

This is a repository copy of Quality of Life Outcomes after Primary Treatment for Clinically Localised Prostate Cancer: A Systematic Review.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/119692/

Version: Supplemental Material

Article:

Lardas, M, Liew, M, van den Bergh, R et al. (21 more authors) (2017) Quality of Life Outcomes after Primary Treatment for Clinically Localised Prostate Cancer: A Systematic Review. European Urology, 72 (6). pp. 869-885. ISSN 0302-2838

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eururo.2017.06.035

(c) 2017, European Association of Urology. Published by Elsevier B.V. This manuscript version is made available under the CC BY-NC-ND 4.0 license https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/

Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



Figure 2A: Risk of bias and confounder assessment for RCTs only. Key: Red = high RoB; Yellow = uncertain RoB; Green = low RoB.

	Random sequence generation (selection bias)	Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias)	Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias)	Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias)	Selective reporting (reporting bias)	Other bias
Crook 2011	•	•	•	?	•	•	•
Donovan 2016	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
Giberti 2009	•	?	•	•	•	•	?