Monte Carlo study of the ordering in a strongly frustrated liquid crystal
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We have performed Monte Carlo simulations to investigate the temperature dependence of the ordering of the side chains of the X-shaped liquid crystal molecules which are arranged in a hexagonal array. Each hexagon contains six side chains, one from each side of the hexagon. Each liquid crystal molecule has two, dissimilar, side chains, one that contains silicon and one that contains fluorine. Like chains attract each other more strongly than unlike chains and this drives an order-disorder transition. The system is frustrated because it is not possible to find a configuration in which all the hexagons are occupied by either all silicon or all fluorine chains. There are two phase transitions. If only pairwise interactions are included it is found that there is an interesting fluctuating phase between the disordered phase and the fully ordered ground state. This did not agree with the experiments where an intermediate phase was seen that had long range order on one of the three sublattices. Agreement was found when the calculations were modified to include attractive three-body interactions between the silicon chains.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The Monte Carlo technique is a very suitable tool for investigating novel phase transitions in classical systems because no assumptions need be made about the type of order expected and accurate predictions can be made of the order of the transition and, where appropriate, it may be used to evaluate the critical exponents [1,2]. It is particularly useful for systems that show frustration [3–5], which is an interesting and challenging topic, because it can lead to systems that have no long range order or partial order [6–8]. The model used to describe the system that we are reporting on here is highly unusual because a previous mean field analysis showed that in the presence of pair interactions only it had an intermediate phase that was partially ordered while having a fully ordered ground state [9].

An order-disorder transition occurs between two honeycomblike hexagonal columnar liquid crystal phases of X-shaped molecules such as A1 and A2 [Fig. 1(a)]. These molecules contain a rigid rodlike core with two incompatible lateral flexible chains. The rodlike terphenyl cores form the walls of the honeycomb, while the flexible side chains fill the cells. For the compounds discussed in this paper, one of the lateral chains contains carbosilane groups (Si chain) and the other is fluorinated hydrocarbon (F chain) [Fig. 1(b)].

Self-assembly of such compounds leads to multicolor superlattices where the two kinds of lateral chains microphase separate into neighboring columns with polygonal shaped cross sections [9–11]. Such columnar structures, when projected along the column axis, are two-dimensional tilings of polygons. The borders of the n-sided polygons are formed from the rigid molecular cores end linked by hydrogen bonds, and the interior of the tile is occupied by n lateral chains. The number of side chains allowed within one tile is fixed by the volume constraints and the only degree of freedom is in the fraction of each type of chain in a given tile. This rich family of molecules exhibits a wide variety of structures, including a kagome lattice with a mixture of hexagonal and triangular tiles, two-colored lattices with hexagonal or triangular tiles, multicolored tilings with up to five kinds of tiles of different shape and color, and more recently a tiling of giant octagonal and square tiles [9–11].

We are particularly interested in the case where each X-shaped molecule has two side chains where like side chains attract significantly more than unlike chains. This leads to an order-disorder transition where the fractions of the two types of chain occupy the tiles at random at high temperature but segregate below some transition temperature. The side chains together fill the volume allowed by the tiling but, as they are flexible, they intermingle. This leads us to a model where the science is dominated by the fraction of each type of chain within each tile and not by the particular arrangement of the chains among the sites around the tile.

The structure of the strongly frustrated liquid crystal is described in Sec. II and the model is described in Sec. III where we also draw attention to the similarities to and differences from the triangular spin, \( S = 3 \), model with antiferromagnetic interactions and to the spin \( \frac{1}{2} \) antiferromagnetic kagome lattice. For pair interactions between all the chains within each hexagon we obtain a very important result, namely, that there is only one relevant energy in the system which represents the difference between the attraction of each type of chain with others of the same type and the mutual repulsion between chains of a different type. This means that there is an exact symmetry between the two types of chains in all the allowed ordered states. As each liquid crystal molecule has one chain of each type the total number of chains of each type is equal, and
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Furthermore, any interchange of two side chains will change the occupation fractions in two neighboring hexagons; this is analogous to Kawasaki dynamics for a magnetic problem.

The Monte Carlo methods are described in Sec. IV and are used first to investigate a model where the chains interact only through pairwise interactions. The phase diagram that is obtained using only pairwise interactions between side chains indicates the existence of two phase transitions, a transition from the disordered state to a highly unusual strongly fluctuating phase, whose properties are investigated, and finally to an ordered phase.

We determine the effects of including three-body interactions between the chains to the model in Sec. V in order to break the symmetry between Si and F chains and show that this gives two definite transitions in agreement with earlier work [9]. Qualitative arguments suggest that the transition at the higher temperature might be in the same universality class as the three-state Potts model. The critical exponent for the order parameter, $\beta$, obtained from the x-ray diffraction measurements, taken as ordering starts to occur, is compared to the approximate value obtained from simulation in Sec. VI and that for the three-state Potts model. Finally the conclusions are given in Sec. VII.

II. STRUCTURE OF THE STRONGLY FRUSTRATED LIQUID CRYSTAL

The information about the liquid crystal including its basic molecular structure and the various ordering phases that will be discussed in this paper are shown in Fig. 1. The basic liquid crystal structure is formed from a backbone of terphenyl molecules with terminating glycerol end groups that self-organize into a hexagonal lattice that stack to form columns. Each terphenyl molecule has two side chains, one of which contains carbosilane groups (Si chain, dashed line colored red), and the other is a semiperfluorinated hydrocarbon chain (F chain, solid line colored blue), as shown in Figs. 1(a) and 1(b) forming an X-shaped molecule [9]. It may be observed that the points where the side chains are joined to the terphenyl molecules lie on a kagome lattice.

Ordering is driven by the different interactions between the Si chains and F chains; in particular the attraction between like chains is significantly stronger than that between unlike chains. An order-disorder phase transition is observed as the temperatures are lowered from the disordered phase (one-color) lattice, shown in Fig. 1(f) where the two kinds of lateral chains are mixed in each hexagon, to one in which there is partial ordering, the two-color lattice, as shown in Fig. 1(e) where one sublattice is occupied predominantly by Si chains and the other two sublattices are randomly mixed with a higher concentration of F chains [9]. Atomic force microscopy (AFM) as well as neutron scattering experiments have verified that the phase that we observed was as sketched in Fig. 1(e), and that the equivalent phase with the ordering of the F chains was not seen ([9] and in the Supplementary Information therein). The final transition to the fully ordered, three-color, phase [Figs. 1(c) and 1(d)], was not observed experimentally because the liquid crystal undergoes a transition to a three-dimensional...
crystalline state before any second transition could be seen. As is clear from Fig. 1 it is not possible to have all the hexagons occupied either by all Si or all F chains. It is this frustration that gives rise to the very interesting phase diagram and critical properties. The lowest energy state, which is nondegenerate, has three sublattices, two of which are fully occupied with one type of chain and the third is mixed equally. Note that in all these figures the number of Si and F chains summed over all cells is exactly equal.

Experimental results are given for two different compounds, A1 and A2 that have the same terphenyl molecule backbone, but the side chains are longer for A1 than for A2.

The mean field theory, considered earlier [9], predicted that there should be two phase transitions. As the temperature is lowered it predicted there is first a transition from the disordered state to a partially ordered state as shown in Fig. 1(e), or equivalently one in which the F chains were fully ordered on one sublattice, and then at a lower temperature a transition to the fully ordered state as shown in Figs. 1(c) and 1(d). The results of the Monte Carlo simulations discussed in Sec. IV will be compared with this earlier prediction.

III. MODEL WITH PAIRWISE INTERACTIONS

Within a given hexagon the six flexible side chains can mingle and hence a model is adopted where each side chain interacts equally with all the other side chains inside the same hexagon. This model neglects the fact that a given side chain might interact more with the chains from neighboring chains than from chains fixed to the opposite sides of the hexagon. (Actually no transition would be observed at all if only nearest neighbor interactions were included because this would map onto an antiferromagnetic spin $1 \over 2$ kagome lattice.)

The energy of the whole system is a sum over the energies of each hexagon. The energy of a given hexagon is given in terms of the pair interaction energies between all the chains within that hexagon in terms of the energy between two Si chains, $S$, the energy between two F chains, $F$, and the mixed interaction between one Si and one F chain, $M$. This means there are three characteristic energies, $S$, $F$, and $M$. We note that we consider only a two-dimensional model in which interactions between the ordering in one hexagon and the hexagons above and below it are neglected. The possibility that one hexagon contains five or seven chains instead of six is discounted because this is sterically forbidden.

The energy of a given hexagon containing $n$ Si chains and $(6-n)$F chains is found from the total number of distinct Si chain–Si chain interactions that exist, $n(n-1)/2$, and similarly for the F chains, $(6-n)(5-n)/2$, and the number of interactions between Si chains and F chains, $n(6-n)$. The energies of a hexagon with $n$ Si chains and $(6-n)$F chains and their multiplicities (the number of ways that $n$ Si chains and $(6-n)$F chains can be arranged among the six sites) are given in Table I; the multiplicities add to $64 = 2^6$ as expected. The table also shows the largest statistical weight is attached to the configurations where the numbers of Si and F chains are almost equal, so indicating the importance of the entropy in driving this transition.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Si chains</th>
<th>Number of F chains</th>
<th>Energy including only pair interactions</th>
<th>Additional energy when including three-body interactions for Si chains</th>
<th>Multiplicity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$15S$</td>
<td>$20\mu_3$</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$10S + 5M$</td>
<td>$10\mu_3$</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$6S + F + 8M$</td>
<td>$4\mu_3$</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$3S + 3F + 9M$</td>
<td>$\mu_3$</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$6F + S + 8M$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>$10F + 5M$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>$15F$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We consider a flip of the chains between hexagons a and b as shown in Fig. 2 and evaluate the energy involved. We consider the other five chains in these hexagons as fixed so that, excluding the flipping chain, hexagon a contains $n_a$ Si chains and $5-n_a$ F chains and similarly for hexagon b. The change in energy is computed by evaluating the interactions of the chains that will be flipped with all the other chains in the two hexagons.

The initial and final energy, $U_i$ and $U_f$, are given by

$U_i = n_a M + (5 - n_a)F + n_b S + (5 - n_b)M,$

$U_f = n_a S + (5 - n_a)M + n_b F + (5 - n_b)F.$

(1)

The change in energy $\Delta U = U_i - U_f$ is given by

$\Delta U = [S + F - 2M](n_a - n_b).$  

(2)

This indicates clearly that there is only one energy, $U = 2M - S - F$, that is relevant in this problem and it is positive in the case where like chains attract most strongly. In what follows all energies are expressed in terms of $U$.

This result occurs because the two chains occur in pairs and an interchange of two chains necessarily changes the numbers of both the Si and F chains in two neighboring hexagons. This discussion also highlights the equivalence of the interactions between the Si and F chains. Exactly the same ordering occurs if the energy $U$ is dominated by the attraction between the Si chains, as is expected from chemical arguments, or if the ordering were driven by the attraction between the

![FIG. 2. The configurations (i) and (ii) of the chain that is flipped between hexagons a and b.](image-url)
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FIG. 3. Temperature dependence of the parameters of the pairwise model obtained from Monte Carlo simulations; (a) the sublattice magnetizations, \( m_A, m_B, m_C \), as defined by \( m_A = \frac{2n_A}{6} \) where the hexagons on sublattice A contain \( n_A \) Si chains, etc.; (b) the sublattice susceptibilities; (c) the specific heat and the entropy; these plots were obtained from the average over 50 heating runs; (d) the sublattice magnetizations in a single cooling run; (e) the sublattice magnetizations in a single heating run.

F chains or the reduction in the attraction between unlike chains. This means that within the pairwise model the phase shown in Fig. 1(e) should not occur in isolation but should be accompanied by a phase where the F chains are on an ordered sublattice.

Simple mean field theory [9] predicts that the energy of the ground state, relative to the disordered state, per hexagon is \( -\frac{3}{4}U \) and the partially ordered state has energy \( -\frac{1}{4}U \). The entropy of the disordered state is \( 3k_B \log_2 \) per hexagon and that of the partially ordered state is \( k_B \log_2 \) per hexagon; the ground state is totally ordered and so has zero entropy.

IV. MONTE CARLO SIMULATIONS OF THE MODEL WITH PAIRWISE INTERACTIONS

The phase diagram for the model that includes only the pairwise interactions is determined using Monte Carlo techniques. The simulations were performed using simulations on a periodic \( 120 \times 120 \) lattice of hexagons. A terphenyl molecule is selected at random and the energy of the two adjoining hexagons, as shown in Fig. 2, is evaluated; this is \( U_i \). Then the side chains fixed to this terphenyl molecular are interchanged; this is \( U_{ii} \) as given in Eq. (1). In the Monte Carlo simulation it is the energy \( U_{ii} - U_i \) that determines if this flip is to be accepted using the Metropolis algorithm.

The lattice was broken down into three sublattices, labeled A, B, C. The order parameters for each sublattice are defined as \( m_A = \frac{2n_A}{6} \) where \( n_A \) is the number of Si chains in the A th hexagon and similarly for hexagons B and C. The fact that the number of each type of chain is equal and constant requires that \( m_A + m_B + m_C \equiv 0 \) at all steps in the calculation. Fifty separate simulations were run and averaged. Each simulation was performed for 120 million iterations per temperature step and no differences were observed in the phase diagram obtained from the heating and cooling runs.

These simulation studies gave the results shown in Figs. 3(a)–3(d). The phase diagram averaged over 50 runs is shown in Fig. 3(a). Two transitions show up clearly in the specific heat and the sublattice susceptibilities shown in Figs. 3(b) and 3(c); these occur at \( k_B T_L = 1.13U \) and \( k_B T_H = 1.43U \). There is long range order below \( T_L \); the ratio \( T_H / T_L \sim 1.27 \) is considerably smaller than the ratio of 1.75 given by mean field theory [9]. The sublattice susceptibilities show that the higher temperature transition involves all three sublattices while the fluctuations at the lower transition are concentrated on the sublattice that will correspond to \( m = 0 \) at \( T = 0 \).

Calculations of the entropy were evaluated using \( S(T) = \int_0^T \frac{C(T)}{T} dT' \) since it was known that \( S(0) = 0 \). The computed entropy approached \( 3k_B \log_2 \) per hexagon in the limit of very high temperatures (not shown) as expected; however, the
computed entropy at the transition that occurs at lower temperatures can be seen from Fig. 3(c) to be $S(1.13U/k_B) \simeq 0.5k_B$ compared with the mean field result of $k_B \log_2 2 \simeq 0.69k_B$ [9]. Thus the mean field theory in which only one type of chain was free to fluctuate below the first ordering temperature [9] predicts neither the ratio of the two ordering temperatures nor the value of the entropy at the low temperature transition correctly.

There are two very unusual features in the plot of the average order parameter shown in Fig. 3(a). It is clear that the behavior in the phase between the two phase transitions is highly unusual because the temperature dependence of the order on each sublattice shows concave curvature as $T$ approaches 1.5, indicating that this is not the true order parameter near to the upper critical temperature, and because such large fluctuations occur in the intermediate phase; it is also unusual, but not unknown, to have two transitions for a system with only one energy parameter [12].

In order to understand this phase diagram we present the result from typical cooling and heating runs in Figs. 3(d) and 3(e). The region between the two phase transitions is characterized by very large fluctuations on all three sublattices [these were averaged out in Fig. 3(a)]. One can see that at each temperature there are likely to be two sublattices that will evolve at low temperatures into $m = \pm 1$ but actually they are swapping roles, mostly with the $m = 0$ sublattice but also with each other. The swapping behavior occurs both after heating from the well-defined ground state as well as when cooling and ceases below the lower phase transition. This intermediate fluctuating phase is actually reminiscent of the phase found by mean field theory [9] where it was found that the Si sublattice started to order while there was an intermingling between the F and mixed sublattices. In the mean field calculation the symmetry between the F and Si sublattices was broken artificially but here the symmetry is kept and hence the $m = 0$ sublattice can interchange with both the other sublattices. We note that in this fluctuating phase the roles taken by the Si and F chains are identical.

The Monte Carlo results for the model where only pairwise interactions are included have indicated that there are three phases—a disordered phase; a strongly fluctuating phase in which the correlations are falling off very slowly, possibly with a power law; and the fully ordered phase.

The fluctuating phase is reminiscent of the partial ordering seen for Ising spins coupled antiferromagnetically on a triangular lattice in the low temperature limit [5,8,13]. There is an intermediate phase where there is no long range order but the model allows the presence of stable vortices up to some critical temperature also described as a locking transition [5,13]. This can occur in the spin model when the sum of the spins on the frustrated sublattice takes the value of one of the nonfrustrated sublattices [8] which can force the order on the two sublattices to interchange locally. It may be related to a classical spin liquid, as occurs for Ising spins on a kagome lattice where there is strong short range, but no long range, order [14].

There is a mapping of our model where spins $S = 3$ are coupled antiferromagnetically on a triangular lattice $S' = \frac{2m+\pm 2}{3}$, $-3 \leq S' \leq 3$, where the spins must obey Kawasaki dynamics. However, the entropy in both the high and low temperature limits differs between the spin and the chain models: $S_{\text{spin}} = k_B \log_2 7$ compared with $S_{\text{chain}} = k_B \log_8 8$ as

\[ T \to \infty \text{ and } S_{\text{spin}} > \frac{k_B}{3}(1.0029)\log_2 7 \text{ and } S_{\text{chain}} = 0 \text{ as } T \to 0. \] [15]. This mapping was not exact because the mapping did not allow for the different multiplicities for different occupations of the chains within a hexagon and also because of the necessity to have Kawasaki dynamics [16].

In Fig. 4 we show how the fluctuating phase can occur. Above the phase transitions all the hexagons have an equal number of Si and F chains on average. When ordering commences the hexagons on one sublattice will be more likely to have four or five Si chains. Clearly the hexagons on the sublattice that will end up having three Si and F chains are also fluctuating and if three of them (labeled 1, 2, 3) get four or five F chains then they can destabilize the site (0) that was initially on the F sublattice as shown in Fig. 4(b). This is the origin of the vortices that lead to the interchange of the sublattices [8]. In the spin model the mixed site takes all values between $\pm S$ and so this instability can exist down to $T = 0$ for $S < 3$. This cannot occur for our model of the ordering of the liquid crystal because if one site has six chains of the same type, $S' = 3$, its neighbors must have at least one chain of the other type. Hence we see these strong fluctuations only occur for the order parameter, $m$, in the range $0 < m < 0.8$ which corresponds to hexagons having between three and five Si chains on average. The second transition corresponds to where these fluctuations are frozen out and true long range order sets in.

In summary the model with pairwise interactions predicts the presence of an intermediate phase in agreement with the mean field theory and the experiment. However, because the Si and F chains participate on an equal footing it fails to predict the properties of this phase correctly. Furthermore the order parameter exponent $\beta > 1$ for $T \sim 1.5$ is unphysical. It is now clear that the pairwise model cannot explain the experimentally observed phase, as shown in Fig. 1(e), where there is long range order on one sublattice that is rich in Si chains. Hence in order to make contact with the experiment it is necessary to include effects that break the symmetry between Si and F chains.

### V. Simulations with Three-Body Interactions for Si Chains

We considered different methods of breaking the symmetry between Si and F chains which is inherent in the pair interaction model discussed earlier. This would indicate if the results
FIG. 5. The temperature dependence of the parameters of the model that included three-body interactions obtained from simulations: (a) sublattice magnetizations, \(m_A, m_B, m_C\), as defined by \(m_A = \frac{n_A - 6}{6}\) where the hexagons on sublattice \(A\) contain \(n_A\) Si chains, etc.; (b) heat capacity and the entropy; (c) susceptibility for sublattice \(A\); and (d) susceptibility for sublattices \(B\) and \(C\).

shown in Fig. 3(d) were due to there being two domains, one where the Si ordered preferentially and the other where it was the F chains that ordered. In that case the Si ordered phase could have been stabilized by a small field.

An obvious method is to add an ordering field on one sublattice which will favor Si chains on that sublattice; a physical justification for this was that it might be a response to ordering occurring on adjacent planes without actually doing a three-dimensional simulation. We found that when the ordering field was reduced the system reverted smoothly to results seen when the field was zero. The ordering field smoothed the first phase transition and hence no comparison could be made with the experiments which measured a clean continuous transition from the disordered phase.

We can also break the symmetry by including three-body interactions between the Si chains. This means that there is an extra stabilizing energy if a given hexagon contains three or more Si chains. There are good physical reasons for the presence of this interaction for the X-shaped molecules under study, because the Si chains are more flexible than the F chains. Thus it is easier for a Si chain to enter a F-dominated hexagon than for a F chain to enter a Si-dominated hexagon. Similarly, a pure Si hexagon is sterically more favored than a pure F hexagon. This is the symmetry breaking used in our simulations. A hexagon containing \(n\) Si chains has an additional attractive energy when \(n > 3\) which depends on the number of ways in which three distinct Si chains can be chosen within that hexagon, \(\frac{n(n-1)(n-2)}{6}\). This energy is shown in Table I.

The Monte Carlo calculations are now repeated when the three-body term is included for a 120 \(\times\) 120 periodic lattice. Each simulation performed 120 million iterations per temperature step and 50 separate simulations were run and averaged, in this case there was no difference between heating and cooling runs. The results, for the order parameters for the three sublattices, specific heat, entropy, and sublattice susceptibilities, are shown in Fig. 5 where we see that there are now two well-separated and very sharp transitions. The plots shown in this figure correspond to the value of the three-body attraction between the Si chains, \(u\), of \(-U/4\). The Si sublattice orders first, as expected, but this occurs at significantly higher temperature than the first transition of the pairwise model as may be seen by comparing Figs. 3 and 5 indicating that some of the frustration has been relieved by the inclusion of the three-body term. There is now a second phase where the magnetizations on the \(B\) and \(C\) sublattices satisfy \(m_B = m_C = -m_A/2\) before there is a phase transition at a lower temperature to the fully ordered state. This agrees with the partially ordered phase seen in the experiments. One of the interesting features is that the susceptibilities for all three sublattices show the same critical behavior at the upper transition but sublattice \(A\), which is preferentially occupied by Si chains, shows a
negligible response at the lower transition. The peaks in the susceptibilities and the specific heat are noticeably sharper for the model including three-body interactions, indicating that the transitions are defined more clearly.

When the magnitude of the three-body interaction was reduced the two transitions approached each other smoothly and for very small values of the three-body interaction the plots became identical to those with only pairwise interactions. This means that the fluctuating phase is quite distinct from the partially ordered phase, shown in Fig. 1(e), because we cannot nucleate the partially ordered phase by including a small symmetry breaking term.

VI. CRITICAL EXPONENTS

Qualitative arguments suggest that the critical exponents for the model with three-body interactions should be those of a three-state Potts model because the hexagons with more than three Si chains are singlet out. There can only be one such hexagon within each group of three hexagons. Therefore the problem comes down to positioning this on the lattice, which is similar to Kr on graphite which is described by a three-state Potts model that has \( \beta = 1/9 = 0.11 \) [17,18].

Experimental data were obtained for the critical experiment \( \beta \) from x-ray diffraction experiments. The lattice parameter of the fully and partially ordered phases is \( \sqrt{3} \) times that of the disordered phase, as is shown in Fig. 6(a). This gives rise to superlattice peaks as shown in Fig. 6(b). The value of the order parameter exponent, \( \beta \), was measured for samples A2 and A1 from plots of \( \log_{10}(I_{10}) \) plotted against \( \log_{10}(T_c - T) \), as shown in Fig. 7(a). The slope of the fitted lines equals \( 2\beta \); this gives \( \beta_{\text{expt}} = 0.18 \pm 0.02 \). We were not able to analyze the diffuse scattering to get clear predictions for \( \gamma \) and \( \nu \). The small value of \( \beta \) indicates that the model with three-body forces may not have a continuous phase transition. In many other examples, including a three-body term, the energy may induce a first order transition but this is not universally so in two-dimensional models [19].

An approximate value for \( \beta \) was also obtained from the simulation data for the transitions at \( T_H \) and is shown in Fig. 7(b); this gave \( \beta = 0.12 \pm 0.01 \). This value is significantly smaller than that obtained from the experiment but closer to the value suggested by the analogy with the Potts model. It is possible that the larger value of \( \beta \) obtained from the experiment occurs because the third dimension is coming into play. More accurate simulation data to obtain other exponents and a more accurate value for \( \beta \) appropriate to this interesting model would be very desirable.

VII. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we used simulations to investigate the phase diagram of a liquid crystal formed from X-shaped molecules which have complex order in planes that are stacked up the third axis. This group of compounds leads to very rich phase diagrams that may be described by interesting two-dimensional statistical models particularly when the two side chains forming part of the X are dissimilar [9–11]. We have used simulations to investigate the phase diagram where one side chain from each of the six X-shaped molecules occupies a two-dimensional hexagon that contains six side chains. The energetics of the ordering is deduced from an understanding of the molecules involved so that the energy of each hexagon depends on the numbers of each type of side chain. The system evolves as the two side chains, that are part of the same X-shaped molecule, are interchanged. The lattice is frustrated as it is not possible for the two types of side chain to segregate completely on the triangular lattice. However, because the numbers of each type of side chain are exactly equal, the system evolves to a unique ground state in which two of the three sublattices of the triangular lattice are occupied by only one type of side chain and the third contains an equal mixture.

The most interesting feature concerns an intermediate phase that occurs between the disordered phase that exists at high temperatures and the fully ordered phase that exists at low temperatures. Monte Carlo simulations have shown the existence of two possible intermediate phases.

The first model that we considered used only pairwise interactions between the side chains; in this model the two types of side chain are treated on an equal footing because whatever the choice of the pair interactions between the side chains, there is only a single interaction energy. This model was shown to have a very interesting intermediate phase where substantial amounts of order occurred on one of the

FIG. 6. (a) The unit cells for the disordered phase and the partially and fully ordered phases and (b) the x-ray scattering from sample A1 with \( T_c = 80^\circ \text{C} \) showing the emergence of the superlattice peaks at low temperature.
FIG. 7. (a) Intensity of the (10) diffraction peak as a function of temperature, for compounds A1 and A2, together with the fitted curves. The curves are plotted both as $I_{(10)}$ vs $T$ and $\log_{10}(I_{(10)})$ vs $\log_{10}(T_c - T)$ for clarity. The heating rate used is 1 °C/min. $A1, T_c = 56.3 °C, 2\beta = 0.36, \beta = 0.18; A2, T_c = 81.1 °C, 2\beta = 0.33, \beta = 0.17$; (b) the log-log plots of the order parameter red (dots) obtained from the simulation below $T_H$ fitted to $\beta = 0.12$ using blue (dotted) line.

three sublattices but the ordering fluctuated between the three sublattices. This is clearly a fascinating dynamic phase that merits further investigation as it appears to be an alternative form of a classical spin liquid. The experimental data showed clearly that the ordering of the two types of side chain is inequivalent and hence cannot be fitted by any values of the pair interactions.

A refined model was investigated where three-body forces were included to break the symmetry between the two types of side chain and this was also studied using Monte Carlo simulations. This led to a different intermediate phase in which there was long range order on only one sublattice. In this case there were two clear phase transitions between the intermediate phase and both the disordered phase and the fully ordered phase. Qualitative arguments were presented to suggest that this phase might be in the universality class for the three-state Potts model. This intermediate phase was shown to be distinct from the fluctuating phase that occurs where there are only pairwise interactions. This model appeared to fit the experimental results.
We found that this was an interesting but also a very rich system. The fluctuating phase that exists over a finite temperature interval appears to be unique. It had some features in common with antiferromagnetically coupled spins on a triangular model and Ising spins on a kagome lattice except that for the spin models the fluctuations occur all the way down to \( T = 0 \). After the model was modified to include a three-body term it appeared to be equivalent to a ferromagnetic three-state Potts model. We noted that if each chain only interacted with its nearest neighbors, instead of with all the other five chains in the hexagon, we would get the antiferromagnetic Ising model [9] on a kagome lattice or, if three-body interactions were included, the three-state Potts model also on a kagome lattice. Neither of these models order. This means that our physically inspired model, in which we considered the total interaction energy of all the side chains within a hexagon, was actually crucial to getting any ordered phases, as are observed in the experiment.
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