Inhibition of Human and Rat Sucrase and Maltase Activities To Assess Antiglycemic Potential: Optimization of the Assay Using Acarbose and Polyphenols
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ABSTRACT: We optimized the assays used to measure inhibition of rat and human α-glucosidases (sucrase and maltase activities), intestinal enzymes which catalyze the final steps of carbohydrate digestion. Cell-free extracts from fully differentiated intestinal Caco-2/TC7 monolayers were shown to be a suitable source of sucrase–isomaltase, with the same sequence as human small intestine, and were compared to a rat intestinal extract. The kinetic conditions of the assay were optimized, including comparison of enzymatic and chromatographic methods to detect the monosaccharide products. Human sucrase activity was more susceptible than the rat enzyme to inhibition by acarbose (IC_{50} (concentration required for 50% inhibition) = 2.5 ± 0.5 and 12.3 ± 0.6 μM, respectively), by a polyphenol-rich green tea extract, and by pure (−)-epigallocatechin gallate (EGCG) (IC_{50} = 657 ± 150 and 950 ± 86 μM respectively). In contrast, the reverse was observed when assessing maltase activity (e.g., EGCG: IC_{50} = 677 ± 241 and 140 ± 2.0 μM for human and rat maltase, respectively). 5-Caffeoylquinic acid did not significantly inhibit maltase and was only a very weak inhibitor of sucrase. The data show that for sucrase and maltase activities, inhibition patterns of rat and human enzymes are generally qualitatively similar but can be quantitatively different.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The prevalence of type 2 diabetes has doubled over the last 30 years and affects an estimated 1 in 10 worldwide. A meta-analysis of intervention studies suggests that reducing the glycaemic impact of the diet can reduce the risk of developing type 2 diabetes. One current management strategy for diabetes is based on reducing the glycemic impact though inhibition of α-glucosidase enzymes in the gut, and this can be achieved by regular consumption of the antihyperglycemic drugs acarbose, voglibose, and miglitol. In an intervention study where regular consumption of the antihyperglycemic drugs acarbose, voglibose, and miglitol. In an intervention study where...
tissue which, if present, could invalidate the use of the cell line as a source of genuine human enzyme.

Some studies have used Caco-2 cells as a source of enzyme, where the inhibition of α-glucosidases by mulberry leaves was tested, but the method did not take into account any subsequent transport and metabolism of the product. Other assays have been reported, but not optimized. Given the potential importance of the assay in evaluating naturally occurring compounds for acarbose-like activity, the aim of this work was to optimize the assay for inhibition of human sucrase and maltase activities and evaluate differences in inhibition between the human and rat enzymes.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Chemicals. Acarbose, 5-cafeoylquinic acid, sodium potassium monobasic, sodium potassium dibasic, glucose, sucrose, maltose, hexokinase glucose assay reagent, Bradford reagent, intestinal acetone powder extract from rat, protease inhibitors, epipallicatrin gallate, trypsin EDTA solution, l-cysteine, papain from papaya latex, Dulbecco's modified Eagle's Medium, nonessential amino acids, penicillin-streptomycin solution, phosphate buffered saline (PBS), and fetal bovine serum were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, Dorset, UK. Glutamau was from Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, United States. Oasis MAX cartridges were purchased from Waters Corporation, Millford, MA, United States. Green tea water-soluble extract was from Nestlé, Choladi, India. The Caco2/TC7 cell line was a kind gift by Prof. Monique Bousset, Centre de Recherche des Cordeliers, Paris, France. The green tea extract was analyzed by HPLC and contained 199.8 mg/g (−)-epigalallocatechin gallate, 124.4 mg/g (−)-epigallocatechin, 34.4 mg/g (−)-epicatechin gallate, and 23.3 mg/g (−)-epicatechin (w/w).

2.2. Equipment Used for Measurement of Enzyme Activity. Absorbance measurements were performed on a Pharestar FS plate reader from BMG labtech, Ortenberg, Germany. High performance anion exchange chromatography with pulsed amperometric detection (HPAE-PAD) was performed on the ICS4000 system from Thermo Fisher Scientific.

2.3. Gene Sequencing. The sequencing of SI from Caco-2/TC7 cells used the following materials: RNAQeous Total RNA Isolation kit from Thermo Fisher Scientific, GoScript Reverse Transcription System from Promega, Madison, WI, United States, Cloneamp HiFi PCR premix and In-fusion cloning kit from Takara Bio Europe, Saint-Germain-en-Laye, France, primers from Sigma-Aldrich, Dorset, UK, and zymoclean gel extraction PCR recovery kit from Zymo Research, Orange, United States. Human RNA as a reference sample for sucrase sequencing was from DV Biologics, California, United States.

2.4. Growth Conditions for Caco-2 Cells as a Source of Human Enzyme for in Vitro Assays. Caco-2/TC7 cells were routinely cultured at a density of 1.2 × 10^5 cells per 75 cm² culture flask in standard medium consisting of Dulbecco's modified Eagle's Medium supplemented with 20% (v/v) fetal bovine serum, 2% (v/v) Glutamax, 2% (v/v) nonessential amino acids, and 1% penicillin-streptomycin solution. Cells were maintained in an incubator at 37 °C with 10% CO₂. Medium was replaced every 2 d, and at this seeding density, 80% confluence was reached in 2 d, at which point the cells were subcultured by detaching with 0.25% trypsin. Cells were allowed to fully differentiate for 21 d, and a crude lysate was prepared. The cells were washed 3 times with cold phosphate buffered saline and cells were scraped with 1 mL of assay buffer (10 mM phosphate buffer, pH 7.0) containing protease inhibitors. The cell lysates were snap frozen in a dry ice and ethanol bath and stored at −80 °C until required. On the day of assay, cell lysates were thawed, vortexed, and then passed 10–15 times through a 21G needle syringe, and this preparation is referred to as a cell-free extract because it contains no intact cells. Papain-digested lysates were also prepared to evaluate different lyase preparation methods. Fully differentiated cells were washed with warm PBS and then incubated at 37 °C for 15 min with 2 mL papain solution containing 1 mg/mL papain and 0.5 mg/mL l-cysteine. After the incubation, cells were scraped and transferred into Eppendorf tubes and centrifuged at 210g for 10 min at 4 °C. The supernatant was used for the assay. The protein content of the lysate, either crude or papain-digested, was determined by Bradford assay, and the lysate was diluted in assay buffer as required.

2.5. Extraction of mRNA from Caco-2/TC7 Cells, Conversion to cDNA, and Sequencing of cDNA for Sucrase Isomaltase. Total RNA was extracted using the RNAQeous Total RNA Isolation kit according to the manufacturer's protocol, and then 250 ng of total RNA was converted into cDNA by reverse transcription using the reverse transcription kit according to the manufacturer's protocol. The gene was reverse transcribed in two parts with two sucrase-isomaltase specific reverse primers A and B and amplified using primer pairs specific to each segment (see Table S1) using Cloneamp HiFi PCR premix. Primers contained overlapping ends for future cloning into a vector (underlined and in italics). The PCR products from segment A (bases 1–1811) and segment B (1174–5784) were separated on an agarose gel extracted using Zymoclean gel extraction PCR recovery kit and then cloned together using the In-fusion cloning kit. This kit uses a recombinase enzyme which fuses the overlapping homologous sequences together and resulted in the full SI coding region, which was sent for DNA Sanger sequencing by Beckman Coulter Genetics using the primers as found in Table S2. The RNA from a human intestinal biopsy from DV Biologics was sequenced as a reference using the same procedure.

2.6. Sucrase and Maltase Assays Using a Caco-2 TC7 Cell-Free Extract. Assay samples of 250 μL contained sucrose or maltose, with Caco-2/TC7 cell lysate preparation as the enzyme source in 0.1 M phosphate buffer pH 7.0. A time course was evaluated from 0 to 30 min, and assays to determine the specific activity in the lysate were performed with a range of enzyme concentrations (total protein from 40 to 320 μg). The specific activity was determined as the amount of substrate consumed per minute per mg of total protein in the assay. The kinetic parameters Kₘ and Vₘₐₓ were determined using initial rates derived from the selected enzyme concentration and incubation times with substrate concentrations ranging from 1 to 60 mM. One enzyme unit (U) is equivalent to the amount of enzyme that catalyzes the hydrolysis of 1 μmol of substrate per min. For inhibition assays, inhibitor was added to the reaction mixture at the indicated concentrations: acarbose from 1 to 50 μM, EGCG from 100 to 1500 μM, GT from 0.5 to 5 mg/mL, and 5-cafeoylquinic acid from 100 to 500 μM. For inhibitors dissolved in DMSO to ensure solubility (EGCG and 5-cafeoylquinic acid), control samples were included to ensure no interference, and a maximum of 2% of the total reaction volume was DMSO. Assay samples were incubated in a water bath at 37 °C for 10 min, and the reaction was stopped by placing the samples in a boiling water bath for 10 min. Samples were centrifuged at 17 000g for 10 min.

2.7. Glucose Detection Methods: Hexokinase and HPAE-PAD. The glucose produced in the enzyme reaction was quantified enzymatically using hexokinase according to the manufacturer's protocol or chromatographically with HPAE-PAD, which has been reported previously to measure glucose. Using HPAE-PAD, glucose was chromatographically separated from fructose and sucrose and could be quantified between 1 and 50 mM. Before HPAE-PAD analysis, protein in the samples was precipitated by the addition of acetonitrile (1:1 v/v), and samples were vortexed and centrifuged at 17 000g for 10 min. The resulting supernatant was diluted with deionized water to fall within the calibration range, filtered through a 0.2 μM PTFE filter, and maintained at 4 °C in the autosampler. Capillary separation of carbohydrates was done using the capillary CarboPac PA20 column, 0.4 × 150 mm with CarboPac PA20 Guard, 0.4 × 35 mm with the column at 30 °C and compartment at 15 °C. The detection was performed using a gold working electrode and palladium reference electrode with a collection rate of 2.00 Hz using the waveform “Gold, Carbo, Quad” waveform. The analysis method starts at 25 mM NaOH eluent for 10 min followed by a wash at 100 mM for 15 min and then re-equilibration at 25 mM from 25 to 38 min. The flow rate was 0.008 mL/min with 0.04 μL injection volume and 20× capillary overfill. Inhibitors were evaluated for potential...
interference by preparing and analyzing assay samples without
substrate containing inhibitor at the highest concentration used.

2.8. Effect of Polyphenols on Hexokinase-Linked Assay and
Removal by SPE. To evaluate which polyphenols or polyphenol-rich
extracts interfere with the hexokinase assay, samples (250 μL)
containing 5 mM glucose were prepared in assay buffer with and
without polyphenols or extracts at their highest tested concentration
(see Section 2.6 above). The assay was performed using hexokinase
to measure the amount of glucose, and the samples were compared to
the control. The independent sample t test was performed to determine if
the inhibitors had significant effect on the assay. Any inhibitors which
interfered significantly were removed by SPE prior to analysis in all
subsequent assays as follows: Oasis MAX 3 cc cartridges were
preconditioned with 1 mL of deionized water and 1 mL of methanol
and then dried under vacuum for 5 min. The sample collection tube
was placed under the cartridge, and 200 μL of test samples and
controls were passed through and collected. A fixed volume was then
used in the hexokinase assay as indicated above. Removal of any
interference by SPE was confirmed by evaluating separate polyphenol
samples spiked with glucose.

2.9. Sucrase and Maltase Assay Using a Rat Intestinal
Acetone Protein Extract. The enzyme assay was also optimized for
incubation time, substrate concentration, and linear range using an
enzyme preparation from powdered acetone protein extract from rat
intestine. For maltase, 4 mg solid/mL was used with 3 mM maltose
and 20 min incubation time. For sucrase, 20 mg solid/mL was used
with 16 mM sucrose and 20 min incubation time.

3.0. Data and Statistical Analysis. The percent inhibition was
determined by the following formula where the control is without
inhibitor:

\[
\% = \left( \frac{[\text{glucose}]_{\text{control}} - [\text{glucose}]_{\text{inhibitor}}}{[\text{glucose}]_{\text{control}}} \right) \times 100
\]

Statistics were performed by one-way analysis of variance using
SPSS Statistics version 24, and statistical significance was determined
by Tukey–Kramer multiple comparison test (p ≤ 0.05). The data are
presented as mean ± SEM with minimum of n = 3. Cell lysates were
prepared from three biological passages of cells and used for IC_{50}
determinations.

3. RESULTS

3.1. Sucrase Isomaltase from Caco-2/TC7 Cells and
Human Small Intestine Has the Same Sequence,
Showing That the Former Is a Suitable Source of
Enzyme for in Vitro Assays. One of the goals was to
optimize an assay for assessing inhibition using human
differentiated Caco-2/TC7 cell extracts as a source of the
sucrase–isomaltase. Only the sucrase sequence from Caco-2
cells, and not from Caco-2/TC7 cells, has been reported, and
because even point mutations may under some circumstances
lead to alteration of enzyme activity, it was important to
establish that the sucrase sequence of the Caco-2/TC7 line was
the same as the human small intestinal enzyme. Based on
sequencing of sucrase from the Caco-2/TC7 clone, we confirm
that it has a sequence identical to that of the human intestine
(sequencing results from Caco-2/TC7 are shown in Supporting
Information, Section S3). The reported Caco-2 cell sucrase
sequence, the reference human biopsy control sample from
DV Biologics, and the BC132860.1 sequence from the National
Institutes of Health Mammalian Gene Collection (MGC)
Program all reported the same sequence as that in the Caco-
2/TC7 cells. However, it should be noted that there is one base
different at position 4632 (G → A) compared to one of the
sequences in the NCBI database (NM_00104143).

3.2. Optimization of Human Sucrase–Isomaltase
Assay: Determination of Kinetic Parameters, Substrate
Concentration Dependence, and Assay Time Course
Linearity. A cell-free extract from Caco-2/TC7 cells was
evaluated as a source of sucrase and maltase for use in assays. A
time course was determined using 10 mM sucrose and maltose,
and maltase exhibited a linear production of glucose up to 15
min (Figure 1A) with sucrase linear up to 40 min (Figure 1B).

Figure 1. Optimization of assay parameters using cell-free extracts
from human Caco2/TC7 cells. Human maltase activity time course
(A), dependence on added protein (C), and Lineweaver–Burk plot for
determination of K_{m} and V_{max} (E). Human sucrase activity time course
(B), dependence on added protein (D) and Lineweaver–Burk plot for
determination of K_{m} and V_{max} (F). Solid lines indicate the linear part of
the curve. Error bars where not visible are smaller than the data point.
Results are presented as mean ± SEM (n = 3).

On the basis of this, an assay time of 10 min was selected for
both activities. The specific activity of maltase was 725 ± 36
mU/mg (Figure 1C) and for sucrase was 130 ± 4 mU/mg
(Figure 1D) and was proportional to total protein up to 1.28
and 0.64 mg/mL, respectively. The amount of protein chosen
for future assays was selected to ensure a linear initial rate: 0.05
and 0.02 U per assay for maltase and sucrase, respectively.
Using the conditions established, the apparent K_{m} was 9.5 mM
and V_{max} = 0.053 μmol/min for sucrase, and apparent K_{m}
was 7.5 mM and V_{max} = 0.379 μmol/min for maltose (Figures 1E
and F, respectively). The sucrase and maltase apparent K_{m}
values measured here were within the reported range for
human sucrase and for human maltase. Optimizing the
assay is extremely important to correctly evaluate and identify
inhibitors. Using the optimized assay conditions, acarbose
inhibited maltase activity (Figure 2) with an IC_{50} value of 5.7 ±
1.4 μM. When more enzyme was used (0.2 U/assay), the
reaction rate became nonlinear, and the IC_{50} value for acarbose
was estimated at 22.1 ± 2.9 μM (Figure 2), which was 4-fold
higher. Varying the substrate concentration had a less dramatic
effect on the measured IC_{50} value. For sucrase, the measured
IC_{50} value for acarbose was unchanged over a 100-fold variation
in substrate concentration (Figure 3A). For maltose, there was
All compounds tested here were evaluated for inhibition of hexokinase. Although hexokinase is less susceptible, it is still reported to be inhibited.13 Hexokinase-linked estimation of glucose.

Hexokinase and glucose oxidase are commonly used enzymes for assessing glucose concentration and both are commercially available in kits. Glucose oxidase is highly susceptible to the form of sugars using HPAE-PAD was evaluated as a potential alternative for estimation of product compared to the enzymatic detection. Glucose is readily quantified using this method.24,25 Good separation was achieved between glucose, fructose, and sucrose, and a standard curve for glucose was linear between 1 and 50 μM (Figures 5A and B) with limit of detection = 0.5 μM and of quantitation = 1.0 μM. Sucrose hydrolysis led to formation of glucose and fructose (Figure 5C); inhibition by EGCG was readily observed as a reduction in glucose and fructose peaks (Figure 5C), but when used as a substrate, sucrose was not normally measured as the peak for this sugar was saturated. For maltose as substrate, inhibition was apparent as a reduction in the glucose peak (Figure 5D).

Because several of the test compounds were shown to interfere with the hexokinase assay, chromatographic quantitation of sugars using HPAE-PAD was evaluated as a potential alternative for estimation of product compared to the enzymatic detection. Glucose is readily quantified using this method.24,25 Good separation was achieved between glucose, fructose, and sucrose, and a standard curve for glucose was linear between 1 and 50 μM (Figures 5A and B) with limit of detection = 0.5 μM and of quantitation = 1.0 μM. Sucrose hydrolysis led to formation of glucose and fructose (Figure 5C); inhibition by EGCG was readily observed as a reduction in glucose and fructose peaks (Figure 5C), but when used as a substrate, sucrose was not normally measured as the peak for this sugar was saturated. For maltose as substrate, inhibition was apparent as a reduction in the glucose peak (Figure 5D). The inhibitors tested here were also run to determine if they contained any sugars or generated any interfering peaks. Of the tested samples, only green tea contained glucose (Figure 6); at 5 mg/mL, it contained 0.36 mM glucose, reduced after SPE to 0.14 mM owing to dilution. The amount of glucose quantified in enzyme assays after hydrolysis typically ranged from 0.4 to 2 mM, and so the glucose present in green tea (∼14 mM) would significantly interfere. Green tea standards were prepared.

3.4. Effect of Papain Treatment on Enzyme Activities. Sucrase-isomaltase is attached via a protein tail to the small intestine brush border membrane. To determine if there could be an advantage in removing it from the cellular membrane, we used papain to release the enzyme from the brush border. Although papain treatment gave an increase in the specific activity of both sucrase and maltase (Figures 4A and B), the inhibition observed was unchanged (Figures 4C and D). It was therefore concluded that the papain step did not offer a significant advantage to the overall method for determining inhibition.

3.5. Determination of Possible Interference in the Hexokinase-Linked Estimation of Glucose. Hexokinase and glucose oxidase are commonly used enzymes for assessing glucose concentration and both are commercially available in the form of kits. Glucose oxidase is highly susceptible to inhibition by polyphenols and other compounds,13,29 and although hexokinase is less susceptible, it is still reported to be inhibited.13 All compounds tested here were evaluated here for direct inhibition of hexokinase. Glucose standards at 1 mM were prepared in presence and absence of each inhibitor, and the concentration of glucose was determined using the hexokinase assay. EGCG at 1000 μM led to an apparent 23% increase in glucose concentration (p < 0.001, n = 3); green tea at 2.5 mg/mL caused an apparent 13% decrease in glucose concentration (p = 0.002, n = 3), and 5-cafeoylquinic acid at 500 μM caused an apparent decrease of 10% (p < 0.001, n = 3). Acarbose at 100 μM had no significant effect on the assay compared to the control (p = 1.0, n = 3). These results indicate that EGCG, green tea, and 5-cafeoylquinic acid all inhibited hexokinase activity, whereas acarbose did not. On the basis of these results, EGCG, green tea, and 5-cafeoylquinic acid must be removed before assessing glucose production by sucrase or maltase activities. SPE removal was tested and proved to successfully remove all of the polyphenols before analysis using hexokinase and so was incorporated into the protocol.

3.6. Comparison of Methods for Detection of Sugars. Because several of the test compounds were shown to interfere with the hexokinase assay, chromatographic quantitation of sugars using HPAE-PAD was evaluated as a potential alternative for estimation of product compared to the enzymatic detection. Glucose is readily quantified using this method.24,25 Good separation was achieved between glucose, fructose, and sucrose, and a standard curve for glucose was linear between 1 and 50 μM (Figures 5A and B) with limit of detection = 0.5 μM and of quantitation = 1.0 μM. Sucrose hydrolysis led to formation of glucose and fructose (Figure 5C); inhibition by EGCG was readily observed as a reduction in glucose and fructose peaks (Figure 5C), but when used as a substrate, sucrose was not normally measured as the peak for this sugar was saturated. For maltose as substrate, inhibition was apparent as a reduction in the glucose peak (Figure 5D). The inhibitors tested here were also run to determine if they contained any sugars or generated any interfering peaks. Of the tested samples, only green tea contained glucose (Figure 6); at 5 mg/mL, it contained 0.36 mM glucose, reduced after SPE to 0.14 mM owing to dilution. The amount of glucose quantified in enzyme assays after hydrolysis typically ranged from 0.4 to 2 mM, and so the glucose present in green tea (∼14 mM) would significantly interfere. Green tea standards were prepared.
over a range of concentrations and used to correct for the sugar content.

To compare the chromatographic method with the hexokinase-linked assay, maltase assays with and without EGCG were analyzed by both methods (Table 1). Before glucose analysis by hexokinase but not HPAE-PAD, EGCG was removed by SPE. The hexokinase-linked method showed \( \sim \) twofold greater variation than the chromatographic method based on glucose detection (Table 1). The absolute concentrations of glucose determined enzymatically were 43.0 ± 4.3% lower, but the loss was consistent and readily corrected for using standard curves. Importantly, the IC\(_{50}\) values were not different when determined enzymatically and chromatographically (677 ± 241 and 633 ± 35 \( \mu \)M, respectively; 3 independent determinations, \( p = 0.77 \)) (Figure 7).

3.7. Inhibition of Polyphenols and Extracts on Sucrase and Maltase Activity Using Enzyme from Human and Rat Sources. On the basis of the results from above, the assay conditions for maltase and sucrase were selected and are shown in a flowchart (Figure 8). Using these conditions, the IC\(_{50}\) values for acarbose, green tea, and EGCG for both sucrase and maltase inhibition using the rat and human enzyme sources were determined (Figure 9). The greatest inhibition of human maltase activity was by green tea, with IC\(_{50}\) of 0.5 mg/mL, which contains the equivalent of \( \sim \)200 \( \mu \)M EGCG (most abundant polyphenol). Alone, pure EGCG at 677 \( \mu \)M was

Table 1. Comparison of Methods for Glucose Detection When Assessing the Inhibition of Human Maltase Activity by EGCG

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EGCG (( \mu )M)</th>
<th>measured glucose (mM)</th>
<th>% CV</th>
<th>measured glucose (mM)</th>
<th>% CV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.2</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>2.7 ± 0.1</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.0</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>1.8 ± 0.0</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.1</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.1</td>
<td>9.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>750</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.1</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.1</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.1</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.0</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mean</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Glucose was quantified relative to standard curves using HPAE-PAD or by hexokinase-linked assay for samples that underwent SPE. Results are presented as mean ± SD (n = 3). SD = standard deviation, %CV = coefficient of variation.*
required to achieve 50% inhibition. The IC_{50} values determined from human and rat enzyme sources for green tea, EGCG, and acarbose are summarized in Table 2. No inhibition was observed for 5-caffeoylquinic acid at 200 μM on rat or human maltase and sucrase activities. At a higher concentration of 500 μM, human sucrase activity was inhibited by 24 ± 6.1% but with no significant inhibition of human maltase.

4. DISCUSSION

In recent years, polyphenols have been investigated as potential α-glucosidase inhibitors for the management and risk reduction of type 2 diabetes, as an alternative to pharmaceutical treatments such as acarbose. The methods used to date have predominantly used enzyme preparations from rat intestine rather than a human source.\textsuperscript{30–32} The homology between human and rat sucrase–isomaltase is only 74%,\textsuperscript{33} and so it cannot be assumed that inhibition of rat and human enzymes will be equivalent. The active site sequence is conserved, but there are differences in N-glycosylation, which can affect inhibition due to steric hindrance. The human sequence has 18 predicted N-glycosylation sites, while the rat has 16, only 9 of which are in equivalent positions.\textsuperscript{33} Furthermore, some reports even use a yeast α-glucosidase, which provides much less relevant inhibition information. In congenital sucrase–isomaltase deficiency (CSID) disorder, which occurs in 0.2%
of people of European descent, genetic differences as small as a point mutation can lead to lack of function.\textsuperscript{14} We therefore confirmed here that the sequence of sucrase--isomaltase in the Caco-2/TC7 cell line is identical to human tissue sucrase--isomaltase.

Another limitation of reports currently found in the literature is that the assay parameters and details are not always presented in full, which makes comparison of inhibition values among different reports impossible. Here, an inhibition assay was optimized for sucrase and maltase using human Caco-2/TC7 cell-free extracts as an enzyme source (Figure 8). The assay was used to evaluate inhibition by some selected polyphenols and polyphenol-rich extracts and data from the human enzyme source were compared to those determined using a source of rat intestinal enzyme.

The importance of optimizing the assay was demonstrated because working kinetically outside of initial rates\textsuperscript{34} changed the measured inhibition by acarbose. Substrate concentration, although reported to be important when measuring inhibition,\textsuperscript{35} was less critical. Papain proteolytically cleaves the isomaltase stalk from the membrane,\textsuperscript{36} resulting in higher activity arises solely from the sucrase subunit of sucrase--isomaltase, whereas maltase is derived from both the isomaltase and sucrase subunits\textsuperscript{10} as well as other $\alpha$-glucosidases such as maltase glucoamylase (MGAM).\textsuperscript{10} In humans, SI provides the majority of the maltase and of the isomaltase activities in the intestinal brush border.\textsuperscript{33} MGAM is absent from Caco-2 cells,\textsuperscript{37} and it is a less-important enzyme for hydrolysis of sucrase and maltase. Therefore, our method is specific for analyzing inhibition of the brush border sucrase--isomaltase enzyme, which also hydrolyses the majority of maltose in the intestine. Our data suggest that the tested inhibitors are more effective on human compared to rat sucrase, and therefore, more substantial effects might be expected in humans compared to rats in vivo, but there is not enough data in the literature to make this direct in vivo comparison. This situation also applies in reverse for maltase inhibition.

The IC$_{50}$ of EGCG for rat sucrase has been reported to be 218\textsuperscript{35} and 169 $\mu$M\textsuperscript{30} both stronger than we observed here using rat enzyme; however, both used detection methods in which EGCG interferes.\textsuperscript{38} The IC$_{50}$ of EGCG for rat maltase has been reported as 40 $\mu$M, somewhat weaker than that observed here (14 $\mu$M). Reported results using the enzyme from rat sources for acarbose, which does not interfere with detection methods, were more comparable to ours (IC$_{50}$ of 0.43 $\mu$M for maltase and 1.2 $\mu$M for sucrase).\textsuperscript{30} S-Caffeoylquinic acid was reported to strongly inhibit maltase activity with $K_I$ = 1.8 $\mu$M using a Drosophila expression system as the source of mouse sucrase,\textsuperscript{39} but we did not observe inhibition of human maltase even at 500 $\mu$M, and only weak inhibition of human sucrase. Hence, S-caffeoylquinic acid is not expected to have any physiologically-relevant inhibiting effects in humans, even though it was previously reported to be a strong inhibitor. Interference in the detection method, or the use of the mouse sequence for the expression, could partially explain the dramatic difference. Furthermore, glycosylation in the Drosophila expression system differs from mammalian: it lacks the ability to produce complex N-glycans due to the absence of $\beta$-N-acetylglucosaminidase.\textsuperscript{40}

Use of the pharmacological strong inhibitor acarbose often leads to gastrointestinal side effects\textsuperscript{41} due to substantial amounts of undigested starch and sugar reaching the colon. Dietary compounds such as polyphenols exhibit weaker but significant inhibition when tested in volunteers in vivo,\textsuperscript{42} are consumed every day, and show fewer side effects. Other classes of compounds may also demonstrate activity. For example, the naturally occurring pentose sugar l-arabinose, which is a specific sucrase inhibitor, did not cause gastrointestinal side effects in humans.\textsuperscript{19} Digestion of sucrase by sucrase can be rate-limiting in the glycemic response in rats; in an oral sucrose tolerance test with a formulation containing l-arabinose, the incremental area under the curve for blood glucose was significantly reduced, while no change was observed in comparable glucose or starch tests.\textsuperscript{42,43} In humans, pure l-arabinose led to a delay in glucose absorption in response to sucrase intake, and although there was no change in iAUC, there were significant positive changes in the hormones GLP-1, GIP, and insulin.\textsuperscript{19}

In summary, we report an optimized assay for estimating inhibition of human sucrase and maltase activities derived from the sucrase--isomaltase enzyme. When performing assays or considering published inhibition data, any interference with the glucose detection methodology must be considered, and interfering compounds removed through SPE. Because this introduces some variation, HPAE-PAD offers an alternative detection method which can improve accuracy because SPE is not required. The current work highlights that the use of human cells or rat tissues as the source of $\alpha$-glucosidase activities impacts the resulting inhibition and should be carefully considered and reported to avoid reporting false negatives or positives.
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