This is a repository copy of Corporate political activity of the dairy industry in France: an analysis of publicly available information.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/119253/

Version: Published Version

**Article:**

https://doi.org/10.1017/S1368980017001197

---

**Reuse**
Unless indicated otherwise, fulltext items are protected by copyright with all rights reserved. The copyright exception in section 29 of the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 allows the making of a single copy solely for the purpose of non-commercial research or private study within the limits of fair dealing. The publisher or other rights-holder may allow further reproduction and re-use of this version - refer to the White Rose Research Online record for this item. Where records identify the publisher as the copyright holder, users can verify any specific terms of use on the publisher’s website.

**Takedown**
If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.
Corporate political activity of the dairy industry in France: an analysis of publicly available information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Journal:</th>
<th>Public Health Nutrition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Manuscript ID</td>
<td>PHN-RES-2017-0004.R2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manuscript Type</td>
<td>Research Article</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keywords:</td>
<td>Corporate political activity, food industry, public policy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subject Category</td>
<td>10. Public policies</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Abstract

Objective: In this study, we used a structured approach, based on publicly-available information, to identify the corporate political activity (CPA) strategies of three major actors in the dairy industry in France.

Design: We collected publicly available information from the industry, government and other sources, over a six-month period, from March to August 2015. Data collection and analysis were informed by an existing framework for classifying the CPA of the food industry.

Setting and subjects: In our study, we included three major actors in the dairy industry in France: Danone, Lactalis and the ‘Centre National Interprofessionnel de l'Economie Laitière’ (CNIEL), a trade association.

Results: During the period of data collection, the dairy industry employed CPA practices on numerous occasions by using three strategies: the ‘information and messaging’, the ‘constituency building’ and the ‘policy substitution’ strategies. The most common practice was the shaping of evidence in ways that suited the industry. The industry also sought involvement in the community, established relationships with public health professionals, academics and the government.

Conclusions: This study shows that the dairy industry used several CPA practices, even during periods when there was no specific policy debate on the role of dairy products in dietary guidelines. The information provided in our paper could inform public health advocates and policy makers and help them ensure that commercial interests of industry do not impede public health policies and programmes.
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Introduction

The dairy industry is an important economic actor in France, with over €27 billion in profits in 2013 (1). Dairy products have a special role in the diet of the population, with some products, such as milk, as one of the nine key food categories in the French ‘Programme National Nutrition Santé’ (the French dietary and health guidelines), while some other products, particularly those high in fat, such as sour cream and butter, are considered as less healthy by the guidelines (2).

Globally, there is an increased recognition that the economic power of the food industry often translates into political influence (3,4). ‘Corporate Political Activity’ is a term used in the business literature to refer to the strategies used by the industry to influence policy process and public opinion in ways favourable to the firm (5). In public health, the CPA has been widely studied for the tobacco industry, where public health advocates had access to internal documents after litigation against the industry in the late 1990s (6,7,8). These documents revealed that a broad range of CPA practices were used, over many decades, by the tobacco industry to influence public health policies and programmes (6,9). A review conducted in 2015 by Mialon et al showed that the food industry uses similar CPA strategies, which are classified in a framework presented in Table 1: the information and messaging strategy; the financial incentives strategy; the constituency building strategy; the legal strategies; the policy substitution strategy; the opposition fragmentation and destabilisation strategy (10). All of these strategies are used by companies to increase or protect their profits but could have a negative influence on the development of public health policies and programmes to address diet- and public health-related issues (10,11).

<Insert Table 1>

In recent years, the influence of the French dairy industry on public opinion and on public health policies and programmes has been investigated by journalists (12,13). They noted, for example, that this industry shaped the evidence base on diet- and public health-related issues and established relationships with policy makers (12,13).
This political influence may compromise the development of effective public health policies and programmes, including dietary guidelines. However, to understand the extent to which the dairy industry uses CPA strategies, and in the absence of internal documents, public health advocates and researchers mostly rely on information available in the public domain. Mialon et al proposed a structured approach, based on publicly available information only, to monitor the CPA of the food industry at the country level\textsuperscript{(10)}.

In this study, we used the methods developed by Mialon et al to monitor the CPA of three major actors in the dairy industry in France for a period of six months\textsuperscript{(10)}. We report the results of this study in this paper.

**Methods**

We conducted a structured identification and monitoring of the CPA of major dairy industry actors in France over a six-month period, as part of a broader project to monitor other sectors of the food industry in France. We collected publicly available information, using an approach that was based on previous approaches to identify and monitor the CPA of the tobacco and other industries\textsuperscript{(10)}. This approach consisted of five steps: selection of food industry actors; identification of sources of information; ongoing data collection; data analysis using the framework presented in Table 1, in an iterative process; reporting of results for policy action.

Selection of industry actors was based on recommendations made by MM et al\textsuperscript{(10)}. Due to time constraints, and after consultation with experts, we decided to include three industry actors in our study. We monitored the CPA of two major actors in the ‘packaged products, dairy’ category in France, based on the Euromonitor classification of companies\textsuperscript{(14)}. The selection was based on their market shares: in 2014, the Groupe Lactalis (referred to as ‘Lactalis’ in this paper) and the Groupe Danone (referred to as ‘Danone’ in this paper) owned 17.1 and 8.0 % of that market respectively. In addition, we included the ‘Centre National Interprofessionnel de l’Economie Laitière’ (CNIEL, the National Inter-professional Centre for the Dairy Economy) in our study\textsuperscript{(15)}. In France, the CNIEL is
a major trade association for the dairy industry and has ‘two principal objectives: foster the
relationships between producers and processors in the dairy food chain and promote the image of
milk and dairy products’ (16).

Different sources of information were included: the industry’s own materials, including its websites
and Twitter accounts; government materials, such as registers of lobbyists or websites of agencies
in charge of health-related issues; and other materials, including the websites of universities and
professional organisations (10). Google News and media releases from the selected sample of actors
were also analysed. For annual or occasional events and publications, including conferences or
companies’ annual reports, we included the most recent data available (up to two years
retrospectively). Data collection covered the period March-August 2015. It is important to note that
during this period, there was no specific debate on the role of dairy products in the dietary
guidelines. Details about specific sources of information are provided in S1. All documents
retrieved were in French and collected and analysed by a native French-speaker.

JM conducted the data collection and qualitative analysis. Choice of themes was based on an
existing framework for categorising the CPA strategies of the food industry (10). Categorisation of
data (100%) was reviewed by the second author, MM, and mutual agreement was reached (but not
quantified) for the final categorisation of CPA practices. All data collected is available in French in
S2.

In this paper, we present illustrative examples (each allocated with an ‘A’ code from S2), translated
from French to English, of CPA practices employed in France by three major actors in the dairy
industry during the period of data collection. We use the broad term ‘dairy industry’ to refer to the
three industry actors included in our study.

We took a critical social science approach, where the actions of food industry were considered as a
potential determinant of health. Critical social science seeks to reduce illusion and in this case, the
illusion that the dairy industry is only an economic actor, while it also engages in political activities.
Critical social science also seeks to identify avoidable suffering. In this case, the potential suffering comes from the fact that commercial interests of the dairy industry may impede public health policies and programmes, thus having a negative impact on the health of the population\(^{(17)}\).

## Results

During the period of data collection, evidence shows that the dairy industry used three of the six CPA strategies identified in the literature, with 170 examples collected over a six-month period. Table 2 presents a summary of the examples we found for each of the CPA practices.

<Insert Table 2>

In France, the dairy industry made extensive use of the ‘information and messaging strategy’ in particular, and there was evidence that it also used the ‘constituency building’ and ‘policy substitution’ strategies to a lesser extent. We found no evidence, from the data we collected in the public domain, of the ‘financial’, ‘legal’ and ‘opposition and fragmentation’ strategies. The absence of information on the ‘financial incentives’ strategy could be explained by the fact that French laws prohibit political parties from receiving individual donations that exceed €7,500\(^{(18)}\).

### Information and messaging

In France, during the period of data collection, the dairy industry mostly used the ‘information and messaging’ strategy. 129 of the 170 examples we collected were classified within that strategy.

**Stressing the economic importance of the industry**

First, the economic importance of the dairy industry was highlighted on several occasions by the CNIEL: it emphasised the number of jobs it generated, particularly in remote regions, and stressed the fact that this industry was a driving force in the French economy [A76-81].

**Framing the debate on diet- and public health-related issues**

The dairy industry also promoted messages on diet-related issues. Even if they might be accurate, the fact that the industry only promoted certain messages indicates framing in ways favourable to its products. For example, despite working with food products, the industry focused the attention on
physical activity programmes, a practice that has been used by other companies, in other countries, to shift the blame away from the industry products in the global burden of NCDs \(^{19, 20}\). These messages included:

‘To support teachers during the academic year, the Danone Institute France, in collaboration with the Union Sportive de l’Enseignement du Premier degré (USEP – Sport Alliance for Primary Schools), developed the kit "Let’s move."’ \[A20\]

‘No food, in itself, is responsible for the obesity epidemic, neither milk, nor any other food. (...) It is when energy expenditure (physical activity…) is below intake (diet) that we may gain weight, it is a question of balance.’ \[A82\]

**Shaping the evidence base on diet-and public health-related issues**

In this study, we found that one of the major practices of the dairy industry was to shape the evidence on diet-related issues. 115 of the 170 examples collected during this study refer to that practice. It did so using different mechanisms. One of them was to establish and run scientific organisations. Through these organisations, the industry publicised the beneficial health effects associated with the consumption of dairy products. For example, the ‘Danone Institute provide[s], for free, "Nutrition Objective" to almost 21,000 health professionals. (...)’. Written by diet and nutrition specialists, Nutrition Objective proposes original, up-to-date and practical scientific knowledge’ \[A12\]. And, ‘for the Danone Institute France, supporting research has always been a priority. Since its beginning, the Institute has awarded research prizes to young researchers. Thus, in 20 years, the Institute has supported more than 75 researchers.’ \[A17\]. Another example was the CERIN, ‘a research and nutrition information centre and the health department of the dairy industry’, whose ‘mission is to deliver comprehensive and validated nutritional information about milk and dairy products, but also about the general themes of nutrition and health, nutritional needs of sub-groups of the population, and the prevention of pathologies through nutrition, to health and public health professionals, but also to journalists’ \[A164\]. A third example was OCHA, which ‘is the observatory of eating habits for the dairy industry – it is a resource and research centre shared with the scientific community around the approach, using human and social sciences, of diet, food systems and relations man/animal’ \[A162\]. We noted that the link with the dairy industry was not always made clear on the organisations’ websites. For example, there were mentions of the
industry’s affiliation with the CERIN on the different websites we searched, and the OCHA’s
description on its website header was “the CNIEL observatory of eating habits” [A162]. However,
one would have needed to know what the CERIN or CNIEL were, in order to make a connection
with the dairy industry.

The dairy industry also promoted industry-sponsored educational materials for health professionals,
such as TV shows [A155]. The CERIN also supplied educational materials on its website:

‘The CERIN leaflets are summary materials for the general public and patients relaying
recommendations and dietary advice. (...) The basics (leaflets, basic sheets and posters) could be
ordered for free. (...) The synthetic and informative posters are intended for the waiting rooms of
health professionals. They could also be used to support food education sessions.’ [A161]

The industry did not systematically provide references to scientific studies [A10, A21, A 23-4, A66-
8, A70-1 and several examples through A86-169]. In addition, there were examples where the dairy
industry provided and used evidence that had links to/or that was funded by the industry itself
[A127, A129-30, A157, and A159]. On several occasions, the dairy industry presented unpublished
and non-peer reviewed evidence when discussing the health benefits associated with the
consumption of dairy products [A93, A95-8, A123, A131]. This is a practice that has been
described in the literature for the tobacco industry (21).

In addition, it promoted the health benefits of specific, single nutrients, and, because these were
present in some dairy products, implied that these products were good for health, but did not
provide scientific references to support those claims. For example, there were messages claiming
that:

‘For health professionals, cheese is primarily a source of calcium and protein. They recognise that
it has a role in the prevention of demineralisation and osteoporosis. Yoghurt is acclaimed for easing
digestion. As for milk, it is all good! It is a complete food; it provides calcium but also protein,
vitamins and trace elements.’ [A139]

‘Is butter good for health? Butter is often criticised for being rich in saturated fatty acids, and for
increasing cholesterol. In fact, butter is made up of a large variety of different fatty acids: saturated
(including the short-chain saturated, good for health) but also unsaturated (poly and mono-
unsaturated). In practice, all types of fats have a nutritional value. The important thing is to vary
them (...). Butter is also very rich in vitamin A, beneficial for vision and growth: 20 to 25 g of
butter a day could cover approximately 30 % of the daily intake in vitamin A.’ [A153]
Another CPA practice of the dairy industry was to actively participate in most of the major diet- and public health-related scientific events in France. For example, there were several partners from the food industry at the ‘Entretiens de Nutrition de l’Institut Pasteur de Lille’ (Annual national nutrition talks), including some actors from the dairy industry, such as Danone and the CERIN [A8, A89]. The dairy industry was also involved to the ‘Journées Francophones de Nutrition’ (Annual nutrition conference for Francophones): the CERIN was an official partner and ran a symposium, while Danone organised its ‘20ème Rencontres Scientifiques de Nutrition’ (20th scientific meeting on nutrition) on the health effects of food contaminants, in parallel with the conference [A14, A87-8]. There was also evidence that the ‘53ème journées d'études de l'Association Française des Diététiciens Nutritionnistes’ (Annual conference of the Dieticians and Nutritionists Association of France) was organised in partnership with the three dairy industry actors included in our study [A29, A72, A165]. In addition, Danone provided donations to the ‘Fonds Français pour l’Alimentation et la Santé’ or FFA (French funds for diet and health), an “unprecedented and unifying structure whose mission is the study and enhancement of diet as a source of pleasure and health” [A27]. In 2015, the FFA supported research and community programmes, received funds from food companies and trade associations and was administered by an equal mix of stakeholders from the research/academic sector and from industry [A27] (22).

The dairy industry also provided educational material to children, some of which may be considered as ‘advergaming’ (for simultaneous ‘advertising’ and ‘gaming’) (23, 24), with the intention to actively promote the benefits of consuming dairy products to that population:

‘The website www.power-cows.fr was developed by the CNIEL to inform adolescents in secondary school (...) about the benefits of consuming the 3 to 4 dairy products a day that are recommended by the Plan National Nutrition Santé [French dietary and health guidelines].’ [A110]

‘The CNIEL proposes a free notebook for the holidays available on tablets and smartphones, to allow children ages 6 to 11 years old to discover how milk is produced, how it is processed and what are the nutritional benefits of dairy products. A fun way to educate young people about the dairy sector.’ [A142]

‘Les Jeunes Agriculteurs du Grand OUEST [The young farmers of the Midwest] have been offering activities for several years in the primary schools of the region, through a partnership with the Inspection Académique de la Sarthe [Department of Education in the Sarthe region] and the
CNIEL. (…) The objective of the JA was not to replace dieticians or doctors, but to be able to give
an answer to all these sensitive questions.’ [A138]

**Constituency building**

We also found evidence that the dairy industry used the ‘constituency building’ strategy, where it
tried to establish relationships with different stakeholders. For example, Danone conducted research
in partnership with public research institutes in France (INRA, Agro Paris Tech) and abroad (the
University of Southampton) [A43]. Danone also sought involvement in the community, through
programmes focusing on nutrition, water, sanitation and hygiene, and was a partner of the French
Red Cross and of the French branch of the Ronald Mc Donald Foundation [A30-2, A39-41].

During the period of data collection, Danone (in partnership with the food company Mars) launched
the ‘Livelihood fund’ for small farmers, an event which was publicised in several newspapers [A33-
8].

In addition, the dairy industry established relationships with the French government. For example,
the ‘Conseil National de l’Alimentation’ [French National Food Council] is described, on its
website, as an institution “under the auspices of the Ministry of Agriculture, Ministry of Health and
Ministry in charge of consumer affairs. (…) This council is consulted about the definition of public
food policy and provides its expertise on related questions” [A169]. One of its members was
affiliated with the CNIEL [A169].

There were other links between the dairy industry and the government:

‘Le Programme Alimentation et Insertion (PAI) [Programme food and employability] is an
approach (…) that offers training about food balance and social bonding. (…) Launched in
September 2003 by Dominique Versini, then-Secretary of State for the fight against precariousness
and exclusion, it embodies a partnership commitment in the field of food and nutritional aid,
bringing together the government, food banks and several sponsors represented by the ANIA [a
trade association], including the Foundation Nestle France and the CNIEL.’ [A168]

‘For almost thirty years, the dairy industry has built close relationships with the Ministry of
Education, through actions targeting teaching staff, management staff and students. The objective:
introducing dairy products to children and adolescents.’ [A90, also cited in A174]

Evidence also showed that several ANSES experts (the ‘French Agency for Food, Environmental
and Occupational Health & Safety’), in particular those working in the ‘human nutrition’
committee, had close links with the dairy industry: some provided their expertise to the industry
scientific organisations, some conducted research in which the industry was involved and others had personal or financial ties with the industry [A45-55, A75, A86, A171-3]. Data showed that an ANSES expert working for the ‘human nutrition’ committee, as well as two senators and a member of parliament, owned shares in Danone in 2015 [A61-4]. It is crucial to note that French laws prohibit public servants, including ANSES experts, to work on matters for which they have a personal, even if indirect, conflict of interest (25). The ANSES has internal procedures to ensure the implementation of the law within the agency (26).

**Policy substitution**

Finally, we found evidence, although limited, that the dairy industry used the ‘policy substitution’ strategy. For example, some of Danone’s companies, under the auspices of the Ministry of Health, voluntarily committed to improving the nutritional content of their products [A60].

**Discussion**

In this study, we found evidence, from the public domain, that the dairy industry was using several CPA strategies in France in 2015, with a total of 170 examples collected over a six-month period. The three industry actors included in our study extensively employed the ‘information and messaging’ strategy, with a total of 129 examples of our 170 examples found in that category. The shaping of evidence in ways that suited the industry was the most common practice within this category, with 115 examples for this practice alone. For example, the dairy industry set up health organisations through which it publicised the beneficial health effects associated with the consumption of dairy products. The dairy industry also promoted industry-sponsored educational materials for health professionals, information that was either unpublished, or not peer-reviewed, or funded by the industry, and participated in major diet- and public health-related scientific events in France. The industry also used the ‘constituency building’ strategy, and sought involvement in the community, through partnerships with different charities, and established relationships with public health professionals, academics and the government, such as the ANSES and the Ministry of Education. In addition, we found evidence, although limited, that the dairy industry was using the
‘policy substitution’ strategy. This study shows that the dairy industry used several CPA practices, even during periods when there was no specific policy debate on the role of dairy products in the dietary guidelines. We found no evidence of the ‘financial incentives’, ‘legal’ and ‘opposition and fragmentation’ strategies.

This study was the first, to our knowledge, to study the CPA of the food industry in France. It was the first study that focused on the CPA of the dairy industry. It used methods that have been previously successful in exploring the CPA of other major industry actors in other countries where researchers did not have access to internal documents. The framework by Mialon et al seemed comprehensive and we were able to include all our data under framework categories (10). This work could contribute to INFORMAS, the International Network for Food and Obesity / non-communicable diseases Research, Monitoring and Action Support (27). This network monitors different aspects of food environments in different countries, including the CPA of the food industry. This study, if replicated in other countries, could contribute to comparisons of industry practices among, across, and over time, for different sectors of the food industry, and to comparisons of dairy industry practices (and to the food industry more generally) with practices of other industries, nationally and globally.

For example, recent studies showed that the food industry made extensive use of CPA strategies in Australia and in Fiji, two countries of the Western Pacific region (19, 20, 28). The results of this study, although not focused on the same sector of the food industry, are very similar. In Australia, Fiji and France, the actors stressed their economic importance on numerous occasions (19, 20, 28). In all countries, they also promoted physical activity, in an attempt to frame the debate on diet- and public health-related issues (19, 20, 28). In Australia and France, the actors included in the studies shaped the evidence on diet- and public health- related issues, for example by citing evidence that was funded by the industry and by using non-peer reviewed and unpublished evidence (19, 28). The industry actors tried to establish relationships with health organisations or experts in both of these countries (19, 28). In Australia, Fiji and France, the industry actors provided educational materials to children,
sought involvement in the community, established relationships with policy makers and used, to
some extent, the ‘policy substitution’ strategy \(^{19,20,28}\). However, as with previous studies, there
was no evidence (or limited evidence for Australia), in the public domain, that the industry actors
employed the ‘legal’ and the ‘opposition and fragmentation’ strategies \(^{19,20}\). In that sense, these
results show that the CPA of the dairy industry is no different from the CPA of other sectors of the
food industry, and the CPA of industry actors in France is also comparable to the CPA of other
industry actors around the globe.

This study has a number of limitations. First, we selected a limited number of primary sources in
our study, as described by Miallon \textit{et al.} \(^{10}\). Our search was, therefore, not exhaustive. It is crucial to
note that an absence of evidence, or limited evidence, of CPA practices does not mean that the
industry is not using them. One explanation could be that we missed this information. Another
explanation might be that the industry is using CPA strategies without disclosing it in the public
domain, which is more problematic. This could also be explained by the fact there are safeguards in
place to ensure that the industry does not influence public health policies and programmes, such as
laws prohibiting donations to political parties, but these results warrant further investigation.

Research conducted in other countries showed that interviews with key informants might reveal
additional and critical details about the CPA \(^{20,28}\). For example, informal connections and meetings
between industry actors and government officials might not be recorded in the public domain, yet
they could be very influential. Therefore, we recognise that relying uniquely on data available in the
public domain might not be sufficient to identify all CPA strategies. In addition, we focused on
specific industry actors for this study, and their actions may not represent the actions of other actors
in their sector or in the food industry more broadly. Similarly, we conducted our research in 2015
and further investigation is needed to understand how the use of CPA strategies by this industry
varies over time. Finally, the industry actors included in our study are part of some other groups or
organisations, listed in S3, but, due to time constraints, we did not collect data for these groups.
Some have questioned the scientific evidence for recommending the consumption of several dairy products a day, as is the case in France (3 to 4 products), and classifying it as a separate category in the dietary guidelines (12, 13, 29). In light of the results provided in this paper, and the CPA strategies of the dairy industry in France, particularly their shaping of evidence, more research is needed to understand if these practices had, and continue to have, an influence on the development of the French dietary guidelines and similar policies and programmes. The results from this study could raise awareness of potential dairy industry interference with public health policies and programmes, including its involvement in some activities that may have otherwise been seen as beneficial, such as its focus on physical activity, its sponsorship of scientific organisations and its support for the community. This study also raises questions about the appropriate role of the dairy industry in interactions with public health professionals and government, and the potential conflicts of interest that make such interactions problematic for public health policy making. The information provided in this study could be used by public health advocates and the public to increase the transparency and accountability of the dairy industry, and other sectors of the food industry, more generally. This study could be replicated for longer periods of time, with other industry actors and other industries in France and abroad. This could help to identify the extent of the involvement of the food industry in public health policies and programmes in France and ensure that commercial interests of industry do not impede public health policies and programmes.
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Table 1: Description of CPA strategies and related practices of the food industry, from Mialon et al (18)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CPA strategies</th>
<th>Description and related practices</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Information and messaging</td>
<td>The information strategy includes practices through which the industry disseminates information that is likely to be beneficial to its activities in order to influence public health-related policies and outcomes in ways that are in their favour. This strategy includes: lobbying policy makers; stressing the economic importance of the industry; promoting de-regulation; framing the debate on diet- and public health-related issues; and shaping the evidence base on diet and public health-related issues.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial incentives</td>
<td>Through the financial incentives strategy, the industry provides funds, gifts and other incentives to politicians, political parties and other decision makers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constituency building</td>
<td>The aim of the constituency building strategy is to gain the favour of public opinion as well as other key stakeholders, such as the media and the public health community. This strategy includes: establishing relationships with key opinion leaders and health organisations; seeking involvement in the community; establishing relationships with policymakers; and establishing relationships with the media.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legal strategies</td>
<td>In this strategy, the industry uses legal action (or the threat thereof) against public policies or opponents. The industry may also attempt to influence the development of trade and investment agreements in their favour.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policy substitution</td>
<td>When threatened by regulation, the industry proposes alternatives, such as voluntary initiatives or self-regulation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opposition fragmentation</td>
<td>The opposition fragmentation and destabilisation strategy refers to practices employed by the industry to fragment and destabilise groups or individuals that have criticised or are likely to oppose a company’s products or practices or policies that may adversely impact on the company.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 2: Summary of CPA examples identified for the selected industry actors in France

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strategy</th>
<th>Practice</th>
<th>Danone</th>
<th>Lactalis</th>
<th>CNIEL</th>
<th>Total (examples)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Information and messaging</strong></td>
<td><strong>Lobbying</strong></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Stress the economic importance of the industry</strong></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Promote de-regulation</strong></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Frame the debate on diet- and public health-related issues</strong></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Shape the evidence base on diet and public health-related issues</strong></td>
<td>26</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Financial incentives</strong></td>
<td><strong>Financial incentives</strong></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Constituency building</strong></td>
<td><strong>Establish relationships with key opinion leaders and health organisations</strong></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Seek involvement in the community</strong></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Establish relationships with policymakers</strong></td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Establish relationships with the media</strong></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Legal strategies</strong></td>
<td><strong>Use legal action (or the threat of) against public policies or opponents</strong></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Influence the development of trade and investment agreements</strong></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Policy substitution</strong></td>
<td><strong>Policy substitution</strong></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Opposition fragmentation and destabilisation</strong></td>
<td><strong>Opposition fragmentation and destabilisation</strong></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total number of CPA practices identified: 60, 11, 99, 170, 170