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Abstract

Tracking the user location in indoor environment becomes substantial issue in recent research. High accuracy and fast convergence are very important issues for a good localization system. One of the techniques that are used in localization systems is particle swarm optimization (PSO). This technique is a stochastic optimization based on the movement and velocity of particles. In this paper, we introduce an algorithm using PSO for indoor localization system. The proposed algorithm uses PSO to generate several particles that have circular distribution around one access point (AP). The PSO generates particles where the distance from each particle to the AP is the same distance from the AP to the target. The particle which achieves correct distances (distances from each AP to target) is selected as the target. Four PSO variants, namely standard PSO (SPSO), linearly decreasing inertia weight PSO (LDIW PSO), self-organizing hierarchical PSO with time acceleration coefficients (HPSO-TVAC), and constriction factor PSO (CFPSO) are used to find the minimum distance error. The simulation results show the proposed method using HPSO-TVAC variant achieves very low distance error of 0.19 meter.
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1.0 INTRODUCTION

Nowadays, navigation systems have been widely used in outdoor and indoor environments. There are many types of navigation systems such as marine navigation system, global position system (GPS), wireless sensor network and robotic mapping. These systems have been designed to estimate the target at particular places within network coverage. However, an indoor localization system that usually covers a small area compared to outdoor environment has a small location error. Recently, indoor localization systems have become recent interested research due to increase the tracking applications.

There are many techniques that are used for tracking the user such as radio frequency fingerprinting [1-2], angle of arrival (AOA) [3] and triangulation [4]. The typical localization system should have a good accuracy with less complexity. However, the accuracy of the system depends on the type and size of the environments. Thus, indoor environment requires a good accuracy compare to outdoor environment. The indoor localization systems require different types of pre-knowledge information such as physical testbed, received signal strength (RSS) and orientation of the user. Moreover, fingerprinting technique considers the most accurate technique that has minor error distance compare to other techniques [5-6]. However, fingerprinting technique is suffering from high system complexity which consists of two operating phases (offline and online phase) [7]. Besides, it requires big size of radio map in order to obtain high location accuracy.

There are several algorithms that are used for improving the accuracy of estimated location. One of the optimization algorithms is particle swarm optimization which was developed by [8]. PSO is a collection of huge particles which have different
positions and velocity. It used to improve the accuracy of localization system. Recently, POS has considered by many research communities due to high convergence, more fixable and less complexity. The high accuracy occurs when the propagation condition line of sight (LOS) whereas non line of sight (NLOS) condition achieves low accuracy [9]. In indoor localization, the position of the particle represents the optimized position of the target, whereas the velocity represents the particle movement within a particular environment. In this paper, we propose a method to enhance the system accuracy by using PSO which generates a swarm of particles around each AP circularly.

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 introduces the related work that has been done in the indoor tracking environment. Section 3 explains in details the methodology that has been proposed to minimize the distance error using PSO. Section 4 describes the simulation setup of the indoor environment. Section 5 shows the results obtained and compare the results for the four PSO variants. Lastly, section 6 summarizes this work.

2.0 RELATED WORK

The usage of PSO depends on the level of localization problem. Some of the researchers used a single object optimization model to solve simple problems of localization system. Random time variable PSO algorithm is an adaptive PSO proposed by [10], which called random time varying inertia weight and acceleration coefficients (PSO-RTVIWAC). This algorithm combined of two different algorithms which are: the random inertia weight (PSO-RANDIW) and time-varying acceleration coefficients (PSO-TVAC). It used to enhance the performance of the original PSO. However, this algorithm does not compatible for NLOS environments. In [11], the authors proposed a new distributed selection technique to minimize the number of selected nodes in wireless sensor network. These selected number of nodes considered the main part of the technique to establish the grid coordinate system. However, the localization accuracy depends on the grid nodes in the system. Unlike [12], a distributed and cooperative algorithm for multipath environments in wireless sensor network is proposed. This algorithm based on the multipath propagation that allows sensors to cooperatively self-localize with respect to a single anchor node in the whole network. The single anchor node is computed by using the range and direction of arrival measurements.

The authors in [13] proposed group discriminant (GD) algorithm to improve the accuracy of the location fingerprinting. GS depends on the AP selection approach which focusing on measuring the localization capabilities of each group of APs. The mean error obtained by GS is 3 meters for five APs. Unlike the traditional techniques that treat the APs based on their individual importance [14-15].

The working [16-20] focus on multi-objective particle swarm optimization to solve the localization issues. The multi-objective particle swarm optimization improved the accuracy and convergence of the localization system. However, in [16] the system suffered from slow convergence and limitless size of the archive. Thus, the authors in [20] addressed these issues by considering the geometric topology constraint to increase the accuracy of localization system and using the global optimum solution in order to get better convergence. However, this method obtained an average localization error of 10 meters when many number of anchor points were used.

In this work, we apply the SPSO [8], LDIW-PSO [21], HPSO-TVAC [22], and CFPSO [23] in order to find the minimum distance error. In LDIW-PSO, the Inertia weight is decreasing during the searching process based on the following formula:

$$w(t) = (w_{\text{max}} - w_{\text{min}}) \times \left(\frac{T - t}{T}\right) + w_{\text{min}}$$ (1)

where $w_{\text{max}}$ is $0.9$, $w_{\text{min}}$ is $0.4$, $T$ is the maximum number of iterations, and $t$ is the current iteration.

HPSO-TVAC improved the performance of the SPSO by controlling the acceleration coefficients $c_1, c_2$. The values of $c_1$ and $c_2$ are expressed as follows:

$$c_1 = \left(c_{1f} - c_{ii}\right) \frac{t}{T} + c_{ii}$$ (2)

$$c_2 = \left(c_{2f} - c_{ii}\right) \frac{t}{T} + c_{2i}$$ (3)

where $c_{ii}$ is $2.5$, $c_{1f}$ is $0.5$, $c_{2i}$ is $0.5$, and $c_{1f}$ is $2.5$.

The velocity of the SPSO has been modified by Clerc and Kennedy [23] resulting in a new PSO variant named constriction factor PSO. The velocity of the constriction factor PSO is written as follows:

$$v_{\text{it}}(t+1) = X \left[ v_{\text{it}}(t) + c_1 \left( \text{Best}_t(t) - x_i(t) \right) \right]
\left[ + c_2 \left( \text{Gbest}(t) - x_i(t) \right) \right]$$ (4)

where

$$X = \frac{2k}{2 - \phi - \sqrt{\phi^2 - 4\phi}}$$

and

$$\phi = c_1 + c_2, \quad k \in (0,1]$$

3.0 METHODOLOGY

PSO is used to estimate the user location in indoor environments. We assume that there are five access points, which are deployed in the indoor environment with dimension of 80 m x 50 m. The coordinates of the four access points are determined. At the initial stage the distance between access points and the target location is calculated using Equation (5).
\[ D_{AP,t} = \sqrt{(X_{AP} - x_t)^2 + (Y_{AP} - y_t)^2 + \text{Max}[d_n] \times x_n} \]  
\[ AP = 1, ..., 5 \]  
\[ (5) \]

where \((X_{AP}, Y_{AP})\) and \((x_t, y_t)\) indicates the location of deployed access points and estimated target location respectively. Max\([d_n]\) is the maximum value of measured distance error. \(x_n\) represents the random number which is defined as a uniform distribution between \(0 \leq x_n \leq 1\).

The objective of this work is to minimize the distance error using the following equation:

\[ d_{error} = \min(\sqrt{(x_i - x_t)^2 + (y_i - y_t)^2}) \]  
\[ (6) \]

where \(x_i\) and \(y_i\) indicate estimated location of the target.

The distance from the access point to the target can be measured using RSS. However, the position of the target cannot be determined since there are other coordinates that can produce the same distance. To overcome this problem, we proposed a method that generates \(N\) particles in a circular distribution using PSO. The numbers of particles that are generated in the circle are abbreviated as NPC. It should be noted that each particle represents a position. Each of these particles is a potential to be the target (since all the generated particles have the same distance as the distance from the AP to the target). To confirm that our solution produces the exact location of the target, we test every generated particle in the circle by calculating the distance from each AP to that generated particle and confirming that the selected particle satisfies all distances \([d_1, d_2, ..., d_5]\) (It is noteworthy that we choose the AP that achieves the highest RSS which has the minimum measurement distance error).

At the beginning of the process, we generate \(N\) particles randomly in the area. In order to get the best particle that has the same distance as \(D_{AP,t}\), then in each iteration, PSO is used to find the particle which has the closest distance as \(D_{AP,t}\) by using the following fitness function:

\[ f(d) = \min \left[ \text{abs}(D_{AP,t} - D_{AP,p}) \right] \]  
\[ (7) \]

Where \(D_{AP,p}\) is the distance from the AP to the particle which is written as follows:

\[ D_{AP,p} = \sqrt{(X_{AP} - x_p)^2 + (Y_{AP} - y_p)^2} \]  
\[ (8) \]

The accuracy of the system depends on the optimization fitness function. In other word, the optimization fitness function is inversely proportional to the system accuracy.

At the end of the PSO iterations, PSO records the best particle that has the same distance as \(D_{AP,t}\). This process is repeated \(K\) times where in each time a new particle (position) is generated around the circle. After that, we test each generated particle to confirm that it satisfies all other distances. Our methodology for the SPSO is explained in the following steps:

**STEP 1:** Define the swarm size \(N\).

**STEP 2:** Locate the positions of APs randomly in the area.

**STEP 3:** Measure the distances from each AP \((d_1, d_2, ..., d_5)\) to the target using RSS.

**STEP 4:** Determine the AP that achieves the highest RSS (the minimum measurement distance error) and record its distance from target.

**STEP 5:** For \(i = 1: N\).

**STEP 6:** Generate the positions of \(N\) particles and define them as \(X\) and set \(P_{best} = X\) and \(V = 0\).

**STEP 7:** For \(t = 1: T\).

**STEP 8:** Calculate the distance from AP (highest RSS) to the position of the particle \((x_i)\) using Equation 8.

**STEP 9:** If \(f(x_i) < f(P_{best_i})\) then do

\[ P_{best_i} = x_i \]

End

**STEP 10:** Calculate the distance from AP (highest RSS) to the position of the particle using \(P_{best_i}\).

**STEP 11:** Record the \(g_{best}\) which is the best particle that achieves \(\min \left[ \text{abs}(D_{AP,t} - D_{AP,p}) \right] \).

**STEP 12:** Update the velocity of the particle using Equation 9.

**STEP 13:** Update the position of the particle using Equation 10.

**STEP 14:** Next \(T\) and \(i\).

**STEP 15:** Stop when all the \(N\) particles have been generated.

**STEP 16:** For each generated particle, find the particle that satisfies all the distances from the APs.

**STEP 17:** End for loop.

**STEP 18:** Return the position of this particle and calculate the distance error using Equation 6.

The flowchart of the proposed method that used to estimate the target location is shown in Figure 2. PSO uses position and velocity update equations which can be written as follows:

\[ v_{id}(t) = w \times v_{id}(t) + c_1 r_1 (P_{best_{id}}(t) - x_{id}(t)) + c_2 r_2 (g_{best_{id}}(t) - x_{id}(t)) \]  
\[ (9) \]

\[ x_{id}(t + 1) = x_{id}(t) + v_{id}(t + 1) \]  
\[ (10) \]
where $c_1$ and $c_2$ indicates to the acceleration constants, $w$ is the inertia weight, $r_1$, $r_2$, are uniformly distributed random numbers between 0 and 1.

### 4.0 SIMULATION SETUP

The testbed dimension of environment is 80m X 50m with five APs are deployed. The coordinates of five APs that deployed in the environment are (10, 10), (70, 10), (10, 40), (70, 40) and (40, 25) as shown in Figure 1.

The particles were initialized for each AP with size of 100. The distance between particles and AP is calculated by Equation (11).

$$D_{AP,p} = \sqrt{(X_{AP} - x_p)^2 + (Y_{AP} - y_p)^2}$$

where $x_p$ and $y_p$ are the position of initialized particles at each AP.

#### Table 1 Specification of PSO parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Approach</th>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Setting</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>Number of Iterations</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Runs</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Swarm size</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPSO</td>
<td>$c_1$, $c_2$, $w$</td>
<td>2, 2, 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDIM-PSO</td>
<td>$c_1$, $c_2$, $w$</td>
<td>2, 2, 0-0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPSO-TVAC</td>
<td>$c_1$, $c_2$, $f$</td>
<td>2.5, 0.5, 0.5, 2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFPSO</td>
<td>$c_1$, $c_2$, $k$</td>
<td>2.05, 2.05, 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 1** Testbed with five deployed APs

The number of particles that used in the simulation is 100 particles with maximum of 100 iterations for all four PSO variants as shown in Table 1.

**Figure 2** Flowchart of the proposed method

### 5.0 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The proposed method has been evaluated to investigate minimizing the distance error using four PSO variants that have been mentioned in section 3.

**Figure 3** Cumulative distribution function of four PSO variants when NPC=30

We study the effects of three different cases of NPC which are 30, 60, and 100. Table 2 shows the statistics of four PSO variants.
Figure 3 shows the comparison of PSO variants versus the distance error when NPC is 30. It can be observed that the SPSO achieved a maximum and minimum distance error of 14.59 meters and 0.30 meters, respectively. However, the maximum distance error of 14.59 is considered a high distance error that has a negative effect on the system accuracy. Likewise, LDIW-PSO achieved slight improvement compared to SPSO. Unlike CFPSO and HPSO-TVAC, the minimum and maximum of distance error is significantly improved by 82.14% compared to the previous two PSO variants. Besides, CFPSO outperformed HPSO-TVAC and minimized the maximum distance error by 45.26%.

Figure 4 Cumulative distribution function of four PSO variants when NPC=60

Figure 4 shows the distance errors for the four PSO variants when NPC is 60. It can be seen that SPSO improved its performance by reducing the minimum and maximum distance error by 23.33% and 51.61%, respectively. However, LDIW-PSO significantly improved with approximately 6 times better than SPSO. Finally, CFPSO and HPSO-TVAC achieved remarkable improvements which the maximum distance error closes to 1 meter. Therefore, the best PSO variants that have been tested to minimize the distance error are CFPSO and HPSO-TVAC.

Figure 5 Cumulative distribution function of four PSO variants when NPC=100

Figure 5 shows the comparison of PSO variants when NPC is 100. The SPSO obtained the optimum value of the maximum distance error (4.60 meters when NPC=100) compared to NPC=30 AND 60. However, LDIW-PSO does not positively affected by increasing the NPC from 60 to 100. The last two PSO variants (CFPSO and HPSO-TVAC) obtained the smallest distance error compared to the previous results. Specially, HPSO-TVAC considered the best variant that minimized the maximum distance error to 0.5 meter.

Table 2 The distance error of the four PSO variants when NPC=30, 60 and 100

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PSO variant</th>
<th>NPC</th>
<th>Min (m)</th>
<th>Max (m)</th>
<th>Mean (m)</th>
<th>Std.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SPSO</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>14.59</td>
<td>4.20</td>
<td>5.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>7.06</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>1.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>4.60</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>1.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDIW-PSO</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>13.69</td>
<td>3.76</td>
<td>4.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>2.66</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFPSO</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPSO-TVAC</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>3.27</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>1.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The localization accuracy depends on the PSO variants as well as NPC. Figure 6 and Table 2 shows the HPSO-TVAC achieved the best average accuracy of 0.19 meter while the SPSO achieved the poor average accuracy of 1.58 meter when NPC is 100. To conclude, When NPC increases the minimum distance error decreases. HPSO-TVAC achieved the best results in terms of accuracy as well stability when NPC is 100 followed by CFPSO, LDIW-PSO, and SPSO. The most stable PSO variant is HPSO-TVAC since it has a very low standard deviation.
6.0 CONCLUSION

Localization systems are still a considerable issue in wireless communication, especially in indoor environments. PSO is a well-known optimization algorithm that is used for obtaining good results. In this paper, we proposed a method that uses PSO to improve the system accuracy in the indoor environments. The idea of our proposed method is to generate N particles in a circular distribution where the particle distances are the same distance from the AP to the target. Then, we test each particle if it satisfies the other distances ( distances from each AP to the target) and not only the distance from the selected AP to the target. We select the particle which satisfies all distances as the target. The proposed method has been evaluated in simulation with the deployment of five APs in a dimension area of 80 x 50 m². Four PSO variants, namely, SPSO, LDIW-PSO, CFPSO and HPSO-TVAC are used to observe their effect on minimizing the distance error. Based on the obtained results, HPSO-TVAC is the best PSO variant that minimizes the distance error with average distance error of 0.19 meters when NPC is 100. Other PSO variants can be used to further minimize the distance error since it is not optimal yet.
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