable distribution as normally distributed function within free-flow and congested times, while it is a lognormal distribution during the build-up and dissipating phase of the congestion. Accordingly they proposed travel time unreliability measure based on the skewness of the shape.

Thus to sum up the review, travel time reliability was defined and quantified in different ways. Government bodies aim to adopt methods of quantifying travel time reliability that are useful for field professions and understandable by public road users, while, literature focused mainly on the probability measure to quantify the reliability. The debate on selecting a method to quantify the travel time reliability is still open for discussion, and assessing the adequacy of choosing a method is, mainly, related to the aims of each study (Van Lint et al., 2008). The next section defines the travel time reliability measure adopted for the present work and specifies the method to compute the same.

### 3. The method

The proposed method for quantifying the travel time reliability will be presented in the first subsection, while the steps involved in modelling will be described in the second.

#### 3.1. Travel time reliability measure

The travel time reliability quantification in this paper will follow the engineering reliability definition as used for travel time in traffic networks by many studies (Bell et al., 1999; Yang et al., 2000), as the probability that a trip can reach its destination within an acceptable range of time. While Yang et al. (2000) have defined the reliability based on O-D travel time, this paper defines the reliability measure by mode of travel. Thus the travel time reliability for mode \(m\) is the probability that the ratio of average travel time by the mode with roadworks to the average travel time by the mode without roadworks to remain less than an upper limit. Formally this can be written as below

\[
\text{TTR}_m = P\left(\frac{T_{TTRm}}{T_{TTR0}} \leq C\right)
\]

(2)

where \(\text{TTR}_m\) is travel time reliability (TTR) for mode \(m\) under proposed road scheme \(i\), \(T_{TTRm}\) is average travel time by mode \(m\) over all vehicles of same type under proposed road scheme \(i\), \(T_{TTR0}\) is average travel time by mode \(m\) over all vehicles of same type in the base case, i.e., without the roadworks, \(C\) is the threshold that is set as 1.2, i.e., travel time under the proposed scheme is not exceeding over 20% above the average travel time in base scenario.

The above quantification method means it can be used for each O-D pair which may have multiple routes between them. However, we wish to develop a reliability measure for the entire network which poses a challenge as the O-D distances significantly vary across the O-D pairs. Thus we propose to introduce a distance weighted average travel time as set out below.

\[
D = \sum d_n
\]

where \(D\) is total travelled distance, \(d_n\) is distance travelled by the \(n\)th vehicle (m), and

\[
T = \sum t_n
\]

where \(T\) is total travelled time on the network by all vehicles, \(t_n\) is travel time of the \(n\)th vehicle(s), thus, assuming the following function

\[
f(TT) = TD = \sum t_n \sum d_n = \sum t_n d_n
\]

(3)

where \(f(TT)\) is the function of travel time (s·m).

In congested networks, it is easy to see that the total travel time \(T\) is a variable while the total travel distance \(D\) is a constant for a given routing pattern, thus the distribution of the function \(f(TT)\) is dependent on the distribution of the travel time. The distance weighted total travel time \(\text{WTT}\) for the full network is thus calculated as

\[
\text{WTT} = \frac{\sum t_n d_n}{D}
\]

(4)

Accordingly, the average travel time is the distance weighted total travel time \(\text{WTT}\) divided by the number of trips \(N\)

\[
\text{TT}_{aw} = \frac{\text{WTT}}{N} = \frac{\sum t_n d_n}{DN}
\]

(5)
Eq. (5) means the weight of long/short trips is eliminated and the average total travel time presents the average of travel time in time units within the whole network by a given mode of transport regardless of the route, number of trips and how far they have travelled. Finally, by substituting the average travel time based on Eq. (5) computed for the two scenarios “with” and “without” roadworks into Eq. (2) we arrive at the network travel time reliability measure.

3.2. Modelling process

In order to compute the travel time reliability, this paper uses simulation modelling technique to generate travel times of trips modelled. For this purpose, we used a joint modelling procedure with a conventional assignment modelling software initially to generate the aggregate results, routing patterns etc. and thereafter, we used a microsimulation model to generate the travel time data needed based on every individual vehicle space-time trajectory.

The proposed modelling process comprises of using assignment modelling software SATURN (Van Vliet, 2015) and microsimulation modelling software DRACULA (Liu, 2014), where the assignment model will be used as conventional assignment model under user-equilibrium assignment to produce route choices and hence link flows. The assigned route flow output will be extracted into*.TRP file by using the routine SATPIG designed to facilitate the transfer of data to microsimulation program DRACULA (Van Vliet, 2015). Microsimulation model is used to propagate the vehicle flows in space and time as per the routes worked out by SATURN and to generate outputs for each unique vehicle (trip), i.e., each vehicle travel time from origin to destination. These outputs can be considered as equivalent-to-real-life samples of existing condition (base) which are compared against the forecasts of travel times from the proposed scenarios to quantify the travel time reliability.

Illustration of the modelling process is shown in Fig. 1.

4. Numerical study

In this section, firstly we introduce the network and then describe the proposed roadworks together with the diversion schemes subjected to modelling/testing. Thirdly we assess the targeted road users’ travel time, while the last subsection presents the travel time reliability results.

4.1. Existing network

This paper uses a part of York urban network in the UK (Fig. 2). York city is in the north of England with a population estimate of 198,000 (City of York Council, 2016). Cordoned network taken as the study area for this research constitutes southwest side of the city, bounded by major roads: A59 and B1224 in the north, Askham Lane in the west, Gale Lane in the south and Tadcaster Road in the east (Fig. 2). The cordoned network was coded for SATURN software and has been taken as the initial dataset along with the trip matrix.
of the AM peak flows which has a total demand of about 6000 veh/h, distributed between 40 zones. The network model adopted has been updated, calibrated and validated for 2016 by comparing against the observed flows and travel times but not described in the paper for brevity.

4.2. Targeted travel modes

Impact of different traffic diversion schemes will be evaluated for both private cars and public buses. The impact on private cars will be measured at a full network scale, in addition, we will also look at the top ten O-D pairs in terms of the demand (Table 1). There is one bus service that cruises through the cordoned traffic network from east to west, halting at 24 bus stops, terminating at the far western end and starts again on the eastbound route until it exits from the network. In this study, this service has been coded as two separate bus routes: S101 entering the network from the east terminating at the west, and S102 cruising in the opposite direction from west to east, as shown in Fig. 3.

4.3. Proposed roadwork schemes

The chosen roadworks for simulation are fairly arbitrary in nature but fulfill the criteria of implementing on a mid-level road within a hierarchy serving both local traffic and through traffic. It is assumed that the roadworks chosen will last for sufficiently long duration allowing the road users to reach an equilibrated state of flow assignment wherein no individual driver will be able to swap routes to reduce their travel time.

Accordingly, a section of Hamilton dr. (collector road, single carriageway operating in both directions, 6.45 m wide with 30 mph posted speed) was nominated for resurfacing maintenance work (involving asphalt milling and inlaying) on a section of 475 m in length, located between roundabout junction (junction 1374 in the east) and priority junction (junction 1376 in the west), with an assumption that these works will last for 15 days (Fig. 4).

In the interest of evaluating different traffic diversion plans, schemes as set out below are proposed to be implemented:

- **Scheme 0** – existing situation;
- **Scheme 1** – total closure of the road section wherein no traffic flow is allowed; and
- **Scheme 2** – directional closure of the road section implemented in two subsequent phases for each direction. The posted speed for operated flow is reduced to 10 mph (FHWA, 2014, Table 4), while the lane width adopted will be to the absolute minimum allowed which is 2.5 m (DMRB, 2003, Section 4).
The two phases of the scheme 2 are described as follows:

Scheme 2a – closure of the traffic direction from east-to-west while the internal junctions; 1373 and 1372 will remain under operation but with restricted turning movements.

Scheme 2b – closure of traffic direction from west-to-east while internal junctions will remain under operation but with restricted turning movements.

4.4. Travel time reliability calculation and results

4.4.1. Modelling and extracting data

Following the steps described in the methods section earlier traffic network has been coded for the following four scenarios:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Serial number</th>
<th>Origin zone (zone number)</th>
<th>Destination zone (zone number)</th>
<th>Demand flow (veh/h)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Clementhorpe (403)</td>
<td>York Minster (407)</td>
<td>176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>The Rise (411)</td>
<td>Acomb (142)</td>
<td>153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>A59/Poppleton Rd. (408)</td>
<td>South Bank (402)</td>
<td>136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>York Minster (407)</td>
<td>A1036 Road (418)</td>
<td>134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>York Station (45)</td>
<td>Clementhorpe (403)</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>A1237 Road (414)</td>
<td>Acomb (142)</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>A1036 Road (418)</td>
<td>York Minster (407)</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>South Bank (402)</td>
<td>A59/Poppleton Rd. (408)</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>A59/Borough bridge Rd. (412)</td>
<td>Acomb (142)</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>York Station (45)</td>
<td>York Minster (407)</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Each scenario was modelled by the conventional assignment model software (SATURN) for AM peak hour, thereafter, the outputs in terms of assigned trips to various routes were extracted from and used in the microsimulation model (DRACULA) which generated time-space profiles of each simulated vehicle trip by cars and buses plying on routes S101 and S102. Travel times of each simulated trip were extracted for (i) the full network, (ii) top 10 O-D pairs identified earlier, and (iii) buses on routes S101 and S102. The total number of
reported trips includes 5727 made at the scale of full network and one of the selected O-D pairs has an absolute minimum of 80 trips. However, the simulated number of buses per an hour long simulation run was so small to compare, hence, the microsimulation modelling was repeated for a period of five-days of simulation by using the capability of DRACULA software in generating different scenarios of the same flow assignment by changing the initial seeding value (NSEED parameter). Accordingly, the sample size of buses reported a minimum of 12 buses.

Thus, travel time reliability was calculated, as presented in Table 2.

4.4.2. Results
As an overview, the travel time reliability results for private cars showed varying response to each of the proposed diversion scheme of roadworks (Table 2). The aggregate result for the full network predicts a change in reliability by a maximum of 3.88% (positive value means reduced reliability, negative value means improved reliability), and on the other hand the impact for individual O-D pairs fluctuated someway between an improvement and a reduction.

O-D pair 402–408 would be the most affected one (Fig. 5), with a drop in the reliability measure by about 46% due to an increment in mean travel time of 2.5 min, however, three other O-D pairs have shown a slight improvement in their reliability (maximum of less than 10%): 407–418, 414–142 and 412–142, though they are all short trips with their average travel time within the range of 2–7 min.

The results of both the bus routes do not show any significant change in travel time, neither a significant impact on their reliability, where reliability measure fluctuates between 3% improvement and 1.4% reduction.

We now discuss the most significant traffic network’s components in greater detail.

a. Aggregate result for the full network

The generated result from each scenario reports a sample size of 5727 trips up to 5901, with statistically significant average travel times. On the other hand, it is noted that the variation in travel time, presented by standard deviation, has increased under the proposed roadworks. However, the above statistical measures didn’t affect, significantly, the shape of the probability distribution of travel time samples, which remained lognormally distributed (left-skewed with long tail) (Fig. 6).

The travel time reliability results predict that the full network travel time reliability will be reduced by 3.88% and 2.65%–3.30% under proposed schemes 1 and 2, respectively (Table 2).

b. O-D pair 402–408

The O-D pair 402–408 is observed as the worst impacted under different schemes. The report is based on a sample size of 92 up to 118 trips, along with a significant increment in the average travel time and its variation. The shape of the travel time distribution has changed to a bimodal distribution (Fig. 7), which was lognormally earlier.

This O-D pair is the only one that is connected by two routes; the major route accommodates 71% of the demand and the balance 29% via the minor one. The introduction of the proposed schemes has affected the minor route and increased the demand by a maximum of 9% due to traffic diversion. However, the data analysed above is aggregated for both the routes, and accordingly, it can be interpreted that the proposed schemes have made the two routes significantly different to each other as though there are two distributions as a result.

The reliability results, accordingly, show a reduction under scheme 1 by 46% and 35% on an average over scheme 2.
In the reverse direction O-D: 408–402, shows an improvement in travel time reliability. The sample size reported ranges from 129 up to 142 trips, a reduction in the average travel time (not fully significant) and also a reduction in the variation (except scheme 2b). These changes have also impacted the travel time distribution shape to some extent, where the general shape has been less skewed resulting in a more pronounced normal distribution with a reduction in its spread by half a minute from the left side, as shown in Fig. 8. The new distribution suggests more symmetrical and less skewed frequencies from 270 to 390 s in scheme 1 (Fig. 8(b)), compared to more dispersed travel time frequencies between 270 and 420 s (Fig. 8(a)). This corresponds with the reduction of variation noted as well.

![Graph showing travel time unreliability values as difference in percentage to existing condition.](image-url)
Thus, the model predicts an improvement in travel time reliability by 2.34% and 3.63% under schemes 1 and 2a, respectively.

d. Bus route S101

The data sums to a sample size of 20 buses for the existing condition and 12 for other scenarios. The results show no significant difference in the average travel time. However, the variation in travel time (standard deviation) reduces for scheme 1 and scheme 2a, but an increment is predicted for scheme 2b. However, the above changes are not reflected on the distribution shape where the general pattern remained lognormal, left-skewed, with similar travel time spread in all scenarios except scheme 2a, which is right skewed lognormal.

The reliability results show a low impact, with a fluctuation between 1.27% improvement in reliability with scheme 2a and
1.4% reduction with scheme 2b, while with scheme 1 travel
time reliability remains largely unaltered.

e. Bus route S102

The simulation of this route reports the same sample size
of the previous route and a similar trend of insignificant
change in travel time. On the other hand, the variation in
travel time under the proposed schemes is reduced signifi-
cantly, by more than 40%. The maximum expected reduction
in variation is with scheme 1 while with scheme 2a the vari-
ation remains at the same level as the existing condition.
Furthermore, the trend in the distribution shape change
similar to that of bus route S101 has been observed here too,
nonetheless with a significant reduction of spread by a
maximum of 3 min (total of both sides), which can be read as a
correlation with the reduction in the travel time variation.

However, the results of travel time reliability predict an
overall improvement in travel time reliability by 3.33% and
1.6% under the influence of schemes 1 and 2 (averaged)
respectively.

f. Active O-D pairs in the network

Although we focussed on top-10 O-D pairs so far, in order to
draw some general conclusions, we need to extend the anal-
ysis to for all other O-D pairs. However, we need to filter out
the O-D pairs which have very few trips plying between them.
Within the network under study, the trip matrix indicates that
approximately 1250 O-D pairs (out of 1600 O-D pairs) are
exchanging less than two trips during the peak hour, while
350 O-D pairs are exchanging trips equal to or more than two
trips. These 350 O-D pairs will be named as active O-D pairs.
The travel time reliability was calculated for all the active O-D
pairs under existing condition and with the three schemes
described earlier. The results are presented as histograms
shown in Fig. 9, which indicate that the travel becomes more
unreliable under the proposed schemes, where many O-D
pairs become totally unreliable i.e. travel time unreliability
of 100%, compared to a maximum unreliability of 40% in the
existing condition. Furthermore, the proposed scheme 2
shows less impact on travel time reliability compared to
scheme 1, as maximum number of O-D pairs which are

![Fig. 9](image-url) - Histogram of travel time unreliability for active O-D pairs. (a) Existing condition. (b) Scheme 1. (c) Scheme 2a. (d) Scheme 2b.
unreliable by 50% and more are 34 pairs compared to 55 pairs. This is intuitively acceptable as scheme 1 proposes a complete closure of Hamilton dr. while scheme 2 involves one-way operations.

4.4.3. Final comments on travel time reliability results
In this section we offer a few more comments on travel time reliability results especially on their similarity with results published in the literature. By looking at the simulation results, the main observations are as below:

• a correlation between the standard deviation and reliability results exists (refer to results in Table 2), which was consistent with as noted previously by Bell et al. (1999).
• the impact of any proposed scheme on O-D pairs varies, with some expected to improve while the others reduce as shown in Table 2 and Fig. 5. This agrees with the conclusion made by Popa et al. (2012).
• an increment in travel time in general results in less reliable route (travel time). However, one O-D showed a notable result, O-D 45–407, which showed no change in travel time and yet the best improvement in the reliability (Fig. 10).

5. Summary and concluding remarks
Roadworks are inevitable activity on any road network, and the analysis usually focuses on the local impact surrounding the location. However, this paper considers the affects at a larger scale over the entire traffic network and analyses the travel time reliability impact on private cars and buses. In the literature, roadworks fall under irregular condition-dependent variation that affects the travel time distribution, hence, any change in the distribution will affect the reliability value.

Thus, this paper adopted a probability measure for quantifying the travel time reliability and proposed a joint modelling procedure using conventional assignment and microsimulation models to evaluate the proposed roadwork diversion schemes. The conventional assignment model allows modifying the network for each proposed diversion scheme, generating the route assignment for the given flow. In addition, a microsimulation model is useful for modelling vehicular flows especially private cars and public buses generating the time-space profile for each individual trip made within the network.

A joint modelling methodology was proposed and used in the numerical study to assess the impact of roadworks traffic diversions on traffic network for cars and buses. The outcomes of the case study showed that the overall travel time reliability at the network scale is expected to reduce with the roadworks. It is also noted that each proposed diversion scheme had a different impact on selected O-D pairs and bus routes indicating the need to evaluate alternative proposals for undertaking the roadworks. Although a few O-D pairs showed a slight improvement in travel time reliability, majority of the others are expected to experience reduced reliability, and the travel time on the most affected O-D pair will be worse off by three times compared to the existing condition without roadworks despite the fact that it is located well away from the roadworks section. This observation points us to the need to undertake an analysis of the impact at a wider network scale rather than limiting the analysis to the local vicinity. Finally, the results also showed that the computed reliability measure is correlated to the change in the travel time of the O-D pair, especially as an increment in travel time reduces the reliability. In the rest of this section we discuss potential issues/limitations associated with the modelling approach used in this research.

We note that 1-h peak demand is assigned by SATURN and the route flows (not link flows/times) are passed on to DRACULA to propagate the vehicles over the network links to generate vehicle by vehicle space-time trajectories. While doing so, DRACULA assigns each vehicle to a random departure time from its origin within the 1-h peak period modelled. It is also useful to note that DRACULA allows for a pre-simulation “warm-up” period involving the build-up of traffic up to the peak demand level to avoid near empty running situation at the beginning of the peak period and also allows for vehicles departed during the peak period to reach their destinations by extending the simulation through a “cooling period” after simulating the peak period. One might consider slicing...
up the trip matrix to improve the accuracy of travel time predictions. However, with a sliced matrix approach it is likely that some of the vehicles departed from their respective origins may not be able to reach their destinations within the sliced time period thus it may yield distorted results due to the randomness associated with the departure time as well as depending on the length of the sliced time period. Time dependent origin flow profiles to a high degree of resolution e.g. 10 min would help addressing the issue but such high quality dynamic O-D matrix is not easily available.

Roadworks do affect the reliability of travel time, and drivers learn from their daily experiences and adjust their route choices over a period of time. Traditional traffic assignment models usually have peak hour of a typical day as their focus while the process of learning needs to extend the paradigm to over multiple number of days. The iterative process involved in arriving at an equilibrium solution in a within day traffic assignment model is sometimes interpreted as similar to the adjustment of route choice of drivers in a day-to-day context. In our research we have incorporated the roadworks into SATURN model to arrive at an equilibrium set of route flows which are then passed on to DRACULA to analyse the impact of roadworks thus empirically incorporating the effect of reliability into traffic assignment model.

Finally, a note on model validation. Although we have validated the assigned flows and travel times in SATURN and DRACULA independently, we do not have any data related to the roadworks described in the case study. Thus to validate the joint model of SATURN/DRACULA for roadworks, we will require the knowledge of routing of individual drivers and their O-D travel times during the implementation roadworks mentioned. This may be obtained through road side interview techniques or even by using web-based surveying methods although the response rate could be poor and even the results may be affected by bias, which can be taken up as further research topic in the future.

The proposed method and the results of the numerical study suggest potential applications such as evaluating different diversion schemes, assessing the impact on bus routes within the network and propose mitigation, identifying the routes subject to the highest reduced reliability and accordingly targeting them in public information campaign of “expected delay” signage.
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