



This is a repository copy of *Selected advances in genetics-cream of the crop*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:  
<http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/116908/>

---

**Article:**

Elhaik, E. [orcid.org/0000-0003-4795-1084](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4795-1084) (2017) Selected advances in genetics-cream of the crop. *European Journal of Human Genetics*, 25 (5). 657. ISSN 1018-4813

<https://doi.org/10.1038/ejhg.2017.14>

---

**Reuse**

Unless indicated otherwise, fulltext items are protected by copyright with all rights reserved. The copyright exception in section 29 of the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 allows the making of a single copy solely for the purpose of non-commercial research or private study within the limits of fair dealing. The publisher or other rights-holder may allow further reproduction and re-use of this version - refer to the White Rose Research Online record for this item. Where records identify the publisher as the copyright holder, users can verify any specific terms of use on the publisher's website.

**Takedown**

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing [eprints@whiterose.ac.uk](mailto:eprints@whiterose.ac.uk) including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



[eprints@whiterose.ac.uk](mailto:eprints@whiterose.ac.uk)  
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/>

**Review of:** Annual Review of Genetics. Vol 49, 2016

**Edited by:** Bonnie L. Bassler, Michael Lichten, and Gertrud Schüpbach

**Published by:** Annual Reviews

**ISBN:** 978-0-8243-1249-7

**Publication date:** 2015

**Price:** \$99.00

**Selected advances in genetics – cream of the crop**

The lives of scientists are almost as complicated as those of the organisms they study. One particular challenge relates the old generalists versus specialists argument. The choice between the two depends on the scientist's personality and skills, and there is no right answer. Specialists may forever disapprove of the way generalists misunderstand science at the micro-level. Whereas a generalist may criticize the way a specialist's work lacks adequate frame of reference, is too-niche, and lacks applicability to other fields. For this reason, series like The Annual Reviews provide a rare neutral platform where both generalists and specialists can find useful coverage of the knowledge accumulated in their fields.

First published in 1967, with contributions from eminent scholars like Richard Lewontin, the series made a long way over the past 49 years, during which it splits into two with the sister series titled: "Annual Review of Genomics and Human Genetics." However, the relationship between the two series remained convoluted, which reflects

on the “generalists versus specialists” debate: should human studies be printed solely in the sister series as they are more relevant to human scholars or in the original series?

There are good arguments for both options, however rather than taking part in this nearly two decades old debate, I prefer to convey my excitement over finding such a diverse set of high quality papers of a very broad scope covering fundamental aspects of genetics and genomics. A few such topics are gene therapy, nitrogen use in crop plants, DNA repair, meiotic signaling, population genetics, stress signaling, and bioinformatic tools, which appear alongside a comprehensive discussion in the genetic basis of language.

Most readers will not read the entire book. However, those who will browse through will find that the editors of the new Annual Review of Genetics aimed to cover all the major genetic milestones reached during 2015 with a relative success, though with few notable omissions (e.g., CRISPR). Readers will likely stumble upon the fascinating history of patenting genetic material as it developed from the mid-20<sup>th</sup> century. There, authors Sherkow and Greely explain the principles of patent law before they review the short but dense history of genetic patenting. Of special focus are the social and political reactions to recent disputes involving the Human Genome Diversity Project (HGDP), in which the senior-author was personally involved, and Myriad Genetics, INC, which serve as important lessons for follow up endeavors. Disputes over gene patents lasted nearly thirty-five years, and although the Supreme Court’s Myriad decision broke the company’s monopoly on the BRCA1 and BRCA2 in the United States, it is still possible to patent DNA sequences as long as they are “substantially different” from those that occur in nature. It is therefore reasonable to expect that genetic patents will continue

being a bone of contention between those seeking to protect their work and the public that opposes patenting molecules that we all carry.

There are many more fascinating reviews in this 718-page volume, but reviewing them all is unfeasible. In summary, this book contains over 30 high quality chapters that are potentially of interest to anyone interested in genetics as a resource of excellent articles covering a range of disciplines. Including an editorial piece that introduces the topics, explaining why they were selected, and how they mark the milestones reached over the past year would be highly beneficial to guide the reader through the complexities of our field.

Dr. Eran Elhaik

The University of Sheffield

Sheffield, United Kingdom

E-mail: [e.elhaik@sheffield.ac.uk](mailto:e.elhaik@sheffield.ac.uk)