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We present an accurate model of the muon-induced background in the DAMA/LIBRA experiment. Our work challenges proposed mechanisms which seek to explain the observed DAMA signal modulation with muon-induced backgrounds. Muon generation and transport are performed using the MUSIC/MUSUN code, and subsequent interactions in the vicinity of the DAMA detector cavern are simulated with Geant4. We estimate the total muon-induced neutron flux in the detector cavern to be $\Phi^\nu_n = 1.0 \times 10^{-9} \text{cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$. We predict $3.49 \times 10^{-5} \text{counts/day/kg/keV}$, which accounts for less than 0.3% of the DAMA signal modulation amplitude.

I. INTRODUCTION

The DAMA/LIBRA experiment [1] is a highly radiopure NaI(Tl) scintillation detector located at the Gran Sasso National Laboratory (LNGS) which aims to measure the annual modulation signature of dark matter particles [2, 3]. Both the DAMA/LIBRA experiment and the first generation DAMA/NaI experiment reported the observation of an approximately annual variation in the number of events observed in the 2-6 keV energy range with a combined significance of approximately 9.3 $\sigma$ [4]. If the observed signal modulation is to be explained by the elastic scattering of dark matter particles, it would require that the interaction cross-section of dark matter is within values that are already excluded by other experiments [5–11].

One mechanism that has been proposed in order to explain the DAMA signal modulation is the production of neutrons due to the scattering of cosmogenic muons in the material surrounding the detector [12–14]. The cosmogenic muon-induced-neutron flux $\Phi^\nu_n$ is expected to have an annual variation related to the mean air temperature above the surface of the Earth that affects the muon flux $\Phi^\mu$ at the surface, and hence underground. This proposal has been disputed for a number of reasons [3], notably as the annual variation of cosmogenic muon-induced neutrons is approximately 30 days out of phase with the DAMA signal [15–18].

An extension to this mechanism has also been proposed, which introduces the possibility of a contribution to the total neutron flux from the interactions of solar neutrinos [19]. The solar neutrino-induced-neutron flux $\Phi^{\nu}_n$ is also expected to have an annual modulation, due to the eccentricity of the Earth’s orbit about the Sun. It is shown that the phase of $\Phi^{\nu}_n$ can shift the phase of the total neutron flux relative to $\Phi^\mu_n$.

One should note that most explanations focus on the phase, rather than the amplitude, of the modulation. A rough estimate of the modulated rate of muon-induced neutrons $R^\mu_n$ in the DAMA/LIBRA experiment can be calculated as:

$$R^\mu_n = S^\mu \frac{\Phi^\mu_n A t}{m} \approx 4.6 \times 10^{-5} \text{ events / day / kg} \quad (1)$$

where $\Phi^\mu_n$ is taken from previous estimates at LNGS [20, 21], $S^\mu$ is the amplitude of the muon flux modulation [22, 23] (relative to $\Phi^\mu$), $A$ is the active surface area perpendicular to $\Phi^\mu$ of one DAMA/LIBRA detector module, $t$ is the number of seconds in a day and $m$ is the active mass of one detector module. This rate is three orders of magnitude less than the modulated DAMA signal, even before the acceptance for muon-induced events in the DAMA analysis is considered.

Despite the latter calculation, and previous work [3, 17, 18], the recent paper [19] claims that the DAMA signal can partly be explained by muons. The estimate presented by Davis in Ref. [19], includes only a very approximate calculation of the amplitude of muon- and neutrino-induced signals. The calculation of $\Phi^\mu_n$ contradicts the estimates from Refs. [3, 17, 18]. The calculation neglects that most neutrons will be accompanied a showering muon, and would therefore not be accepted by DAMA/LIBRA. Also, the value of the mean free path for neutrons in rock that is used (taken from Ref. [24]), is actually for liquid argon; which has approximately half of the density of LNGS rock. Ref. [25] has additionally shown that the required $\Phi^\mu_n$ is at least six orders of magnitude too large.

It is evident from such contradictions that a full Monte Carlo simulation of the muon-induced background in the DAMA/LIBRA experiment is required. This would be able to model $\Phi^\mu_n$, the detector response and the DAMA event acceptance, and also any enhancement of $\Phi^\nu_n$ due the high-Z shielding used by DAMA/LIBRA.

In this paper, we present an accurate calculation of $\Phi^\nu_n$ and the total muon-induced background in order to show that the DAMA signal modulation cannot be explained by any muon-induced mechanism. We perform a full simulation of the DAMA/LIBRA apparatus and detector shielding in Geant4.9.6 [20], with muons transported to the DAMA cavern in LNGS using the MUSIC/MUSUN code [27, 28]. In total, we simulate twenty years of muon-induced data.

II. SIMULATION FRAMEWORK

We perform the simulation of particle propagation in two stages. In the first stage, only muon transporta-

ground site is considered and secondary particles [29] are neglected. In the second stage, the transport and interactions of all particles (including secondary particles) are fully simulated through the material surrounding the DAMA/LIBRA apparatus.

A. Muon transport simulation

The first stage of the simulation is performed using the MUSIC muon transport code [27, 28]. The MUSIC code propagates muons from the surface of the Earth through a uniform rock of density $\rho = 2.71 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ and records energy distributions of muons at different depths. The MUSUN code [27] calculates muon spectra from the modified Gaisser’s parameterisation that takes into account the curvature of the Earth and muon lifetime, convoluted with the slant depth distribution at LNGS. This parameterisation has been previously shown to have a good fit to LVD data [27]. The MUSUN code subsequently samples muons on the surface of a cuboid with a height of 35 m and perpendicular dimensions of 20 m × 40 m. This cuboid includes most of the corridor where the DAMA/LIBRA experiment is located and a few meters of rock around it.

B. DAMA/LIBRA detector simulation

The second stage of the simulation is performed using Geant4.9.6 [26]. The Geant4.9.6 Shielding physics list has been used, and we include the muon-nuclear interaction process. The interactions of low-energy neutrons (< 20 MeV) are described by high-precision data-driven models [30]. Previous studies [21, 31–34] have validated this model. We model each of the 25 DAMA/LIBRA detector modules, containing a central cuboidal crystal composed of NaI, in addition to light-guides and photomultiplier tubes [1]. The dimensions of each module, including a further 2 mm of copper shielding, are 10.6 × 10.6 × 66.2 cm$^3$. The 25 modules are placed in a 5 × 5 arrangement in the vertical and width dimensions of the cavern.

We model each of the 25 DAMA/LIBRA detector modules, containing a central cuboidal crystal composed of NaI, in addition to light-guides and photomultiplier tubes [1]. The dimensions of each module, including a further 2 mm of copper shielding, are 10.6 × 10.6 × 66.2 cm$^3$. The 25 modules are placed in a 5 × 5 arrangement in the vertical and width dimensions of the cavern.

III. THE MUON-INDUCED NEUTRON FLUX

In the stage of simulation described in Section II B, muons and secondary particles are transported through 10 m of LNGS rock above the DAMA cavern, and also through 5 m on the sides and underside of the cavern. Integrating over the surface area of the cavern, our simulation predicts $\Phi_{\mu}^n = 1.0 \times 10^{-9} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$, excluding back-scattering [36].

In Table I, we compare our result to the simulation of Wulandari et al. [20], which is performed using FLUKA [37], and of Persiani [21], which is performed using Geant4.9.3 and MUSIC/MUSUN. Integrating over all neutron energies, our results are consistent within about 30% of the previous estimates.

We additionally demonstrate a dependence of $\Phi_{\mu}^n$ on the dimensions of the cavern by scaling $\Phi_{\mu}^n$ to the cavern proportions used by Wulandari et al. (compare the first two rows in Table I). We attribute this to the different fluxes and energy spectra of vertical and inclined muons.

High-Z materials in the detector shielding (lead and copper) will lead to an enhancement of $\Phi_{\mu}^n$ which could, potentially, contribute to the modulated signal. Figure 1 shows $\Phi_{\mu}^n$ as a function of neutron energy, as predicted in the LNGS cavern and after all particles are propagated through the various layers of the DAMA/LIBRA shielding. It is shown that $\Phi_{\mu}^n$ increases by a factor of > 5 due to the shielding. As we will discuss in Section IV, this enhancement of $\Phi_{\mu}^n$ is still insufficient to explain the DAMA data.

| Table I. A comparison of $\Phi_{\mu}^n$ (in units of $10^{-10} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$) predicted by this study, Wulandari et al. [20] and Persiani [21]. The column titled ‘Cavern’ indicates the three distinct cavern geometries used: (1) in this study; (2) by Wulandari et al. and (3) by Persiani. The range of considered neutron energies is shown, and ‘(*)’ indicates that back-scattered neutrons are included. |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Cavern | > 0 MeV | > 1 MeV | > 1 MeV (*) |
| This study | (1) | 10 | 4.0 | 5.0 |
| This study | (2) | 7.6 | 5.8 | 10 |
| Wulandari et al. | (2) | No data | 4.3 | 8.5 |
| Persiani | (3) | 7.2 | 2.7 | No data |


IV. ANALYSIS

In our simulation, each detector module is treated independently and all information due to an energy deposition from any particle in the NaI crystal volumes is recorded. DAMA categorises events as being single-hit or multiple-hit if the event has an energy deposit in only a single crystal or in multiple crystals respectively. The DAMA signal region, in which the modulated signal is observed, is then defined only for single-hit events with a total energy deposit ($E_{Dep}$) in the range 2-6 keV.

In the following sections, we will show that the number of muon-induced events entering the DAMA/LIBRA signal region is too low to explain the signal modulation.

A. Resolution and quenching factors

We model the DAMA/LIBRA experimental resolution by applying a Gaussian smearing to the sum of all energy deposited in each crystal, using resolution parameters provided by Ref. [1]. As GEANT4 does not account for the quenching of energy depositions in nuclear recoils, we apply correction factors obtained from previous studies [38–42]. Figure 2 shows the energy spectrum of all crystals with energy depositions, after corrections factors are applied.

B. Single-hit and multiple-hit events

An important detail that is neglected in previous attempts [12–14, 19] to explain the DAMA signal modulation with muon-induced backgrounds is the acceptance for single-hit events. Figure 3 shows the number of crystals in events with $E_{Dep} \geq 2$ keV per crystal, and in which at least one crystal in the event has a total energy absorption in the range 2-6 keV. It is shown that $\leq 9\%$ of these events are single-hit events, which suppresses any enhancement of $\Phi_\mu^e$ due to interactions in the detector shielding.

C. Events in the signal region

In this section, we present the number of muon-induced single-hit events predicted by our simulation. The distribution of the energy deposited in crystals in single-hit events is shown in Figure 4. For $E_{Dep} < 20$ keV, the muon-induced background is dominated by isolated neutrons.

In the range 2-6 keV there are 245 muon-induced events predicted over a period equivalent to twenty years. The total sensitive mass of the DAMA/LIBRA detector is 242.5 kg, therefore we predict the rate of muon-induced events in this energy range to be $3.49 \times 10^{-5}$ counts / day / kg / keV with approximately 6% statistical uncertainty. We estimate the systematic uncertainty to be approximately 30% by comparing different predictions of $\Phi_\mu^e$, as presented in Section III.

We are able to compare our prediction to the conservative estimate presented in Ref. [17, 18] which is in agreement with our results.
The calculated event rate accounts for ~ 0.3% of the modulation amplitude reported by DAMA of \((1.12 \pm 0.12) \times 10^{-2}\) counts / day / kg / keV \(^1\). It is clear from this comparison that, even if the systematic uncertainty is bigger than our estimates, no muon-induced background can be used to explain the observed signal modulation. Our simulations (Figures 3 and 1) also show that, if muon-induced backgrounds could explain the DAMA data, one should expect a non-negligible modulation of the muon-induced background above 6 keV, as well as for events with multiple hits, which is not seen by DAMA.

V. DISCUSSION

In this section we will argue that muon-induced neutrons cannot explain the DAMA data, even before any estimate of \(\Phi_n^\mu\) is performed.

We start the discussion in a general way, by considering any possible source of modulated signal, including dark matter, as has been done in Ref. \(^{[43]}\). The measured rate of events at DAMA/LIBRA is clearly dominated by radioactive background above 6 keV, which imposes a strict limit on any interpretation of the modulated signal. This radioactive background is almost flat at low energies \(^{[43]}\), with the exception of a peak from \(^{40}\)K at about 3 keV, which agrees with the DAMA measurements. To preserve the shape (‘flatness’) of the radioactive background in the region 2-6 keV, the total signal should be small and hence, the modulated fraction of the signal should be large. As an example, the measured modulated signal rate of 0.019 counts / day / kg / keV at 2-3 keV, assumed to be 5% of the total (average) signal, will give the total signal rate of 0.38 counts / day / kg / keV. This is already a significant fraction of the total measured rate at 2-3 keV (about 30%), requiring the radioactive background rate to drop by 30% at this energy whilst maintaining a flat background above 6 keV. No model of radioactivity predicts a dip in the background below 6 keV \(^{[43]}\).

Let us now consider muon-induced backgrounds within this context. We assume that \(\Phi_n^\mu\) and \(\Phi_n^\mu\) are modulated in a similar way, linked to the mean muon energy at LNGS \(^{[44]}\). The LVD \(^{[22]}\) and Borexino \(^{[23]}\) experiments have observed a muon flux modulation in the range of 1.3-1.5% of the total \(\Phi^\mu\). If the modulated signal in DAMA is due to a muon-induced effect, then the total rate of this ‘effect’ will be \(0.0112/0.014 \approx 0.8\) counts / day / kg / keV. This is approximately equal to the total rate of ~ 1 counts / day / kg / keV observed by DAMA in the 2-6 keV energy range \(^{[1]}\). The effect is more dramatic in the 2-3 keV energy range, where the modulated signal is approximately 0.0190 counts / day / kg / keV \(^{[1]}\). This would imply a total muon-induced background of 0.0190/0.014 \(\approx 1.4\) counts / day / kg / keV, which is higher than the total rate of events observed by DAMA. This is excluded by radioactivity models \(^{[43]}\).

It is clear from the latter discussion that for any explanation of the DAMA signal to be consistent with the measured spectrum of events, it must satisfy the following qualitative criteria:

- The amplitude of the effect must be very small compared to the DAMA event rate.
- The modulation amplitude of the effect must not be much smaller than the average amplitude of the effect.
- Any effect not satisfying the latter two criteria implies that there is a new model of suppressed radioactivity in the region 2-6 keV, that does not apply above 6 keV.
- The modulation of the effect must only affect single-hit events, whilst disregarding multiple-hit events.
- The explanation must simultaneously predict the phase and the period of the modulation.

An explanation which incorporates muon-induced backgrounds cannot satisfy these criteria.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

We have presented an accurate simulation of the muon-induced background in the DAMA/LIBRA experiment, in response to proposals to explain the observed DAMA signal modulation with muon-induced neutrons. We have performed a full simulation of the DAMA/LIBRA apparatus, shielding and detector housing using GEANT4.9.6.

We have calculated the muon-induced neutron flux in LNGS to be \(\Phi_n^\mu = 1.0 \times 10^{-9} \text{cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}\) (without backscattering), which is consistent with previous simula-
tions. After selecting events which satisfy the DAMA signal region criteria, our simulation predicts a background rate of $3.49 \times 10^{-5}$ counts / day / kg / keV. This accounts for approximately 0.3% of the modulation amplitude. We find that one would expect a non-negligible modulation of muon-induced background above 6 keV, as well as for events with multiple hits, which is not seen by DAMA.

We conclude from our study that muon-induced neutrons are unable explain the DAMA data. Furthermore, a large signal event rate, independently of the source of this signal, is inconsistent with radioactive background models.

[27] V. Kudryavtsev, Muon simulation codes MUSIC and MUSUN for underground physics, Computer Physics Communications 180 (2009), 339 – 346
[29] We define ‘primary’ particles as those which are present in the surface at the Earth, and ‘secondary’ particles as those which are subsequently produced.
We define the back-scattered neutron flux as including independent counts from neutrons that re-enter the cavern due to scattering in the surrounding rock.