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Abstract

It has previously been demonstrated that inkjet printed thermoplastic microphases are capable of producing a significant increase in mode I interlaminar fracture toughness ($G_{\text{IC}}$) in carbon fibre reinforced polymer (CFRP) with no significant reduction in other mechanical properties or increase in parasitic weight. In this work, the evolution of the microphase structure during processing and how this is influenced by the chosen printing parameters was investigated. Samples were prepared that enabled monitoring of the microphases during all steps of fabrication, with the thermoplastic polymer found to form a discrete spherical shape due to surface energy minimisation. Based upon the
morphology and properties of the thermoplastic microphases, it was hypothesised that the increased toughness was due to a combination of crack deflection and plastic deformation of the microphases. Samples were produced for the double cantilever beam fracture toughness testing using the same printing conditions, and both $G_{IC}$ values and scanning electron microscopy (SEM) of the fracture surface supported the proposed hypothesis. The feasibility of selective toughening is also demonstrated, which presents potential to tailor the mechanical properties of the CFRP spatially.
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1. **Introduction**

While carbon fibre reinforced polymer (CFRP) laminates have made a remarkable breakthrough in a range of industries on account of their favourable mechanical properties such as high stiffness-weight and strength-weight ratios, a major concern of using this material is its susceptibility to delamination. This is because the brittle nature of epoxy is prone to develop microcracks when subjected to stress, and these microcracks tend to develop between laminate plies due to the laminated materials lacking reinforcement in the through thickness direction. This can eventually lead to delamination, which is a typical failure mode commonly seen in the laminated CFRP. To improve the delamination resistance of CFRP, interleaving of high toughness materials between laminate plies has been demonstrated as an effective method to improve the interlaminar fracture toughness
However, a major trade-off associated with this toughening method is the parasitic weight penalty, which compromises the high stiffness-weight and strength-weight ratios of CFRP laminates. Moreover, interleaving is directly correlated with the reduction in the interlaminar shear properties and fibre volume fraction\(^4\). Therefore, minimising weight gain due to the application of toughening material has been of great interest.

Drop-on-demand inkjet printing is a direct write additive manufacturing method which can generate uniform droplets in picolitre (pL) volume scale and precisely dispense those droplets directly into pre-designed patterns without masks\(^8-10\). Our previous studies show that the mode I interlaminar fracture toughness (\(G_{ik}\)) of CFRP laminates with inkjet printed poly(methyl methacrylate) (PMMA) microphases between laminate plies was noticeably improved compared to the baseline (i.e. without printed PMMA) without decrease in interlaminar shear strength (ILSS). By depositing approximately 0.05 vol.% of PMMA microphases between CFRP laminate plies, the \(G_{ik}\) was improved by approximately 40% compared to the baseline, indicating that inkjet printing has the achievable potential to be used as means of introducing toughening material without introducing excessive weight which is detrimental to other material properties\(^11-14\).

In order to better understand the toughening mechanism, the evolution of how the printed PMMA goes from the printed pattern to the toughening structure during CFRP laminate fabrication and curing is investigated in this paper. The investigation was performed by
constructing a replica system of the CFRP that is optically transparent, which enables the monitoring of the microphase structure at various stages of CFRP laminate fabrication. Knowledge of the structure in the as-produced CFRP was then correlated with mechanical properties and fractography of CFRP laminate test samples to elucidate the toughening mechanism. Better understanding of the toughening mechanism will then allow more specific optimisation of the printing parameters to a given application, with a potential for spatially-targeted toughening in the desired areas of CFRP laminate.

2. Experimental

2.1 Solution preparation for inkjet printing

PMMA (Mw ~ 15 kDa) was dissolved in N, N-dimethylformamide (DMF) to form 10 wt.% and 20 wt.% PMMA solutions respectively for printing. All chemicals were purchased from Sigma Aldrich (Sigma-Aldrich Co. Ltd., UK) and used as received. Ultrasonic agitation was used to aid the dissolution of PMMA in DMF.

2.2 Preparation of neat resin coated glass slides with printed PMMA deposits

Epoxy resin (CYCOM® 977-20 RTM resin, Cytec Engineered Materials Ltd., UK) was used to coat microscope glass slides for investigating the morphology of printed PMMA deposits embedded in epoxy resin before and after the heating cycle. All glass slides were cleaned using 2% Micro-90 and dried before coating. This epoxy resin is formulated as the resin transfer moulding version of Cytec’s CYCOM® 977-20 toughened epoxy prepreg.
resin. CYCOM® 977-20 resin was defrosted the day before use. Cleaned microscope glass slides were coated with a thin layer (approximately 50 µm) of the clear honey-like resin at room temperature. A pre-heat procedure was conducted to partially cure the resin to decrease its mobility on the glass slides and to be more representative of the resin typically found in uncured pre-preg. This pre-heat procedure consisted of heating the coated glass slide to 120°C for 2 hours.

PMMA solutions were printed into different patterns (i.e. hexagonal array, films and lines) onto the partially cured epoxy coated glass slides. All printed glass slides were left to dry for 24 hours at room temperature before covering with another partially cured epoxy coated glass slide for the subsequent heating. These “sandwiched” samples were then heated up to 160°C for 30 minutes with an applied pressure (5 kPa) to ensure the contact of the top and bottom glass slides of the “sandwich” samples, then cooling down to room temperature for microscopy analysis. Fig. 1 schematically shows the preparation of the “sandwich” samples.
2.3 Fabrication of CFRP laminates

Unidirectional CFRP prepreg tape (CYCOM® 977-2-35-12KHTS-268-300, Cytec Engineered Materials Ltd., UK) was used to fabricate CFRP laminates. A non-stick polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) film was inserted at the mid-thickness ply of double cantilever beam (DCB) panel to simulate an initial crack. A customised autoclave (Premier Autoclaves Ltd., UK) was used to consolidate the laid-up laminates. The program used for curing the parent laminates is shown in Fig. 2. The DCB samples were
cut from the parent laminates into 140 ± 1 mm × 20 ± 0.5 mm strips in accordance with the DCB test standard. The thickness of the samples was 3.2 ± 0.1 mm.

Fig. 2. Program used for consolidating CFRP laminates.

Four different patterns (Fig. 3) were designed to evaluate the pattern shape effect on the $G_{IC}$ of the inkjet printed CFRP laminates. The four patterns had the same amount of toughening material per unit area.
Fig. 3. Four patterns with the same amount of toughening material per unit area, where $dx$ means the spacing between printed dots in the x-axis, and $dy$ the spacing in y-axis.

In order to verify the effect of selective printing, 10 wt.% PMMA solution was used to prepare two different sets of DCB samples as shown in Fig. 4. For the type A sample, the second half of the test area was printed with PMMA using the hexagon pattern. For the type B samples, the first half of the test area was printed with the hexagonal PMMA pattern, the remaining half was left with no printing. Unlike the previous experiments, where the difference in $G_{IC}$ of printed and unprinted laminates was between different
samples, in this set of experiment, the difference was generated within one sample. Therefore, $G_{IC}$ values were expected to change within a single $G_{IC}$-Delamination curve.

Fig. 4. Two types of DCB samples. In sample A, the crack propagates into a non-printed zone then a printed zone. In samples B, the crack front encounters a printed region first then a non-printed zone.

2.4 Test procedures

A desktop universal tester (TA500 Texture Analyser, Lloyd Instruments, UK) equipped with a 500N load cell was used to conduct the DCB test in tension mode at room temperature. The speed of crosshead was 5 mm/min. The test samples were first pre-cracked using a mode I opening load to avoid any resin rich pockets on the front of the created crack and generate a sharp crack tip for subsequent test. A high definition camcorder (HC-X920M Panasonic, Japan) was used to record the DCB test for determining the delamination length for data reduction.
3. Results and Discussion

3.1 Microphase morphology evolution

Optical microscopy images of the epoxy coated glass slides with printed PMMA deposits showed that the printed PMMA deposits formed spherical particles after the heating cycle as shown in Fig. 5(b, d and f). This would be the expected morphology formed between two immiscible and mobile phases, and is due to minimisation of surface energy. This was shown in Gomez’s and Ritzenthaler’s work where curing blends which contained epoxy, hardener and PMMA at a high temperature, created phase separation: blends formed discrete small particles\textsuperscript{16,17} Similarly, when curing PMMA printed epoxy resin at a high temperature, spherical particles formed, where the particles could be PMMA domains containing other additions such as hardener. For simplicity, ‘PMMA particles’ is used to represent these PMMA domains in the following discussion.

If the observed morphology is driven by surface energy minimisation, it would be expected that not only are the PMMA phases spherical, they are also of a size that corresponds to the amount of PMMA deposited in that location. Due to the highly repeatable nature of droplet generation in inkjet printing, it is reasonable to assume that each droplet is of the same volume, with this volume dictated by the diameter of the orifice through which a droplet is ejected. Due to surface energy minimisation, it is also reasonable to assume that the droplet is spherical. This means that each droplet deposited
can be assumed to have the same volume; with that volume equal to a sphere of diameter equal to the orifice diameter. In this work, a 60 µm diameter orifice was used, which results in droplets of 113 pL. To calculate the equivalent volume of PMMA in each droplet, the droplet volume was then further multiplied by the volume fraction of polymer within the ink, with the volume fraction being equal to the weight fraction multiplied by the density ratio of polymer and solvent (for PMMA and DMF, this is 1180/944=1.25). For the 10 wt.% PMMA ink, this results in a deposited PMMA volume per drop of 14.12 pL, and for the 20 wt.% PMMA ink a volume of 28.25 pL.

It is hypothesised that during the heating cycle, the immiscible PMMA and epoxy phases will undergo a minimisation of surface energy, resulting in spherical PMMA particles embedded within the epoxy matrix. The diameter of these particles should be dictated by the volume of PMMA deposited at a given location, as calculated above. Assuming that the formed particles are spherical, it is possible to calculate a corresponding sphere diameter from these volumes, with a single printed droplet of 10 wt.% PMMA ink forming a spherical PMMA particle of 30.0 µm diameter and for a 20 wt.% PMMA ink droplet it is 37.8 µm diameter. For multiple droplets a similar calculation can be performed, but with the corresponding multiple of single droplet volume used.

The measured diameter of the 10 wt.% and 20 wt.% PMMA phases were 33.7 ± 2.1µm and 36.8 ± 2.0 µm respectively. These measurements are in reasonable agreement with
the diameters calculated above, considering the number of assumptions made in the calculation. Furthermore, measurements of the spherical particle formed from two droplets of 10 wt.% PMMA ink showed a diameter of 36.4 ± 1.1 µm, which correlates very well with the 20 wt.% PMMA results. This is to be expected as the deposited volume of PMMA in both of the aforementioned cases should be identical.
Fig. 5. The morphology evolution of PMMA discrete deposits. a, c) single printed hexagon pattern using 10 wt.% PMMA and 20 wt.% PMMA solution respectively before heating; e) double-printed hexagon pattern using 10 wt.% PMMA solution before heating; b, d, f) PMMA particles formed after heating.
Inkjet printing has the capability of depositing picolitre volume droplets, and printing a variety of patterns including continuous features such as film and lines. It is of interest to investigate the morphology evolution of these continuous phases, both lines and films, in addition to mechanical tests. As can be seen in Fig. 6(a, b), the printed PMMA thin film broke down into randomly distributed spherical particles with a wide range of diameters after heating. Fig. 6(d) shows that the printed lines also broke down into unevenly sized particles, but these particles are still partially lined up. These results suggested that the printed continuous phase of PMMA cannot be retained after curing. In the case of discrete dot patterns, the amount of PMMA at each printed position was about the same, therefore, the size of formed PMMA particles after heating was about the same with a small variation. However, the size of PMMA particles formed from a continuous phase was not controllable as observed, which may give rise to localised variations of toughening agent.
Fig. 6. The morphology evolution of PMMA continuous phases. a) film and c) lines before heating; b, d) after heating.

3.2 Fractographic analysis

The SEM images of fracture surfaces of DCB samples shown in Fig. 7 agree with the observed morphology evolution of PMMA: the printed PMMA deposits formed spherical particles after curing. Because of the complex morphology of CFRP laminates, and the severe damage done to the test surfaces, the discrete dot patterns could not be identified, however scattered PMMA particles can be spotted as shown in Fig. 7(c). The PMMA
particles formed from the printed lines are more easily spotted due to a relatively high distribution density of formed particles around the printed area as shown in Fig. 7(d, e).

The fracture surfaces of samples with printed PMMA film also agreed with the observation as shown in Fig. 6(b). The printed PMMA thin film broke down into randomly dispersed PMMA particles with a wide range of diameters as shown in Fig. 7(f). The fracture surfaces of film printed samples showed greater roughness than that of the other groups, indicating more energy was involved in the crack propagation.
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Fig. 7. Fracture surfaces of DCB tested samples showing PMMA particles formed from different printed features embedded between laminate plies. a) and b) without printed PMMA (control); c) Discrete dot pattern; d) horizontal line; e) vertical line; f) film. The circles indicate PMMA particles.

3.3 Toughening mechanisms

Epoxy toughened by thermoplastic modifiers has been reported as an effective method to overcome the drawbacks of using brittle epoxy as a composite matrix. A variety of toughening mechanisms have been proposed to explain the improved toughness as shown in Fig. 8. Based on the observed morphology evolution of PMMA deposits, the following two mechanisms were believed as the main reasons to explain the improved toughening of the PMMA printed systems: (1) PMMA particles act as crack stoppers which can absorb energy by plastic deformation. Given the viscoelastic nature of PMMA, the well dispersed PMMA particles provide an energy absorption path by plastic deformation, which can decelerate crack growth as the crack tips are shielded by the thermoplastic regions; (2) Debonding between PMMA particles and their surrounding epoxy resin matrix due to the limited compatibility of PMMA with the epoxy resin. As can be seen from Fig. 7, PMMA particles tended to debond from the epoxy matrix, and this process is thought to increase the fracture surface area due to the deflected crack path.
3.4 Mechanical test results

3.4.1 Effect of pattern variation on $G_{IC}$

It can be seen in Fig. 9 that all systems with printed PMMA deposits had improved $G_{IC}$ with values ranging from 15% to 41% compared to the non-printed (NP) control as expected. As mentioned in section 2.3, the four different patterns have the same material usage per unit area which is calculated as approximately 0.03 wt.%. Particularly, the system with the printed hexagonal dot pattern possessed the highest improved $G_{IC}$ corresponding to the crack initiation (NL: non-linear point) and propagation (PROP) among the other systems. The $G_{IC}$ improvements for NL and PROP compared to NP were
30% and 40% respectively. In order to check the statistical significance of these results the difference in means between the different groups was tested using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). The results of the ANOVA show that the means of the NL points [F(4,20) = 6.29, p = 0.0019] and PROP points [F(4,20) = 17.43, p = 2.66 × 10^{-6}] are not equivalent as the p values are all smaller than the significance level (Alpha = 0.05).

The differences in size and geometry distribution of formed PMMA particles on the fracture surfaces are offered as explanation. Although the four patterns had the same amount of PMMA per unit area deposited between mid-plies, the distribution of PMMA particles in the systems with printed lines was not as even as that of the systems with printed discrete dots in terms of size and geometry as can be seen in Fig. 6. The rectangle dot pattern provided evenly dispersed PMMA particles, however it is thought that these dots are distributed in too regular a fashion to deflect the crack path corresponding to a relatively lower $G_{IC}$ improvement compared to the hexagonal dot pattern. Therefore, it is assumed that the hexagonal dot pattern provides more complex crack paths for crack propagation which corresponds to a higher fracture toughness, resulting in an optimum ratio between crack deflection capability and the minimum disruption to the laminate adhesion.
In order to investigate the effect of printed thin film between laminate plies on the $G_{ic}$, a layer of PMMA film was printed by overlapping PMMA droplets on prepreg. Fig. 10 shows that $G_{ic}$ (propagation) values of the printed PMMA film system were higher than those of the systems with printed hexagonal PMMA dots and the non-printed control (NP). Statistically, the $G_{ic}$ (propagation) of PMMA film printed group is about 17% and 58% higher than that of hexagonal print and NP groups respectively. The result of a one-way ANOVA shows that the means of PROP points [$F(2,12) = 25.31, p = 4.95 \times 10^{-5}$] are not equivalent as the p value is significantly smaller than the significance level (Alpha = 0.05).
However, PMMA usage was eight times as much as that of the discrete dot pattern system in order to achieve 100% surface coverage of PMMA on the printed surface, the percentage of PMMA weight increase is calculated as approximately 0.24 wt.%. Although the improvement in $G_{\text{IC}}$ of the film printed system was higher than that of the discrete dot printed CFRP, the toughening efficiency was not as high as the increase in material usage. Moreover, the standard deviations of $G_{\text{IC}}$ of the film printed system was dramatically higher than that of the other systems, indicating the inhomogeneity of the distribution of PMMA and the lack of adhesion between epoxy zones in the adjacent plies. This resulted in the unstable crack propagation and reduced engineering design predictability in PMMA film printed samples as shown in Fig. 11.
Fig. 10. $G_{lc}$ (initiation-NL and propagation-PROP) comparisons between samples printed with different patterns\(^*\).

\(^*\): the DCB results of NP and Hexagon are different from Fig. 9 due to the repair of autoclave which was used to cure the composite panels. In order to exclude any possible effect of new autoclave on the final panels, additional groups of the NP and hexagon have used in this experiment.
Fig. 11. The stability comparison of crack propagation between samples without/with printed discrete dot pattern and film.
3.4.2 Crack interaction with toughened and untoughened regions

Fig. 12 shows the DCB test results of the selectively patterned systems. It is clearly observed that the PMMA printed part within both systems had a higher $G_{IC}$ than that of the non-printed part. Fig. 12(b) shows the average $G_{IC}$ values of both partially printed systems (A and B): the printed part had an approximately 15% increase in $G_{IC}$ compared to that of the non-printed part. This result indicated that the selective printing was feasible with inkjet printing, as the printing pattern could be pre-designed via computer, and complex patterns could be easily obtained. Therefore, if the stress concentration points can be identified from a structure, these areas can be selectively printed with different or more toughening materials.

Another advantage of the selective toughening is that the cure process of the original resin system can still be preserved without excessive interruption, therefore, the resin matrix still possesses good mechanical properties, and the negative effect of the introduced toughening material on the mechanical performance can be reduced to a minimum.
Fig. 12. The comparison of $G_{Ic}$ between printed zone to non-printed zone. a) individual $G_{Ic}$-Delamination curve for each sample; b) the average $G_{Ic}$ of group A and B ($n = 5$).

4. Conclusions

PMMA deposits, in form of printed patterns of discrete dots and continuous patterns such as lines and films, deposited by inkjet printing, tend to form spherical particles after a heating cycle on epoxy resin. The $G_{Ic}$ (PROP) of PMMA printed, i.e. hexagon discrete dot pattern and film, CFRP laminates can be improved by 41% and 58% respectively. However, the toughening material/PMMA usage in the two systems is only $\sim 0.03$ wt.% and $\sim 0.24$ wt.% respectively. The controllability of PMMA particles formation in terms of their size and geometry distribution in discrete dot-printed systems was better compared to that of continuous patterns. Based on the observation of morphology evolution of PMMA deposits and fractographic analysis of DCB tested fracture surfaces,
the following two failure mechanisms were believed to yield the improvement in $G_c$: (1) PMMA particles act as crack resistance by absorbing energy through plastic deformation; (2) debonding and crack deflection between PMMA particles and their surrounding epoxy matrix increases the energy needed to propagate cracks. It has been shown that the inkjet printing technique (representing all similar printing techniques) can be used to selectively deposit toughening material to achieve selective toughening. By doing this, structures with identified stress concentration points can be selectively toughened, without incurring any parasitic weight.
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