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Simple, direct routes to polymer brush traps and nanostructures for studies of diffusional transport in supported lipid bilayers
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ABSTRACT

Patterned poly(oligo ethylene glycol) methyl ether methacrylate (POEGMEMA) brush structures may be formed by using a combination of atom-transfer radical polymerization (ATRP) and UV photopatterning. UV photolysis is used to selectively dechlorinate films of 4-(chloromethyl)phenyltrichlorosilane (CMPTS) adsorbed on silica surfaces, by exposure either through a mask or using a two-beam interferometer. Exposure through a mask yields patterns of carboxylic acid terminated adsorbates. POEGMEMA may be grown from intact Cl initiators that were masked during exposure. Corrals, traps and other structures formed in this way enable the
patterning of proteins, vesicles and, following vesicle rupture, supported lipid bilayers (SLBs). Bilayers adsorbed on the carboxylic acid terminated surfaces formed by C-Cl bond photolysis in CMPTS exhibit high mobility. SLBs do not form on POEGMEMA. Using traps consisting of carboxylic acid functionalized regions enclosed by POEGMEMA structures, electrophoresis may be observed in lipid bilayers containing a small amount of a fluorescent dye. Segregation of dye at one end of the traps was measured by fluorescence microscopy. The increase in the fluorescence intensity was found to be proportional to the trap length, while the time taken to reach the maximum value was inversely proportional to the trap length, indicating uniform, rapid diffusion in all of the traps. Nanostructured materials were formed using interferometric lithography. Channels were defined by exposure of CMPTS films to maxima in the interferogram, and POEGMEMA walls were formed by ATRP. As for the micrometer-scale patterns, bilayers did not form on the POEGMEMA structures, and high lipid mobilities were measured in the polymer-free regions of the channels.

**INTRODUCTION**

Lipid membranes play a central role in biology: they form the cellular membrane, separating the interior of the cell from its external environment, and they provide the means by which the interior of the cell is compartmentalized into discrete organelles. Understanding how biological systems use compartmentalization is a fundamental scientific challenge, and one that is also intricately connected with attempts to build biologically-inspired nanosystems. However, native lipid membranes are difficult to study in situ. Supported lipid bilayers (SLBs) provide a convenient model for biological lipid membranes, facilitating direct interrogation by a plethora of techniques, including spectroscopic methods, quartz crystal microbalance measurements, surface plasmon resonance and atomic force microscopy. SLBs may be formed by the
adsorption, fusion and rupture of vesicles from an aqueous solution onto a clean oxide substrate. Although the precise mechanism for this process is not fully understood, it is thought that electrostatic interactions between the lipids and substrate play an important role. Clean silica substrates, or other inorganic surfaces such as mica, have been widely used; vesicles rupture readily on these surfaces to form continuous and highly mobile SLBs. There has also been much interest in forming SLBs on other surfaces, including polymers.

The dynamical behavior of lipids and membrane components is important in controlling many biological processes. For example, bacterial photosynthesis is driven by a variety of membrane transport processes, including intra-membrane transfer of charge, via diffusion of quinols, and transmembrane proton transport through the activity of cytochrome $bc_1$ and ATPsynthase. In eukaryotes, Groves and co-workers have demonstrated the importance of intra-membrane transport in the immune system. Using “mazes” (collections of staggered lines) formed from 100-200 nm wide, 5.5 nm high chrome structures at 1.5 – 2 μm spacings, they were able to investigate the role of spatial organization in T-cell receptor signalling. It was found that the recognition of a peptide antigen by T cells involves coordinated movement of T cell receptors (TCRs) along with other co-stimulatory and signaling molecules, leading to the formation of immunological synapses, in which cluster size directly influences protein spatial positioning. However, in a review of bilayer patterning techniques, DeMond and Groves noted a wide range of significant experimental challenges. In particular, there are few reliable methods for control of bilayer organization, and substantial problems associated with the incorporation of transmembrane proteins into supported lipid bilayers.

There has been interest in the formation of patterned SLBs for use in studies of electrophoresis. By applying an electric field in the plane of the SLB, charged components such as lipids could be...
moved. This was first demonstrated by Sackman et al, who used electrophoresis to determine the mobility and diffusion coefficients of lipids in an SLB.\textsuperscript{19} Yoshina-Ishii and Boxer continued this work by showing that it was possible to manipulate lipids within membrane arrays.\textsuperscript{20} More recently, Cheetham and Roth and coworkers published a series of papers in which ratchet structures were fabricated by photolithography and micro-contact printing for the movement and concentration of both lipids and membrane proteins within SLBs.\textsuperscript{21-24}

\begin{figure}[h]
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\caption{Top: reaction scheme for the photochemical oxidation of CMPTS. Bottom: schematic diagram showing the fabrication of SLBs confined by poly(oligoethylene glycol)methacrylate brushes.}
\end{figure}

The present work reports a new approach to the fabrication of structures for the investigation of dynamic phenomena in SLBs (Figure 1). The method is effective across a wide range of length scales, from hundreds of \( \mu \)m to tens of nm, and relies upon simple chemistry. When 4-(chloromethyl)phenyltrichlorosilane (CMPTS) is exposed to UV light, photolysis of the C-Cl bond occurs to create first an aldehyde and then a carboxylic acid (Fig. 1b).\textsuperscript{25} This rapid process enables the definition of hydrophilic, anionic regions in which SLBs may be formed. Lipid mobilities on such surfaces are comparable to those observed on glass. To contain lipid diffusion,
“walls” are grown from unmodified regions of the sample by atom-transfer radical polymerization (ATRP)\textsuperscript{26-27} of oligo(ethylene glycol) methyl ether methacrylate (OEGMEMA), using intact Cl as the initiator (Fig 1c); SLBs are then deposited by standard methods into the carboxylate regions (Fig 1d). Trap structures were formed and used in studies of electrophoresis. ATRP of OEGMEMA\textsuperscript{28-31} and of zwitterionic monomers such as 2-methacrylolooxyethyl phosphorylcholine,\textsuperscript{32-33} sulfobetaine methacrylates\textsuperscript{34} and amino acid methacrylates\textsuperscript{35} has been shown to be a very effective means of passivating surfaces against adsorption of biological molecules.\textsuperscript{36-37} By carrying out exposure using a two-beam interferometer, nanostructured polymers were also formed that enclosed nanostructured lipid channels, and used to study diffusional transport in confined environments.

**EXPERIMENTAL SECTION**

Silicon wafers (test grade, B-doped, <100>, 380 μm thick) were supplied by Pi-KEM (Peterbrough, UK). Copper electron microscope grids (1000-2000 mesh) were obtained from Agar Scientific (Stanstead, UK). 4-(Chloromethyl)phenyltrichlorosilane was obtained from Alfa Aesar (Heysham, UK). oligo(ethylene glycol) methyl ether methacrylate (Mn 475), 2,2’-bipyridyl (Bipy, >99%), copper (I) bromide (99%) and copper (II) bromide (99.5%) were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (Poole, UK). 1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine (POPC) and 1,2-dioleoyl-3-trimethylammonium-propane (DOTAP) were purchased from Avanti Polar Lipids (Alabaster, AL). Atto 590 labeled 1, 2-dioleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoehanomamine (Atto590-DOPE) and Atto 488 labeled 1, 2-dioleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoehanomamine (Atto488-DOPE) were purchased from Atto-TEC (Siegen, Germany).

To prepare polymer brushes by ATRP, samples were placed in carousel tubes, sealed, degassed and placed under nitrogen. In a round-bottom flask, water (10 mL) and methanol (10 mL) were
added to monomer and the solution degassed for 30 min. To the monomer solution, 0.37g of copper (I) bromide and 0.81 g of 2,2’-bipyridyl were added and the solution was degassed for a further 5 min and sonicated. 1-2 mL of the monomer-catalyst solution was added to the carousel tubes and the samples were left to polymerize for various times (to control the brush thickness). Once the polymerization was complete the samples were sonicated in water, rinsed with ethanol and blown dry with nitrogen.

Dried lipids (DOTAP:POPC:Atto590-DOPE = 24.9:74.6:0.5) were dissolved in a 50:50 mixture of HPLC-grade chloroform and methanol and transferred to glass vials. The lipids were dried under a flow if nitrogen for 1 h and re-hydrated using phosphate buffer (a 10 mM mixture of sodium dihydrogen phosphate and disodium hydrogen phosphate in deionized water, adjusted to pH 7.1 with NaOH or HCl. Vesicle solutions (1.0 mg mL$^{-1}$) were prepared by vortex mixing for 1 min (Vortex Genie2, Jencons Ltd, Leighton Buzzard, UK) to create multilamellar vesicles as a cloudy suspension. Small unilamellar vesicles were prepared by tip sonication of the aforementioned solution (Branson Sonifer 750, Branson Ultrasonics Corp, Danbury, CT) at 4 °C for 30 min, during which time the suspension became clear. The suspension was centrifuged (Heraeus Fresco 17, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Loughborough, UK) for 1 min at 14 500 g, after which the Ti precipitate (formed at the surface of the tip of the sonicator during the tip-sonication process) was removed and the supernatant was retained. The suspension was diluted with phosphate buffer to 0.5 mg mL$^{-1}$ prior to use and stored at 4 °C in the dark for no longer than 5 days.

Bilayer formation was carried out in a custom-built flow cell. For bare glass substrates, the vesicles were injected and incubated for 1 h at 22 °C. The samples were rinsed subsequently for 20 min with degassed, deionized water at a flow rate of 2.6 mL min$^{-1}$. For the polymer brush
patterns, the samples were first soaked in buffer solution for 10 min, followed by injection of vesicles, incubation and rinsing.

Photopatterning was carried out using a Coherent Innova 300C frequency-doubled argon ion laser (Coherent UK, Cambridge, UK) emitting at 244 nm. Micropatterns were formed by carrying out the exposure through a mask. Interferometric lithography was carried out as described previously using a Lloyd’s mirror interferometer in combination with the same laser. The laser beam was directed at a sample stage and mirror held at an angle \(2\theta\) relative to each other, such that half the beam struck the sample and the other half struck the mirror from where it was reflected onto the sample to interfere with the first half of the beam. The resulting interferogram had a sinusoidal cross-section with a period \(\lambda/2\sin\theta\).

X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was carried out using a Kratos Axis Ultra X-ray photoelectron spectrometer equipped with a monochromatized X-ray source operating at a power of 150 W and emission current of 8 mA. Samples were mounted using double-sided adhesive tape, and an electron flood was used to compensate for sample charging. Electron energy analyzer pass energies of 160 eV and 20 eV were used to acquire wide (survey) spectra and high resolution spectra, respectively. Data were analyzed using CasaXPS software (Casa, http://www.casaxps.com, UK).

Secondary ion mass spectrometry (SIMS) was carried out using an IonToF SIMS V imaging secondary ion mass spectrometer (IonToF, Münster, Germany), equipped with a bismuth cluster source and a single-stage reflectron time-of-flight mass analyzer. A minimum of 2 spectra per sample and multiple samples were analyzed. High mass-resolution images were obtained by using high-current bunched mode, with Bi\(_2^+\) as the primary projectile and a target current of 0.1 pA. The data were analyzed using the SurfaceLab 6 software (IonToF).
Fluorescence microscopy was carried out using an epifluorescence microscope (Nikon Instruments Europe, B.V., Kingston, UK). Fluorescence images were captured using a 12-bit greyscale digital camera, Orca-ER (Hamamatsu Photonics UK Ltd, Welwyn Garden City, UK).

Atomic force microscopy was carried out using a Digital Instruments Nanoscope IV Multimode instrument (Veeco, Santa Barbara, USA) equipped with a ‘J’ scanner (0 – 125 μm). In contact mode, silicon nitride nanoprobes with nominal force constants of 0.06 or 0.12 N m⁻¹ and tip radii in the range 20 – 60 nm were used (Bruker, Coventry, UK). In tapping mode silicon probes with spring constants between 20 and 80 Nm⁻¹ were used (Bruker). Prior to analysis samples were washed with ethanol and dried under a stream of nitrogen. Samples were then secured to a metal disc using double-sided adhesive tape.

Fluorescence recovery after photobleaching (FRAP) was carried out using an epifluorescence microscope (E600 Nikon, USA). A small amount of Atto590-DOPE was introduced to the lipid mixture, and the sample was illuminated and bleached by a high pressure mercury arc lamp. The bleached spot radius was 14 μm when using a 40× objective lens. Fluorescence images were collected using a Zyla sCMOS CCD (Andor Technology Ltd, Belfast, UK) with 2 × 2 binning, and recorded on NIS elements software. Images were collected until complete fluorescence recovery was observed. The Axelrod method of analysis was employed, which provides both the diffusion coefficient and the mobile fraction.

Electrophoresis was carried out in a home-built flow cell, which served to maintain the membrane in an aqueous environment and facilitate the connection of external electrodes to the on-substrate interdigitated electrodes. An arbitrary waveform generator (Thurlby Thandar Instruments Ltd, Huntingdon, UK) and a home-built amplifier were used to generate the
electrical signal for the experiments. Currents of 10 – 100 μA between the electrodes were monitored using a Keithley picoammeter (Keithley Instruments Ltd, Theale, UK). A constant flow of degassed deionized water at 0.75 mL min\(^{-1}\) was maintained for the duration of the experiment to reduce Joule heating generated by the electric current, maintain a constant temperature and remove bubbles generated by redox processes at the electrode surfaces.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

**POEGMEMA Patterning**

![Figure 2](image)

**Figure 2.** (a) Variation in the advancing water contact angle with UV exposure for CMPTS films. (b) XPS Cl2p spectra recorded before and after exposure of samples to 4 J cm\(^{-2}\) of UV irradiation.

A detailed investigation of the mechanism of dehalogenation of CMPTS films was reported previously by Sun et al.,\(^{25}\) who reported a substantial decline in contact angle following exposure
of films to UV light. To confirm that the dehalogenation reaction was occurring as required, the change in contact angle was measured as a function of the UV exposure (Figure 2a). The contact angle of the virgin film was 68°, and this declined to 10° after an exposure of 2.7 J cm\(^{-2}\). Thereafter, no significant change in contact angle was measured. XPS Cl\textsubscript{2p} spectra were acquired before and after exposure of films to 4 J cm\(^{-2}\) of UV light (Figure 2b). It can be seen that at this exposure, Cl is undetectable by XPS. An exposure of 4 J cm\(^{-2}\) was deemed suitable for all of the subsequent patterning experiments.

Figure 3. (a) AFM topographical image of a patterned POEGMEMA brush formed by UV exposure of a CMPTS film through a mask, followed by ATRP. (b) Line section through (a). (c,d) Fluorescence microscopy images of trap structures formed by photopatterning of CMPTS combined with ATRP after immersion in a solution of GFP. GFP adsorbs to the carboxylic acid terminated regions of the pattern formed by UV exposure (bright contrast) but not the POEGMEMA brushes (dark contrast).
Poly(oligo(ethylene glycol) methyl ether methacrylate) (POEGMEMA) brushes may be grown from halogenated surfaces by ATRP to yield thick, highly protein-resistant surfaces. Growth is slower from chlorinated surfaces than from the more commonly used bromine initiators, but is nevertheless substantial. Patterned brushes were fabricated by first exposing CMPTS films to UV irradiation (4 J cm$^{-2}$ at 244 nm) through a 2000 mesh electron microscope grid, and then subsequently carrying out ATRP. Because a grid was used as a mask, a large number of features were fabricated close together, enabling the uniformity of the patterning process to be evaluated. Figure 3a shows an AFM tapping mode topographical image of a typical sample. The dark squares correspond to regions that were exposed to UV light; here the Cl has been removed by C-Cl bond photolysis and no polymer grows. The bars (bright contrast) correspond to regions that were masked during UV exposure. Here polymer molecules have grown from surface-immobilized Cl initiators. Line sections (Figure 3b shows a representative example) indicated that the mean thickness of the brush layer, measured as the height difference between the masked and exposed regions, was 104 nm.

To further test the effectiveness of the polymer patterning, samples were immersed in solutions of green fluorescent protein (GFP). GFP is not expected to adsorb to POEGMEMA, which exhibits strong resistance to protein adsorption, but it is expected to adsorb to POEGMEMA-free regions defined by dehalogenation of the CMPTS film. Figure 3c,d show fluorescence microscopy images of a trap structure formed by UV exposure of CMPTS through a mask, followed by ATRP of OEGMEMA and immersion in GFP solution. Dark contrast is observed from regions that were masked during exposure (for example, the triangular features in Figure 3d). However, bright contrast is observed on regions that were exposed to UV light. The contrast
difference between the masked and exposed regions is abrupt, indicating that the patterning has been effective.

To achieve mobile SLBs of high quality, it is essential that there be low rates of polymer growth from the exposed regions of the patterns. Because high molecular weights may be achieved via ATRP, defects are effectively amplified. To assess polymer growth from residual Cl ‘defects’ in the exposed regions, imaging secondary ion mass spectrometry (SIMS) was used to characterize trap structures similar to the one in Figure 3c,d. SIMS enables retrospective mass spectral imaging at high spatial resolution. Figure 4a shows a region of the negative ion SIMS spectrum of an unpatterned POEGMEMA brush. The spectrum exhibits a plethora of oxygen-containing fragment ions that may be used to differentiate the brush from the surrounding surface. Patterned samples were imaged by mapping the intensity of the C$_2$H$_3$O$^-$ species (m/z 43). Figure 4b shows a secondary ion image formed for a trap structure similar to the one shown in Figure 3c,d. The regions that exhibited dark contrast in Figure 3c,d are thought to be occupied by polymer brushes, and this is confirmed by the C$_2$H$_3$O$^-$ image. In contrast, the SIMS image exhibits dark contrast in regions corresponding to those that displayed bright contrast in Figure 3c,d. This confirms that the polymer is largely absent from the exposed regions of the sample; if polymers are formed from low densities of Cl ‘defects’, they are present at levels too small to be readily detectable by SIMS.
Figure 4. (a) Negative ion SIMS spectrum of an unpatterned POEGMEMA brush. (b) SIMS image of a POEGMEMA trap structure formed by mapping the intensity of the C$_2$H$_5$O$^-$ species.

SLB Formation

To test the effectiveness of POEGMEMA as a means of confining SLBs, trap structures were fabricated as described above and incubated in suspension containing vesicles formed using a 24.9:74.6:0.5 DOTAP:POPC:Atto590-DOPE mixture. The DOTAP is positively charged and is expected to have a favorable electrostatic interaction with carboxylate groups formed at the photo-modified CMPTS surface, aiding vesicle rupture.

To evaluate the efficacy of confinement of the SLB by the POEGMEMA brushes, trap structures were formed and characterized by fluorescence microscopy after deposition of vesicles (Figure 5a,b). It can be seen that the lipids are confined to the carboxylic acid terminated regions formed during UV exposure: the pattern of fluorescence from the lipid layer in Figure 5a matches the distribution of intensity due to GFP in Figure 3c. The high magnification image...
(Figure 5b) displays a clear contrast difference between the triangular lipid-free regions (dark) and the surrounding SLB (bright). These data confirm that POEGMEMA brushes resist the formation of an SLB, and are a highly effective and convenient means to organize SLBs into patterns.

**Figure 5.** (a,b) Fluorescence micrographs of a trap structure formed by UV exposure of a CMPTS film, ATRP of OEGMEMA and SLB deposition. Areas masked during UV light (for example the region marked A in Fig5a) support growth of POEGMEMA by ATRP, and thus resist SLB formation, while exposed regions such as B are polymer-free and facilitate SLB formation. The laser spot used during patterning was somewhat larger than the dimensions of the trap structure defined by the photolithography mask, hence removal of halogen also occurs.
outside the trap structure, enabling formation of an SLB there (eg. at C). (c) Fluorescence micrograph showing a bleached spot formed in the small circular region identified in Figure 5a. (d) Fluorescence micrograph of the same region acquired after 5 min. (e) Variation in fluorescence intensity in the bleached region as a function of time after exposure.

FRAP measurements were made to test the mobility of lipids in these patterned bilayers. Figure 5c shows a fluorescence micrograph acquired of a bleached spot (the dark, central feature in the image) formed within the small circular region indicated in the upper central portion of Figure 5a. Figure 5d shows a fluorescence micrograph of the same region acquired 5 min later. It is clear that intensity has recovered fully in the bleached spot as a consequence of lipid diffusion in the SLB. The fluorescence intensity in the bleached region is shown as a function of time in Figure 5e. This fluorescence recovery plot was analyzed using the method of Axelrod et al. The Axelrod method is a well-established method for the analysis of diffusion in supported lipid bilayers. It involves fitting the recovery curve to yield a mathematical relationship between fluorescence intensity and time after bleaching, from which the diffusion coefficient and mobile fraction may be calculated. Analysis of the data in Figure 5e using this method indicated that the mobile fraction was 98% and the diffusion coefficient was 0.84 μm² s⁻¹, comparable to values obtained for SLBs formed from the same lipids on glass. These data demonstrate that the carboxylic acid functionalized surface produced by photochemical modification of the CMPTS film is an excellent substrate for SLB formation.

Electrophoresis

Trap structures were defined by using mask-based photolithography to expose CMPTS films, and the resulting carboxylic acid functionalized regions were enclosed by POEGMEMA by using ATRP to grow brushes from intact Cl in regions that were masked during exposure. After
incubation in Atto590-labelled DOTAP-POPC lipid vesicles, the sample was imaged using fluorescence microscopy (Figure 6a). It may be seen that the fluorescence intensity is confined to the traps, and that it is uniformly distributed across their length.

Figure 6. Fluorescence micrographs of trap structures before (a) and after application of a 100 V dc potential for 20 min. (c) Time dependence of the fluorescence intensity measured at the left-hand side of the trap structures in Figures 6a,b.

A 100 V dc potential was applied parallel to the long axes of the trap structures. After 20 min, the distribution of fluorescence intensity was non-uniform. Intensity was found to have accumulated in the ‘nest’ of the trap, at the left-hand side of the structures in Figure 6a,b. This is consistent with movement of lipids opposite to the electric field direction. This movement of lipids was confirmed by measuring the intensity of fluorescence in the nest as a function of time.
Initially the fluorescence intensity changes slowly, but after 400 s, the intensity starts to rise rapidly, indicating the presence of highly mobile lipids in the traps. The increase in fluorescence intensity in the nest is proportional to the length of the trap, so the brightest fluorescence is observed for the longest trap. The longest traps also require the longest time to reach a limiting value, consistent with the fact that lipid transport occurs over longer distances. For the shorter traps, a limiting intensity is reached much more quickly. The proportionality between fluorescence intensity and trap length indicates that the charged fluorescent species are mobile along the lengths of the traps.

**Lipid diffusion in nanostructures**

It is known that rates of diffusion of lipids in SLBs may be reduced when the bilayers are formed into channels narrower than 50 nm.\(^{41-42}\) For example, Tsai et al used electron beam lithography to fabricate barriers with periods of 125 and 250 nm, and containing gaps that varied from 30 – 50 nm.\(^{42}\) They found that such structures were useful in capturing the diffusional behavior of membrane lipids. To examine the feasibility of using polymer brushes for studies of lipid diffusion in confined geometries, nanostructures were fabricated by interferometric lithography (IL). A particularly attractive feature of IL for such studies is the fact that patterning occurs simultaneously over a macroscopic region (~ 1 cm\(^2\) in the apparatus used here). Portions of silicon wafer derivatized with a CMPTS film were placed in the interferometer and exposed to UV light, before growth of polymer brushes by ATRP. In IL, the sample is exposed to an interferogram with a sinusoidal cross-section; hence the resulting patterns exhibit a gradient character because the intensity of illumination varies in a gradient fashion. Control of the exposure conditions and development process (in this case, brush growth) provides control over the dimensions and properties of the resulting structures. The period may be controlled by
changing the angle between the sample and mirror in the interferometer, with a theoretical minimum period of $\lambda/2$.

Figure 7. (a) AFM height image of POEGMEMA nanostructures formed by using IL to expose a CMPTS film, followed by ATRP. (b) Line section through the height image in (a). (c) Fluorescence microscopy image of the sample shown in (a) after immersion in a suspension of Atto488-labelled vesicles.

Figure 7 shows an AFM topographical image of a nanostructured sample formed as described above. The period was selected to be large (1.39 \(\mu\)m) because it was intended to use fluorescence microscopy to characterize the structure. The cross section reveals that the polymer structures have a FWHM of 750 nm. The line section indicates that the polymer-free region, where the CMPTS film was exposed to a maximum in the interferogram, has a width of \(\sim 300\) nm. To test the effectiveness of these structures at confining vesicle deposition, fluorescence microscopy was carried out after incubation of the sample with DOTAP:POPC:Atto488-DOPE vesicles. Very narrow bands of fluorescence were observed (Figure 7c). The widths of these features are similar to the diffraction limit for this dye, \(\sim 300\) nm, consistent with the approximate widths of the
polymer-free regions observed in the AFM images. Clearly a precise estimation of the feature sizes is not possible by fluorescence microscopy, but the data provide very good evidence that nanostructured POEGMEMA brushes are effective at localizing vesicles in narrow regions.

Figure 8. (a) AFM height image of POEGMEMA nanostructures formed by using IL to expose a CMPTS film, followed by ATRP. (b) Line section through the height image in (a). (c) Fluorescence microscopy image of the sample shown in (a) after deposition of an SLB and photobleaching. (d) Fluorescence microscopy image acquired 375 s after photobleaching. (e) Recovery in fluorescence as a function of time after photobleaching.

To determine whether the lipids in these structures remained mobile, DOTAP:POPC:Atto590-DOPE vesicles were deposited into nanolines and ruptured to yield SLBs. The resulting
nanostructured bilayers were investigated using FRAP. Figure 8a shows an AFM topographical image of a nanostructured surface prior to SLB formation. The associated line section is shown in Figure 8b. The period of the POEGMEMA nanolines was 926 nm, slightly smaller than the period in Fig 7a, but the width of the polymer-free region was similar (~300 nm). After deposition of vesicles, lines of lipids could not be resolved because the microscope used for FRAP measurements was fitted with a less powerful objective. After photobleaching, a dark, spot was observed (Figure 8c). After 375 s, the fluorescence had recovered in the bleached region, indicating that the lipids were mobile in the nanostructured channels formed between POEGMEMA structures. Analysis of the fluorescence recovery curve (Figure 8e) yielded a diffusion rate of 0.47 \( \mu \text{m}^2 \text{s}^{-1} \). Although this is smaller than the value measured for trap structures such as the one in Figure 5, it remains within the range normally expected for mobile lipid bilayers supported on glass substrates. Moreover, the mobile fraction was calculated to be 0.96, indicating a fully mobile lipid bilayer. A systematic investigation of the relationship between channel dimensions and diffusional behavior is beyond the scope of the present study. However, the data presented here demonstrate that fabrication of polymer brush structures by IL is a convenient and effective way of producing structures that facilitate uniform confinement of SLBs over macroscopic areas.

CONCLUSIONS

Photolysis of C-Cl bonds in CMPTS films leads to the formation of carboxylic acid functionalized surfaces. Mobile SLBs are formed on these surfaces. Unmodified regions of the CMPTS film retain Cl, which is an initiator for ATRP. POEGMEMA brushes may be grown to high thicknesses from these surfaces. The brushes resist the deposition of proteins, vesicles and lipid bilayers. The combination of ATRP and photopatterning thus provides a very effective
method to form patterned POEGMEMA brushes. These structures in turn provide a highly
effective means to organize the formation of supported lipid bilayers. The spatial confinement of
the bilayers is precise, and they exhibit similar mobilities to those observed for the same lipids
on glass surfaces. For both micrometer-scale and nanometer-scale structures the mobile fraction
is close to unity. While the mobility is slightly reduced in nanostructured channels, probably as a
consequence of the lateral confinement, it is still significant. This combination of patterning
approaches and surface initiated polymerization used here seems to be a promising approach for
the formation of spatially organized supported lipid bilayers.
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