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Abstract

Containerless processing of droplets and drops by atomization and electromagnetic levitation are applied to undercool metallic melts and alloys prior to solidification. Heterogeneous nucleation on crucible walls is completely avoided giving access to large undercoolings. Experiments are performed both under terrestrial (1g) conditions and in reduced gravity (\(\mu g\)) as well. Microgravity conditions are realized by the free fall of small droplets during atomization of a spray of droplets, individual drops in a drop tube and by electromagnetic levitation of drops during parabolic flights, sounding rocket missions and using the Electro-Magnetic Levitator EML multi-user facility on board the International Space Station ISS. The comparison of both sets of experiments in 1g and \(\mu g\) leads to an estimation of the influence of forced convection on dendrite growth kinetics and microstructure evolution.

Corresponding author: dieter.herlach@dlr.de
1. Introduction

Metallic materials are prepared from the liquid state as their parent phase. To date, efforts are directed towards virtual material design with computer assisted modelling. Computational materials science from the liquid state requires detailed knowledge of crystal nucleation and crystal growth. Both processes are driven by an undercooling of the liquid below its equilibrium melting temperature. At large undercoolings this gives access to non-equilibrium solidification pathways, which can form metastable solids, which may differ in their physical and chemical properties from their stable counterparts.

To achieve the state of a deeply undercooled melt heterogeneous nucleation has to be reduced. Turnbull used the method of volume separation of heterogeneous sites by sample subdivision into many small particles in order to isolate the heterogeneous motes in a few particles [1]. Perepezko refined this technique by subdividing the macroscopic melt into small particles suspended in an inert carrier fluid. The carrier fluid acts as an agent removing heterogeneous motes on the surface of the small droplets as well [2]. Even undercooling of macroscopic melts in a fluxing agent can be used to achieve very large undercoolings enabling the formation of bulk glass formation of metallic alloy [3, 4]. During the last decades, levitation techniques are progressively used to produce liquid drops of metals, alloys and semiconductors. These techniques offer the additional benefit that a freely suspended drop is directly accessible for in situ diagnostics to determine thermophysical properties and investigate solidification far away from thermodynamic equilibrium.

In the present paper an overview is given by the partners of the NEQUISOL project funded by the European Space Agency. Solidification is studied under the conditions of containerless processing on Earth and in reduced gravity [5]. A sophisticated impulse atomization facility is used to produce a spray of droplets. Atomization is very suitable to investigate the statistics of the formation of various structures and microstructures as a function of droplet size and cooling rate. However, these techniques do not allow for direct diagnostics of individual droplets. For this purpose, we apply electromagnetic levitation on Earth and in reduced gravity [6]. Comparing results obtained under terrestrial and reduced gravity conditions allows the investigation of fluid flow effects in dendrite growth dynamics and in the microstructure evolution of solidification. The experiments are accompanied by the development and application of mesoscopic models to analyse solidification including fluid flow by forced convection [7].

2. Experiments

In the present project, methods of containerless processing are applied to undercool metallic melts without any contact with a solid crucible. That allows for deep undercoolings and solidification far away from equilibrium. These techniques include gas atomization, impulse atomization drop tube, melt fluxing, and electromagnetic levitation both on Earth and in Space. Most of the experimental techniques used within the NEQUISOL project are described in detail elsewhere [8].

Figure 1: Schematic view of the TEMPUS facility. The heart of this facility consists of a quadrupole field for positioning and a dipole field for efficient heating. Experiments can be conducted under Ultra-High-Vacuum (HV) conditions in contrast to EML on Earth.

Levitation experiments allow for measurements of temperature-time-profiles during an entire undercooling and solidification experiment. The strong electromagnetic field needed to compensate the gravitational force causes

---

1 Abbreviation of “Non-EQUilibrium SOLidification, Modelling for Microstructure Engineering of Industrial Alloys”
strong stirring effects in the liquid. These are essentially reduced if electromagnetic levitation technique is applied in reduced gravity. In the environment of Space the forces to compensate disturbing accelerations are of some orders of magnitude smaller compared with experiments on ground. A special instrument called TEMPUS\(^2\) has been designed to provide means of containerless processing in space [9]. Opposite to levitation on Earth positioning and heating are separated in TEMPUS by placing the sample into the superposition of a quadrupole and a dipole field [10]. The quadrupole field serves as positioning and the dipole field for heating, respectively. This two-coil concept leads to a drastic improvement of the overall efficiency and allows for independent control of positioning and heating. The concept is illustrated in Figure 1. It shows the two coil systems together with a pyrometer to measure contactless the temperature and a high speed camera to record the rapid propagation of dendrites. The dendrite growth velocity is measured as a function of undercooling.

3. Modelling

A segregation model was developed to follow the transformation path of the alloy solidified by a containerless process, such as levitation or atomization. Because such an initial condition is more generally valid for the formation of equiaxed grain structures, it is of major technological relevance for all casting technologies. The model is presented in details elsewhere [11, 12]. It is based on an extension of previously introduced concepts [13, 14]. A global heat balance (assuming uniform temperature) coupled with total mass and solute mass balances (assuming constant and equal density of all phases) are considered. The system is made of an initial spherical liquid droplet at the alloy composition. Figure 2b gives a schematic of the solidification sequence. It successively includes cooling of the lone liquid \( l^{(0)} \) that composes zone (0), growth of a mushy zone (1) after nucleation of the primary dendritic solid phase \( s_1^{(1)} \), growth of a eutectic zone (2) after nucleation of a structure \( s_2^{(2)} \). The later eutectic structure develops first in place of the interdendritic liquid \( l^{(1)} \) and then in place of the remaining liquid \( l^{(0)} \). Finally, cooling of the fully solid system takes place.

\[ \begin{align*}
\text{Figure 2:} & \quad (a) \text{ Schematized solidification sequence of a binary eutectic alloy (see text);} \\
& \quad (b) \text{ temperature-time profile measured by electromagnetic levitation and reproduced my modelling segregation.}
\end{align*} \]

The growth kinetics of the envelope of the mushy zone (1) and eutectic zone (2) are computed using sharp interface models of dendrite growth kinetics [15, 16] and the Jackson-Hunt eutectic theory [17], respectively. The interdendritic liquid \( l^{(1)} \) located in the mushy zone is characterized by a high solute composition. It exchanges solute with the poorer extra-dendritic liquid \( l^{(0)} \) located outside the mushy zone. Diffusion in the primary solid wphase \( s_1 \) is also accounted for. The model is fully coupled with thermodynamic equilibrium calculation [18].

\[^2\) TEMPUS is a German acronym for Tiegelfreies Elektro-Magnetisches Prozessieren Unter Schwerelosigkeit]
A sharp interface model [19, 20] has been recently extended to describe the growth dynamics of anisotropic dendrites with forced convection as a function of undercooling [21, 22]. The present model takes into account a change of the liquidus line and the slope of the liquidus line at high undercoolings because of kinetic reasons. In addition, influences of convection are integrated by introducing Peclet numbers for fluid flow and its impact on thermal and mass transport. These are mandatory to analyse the dendrite growth dynamics under the condition of forced convection as present in electromagnetic levitation experiments on Earth.

Phase-field modelling of microstructure evolution is based around an adaptive mesh multigrid solver for the coupled thermo-solutal system [23, 24]. The model is distinct from most other phase-field models as we do not require growth to be in an isothermal system, nor in an imposed temperature gradient, but rather the model solves the coupled equation set for the rejection of solute and latent heat into the liquid ahead of the growth front. As the ratio of thermal diffusivity, $\alpha$, to solute diffusivity, $D$, (termed the Lewis number, $Le$), is typically $>> 1$, a range of advanced numerical methods are employed to cope with the resulting multiscale problem. These include:

1) Adaptive meshing refinement: This allows mesh cells to be located only in regions where the phase field, or one of the other fields, is varying significantly, typically close to the solid-liquid interface. The mesh refinement level is controlled by the gradients of the phase, solute and thermal fields.

2) Implicit time-stepping: Mesh adaptivity allows a highly refined mesh to be employed whilst retaining a moderate total element count. However, for the explicit forward Euler scheme employed in most phase-field codes this leads to restrictively small time-steps due to a stability criterion of the form $\Delta t \propto (\Delta x)^2$. This is overcome by using an implicit (2nd Order Backward Difference) time-stepping scheme which is unconditionally stable. However, at each time-step a large, but sparse, matrix system needs to be inverted.

3) Multigrid solver: Multigrid solvers are most efficient means of solving a large sparse system of equations, with the computational load scaling as $O(N)$, where $N$ are the number of degrees of freedom. This compares with $O(N^2)$ for Gauss elimination or $O(N^{3/2})$ for successive over-relaxation or conjugate gradient methods.

4) Parallel execution: The system is built on the PARAMESH platform for execution on modern parallel HPC architectures.

The combined application of these numerical methods means that we operate the phase-field model at Lewis numbers approaching realistic values [25] and with a diffuse interface width.

4. Experimental results and discussion

Figure 3 shows the dendrite growth velocity as a function of undercooling of the Al$_{50}$Ni$_{50}$ intermetallic compound. Both results from terrestrial and experiments in reduced gravity are shown [26]. The green symbols represent measurements by using electromagnetic levitation on Earth with strong forced convection. The triangles, squares and pentagons give results of equivalent measurements in reduced gravity measured using the TEMPUS facility during several parabolic flight. The dashed line shows results of calculation within sharp interface theory neglecting convection whereas the solid line gives the corresponding results if fluid flow motion with velocities up to $U_0=0.6$ m/s are assumed comparable with magnetohydrodynamic simulations [27] and experiments [28] for electromagnetically levitated drops on Earth.

Figure 3: Dendrite growth velocity $V$ as a function of undercooling measured of Al$_{50}$Ni$_{50}$, both under terrestrial (green circles) and $\mu g$ conditions during parabolic flight missions using the TEMPUS facility (triangles, squares, pentagons). The dashed line gives the prediction of dendrite growth theory neglecting fluid flow while the solid line represents the prediction of dendrite growth theory assuming a fluid flow velocity of $U_0=0.6m/s$ as determined by magneto-hydrodynamic simulation [Error! Bookmark not defined.].
The growth behaviour was experimentally investigated of Al\textsubscript{50}Ni\textsubscript{50}, Al\textsubscript{55}Ni\textsubscript{45}, Al\textsubscript{60}Ni\textsubscript{40}, Al\textsubscript{65}Ni\textsubscript{35}, Al\textsubscript{68.5}Ni\textsubscript{31.5}, Al\textsubscript{70}Ni\textsubscript{30}, and Al\textsubscript{75}Ni\textsubscript{25} alloy. The results are shown in Figure 4. In case of Al\textsubscript{50}Ni\textsubscript{50} and Al\textsubscript{55}Ni\textsubscript{45} the dendrite growth velocity increases monotonically with undercooling. An increase of Al-concentration by 5 at% leads to an essential change of the dendrite growth characteristics. A decrease of growth velocity is observed at undercoolings $\Delta T < 175$ K. The growth velocity passes a minimum and subsequently increases with rising undercooling. If the concentration of Al is further increased the minimum is shifted towards higher undercoolings [29].

In order to investigate a possible influence of forced convection of the anomalous growth behaviour of Al-rich Al-Ni alloys the Raney type alloy Al\textsubscript{68.5}Ni\textsubscript{31.5} was subject of various experiments in reduced gravity during TEXUS sounding rocket missions TEXUS 44 [30] and TEXUS 49 [31]. Figure 5 comprises the results of these investigations in direct comparison with results from 1g investigations.

While the initial results of TEXUS 44 mission (red triangles) are different compared with the results obtained on Earth (1g) the results of TEXUS 49 mission (cyan diamonds) do not indicate a significant difference of both comparative sets of experiments. However, they are located in the regime where the growth velocity is larger than the estimated fluid flow speed $U_s \approx 0.3$ m/s induced by the electromagnetic fields in 1g (cf. dashed line) [27]. The experiments of the TEXUS 44 mission suffer from a low measuring frequency of the camera. The measuring frequency was enhanced by a factor of 4 during TEXUS 49 mission. A re-evaluation of the measurements on TEXUS 44 leads to values indicated by the green diamonds.

The insert of Figure 5 shows a significant difference of the microstructure of an Al\textsubscript{68.5}Ni\textsubscript{31.5} sample solidified at an undercooling $\Delta T = 80$K under 1g (left) and in $\mu$g (right). The microstructure on the left exhibits grains without porosity whereas the microstructure on the right reveals great porosity in the volume and dendrites, which grow from a surface layer isotropically to the centre. From these observations it is concluded that solidification in reduced gravity may consist of two steps, first a layer on the surface is solidifying which acts as heterogeneous nucleation site for dendrites which grow to the centre of the sample.

NEQUISOL contributes two samples of Al\textsubscript{60}Ni\textsubscript{40} to batch 1 of the EML experiments on board the ISS which were recently processed performing 25 solidification cycles successfully, 23 cycles for sample 1 and 2 cycles for sample 2. Both samples are of equal nominal compositions. Using sample 2 external triggering was successfully
applied to initiate solidification at small undercooling. Figure 6 shows the distribution of the nucleation undercooling measured. The average undercooling is inferred to be around $\Delta T = 100$ K. That is by about 70 K smaller than the maximum undercoolings measured for the same alloy by electromagnetic levitation under terrestrial (1g) conditions. So far it is not yet understood where this difference in maximum undercooling is coming from. There may be different effects which could be considered to explain this finding. The forced convection present in 1g experiments will hinder heterogeneous nucleation of a specific origin. In alloys the growth of an embryo to a nucleus of critical size is diffusion controlled. The atomic diffusion speed is in the order of 10 m/s. Hence it is rather unlikely that this effect explains the difference in nucleation undercooling in 1g and $\mu$g.

![Figure 6: Distribution of nucleation undercooling as measured on Al$_{49}$Ni$_{60}$ alloy by electromagnetic levitation (EML) in reduced gravity on board the International Space Station (ISS).](image)

The maximum undercoolings as measured both in 1g and $\mu$g are significantly smaller than estimated for the physical limit of the undercoolability as given by the onset of homogeneous nucleation. According to work by David Turnbull [32] the nucleation limit in the presence of homogeneous nucleation should be in the order of $\Delta T_{max} \approx 0.2 T_L = 374$ K with $T_L = 1870$ K the liquidus temperature of Al$_{49}$Ni$_{60}$ alloy. Therefore, there must be a specific heterogeneous nucleation side being active in the levitation experiments.

In case of Al-based alloys it is well known that there is a strong tendency of Al in the liquid state of the alloy to form Al$_2$O$_3$ oxide. This oxide is very stable with a melting temperature of $T_L \approx 2350$ K and a boiling temperature of about 3225 K. If processing the Al-Ni alloy in an environmental gas atmosphere a solid Al$_2$O$_3$ layer is formed at the surface of the sample during heating within a time interval that is short compared with the processing time. In the present experiments the Al$_{49}$Ni$_{60}$ sample is processed in an Ar atmosphere of nominal purity of 6N at a pressure of 350 mbar. If one assumes a reaction probability of 1 (each individual reaction $4\text{Al} + 3\text{O}_2 = 2\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ is successful) a single surface layer of Al$_2$O$_3$ is formed at a pressure of $10^{-4}$ mbar within a time interval of 1 second. Under the present experimental conditions, the partial pressure of oxygen is in the order of $10^{-4}$ mbar. Therefore, the time for the formation of an Al$_2$O$_3$ surface layer is in the order of $10^{-2}$ seconds and, hence, is much smaller than the processing time in the order of several seconds. At temperatures $T > 730$ K a surface layer of Al$_2$O$_3$ is progressively formed which can act as an effective heterogeneous nucleation side. If the Al$_2$O$_3$ would be present during cooling the liquid sample will crystallize immediately if the temperature is falling below the liquidus temperature because of the high catalytic potency of Al$_2$O$_3$ for the formation of a heterogeneous nucleus of critical size.

There are chemical reactions which transfer the Al$_2$O$_3$ to AlO and Al$_2$O as investigated by Hoch and Johnston [33]. These are the following transformations:

$$
\begin{align*}
4 \text{Al} + \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 & \rightarrow 3 \text{Al}_2\text{O} \\
\text{Al}_2\text{O} + \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 & \rightarrow 3 \text{AlO} \\
\end{align*}
$$

at temperatures $1273 \text{ K} < T < 1573 \text{ K}$

at temperatures $T > 1873 \text{ K}$

Al$_2$O and AlO are highly volatile as shown by the same authors. An AlO sample in mass of 15 mg completely evaporates within 1 hour at a temperature of 2150 K. Therefore, a surface layer of Al$_2$O$_3$ in thickness of e.g. 10 nm may disappear within a time interval of a few seconds if the melt is heated up to 2170 K prior to cooling. Such a time interval is comparable with the experimental time for a heating and solidification cycle in the EML.

There is another transformation of Al$_2$O$_3$ that merits attention in the present discussion. Crystalline Al$_2$O$_3$, c-Al$_2$O$_3$, transforms to amorphous Al$_2$O$_3$, a-Al$_2$O$_3$ in the temperature regime 1000 K < $T$ < 1300 K. Since both solid phases have different mass densities of 3.2 g/cm$^3$ in case of c-Al$_2$O$_3$ and 3.98 g/cm$^3$ in case of a-Al$_2$O$_3$ a solid Al$_2$O$_3$ layer may crack upon heating an Al-Ni sample forming nanosized Al$_2$O$_3$ clusters which can dissolve...
into the liquid sample and can act as heterogeneous nucleation sides within the volume of the liquid alloy [34]. The curvature of the nm particles determines the catalytic potency of these heterogeneous nucleation modes [35]. The dissolution of the particles with respect to their distribution inside the liquid depends on the fluid flow motion and can affect the maximum undercooling and may be one reason for the different undercoolings measured in 1g and µg. Further on, also the liquid of the metallic melt in contact with the solid layer of Al2O3 develops an ordering within some interatomic distances as evidenced by investigations on undercooled pure Al [36]. This ordering affects the nucleation undercooling as discussed by Greer [37]. It can be speculated that this ordering mechanism depends on the fluid flow motion inside the melt and therefore affects the nucleation undercooling. All of these aspects shall be investigated in more detail to understand the different undercoolings of liquid Al40Ni60 alloy as measured in 1g and µg, respectively.

In addition to the nucleation issue also the dendrite growth velocity kinetics was investigated in the EML-ISS experiments of Al40Ni60 alloy. For doing so the concept as described in detail elsewhere has been applied to determine the velocity of the solidification front that propagates through the volume of the sphere-like sample [38]. In the previous experiments both on Earth and during parabolic flight missions the growth velocity was directly inferred from the propagation speed of the intersection line of the solidification front with the sample surface. This data is therefore afflicted with a systematic error which is under investigation by re-evaluating the previous experiments using the method. Figure 7 shows the preliminary evaluation of the dendrite growth velocity as a function of undercooling (red stars) as measured on Al40Ni60 alloy during the EML experiments on board the ISS. These measurements are compared with previous results of measurements of the dendrite growth velocity both during parabolic flight missions (blue triangles and red squares) and in the Earth laboratory (green circles). The upper part of the figure 7 displays three photographs taken by the high speed camera at subsequent steps during solidification. The solid part appears brighter than the liquid because the solidified part is heated up due to the release of the heat of fusion. According to Figure 7 no essential difference can be detected for all measurements within the scatter of the data. There is one exception. During a previous parabolic flight mission, the same alloy was processed using the TEMPUS facility. It succeeded to record one value of the dendrite growth velocity at an undercooling of 150 K. This value is significantly smaller than the value of the dendrite growth velocity measured at the same undercooling under terrestrial conditions.

5. Phase identification in Al-Ni alloys

AlNi alloys are important to the catalysis industry. The activity of Raney nickel catalyst is strongly affected by the morphology and weight fraction of Al3Ni in the structure. Al48Ni32 and Al59Ni21 were atomized using IA in both helium and nitrogen gases. The microstructure of a range of powder sizes was examined quantitatively using Neutron Diffraction and SEM [39, 40, 41]. Similar compositions were slowly cooled (0.08 and 0.33 K/s) in a Differential Scanning Calorimeter (DSC) and microstructural examination. Also, an Al68Ni32 droplet was processed using the TEXUS sounding rocket which provided 320 seconds of reduced microgravity. The sample from the TEXUS flight was examined using Neutron Diffraction and SEM. Identification and quantification of the Al3Ni2 and Al3Ni phases was carried out.

Figure 7: The dendrite growth velocity V as a function of undercooling ∆T of Al40Ni60 alloy, measured under terrestrial conditions (green circles), during parabolic flight missions (blue triangles, red squares) and on board the International Space Station using the EML (red open stars). The ingots in the upper part display three pictures taken by the high speed camera at subsequent steps of solidification.
Comparing the phase quantification of Al₃Ni₂ and Al₃Ni for the IA, DSC and TEXUS samples revealed that cooling rate played a major role in the formation of these phases and their phase fractions.

Figure 8 shows the ratio of Al₃Ni/Al₃Ni₂ versus cooling rate for both Al₆₈Ni₃₂ and Al₇₉Ni₂₁ alloys processed using EML, IA and DSC [42]. The work of Patchett and Abbaschian is also shown in Figure 8. For the Al₆₈Ni₃₂ alloy, the ratio of Al₃Ni/Al₃Ni₂ appears to decrease with increasing cooling rate and then increase again. Also the ratio is higher than for Al₇₉Ni₂₁ alloy and the trend with increasing cooling rate is the opposite. As the cooling rate increases, the ratio of Al₃Ni/Al₃Ni₂ increases and appears to reach a maximum at around 100 K/s. Thereafter the ratio decreases with increasing cooling rate. The results seem to suggest that coarser sized powders may offer the optimum ratio of Al₃Ni/Al₃Ni₂ for catalyst performance.

Figure 8: The Al₃Ni/Al₃Ni₂ ratio as a function of cooling rate for Al₆₈Ni₃₂ and Al₇₉Ni₂₁ samples produced using IA, DSC (0.08 and 0.33 K/s) and EML during TEXUS 44 campaign (Al₆₈Ni₃₂ only), as well as results reported by Patchett and Abbaschian for Al₆₈Ni₃₂ [43]. The measured cooling rate of the TEXUS sample (Outer Rim) varies from 14 K/s before solidification to 10 K/s after. The inner parts cooling rate (dotted line) is unknown but is less than at the outer rim. The two dashed lines highlight the trends in Al₃Ni/Al₃Ni₂ ratio for the two compositions studied.

6. Summary and conclusion

It was demonstrated that solidification kinetics and microstructure evolution is very much influenced by changes in mass and heat transport due to forced convection during experiments under terrestrial conditions. These disturbing effects can be eliminated by careful analogous experiments in reduced gravity environment as demonstrated for measurements of dendrite growth velocity of undercooled Al-Ni alloy. Highly accurate measurements in reduced gravity are therefore a mandatory requirement to quantitatively verify solidification models in particular in the regime of crystallization velocities being of comparable order of magnitude of the fluid flow velocity induced by forced convection. The upcoming period of the use of the International Space Station opens up a new area of research in which continuous and long duration series of experimental investigations in reduced gravity become possible.
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