

This is a repository copy of *Transitions between phases of genomic differentiation during stick-insect speciation*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/114656/

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Riesch, R., Muschick, M., Lindtke, D. et al. (16 more authors) (2017) Transitions between phases of genomic differentiation during stick-insect speciation. Nature Ecology and Evolution, 1. 0082.

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-017-0082

Reuse

Unless indicated otherwise, fulltext items are protected by copyright with all rights reserved. The copyright exception in section 29 of the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 allows the making of a single copy solely for the purpose of non-commercial research or private study within the limits of fair dealing. The publisher or other rights-holder may allow further reproduction and re-use of this version - refer to the White Rose Research Online record for this item. Where records identify the publisher as the copyright holder, users can verify any specific terms of use on the publisher's website.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



Transitions between phases of genomic differentiation during stick-insect speciation

- 3
- 4 Rüdiger Riesch^{1*}, Moritz Muschick^{2*}, Dorothea Lindtke^{3*}, Romain Villoutreix^{3*}, Aaron A.
- 5 Comeault⁴, Timothy E. Farkas⁵, Kay Lucek³, Elizabeth Hellen³, Víctor Soria-Carrasco³, Stuart
- 6 R. Dennis⁶, Clarissa F. de Carvalho³, Rebecca J. Safran⁷, Cristina P. Sandoval⁸, Jeff Feder⁹,
- 7 Regine Gries¹⁰, Bernard J. Crespi¹⁰, Gerhard Gries¹⁰, Zach Gompert^{11*}, and Patrik Nosil³
- 8
- ¹School of Biological Sciences, Royal Holloway, University of London, Egham, TW20 0EX,
 UK
- ²Department of Fish Ecology & Evolution, EAWAG Centre for Ecology, Evolution and
- 12 Biogeochemistry, 6047 Kastanienbaum, Switzerland
- ³Department of Animal and Plant Sciences, University of Sheffield, Sheffield, S10 2TN, UK
- ⁴Department of Biology, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, North Carolina, 27599,
 USA
- ⁵Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of Connecticut, Storrs,
- 17 Connecticut 06369, USA
- ⁶Department of Aquatic Ecology, Eawag: Swiss Federal Institute of Aquatic Science and
- 19 Technology, Überlandstrasse 133, 8600 Dübendorf, Switzerland
- ⁷Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of Colorado, Boulder,
- 21 Colorado, 80309, USA
- 22 ⁸Department of Ecology, Evolution, and Marine Biology, University of California, Santa
- 23 Barbara, California, 93106, USA
- ⁹Department of Biology, Notre Dame University, South Bend, Indiana 11111, USA
- ¹⁰Department of Biological Sciences, Simon Fraser University, Burnaby, BC V5A 1S6, Canada
- ¹¹Department of Biology, Utah State University, Logan, Utah 84322, USA
- 27
- 28 *These authors contributed equally to this work.
- 2930 Short title: Genomics of speciation
- 31

32 Speciation can involve a transition from a few genetic loci that are resistant to gene flow

33 to genome-wide differentiation. However, only limited data exist concerning this

- 34 transition and the factors promoting it. We study phases of speciation using data from
- 35 >100 populations of 11 species of Timema stick insects. Consistent with early phases of
- 36 genic speciation, adaptive colour-pattern loci reside in localised genetic regions of
- accentuated differentiation between populations experiencing gene flow. Transitions to
- 38 genome-wide differentiation are also observed with gene flow, in association with
- 39 differentiation in polygenic chemical traits affecting mate choice. Thus, intermediate
- 40 phases of speciation are associated with genome-wide differentiation and mate choice, but
- 41 not growth of a few genomic islands. We also find a gap in genomic differentiation
- 42 between sympatric taxa that still exchange genes and those that do not, highlighting the
- 43 association between differentiation and complete reproductive isolation. Overall, our
- 44 results suggest that substantial progress towards speciation may involve the alignment of
- 45 multi-faceted aspects of differentiation.
- 46
- 47 Speciation involves genetic differentiation¹⁻³. In the absence of gene flow, genome-wide
- 48 differentiation can readily build by selection and drift. Differentiation with gene flow is
- 49 potentially more complex, as the homogenising effects of gene flow must be countered¹⁻³. The
- 50 genic model of speciation proposes that specific genetic regions subject to strong divergent

1 natural or sexual selection become resistant to gene flow (i.e., exhibit 'reproductive isolation',

2 RI) before others^{4,5}. This model thus predicts localised, and potentially few, regions of $\frac{1}{2}$

3 accentuated differentiation or 'genomic islands' at the initiation of speciation^{$1,\bar{6}$}. It also predicts

- that genes subject to divergent selection reside in regions of accentuated differentiation.
 Consistent with such patterns, colour-pattern differences between sub-species of crows and
- races of butterflies map to a few localised peaks of genetic differentiation⁷⁻⁹.
- 7

8 As speciation progresses, additional genetic regions differentiate and the effects of RI become 9 more genome-wide^{1,3-5}, either because genomic islands grow, background differentiation lifts,

10 or a combination of these processes. Differentiation need not be uniform as, for example,

regions experiencing particularly strong selection or reduced recombination still exhibit the greatest differentiation^{1,3,10}. Nonetheless, widespread differentiation is predicted in this

- 13 'genomic' phase of speciation. Evidence for divergent selection promoting this process (rather
- 14 than genome-wide drift) is bolstered if: (1) gene flow is still appreciable, (2) genome-wide

15 differentiation is correlated with environmental differences or traits under divergent selection

16 (i.e., genome-wide 'isolation-by-adaptation', IBA)^{11,12}, and (3) genome-wide responses to

17 selection are confirmed with experiments¹³⁻¹⁵. Genome-wide differences have been

18 documented in herring¹⁶, mosquitoes¹⁷, and apple-maggot flies^{10,14}, and genome-wide IBA has

19 been reported in many organisms^{11,12}. Notably, theory predicts genomic differentiation can be

promoted by polygenic adaptation³, epistasis¹⁸, the coupling of differentiation across loci (as in hybrid zone theory)¹⁹, and mate choice^{20,21}.

21 22

23 Genic and genomic phases of speciation represent extremes on a quantitative spectrum where

differentiation transitions from localised to genome-wide (Fig. 1). This view is consistent with 232224 view is consistent with

25 many models of speciation, and with the biological species concept $^{2,3,22-24}$. Indeed, RI

eventually becomes a property of the entire genome²⁵. Although this spectrum provides a conceptual and theoretical framework for analysing speciation^{1,3-5,19,26}, empirical understanding

of it is limited. This is because replicated genomic studies across the spectrum are still

restricted to a few systems such as cichlid fish²⁷, stickleback²⁸, flycatchers²⁹, and Heliconius

30 butterflies³⁰ (reviewed by¹). Work on these systems suggests that localised differentiation is

31 promoted by divergent selection and reduced recombination, but that genome-wide

32 differentiation can evolve early in speciation^{1,27-30}. However, uncertainties remain about

underlying speciation processes and the role of genomic islands^{23,26,31}. Additional studies of

34 phases of genomic differentiation are required, especially if generalities are to be established.

35

36 Here we study genomic differentiation in Timema stick insects, testing the predictions

37 described above (Fig. 1). We report localised differentiation associated with colour-pattern

38 loci. We find a transition to genome-wide differentiation despite gene flow, associated with

39 mate choice. Indeed, we observe appreciable genome-wide differentiation in sympatry (e.g.,

40 mean $F_{ST} \sim 0.10$, ranging up to 0.27). However, we find little evidence for the growth of

41 genomic islands and report that maximal differentiation is associated with a lack of measurable

42 gene flow. The context-dependent nature of the results renders arguments about the

43 'importance' of the above factors somewhat subjective; different factors affect different

- 44 aspects of differentiation (Fig. 1).
- 45

46 Our data also quantify the 'speciation continuum'. A fairly uniform speciation process should

47 leave an observable and inter-connected continuum of populations varying in differentiation³²,

- 48 a pattern now reported in plant and animal taxa¹. For example, pea aphid host races vary
- 49 quantitatively in levels of genetic differentiation³³, and natural hybridisation between
- 50 butterflies declines gradually with genetic distance³⁴. However, theory predicts that speciation

- 1 can also be a less uniform process with variable dynamics across time or space, due to changes
- 2 in gene flow, sudden coupling of differentiation across loci³, waiting time for mutations¹⁸, non-
- 3 linear accumulation of genetic incompatibilities 18,35 , and rare founder events 25 . If such
- 4 dynamics cause sudden increases, decreases, or halts in the accumulation of differentiation,
 5 then 'gaps' in the speciation continuum may be observed. With sufficient sampling, such gaps
- 6 can be recognised by a paucity of intermediate forms (i.e., bimodal distributions). The
- 7 frequency and causes of gaps remain open questions, which we help address here.
- 8
- 9 Study system, background, and approach
- 10
- 11 Timema are wingless, plant-feeding insects found in South-western North America³⁶. Previous
- 12 work in T. cristinae has shown that divergent selection between conspecific populations on
- 13 different host plants (ecotypes hereafter) promotes adaptive differentiation, most markedly in
- 14 colour-pattern traits conferring crypsis against visual predators^{37,38}. Ecotypes also exhibit mate
- 15 choice and partial sexual isolation, but this is not based on colour-pattern^{37,38} (Figs. 1, 2).
- 16 Several studies have shown substantial gene flow between T. cristinae ecotypes 13,39.
- 17 Specifically, there are some 50 migrants per generation (Nem) in populations found in the same
- 18 locality and ~5-10 N_em in populations separated by 1-10 kilometres $(km)^{13,39}$. As in most other
- 19 systems^{1,2}, the dynamics of speciation from its onset to end are unresolved.
- 20
- 21 We use data from thousands of individuals from >100 host-plant-associated populations of 11
- 22 sexual Timema species to tackle this issue. Our study includes genomic data suitable for
- 23 population level analyses and genome-wide association (GWA) mapping, such as genotyping-
- by-sequencing (GBS) data, and low-coverage whole-genome re-sequencing data from >1000
- 25 individuals (see Methods, Fig. S4). There are four aims: (1) testing if genetic regions
- 26 harbouring colour-pattern loci exhibit accentuated genetic differentiation between T. cristinae
- ecotypes, (2) testing if differentiation in traits affecting mate choice associates with sexual
- 28 isolation and genome-wide differentiation in T. cristinae, (3) quantifying genomic patterns of
- differentiation in multiple Timema ecotypes and species, and (4) examining the time course to
- 30 complete RI.
- 31
- 32 In past work, genetic differentiation between T. cristinae ecotypes was quantified at the fine
- 33 scale of single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs)¹³. This approach revealed numerous modest-
- 34 size regions (i.e., thousands of base pairs) of accentuated and parallel differentiation that were
- 35 spread across linkage groups (LG). A between-generation transplant-and-sequence experiment
- 36 showed that these regions were statistically enriched for regions likely affected by divergent
- 37 selection between hosts. Thus, previous work already suggests that divergent selection
- 38 promotes fine-scale differentiation across many genetic regions during the early phases of
- 39 speciation. Here our interest is in the transition to larger-scale differentiation. Thus, rather than
- 40 analysing SNPs we estimated differentiation metrics (e.g., F_{ST}) in 20-kilobase (kb) windows
- 41 and used a Hidden Markov Model (HMM)⁴⁰ approach to assign windows to larger, contiguous
- 42 regions of accentuated or background differentiation. This means that our results concern large
- 43 genomic blocks (or in other cases, mean genome-wide differentiation). Fine-scale
- 44 differentiation exists for individual SNPs, or clusters of them, even in cases where blocks of
- 45 accentuated differentiation are not detected.
- 46
- 47 Given subtle allele frequency differences and high gene flow between conspecific ecotypes our
- 48 whole genome analyses of within-species variation focus on F_{ST}. Indeed, genome-wide
- 49 differentiation between ecotypes studied here is sufficiently weak that D_{XY} is near perfectly
- 50 correlated to nucleotide diversity, i.e., π (for all conspecific ecotype pairs the correlation

between D_{XY} and π is >0.99, Pearson correlation). Thus, D_{XY} within species effectively measures diversity, not differentiation. We do report patterns of D_{XY} when considering whole genomes of species pairs, because of their strong differentiation. We note that our conclusions side against speciation being associated with one or a few islands of differentiation. Thus, most

criticisms of the use of F_{ST} to study speciation do not apply, because these criticisms are based 5

6 on the argument that F_{ST} over-estimates the importance of genomic islands for reduced gene

7 flow³¹. Also, F_{ST} as an estimate of genome-wide, rather than localised, differentiation is not

8 subject to these criticisms. As described below, we use analytical tools in addition to F_{ST} to

9 bolster inferences (e.g., Approximate Bayesian Computation, GWA mapping, model-based analyses of genetic structure, phylogenetic inference).

10 11

1

2

3

4

12 **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

13

14 Colour-pattern loci are associated with localised genetic differentiation

15

16 We tested if loci affected by divergent selection exhibit accentuated differentiation between

17 Ceanothus and Adenostoma host-plant ecotypes of T. cristinae. We consider a colour-pattern

18 trait (a white dorsal stripe) that is subject to divergent natural selection between these hosts due

19 to visual predation⁴¹ (Fig. 2). GWA studies within a polymorphic population and genetic

20 crosses have shown that this trait is largely controlled by one or few regions on LG8⁴².

21 However, differentiation of this region between ecotypes in nature is untested.

22

23 We found three lines of evidence that divergent selection on colour-pattern promotes localised

24 differentiation (Figs. 2, S2). First, we sampled a geographic cline that transitions from an area

25 dominated by Ceanothus to one dominated by Adenostoma. Based on 1598 individuals 26 collected across 33 sites we inferred allele frequencies from phenotype frequencies using

knowledge of the genetic basis of colour-pattern⁴² (Fig. S3, Table S10). We found a steep cline 27

28 in colour-pattern allele frequencies, with some analyses showing near fixed differences at a

distance of ~5 km. Genome-wide differentiation between ecotypes is weak at this distance (FsT 29

30 ~ 0.03)¹³. Although this evidence is indirect, it suggests colour-pattern loci overcome gene

31 flow more strongly than the remainder of the genome.

32

Second and more directly, we found that SNPs associated with colour-pattern reside in regions 33 of accentuated differentiation between ecotypes. Using published data⁴² and GWA analyses,

34

35 we mapped colour-pattern (% dorsal body area striped) and confirmed that SNPs strongly

associated with this trait were restricted to LG8. Using 160 previously published genomes¹³ we 36

estimated regions of accentuated F_{ST} between four ecotype pairs with the HMM⁴⁰ approach. 37

38 We detected such regions for only two of the four pairs, and they were only modestly elevated

39 over background levels. This finding suggests that gene flow has strong homogenising effects

40 at the scale of the large genomic blocks analysed here. Nonetheless, SNPs associated with

41 colour-pattern coincide with HMM regions of accentuated differentiation between ecotypes

42 ~12× more often than expected by chance (P = 0.0033, randomisation test).

43

44 Third, a within-generation transplant-and-sequence experiment using 473 new whole genomes

45 from T. cristinae revealed that the highest concentration of genetic differentiation between

populations transplanted to different hosts occurred on LG8 (Fig. 2). Thus, the observed 46

47 number of windows assigned to the high differentiation state on LG8 was ~2-3x greater than

48 expected by chance (observed = 164, null = 63, P < 0.001, randomisation test). Nonetheless,

we did observe differentiation on other LGs. Coupled with past SNP-based analyses¹³, the 49

- results suggest that divergent selection promotes differentiation of modest-sized regions on multiple LGs¹³ and larger-scale differentiation on the LG containing colour-pattern loci.
- 2 3
- 4 Colour-pattern loci are not associated with genome-wide differentiation
- 5

6 We next tested for associations between trait differentiation and mean genome-wide F_{ST} (i.e., 7 genome-wide IBA). We did so using GBS data for 21 pairwise comparisons for which data exist also on sexual isolation⁴³. These populations occur at the 1- to 10-km scale of restricted 8 9 but non-zero gene flow. After controlling for geographic distance, we found no evidence that 10 population differentiation in colour-pattern has an effect on mean genome-wide F_{ST} (posterior 11 probability that the effect was > 0, pp hereafter, was < 0.60, n = 21, Bayesian linear mixed 12 model, BLMM). Thus, effects of colour-pattern on genetic differentiation are localised in the 13 genome, consistent with this trait being largely controlled by a single LG and that it does not affect mate choice⁴⁴. 14 15 16 CHC variation and its genetic basis 17 18 We next studied cuticular hydrocarbons (CHCs). We did so because CHC differentiation is inversely correlated with mating probability between Timema species⁴⁵, and CHCs affect mate 19 choice in other insects⁴⁶. Thus, CHCs could affect genomic differentiation. We quantified the 20 21 genetic basis of CHCs, and tested their association with mate choice and genomic

22 differentiation.

23

We quantified three classes of CHCs and found strong sexual dimorphism (sex effect, $F_{6,334}$ = 24 25 56.86, P < 0.001, Wilks' partial η^2 effect size = 50.5; host-plant effect, F_{6.334} = 13.90, P < 0.001, partial $\eta^2 = 20.0$; MANOVA, Fig. 3). We thus quantified the genetic architecture of 26 27 CHCs in males and females separately. GWA mapping supports a polygenic basis to CHCs 28 with a modest but non-zero heritability. We observed a correlation between the number of 29 CHC-associated SNPs per LG and LG size (r > 0.99, P < 0.01, for all six combinations of two 30 sexes and three CHC classes, i.e., 'traits', Fig. 3). This pattern argues against major locus 31 control, but could arise if CHCs were completely non-heritable or via heritable variation with 32 polygenic control⁴⁷. We distinguished these alternatives by testing if CHC variation was 33 partially explained by genotype, which would support non-zero heritability. Consistent with 34 this hypothesis, we found that estimates of the median percent variance explained (PVE) by 35 genotype were ~30% in females and ~60% in males, albeit with wide credible intervals around 36 these point estimates (Figs. 3, S2, Table S6-8 for details). Moreover, we detected low but 37 significant predictive power in cross-validation (i.e., genomic prediction) analyses for five of 38 six CHC traits (Table S8 for details). Low predictive power is expected for polygenic traits⁴⁸, 39 but even limited predictive power strongly suggests non-zero heritability.

- 40
- 41 CHCs and mate choice
- 42

43 We conducted perfuming experiments and found that female CHCs causally affect mate choice

44 within a population of T. cristinae and sexual isolation between a species pair (treatment

45 effects, Log Rank: $X^2 = 28.211$, P < 0.001; all post-hoc pairwise comparisons, P < 0.01, Fig. 3,

46 Table S9). As recently reported for Drosophila $CHCs^{46}$, the relation between mate choice

47 within species and sexual isolation is not necessarily straightforward. Although we do not

48 know for certain the extent to which female CHCs cause sexual isolation between conspecific
49 populations (this was not tested experimentally), some effect seems likely given that the

50 perfuming experiments show causal effects on mate choice within species and sexual isolation

- 1 between species, and given that population differentiation in female CHCs in T. cristinae is 2
- positively correlated with degree of sexual isolation (partial coefficient controlling for 3
- geographic distance = 0.08, pp = 0.97; partial coefficient controlling for genome-wide F_{ST} = 4 0.08, pp = 0.96, n = 21, BLMM, Fig. 3).
- 5
- 6 In contrast, male CHCs seem not likely to affect mate choice. This is because males choose
- 7 females as mates in Timema⁴⁹, and population differentiation in male CHCs is not correlated
- 8 with sexual isolation (partial coefficient controlling for geographic distance = -0.02, pp = 0.38;
- 9 partial coefficient controlling for genome-wide $F_{ST} = -0.02$, pp = 0.38, n = 21, BLMM).
- 10

12

- 11 CHCs are associated with genome-wide differentiation
- 13 CHCs in T. cristinae appear polygenic. The effects of polygenic traits on genomic
- 14 differentiation are difficult to predict. On the one hand, their differentiation affects many
- 15 genetic regions. On the other, their differentiation may be difficult to achieve with gene flow,
- 16 due to weak per locus selection coefficients⁶. We found that population differentiation in
- 17 female CHCs was positively correlated with mean genome-wide F_{ST} after controlling for
- geographic distance (partial coefficient = 0.13, pp = 0.99, BLMM; Fig. 3). In contrast, 18
- 19 differentiation in male CHCs was not (pp < 0.60). As for the analyses with colour-pattern, the
- 20 populations examined occur at the 1- to 10-km scale of restricted but non-zero gene flow.
- 21 Thus, an association of polygenic traits with mate choice might be important for genome-wide
- 22 differentiation with gene flow. However, the correlational nature of this analysis urges future
- 23 work on causal associations between trait divergence, gene flow, and genetic differentiation.
- 24

25 Estimates of heritability (i.e., PVE) of female CHCs were modest but non-zero. Thus, their

- 26 association with RI and with genomic differentiation likely involves genetic factors.
- Nonetheless, environmentally induced effects almost certainly contribute, as for most 27 quantitative traits⁴⁸. Induced effects on RI have been reported for imprinting of song in birds⁵⁰,
- 28 cultural differences among killer whale ecotypes⁵¹, and host or mate preference in insects⁵². On 29
- 30 the other hand, if environmental effects can be reversed, this could decrease RI. Further work
- 31 on the role of genes versus induced effects in speciation is warranted. We next tested if the
- 32 localised and genome-wide differentiation observed in T. cristinae was representative of that in
- 33 the genus broadly, and of potentially more advanced phases of differentiation.
- 34
- 35 Genomics of the speciation continuum in Timema
- 36
- 37 We collected whole-genome re-sequence data from 379 Timema across 10 taxon pairs. Eight
- 38 pairs were conspecific ecotypes (within six species) and the other two a species pair within two
- 39 localities. Half of the conspecific ecotype pairs examined exhibit a few HMM regions of
- 40 accentuated differentiation, which were usually only modestly elevated above background
- 41 levels (Tables S4, S5). The other half lacks such regions. We found some variation in genome-
- 42 wide F_{ST} among comparisons, but this appeared unrelated to the presence or number of regions
- 43 of accentuated differentiation (Fig. 4). Approximate Bayesian Computation (ABC) and island-
- 44 equilibrium analyses support gene flow between all conspecific ecotype pairs (Fig. S1).
- 45
- 46 These results imply that the early to moderate phases of Timema speciation involve more than
- 47 just growth of a few islands of differentiation. Rather, localised genetic changes may be
- 48 associated with only restricted progress towards speciation unless they align with mate choice,
- 49 other forms of RI, or factors promoting genome-wide differentiation (e.g., geographic
- 50 separation). Indeed, the species pair (T. poppensis and T. californicum) showed both strong

- 1 genome-wide differentiation and multiple regions of accentuated differentiation (Fig. 4, Tables
- 2 S4, S5; D_{XY} , locality SM: background = 0.00116, accentuated = 0.00203, 23 accentuated
- 3 regions with a mean size of 374.8 20-kb windows, equalling 33.8% of the 20-kb windows;
- 4 locality LP: background = 0.00115, accentuated = 0.00199, 20 accentuated regions with a
- 5 mean size of 445.9 20-kb windows, equalling 35.0% of the 20 kb windows). We suspect the
- 6 alignment of multi-faceted aspects of differentiation could be important for speciation in many
- 7 systems where RI evolves in a polygenic fashion.
- 9
 - Mean genome-wide differentiation between sympatric ecotypes
- 10

11 Because genome-wide differentiation appears common in Timema, we quantified the extent of

- 12 it when the potential for gene flow is high (i.e., sympatry). We estimated genome-wide F_{ST}
- based on GBS data obtained from sampling across the geographic and host range of 11
 Timema species at 47 localities (n = 1505 specimens)(Fig. 5, Table S1). This yielded 89
- 14 Inhema species at 47 localities (n = 1505 specificity) (Fig. 5, Table S1). This yielded 89
 15 within-locality comparisons ('sympatry'). Sixty of these were between conspecific host
- 16 ecotypes, and 29 between three different pairs of species. This sampling covers most variation
- 17 in geographic range and host use in these species, and includes all of the known sympatric
- 18 sexual species pairs in the genus³⁶.
- 19

We observed a continuum of differentiation among sympatric ecotypes, with genome-wide F_{ST} ranging from 0.03 to 0.27 (mean = 0.09)(Fig. 5). The upper end of differentiation is thus

ranging from 0.03 to 0.27 (mean = 0.09)(Fig. 5). The upper end of differentiation is thus appreciable, but never exceeded 0.30. Model-based analyses in ENTROPY⁵³ support gene flow

and admixture between sympatric ecotypes (Fig. S1; Tables S2, S3). The geographic potential

for gene flow was similar among ecotypes (i.e., all comparisons are sympatric). Thus, variation

- 25 in genome-wide F_{ST} likely reflects, in part, the strength of RI. However, other factors such as
- 26 demographic variability, time in geographic contact, and subtle variation in arrangement of
- 27 host-plants most likely contribute.
- 28
- 29 A gap in genomic differentiation restricted to sympatry
- 30

31 In contrast to ecotypes, mean F_{ST} between sympatric species was high at all localities (range

- $32 \quad 0.70 \text{ to } 0.95, \text{ mean} = 0.86$). We thus observed a lack of sympatric forms with 'intermediate'
- 33 F_{ST} values between 0.30 and 0.70, representing a gap in the speciation continuum. To study
- 34 this gap while accounting for non-independence of pairwise F_{ST} estimates, we estimated a
- 35 phylogeny-based genealogical sorting index (GSI)⁵⁴. Largely consistent with the F_{ST}-based
- results, we found strong bimodality in the distribution of GSI values for sympatric taxa, with a
- 37 paucity of values intermediate between those characteristic of ecotypes and species (Fig. 5).
- 38
- 39 In contrast to sympatry, we found that conspecific populations in different localities (i.e.,
- 40 outside of sympatry) exhibit a wide range of differentiation, including levels intermediate
- between sympatric ecotypes and species (range of mean $F_{ST} = 0.04$ to 0.88, mean = 0.43, n =
- 42 579 pairwise comparisons; Fig. 5 for GSI). Specifically, such populations showed positive
- 43 associations between mean F_{ST} and geographic distance (slope within all species > 0.30, all pp
- 44 > 0.98, Bayesian Regression).
- 45
- 46 Analyses in ENTROPY⁵³ revealed little or no admixture between sympatric species, consistent
- 47 with strong or complete RI (Fig. S1; Tables S2, S3). The documented gap between sympatric
- 48 ecotypes and species thus likely reflects intraspecific gene flow (i.e., incomplete RI) that
- 49 prevents maximal differentiation from forming or being maintained in sympatry. In principle,
- 50 the gap could be due to rapid sympatric speciation. However, this is difficult theoretically 2,18

- 1 and it does not match biogeographic patterns in Timema, where range overlap between
- 2 taxonomically recognised species is slight or absent³⁶. Our results suggest that gene flow can
- 3 contribute to evolutionary gaps. Specifically, gene flow can make intermediate phases of
- 4 speciation difficult to observe because these phases occur rapidly (e.g., in reverse), rarely, or
- 5 restricted in space. In such cases, gaps are 'apparent' rather than real and extensive sampling is
- 6 required to observe intermediate states.
- 7
- 8 The evolution of complete RI 9

10 We have shown that maximal genomic differentiation in Timema is associated with complete

11 RI¹³. We thus studied the evolution of complete RI. We did so in the context of allopatric or

12 completely reproductively isolated species. Dynamics with gene flow could be different than
 13 described below.

14

We quantified sexual isolation between Timema species from published data⁴⁵. This revealed
 some overlap within and between species, but greater sexual isolation on average between

- 17 species (Fig. 6). To study temporal dynamics of sexual isolation, we used divergence times
- 18 between species extracted from a Bayesian phylogenetic time-tree inferred using the GBS data
- 19 from our genus-wide survey, and dated with fossil-based secondary calibrations (Tables S11-
- 20 S14). This approach revealed that sexual isolation accumulates gradually through time until it
- 21 approximates completion (i.e., ~ 1, Fig. 6). Strong sexual isolation requires tens of millions of
- 22 years (Timema are univoltine with one generation per year). Morphological differentiation in
- colour and other traits likely reduces the time to complete RI, by causing ecological isolation^{37,38}. However, morphological differentiation estimated here (n = 978) also evolves
- radually between species such that complete RI by sexual isolation plus ecological isolation
- 26 likely requires substantial time (Fig.6, Tables S11, S12). The long time frames required for
- 27 strong RI via the reproductive barriers measured here suggest that speciation in Timema
- 28 involves other barriers, such as genetic incompatibilities. Moreover, completion of RI could
- 29 involve long periods of geographic isolation. Future work on the most advanced stages of
- 30 Timema speciation is warranted.
- 31
- 32 Conclusions
- 33

We have shown that the transition from localised to genome-wide differentiation can be
observed despite gene flow, and may be aided by mate choice. Overall, our results accord well

- 36 with models of parapatric speciation¹⁸, but do not support a strong role for the growth of a few
- 37 islands of differentiation, at least for early to intermediate phases of speciation. Details of the
- evolution of strong RI in Timema remain unclear, but the existence of a wide range of
- 39 differentiation outside of sympatry facilitates future studies of many phases of speciation and
- 40 the role of coupling of differentiation across loci³. The myriad of effects reported here, and the
- 41 modesty of some of them, indicate that future work on the relative importance of each (rather
- 42 than merely its presence) is justified. Despite need for further work, our results show that
- 43 integrative studies do allow even complex speciation processes to begin to be understood.
- 44

45 **References.**

- 46
- 47 1 Seehausen, O. *et al.* Genomics and the origin of species. *Nature Reviews Genetics*48 15, 176-192, doi:10.1038/nrg3644 (2014).
- 49 2 Coyne, J. A. & Orr, H. A. *Speciation*. 1st edn, (Sinauer Associates, 2004).

1	3	Flaxman, S., Walchoder, A., Feder, J. L. & Nosil, P. Theoretical models of the
2	5	influence of genomic architecture on speciation. <i>Mol. Ecol.</i> 23 , 4074-4088 (2014).
3	4	Wu, C. The genic view of the process of speciation. <i>J. Evol. Biol.</i> 14 , 851-865 (2001).
4	5	Mallet, J. A Species Definition for the Modern Synthesis. <i>Trends Ecol. Evol.</i> 10 , 294-
5	5	299 (1995).
6	6	Feder, J. L., Egan, S. P. & Nosil, P. The genomics of speciation-with-gene-flow.
7	0	<i>Trends in Genetics</i> 28 , 342-350 (2012).
8	7	Poelstra, J. W. <i>et al.</i> The genomic landscape underlying phenotypic integrity in the
9	/	face of gene flow in crows. <i>Science</i> 344 , 1410-1414, doi:10.1126/science.1253226
10		(2014).
10	8	Nadeau, N. J. <i>et al.</i> Population genomics of parallel hybrid zones in the mimetic
12	0	butterflies, H. melpomene and H. erato. <i>Genome Research</i> 24 , 1316-1333,
12		doi:10.1101/gr.169292.113 (2014).
13 14	9	
14 15	9	Nadeau, N. J. <i>et al.</i> Genomic islands of divergence in hybridizing Heliconius
		butterflies identified by large-scale targeted sequencing. <i>Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B</i> -
16	10	<i>Biol. Sci.</i> 367 , 343-353 (2012).
17	10	Michel, A. P. <i>et al.</i> Widespread genomic divergence during sympatric speciation.
18		Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 107 , 9724-9729,
19	11	doi:10.1073/pnas.1000939107 (2010).
20	11	Nosil, P., Egan, S. P. & Funk, D. J. Heterogeneous genomic differentiation between
21		walking-stick ecotypes: "Isolation by adaptation" and multiple roles for divergent
22	4.0	selection. <i>Evolution</i> 62 , 316-336 (2008).
23	12	Shafer, A. B. A. & Wolf, J. B. W. Widespread evidence for incipient ecological
24		speciation: a meta-analysis of isolation-by-ecology. <i>Ecol. Lett.</i> 16 , 940-950,
25	10	doi:10.1111/ele.12120 (2013).
26	13	Soria-Carrasco, V. <i>et al.</i> Stick Insect Genomes Reveal Natural Selection's Role in
27		Parallel Speciation. <i>Science</i> 344 , 738-742, doi:10.1126/science.1252136 (2014).
28	14	Egan, S. P. <i>et al.</i> Experimental evidence of genome-wide impact of ecological
29		selection during early stages of speciation-with-gene-flow. <i>Ecol. Lett.</i> 18 , 817-825,
30		doi:10.1111/ele.12460 (2015).
31	15	Burke, M. K. How does adaptation sweep through the genome? Insights from long-
32		term selection experiments. <i>Proc. R. Soc. B-Biol. Sci.</i> 279 , 5029-5038,
33		doi:10.1098/rspb.2012.0799 (2012).
34	16	Lamichhaney, S. et al. Population-scale sequencing reveals genetic differentiation
35		due to local adaptation in Atlantic herring. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 109, 19345-
36		19350, doi:10.1073/pnas.1216128109 (2012).
37	17	Lawniczak, M. K. N. et al. Widespread divergence between incipient Anopheles
38		gambiae species revealed by whole genome sequences. <i>Science</i> 330 , 512-514,
39		doi:10.1126/science.1195755 (2010).
40	18	Gavrilets, S. Fitness landscapes and the origin of species. Vol. 41 (Princeton
41		University Press, 2004).
42	19	Barton, N. H. Multilocus clines. <i>Evolution</i> 37 , 454-471 (1983).
43	20	Kirkpatrick, M. & Ravigné, V. Speciation by natural and sexual selection: Models
44		and experiments. <i>Am. Nat.</i> 159 , S22-S35 (2002).
45	21	Jiggins, C. D. & Mallet, J. Bimodal hybrid zones and speciation. <i>Trends Ecol. Evol.</i> 15,
46		250-255 (2000).
47	22	Nosil, P. Ecological Speciation. (Oxford University Press, 2012).

1	23	Turner, T. L. & Hahn, M. W. Genomic islands of speciation or genomic islands and
2		speciation? <i>Mol. Ecol.</i> 19 , 848-850, doi:10.1111/j.1365-294X.2010.04532.x
3		(2010).
4	24	Turner, T. L., Hahn, M. W. & Nuzhdin, S. V. Genomic islands of speciation in
5		Anopheles gambiae. <i>Plos Biology</i> 3 , 1572-1578 (2005).
6	25	Mayr, E. Animal species and evolution. (Harvard University Press, 1963).
7	26	Yeaman, S., Aeschbacher, S. & Burger, R. The evolution of genomic islands by
8	20	
		increased establishment probability of linked alleles. <i>Mol. Ecol.</i> 25 , 2542-2558,
9	~-	doi:10.1111/mec.13611 (2016).
10	27	Brawand, D. et al. The genomic substrate for adaptive radiation in African cichlid
11		fish. <i>Nature</i> 513 , 375-+, doi:10.1038/nature13726 (2014).
12	28	Feulner, P. G. D. <i>et al.</i> Genomics of Divergence along a Continuum of Parapatric
13		Population Differentiation. <i>Plos Genetics</i> 11 , doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1004966
14		(2015).
15	29	Burri, R. <i>et al.</i> Linked selection and recombination rate variation drive the
16		evolution of the genomic landscape of differentiation across the speciation
17		continuum of Ficedula flycatchers. <i>Genome Research</i> 25 , 1656-1665,
18		doi:10.1101/gr.196485.115 (2015).
	20	
19	30	Martin, S. H. <i>et al.</i> Genome-wide evidence for speciation with gene flow in
20		Heliconius butterflies <i>Genome Research</i> 23 , 1817-1828 (2013).
21	31	Cruickshank, T. E. & Hahn, M. W. Reanalysis suggests that genomic islands of
22		speciation are due to reduced diversity, not reduced gene flow. <i>Mol. Ecol.</i> 23,
23		3133-3157, doi:10.1111/mec.12796 (2014).
24	32	Darwin, C. On the origin of species by means of natural selection, or the preservation
25		of favoured races in the struggle for life. (John Murray, 1859).
26	33	Peccoud, J., Ollivier, A., Plantegenest, M. & Simon, J. C. A continuum of genetic
27		divergence from sympatric host races to species in the pea aphid complex. <i>Proc.</i>
28		Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 106, 7495-7500, doi:10.1073/pnas.0811117106 (2009).
29	34	Mallet, J., Beltran, M., Neukirchen, W. & Linares, M. Natural hybridization in
30	51	heliconiine butterflies: the species boundary as a continuum. <i>BMC Evol. Biol.</i> 7 , -
31		(2007).
	25	
32	35	Orr, H. A. The population-genetics of speciation - the evolution of hybrid
33	9.6	incompatibilities. <i>Genetics</i> 139 , 1805-1813 (1995).
34	36	Law, J. H. & Crespi, B. J. The evolution of geographic parthenogenesis in Timema
35		walking-sticks. <i>Mol. Ecol.</i> 11 , 1471–1489, doi:10.1046/j.1365-294X.2002.01547.x
36		(2002).
37	37	Nosil, P. Divergent host plant adaptation and reproductive isolation between
38		ecotypes of Timema cristinae walking sticks. <i>Am. Nat.</i> 169 , 151-162 (2007).
39	38	Nosil, P. & Sandoval, C. P. Ecological niche dimensionality and the evolutionary
40		diversification of stick insects. <i>PLoS One</i> 3 , e1907 (2008).
41	39	Nosil, P. <i>et al.</i> Genomic consequences of multiple speciation processes in a stick
42	0,	insect. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences,
43		doi:10.1098/rspb.2012.0813 (2012).
44	40	
	40	Hofer, T., Foll, M. & Excoffier, L. Evolutionary forces shaping genomic islands of
45		population differentiation in humans. <i>BMC Genomics</i> 13 , doi:10710.1186/1471-
46		2164-13-107 (2012).
47	41	Sandoval, C. P. The effects of relative geographical scales of gene flow and
48		selection on morph frequencies in the walking-stick <i>Timema cristinae</i> . Evolution
49		48 , 1866-1879 (1994).

1 42 Comeault, A. A. et al. Selection on a Genetic Polymorphism Counteracts Ecological 2 Speciation in a Stick Insect. *Current Biology* 25, 1-7, 3 doi:10.1016/j.cub.2015.05.058 (2015). 4 Nosil, P. & Hohenlohe, P. A. Dimensionality of sexual isolation during 43 5 reinforcement and ecological speciation in Timema cristinae stick insects. 6 Evolutionary Ecology Research 14, 467–485 (2012). 7 44 Nosil, P. & Crespi, B. J. Does gene flow constrain adaptive divergence or vice versa? 8 A test using ecomorphology and sexual isolation in Timema cristinae walking-9 sticks. Evolution 58, 102-112 (2004). 10 45 Schwander, T. et al. Hydrocarbon divergence and reproductive isolation in Timema stick insects. BMC Evol. Biol. 13, doi:10.1186/1471-2148-13-151 (2013). 11 12 Chung, H. et al. A Single Gene Affects Both Ecological Divergence and Mate Choice 46 13 in Drosophila. Science 343, 1148-1151, doi:10.1126/science.1249998 (2014). 14 47 Yang, J. et al. Genome partitioning of genetic variation for complex traits using common SNPs. *Nature Genetics* **43**, 519-U544, doi:10.1038/ng.823 (2011). 15 Zhou, X., Carbonetto, P. & Stephens, M. Polygenic Modeling with Bayesian Sparse 16 48 Linear Mixed Models. Plos Genetics 9, 17 doi:e100326410.1371/journal.pgen.1003264 (2013). 18 19 49 Arbuthnott, D. & Crespi, B. J. Courtship and mate discrimination within and 20 between species of Timema walking-sticks. Anim. Behav. 78, 53-59, 21 doi:10.1016/j.anbehav.2009.02.028 (2009). 22 50 Grant, B. R. & Grant, P. R. Fission and fusion of Darwin's finches populations. 23 Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B-Biol. Sci. 363, 2821-2829, doi:10.1098/rstb.2008.0051 24 (2008). 25 51 Riesch, R., Barrett-Lennard, L. G., Ellis, G. M., Ford, J. K. B. & Deecke, V. B. Cultural 26 traditions and the evolution of reproductive isolation: ecological speciation in 27 killer whales? Biol. J. Linnean Soc. 106, 1-17, doi:10.1111/j.1095-28 8312.2012.01872.x (2012). 29 Wood, T. K. & Keese, M. C. Host-plant induced assortative mating in *Enchenopa* 52 30 treehoppers. Evolution 44, 619-628 (1990). Gompert, Z. et al. Admixture and the organization of genetic diversity in a butterfly 31 53 32 species complex revealed through common and rare genetic variants. Mol. Ecol. 23, 4555-4573, doi:10.1111/mec.12811 (2014). 33 34 54 Cummings, M. P., Neel, M. C. & Shaw, K. L. A genealogical approach to quantifying 35 lineage divergence. Evolution 62, 2411-2422, doi:10.1111/j.1558-36 5646.2008.00442.x (2008). 37 Acknowledgements. We thank R. Guillem, R. Kather, J. Stapley, and T. Schwander for 38 39 advice, anonymous reviewers for comments on previous versions of the manuscript, the 40 Oakley-, Kuris- and Lafferty-groups at UCSB for support; L. Jeanson and J. Hosegood for phenotypic measurements or lab work; R. Marin for drawing all figures; T. Schwander for 41 42 providing the genetic crosses used for linkage mapping; the High-Throughput Genomics 43 Group at the Wellcome Trust Centre for Human Genetics (funded by Wellcome Trust grant 44 reference 090532/Z/09/Z and MRC Hub grant G0900747 91070) for generating the wholegenome re-sequencing data; and the National Center for Genome Sequencing (USA) for 45 genotyping-by-sequencing data. RR was supported by the Human Frontier Science Program, 46 47 MM and KL by the Swiss National Science Foundation, and PN by the Royal Society of 48 London. The work was funded by grants from the European Research Council (grant 49 NatHisGen R/129639 to PN) and the Natural Science and Engineering Research Council of

Canada (to BJC and GG). Computing, storage, and other resources from the Division of
 Research Computing in the Office of Research and Graduate Studies at Utah State University,

as well as access to the HPC Computing Facilities, particularly to the Iceberg HPC cluster,

as well as access to the HPC Computing Facilities, particularly to the feederg HPC cluster,
 from the Corporate Information and Computing Services at the University of Sheffield, are

5 gratefully acknowledged.

- 6
- 7 Data availability statement. The genetic data reported in this paper have been deposited in
- 8 the NCBI Short Read Archive under the following BioProject Accesion Numbers:
- 9 PRJNA356725 (whole genomes of natural populations), PRJNA356801 (whole genomes of
- 10 transplant experiments), PRJNAXX (GBS for updating reference genome linkage mapping),
- 11 PRJNA356405 (GBS for stages of speciation), PRJNA356885 (GBS for tests on the effect of
- 12 color pattern and CHC on genome-wide differentiation). The genome draft 0.3 is available on
- Nosil Lab of Evolutionary Biology website (http://nosil-lab.group.shef.ac.uk/?page_id=25) and has been deposited in NCBI WGS genome database XXX. Phenotypic data, processed genetic
- has been deposited in NCBI WGS genome database XXX. Phenotypic of
 data, and code used for analysis have been archived in Dryad
- 15 data, and code used for analysis have been archived in Dry 16
- 16 (<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.5061/dryad.nq67q</u>). 17
- Author Contributions. RR, ZG, MM, GG, JF, BJC, and PN conceived the project. RR, RV,
 DL, MM, AAC, RG, TEF, CS, SF, CC and PN collected data. RR, DL, MM, RV, ZG, and PN
 led data analyses, aided by VS, SF, KL, CC and SD. RR, ZG, and PN wrote the initial

manuscript and all authors contributed to further writing and revisions. ZG and VS organised
 the data archiving. RR, MM, DL, RV, and ZG contributed equally.

23

24 Author Information. The authors declare no competing financial interests. Readers are

welcome to comment on the online version of the paper. Correspondence and requests for

26 materials should be addressed to RR (<u>rudiger.riesch@rhul.ac.uk</u>), ZG

27 (zachariah.gompert@aggiemail.usu.edu), and PN (p.nosil@sheffield.ac.uk).
 28

29 Figure 1. Conceptual overview and summary of genomic differentiation in Timema. (A)

30 Genetic differentiation (red boxes) spreads to involve more of the genome as speciation

31 progresses (adapted from⁴). Double-headed arrows represent gene flow between populations.

32 Ticks above the horizontal line for Pop. (population) 1 represent genetic regions affected by

- 33 divergent selection. The trajectory of increase in genomic differentiation can be affected by
- 34 many factors, such as the genetic architecture of traits under selection, strength of selection, 35 recombination rate variation migration rate between populations, etc.¹ The top dotted line
- recombination rate variation, migration rate between populations, etc¹. The top dotted line
 represents conditions where genome-wide differentiation evolves early during speciation. The
- 30 represents conditions where genome-wide differentiation evolves early during speciation. The
 37 bottom dotted line represents cases where genomic differentiation may be restricted to a few
- regions ('islands') for a substantial portion of the speciation process. (B) Summary of patterns
- 39 of genomic differentiation in Timema. Divergent selection on colour-pattern loci is associated
- 40 with localised differentiation, increased genome-wide differentiation is associated with CHCs,
- 41 and the most pronounced levels of differentiation are associated with very low gene flow (i.e.,
- 42 due to complete reproductive isolation, RI, or strong spatial separation). Because genome-wide
- 43 differentiation appears common in Timema, its trajectory may mirror the top dotted line in
- 44 panel A.45
- 46 **Figure 2. Localised genetic differentiation (F**sr) **in Timema cristinae.** (A) Illustrations of
- 47 Adenostoma and Ceanothus ecotypes of T. cristinae and their host plants. (B) Hidden Markov
- 48 Model (HMM) results showing regions of accentuated F_{ST} (in red) relative to the genome-wide
- 49 background (in grey). Single-nucleotide polymorphisms associated with colour-pattern map to
- 50 LG8 and are found in regions of accentuated differentiation more than expected by chance. (C)

- 1 A steep cline in allele frequency at the colour-pattern locus, inferred from morph frequencies
- 2 (grey shaded areas are \pm 95% credible intervals). (D) A HMM analysis of the within-
- 3 generation transplant experiment, showing regions of accentuated F_{ST} in red. The y-axis has
- 4 been corrected for minor variation in F_{ST} at the onset of the experiment, and thus represents
- 5 differentiation that evolved between the onset and completion of the experiment. (E) The
- 6 number of regions of accentuated differentiation per LG as a function of LG size, in the transplant experiment (note the highest experiment) $L_{\rm C}$ links a second s
- transplant experiment (note the highest concentration on LG8). LG = linkage group.
- 9 Figure 3. Cuticular hydrocarbons (CHCs) and genome-wide differentiation in Timema
- 10 **cristinae.** (A) Time to copulation as a function of perfuming treatment. (B) Illustration of
- 11 representative methylated CHC profiles of females from two host-plant ecotypes (pA = 12
- 12 picoAmpere). (C) Differences between sexes and host ecotypes in CHCs (means \pm 95% 13 confidence intervals (CIs)). A = Adenostoma, C = Ceanothus. (D) Tests as to whether male
- 14 (M, grey lines) or female (F, red lines) CHCs are associated with sexual isolation (SI) or mean
- 15 genome-wide differentiation (GD), after controlling for geographic distance. Shown are
- 16 posterior probability (pp) distributions for the effect size on each variable. (E) Percent variance
- 17 explained (PVE) by genotype in genome-wide association (GWA) mapping. Bars show
- 18 posterior medians and lines denote the 95% equal-tailed probability intervals. Shown in boxes
- 19 above each bar are r^2 values from cross-validation analyses (asterisks denote significance; *P <
- 20 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001). Abbreviations are % striped = percent of body area striped and
- 21 for methylated CHCs are as follows: fpenta = female pentacosanes, fhepta = female
- heptacosanes, fnona = female nonacosanes, mpenta = male pentacosanes, mhepta = male
- 23 heptacosanes, mnona = male nonacosanes. (F) Linkage-group partitioning showing the number
- of trait-associated SNPs as a function of linkage group (LG) size.
- 25
- 26 Figure 4. Whole-genome analyses of genomic differentiation (F_{ST}) in Timema. Hidden
- 27 Markov Model (HMM) results showing regions of accentuated differentiation (in red) relative
- to the genome-wide background (in grey). Abbreviations by the species names are locality
- 29 codes and all taxon pairs are found on different host plants. Inset shows mean F_{ST} for regions
- 30 of background differentiation. LG = linkage group.
- 31

32 Figure 5. A gap in genomic differentiation (mean genome-wide F_{ST}) for Timema taxa in

- 33 sympatry. (A) A gap in genome-wide F_{ST} between conspecific host-plant-associated
- 34 populations and species within the same locality (i.e., 'sympatry'), estimated using genotyping-
- 35 by-sequencing data. (B) The gap using mean values per species and species pairs. (C)
- 36 Genealogical Sorting Index (GSI) analysis shows a paucity of intermediate values between
- 37 conspecific ecotypes and species (note that species level is restricted to species sympatric with
- 38 other species). (D) Time-calibrated phylogenetic tree of the relationships between the Timema
- 39 populations and species studied in our survey of 1505 individuals from 11 species in 47
- 40 geographic localities (= 57 tips in the tree). Bayesian Posterior Probabilities were >0.97 for all
- 41 nodes in the tree.
- 42

43 Figure 6. Temporal dynamics of the evolution of sexual isolation and morphological

- 44 **differentiation.** (A-C) Differences among populations and species in sexual isolation
- 45 (measured by the I_{PSI} index) and morphological differentiation. Dark red signifies overlapping
- 46 parts of the distributions shown. (D-F) Sexual isolation and morphological differentiation
- 47 between species against divergence time (Ma = million years; which in Timema is equal to
- 48 millions of generations). The regression line fitted using divergence times from the dated
- 49 molecular phylogeny is shown in black. 95% confidence intervals in grey shading were

- obtained by fitting regression lines to the 2.5% and 97.5% quantiles of the distribution of
 divergence times obtained from 1000 trees from the posterior distribution.
- 3 4

5 Materials and Methods

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

Methods Summary. We combined linkage mapping, phenotypic and experimental data, genome-wide association (GWA) mapping, genotyping-by-sequencing (GBS) data, and whole-genome re-sequence data from 1012 Timema individuals (160 genomes re-analysed from¹³ and 852 new to this study, 473 of which originated from the transplant experiment and 379 from natural populations of eight species). Table S15 provides an overview of the data used in this study that was previously published, the data that are new, and the relation between the two.

For whole genomes, coverage is as follows: natural taxon pairs, mean coverage is $\sim 1.1 \times$ per individual and $\sim 22.0x$ per population; transplant experiment $\sim 1.4 \times$ per individual and $\sim 139.4 \times$ per experimental block. Coverage for GBS data was higher, as outlined below. In all cases, we infer genotypes probabilistically, and thus account for genotype uncertainty (details below).

18 Such approaches are increasingly common in large-scale analyses in model systems, are not

19 reliant on 'calling' genotypes with certainty, and are suitable for robust inferences using low

- 20 coverage data across many individuals.^{55,56}
- 21

Due to the size and complexity of our integrative data set, we provide the core methods below
 in sufficient detail to evaluate our study. Further details concerning, e.g., read counts, sample
 populations, and parameter settings, are contained in the Online Supplementary Materials

- 25 (OSM).
- 26

27 Morph frequency cline. We sampled T. cristinae at 33 collection sites in 1996 and again in 28 2001. We collected a total of 1598 individuals, and scored each as green-unstriped, green-29 striped, green-intermediate, or melanistic (by CS in 1996 and by CS + PN in 2001). We first 30 considered just the green-striped and green-unstriped morphs, because these can be scored 31 unambiguously, and because the stripe is recessive such that green-striped morphs are 32 homozygous for the stripe allele and can be used to estimate the frequency of the major-effect 33 stripe allele⁴². We obtained estimates of the stripe allele frequency for each site by pooling data 34 across years (as results were similar across years) and by assuming that all striped individuals were homozygous for the stripe allele and Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium. We fit a 6-parameter 35 36 cline model for the stripe allele frequencies⁵⁷ using the R 3.2.3 package hzar $0.2-5^{58}$. We 37 inferred cline parameters in a Bayesian framework using Markov chain Monte Carlo (2 million 38 iterations with a 1-million iteration burn-in). To assess the robustness of our results, we 39 repeated this analysis including individuals scored as intermediate, assuming they were green-40 striped morphs, and assuming they were green-unstriped morphs. We observed a qualitatively 41 similar conclusion of a steep cline in all analyses, although quantitative details varied among 42 the analyses (Fig. S3).

43

44 **Whole-genome analyses of published T. cristinae genomes.** We conducted novel analyses of 45 larger-scale heterogeneity in genetic differentiation between the Adenostoma and Ceanothus

- 45 arget-scale heterogeneity in genetic differentiation between the Adenostoria and Ceanothus 46 ecotypes of T. cristinae. The analyses based on 20-kb windows thus differ from previous work
- 47 that analysed fine-scale differentiation of SNPs for these same ecotypes. We used a Hidden
- 48 Markov Model (HMM) to identify contiguous genomic regions with accentuated
- 49 differentiation between each of four previously studied T. cristinae ecotype pairs (HVA \times
- 50 HVC, MR1A × MR1C, R12A × R12C, and LA × PRC). These data were described in¹³ and

- 1 include 160 whole genome sequences. We first calculated F_{ST} for non-overlapping 20-kb 2 windows as $F_{ST} = (\pi_t - \pi_w)/\pi_t$, where π_w is the mean nucleotide diversity within ecotypes and 3 π_t is the nucleotide diversity for both ecotypes combined. Note that we calculated our estimate 4 as a ratio of means across sites (rather than a mean of ratios) as suggested by 59 . We then fit a 5 HMM with two discrete states for the logit transformed F_{ST} estimates for each ecotype pair, 6 assuming logit F_{ST} was normally distributed. We defined a background differentiation state 7 with a mean and standard deviation that matched the empirical mean and standard deviation, 8 and an accentuated differentiation state with the same standard deviation but a mean set to the 9 90th empirical quantile of the F_{ST} distribution. We estimated the transition matrix between 10 states using the Baum-Welch algorithm, and we used the Viterbi algorithm to predict the most likely sequence of hidden states from the data and estimated parameters⁶⁰. We used the R 3.0.2 11 package HiddenMarkov 1.7.0 to fit these models^{61,62} but modified the code to use fixed values 12 for state means and standard deviations (this allowed us to explicitly test of islands of 13 14 accentuated differentiation). We defined HMM regions of accentuated differentiation as the
- 15 contiguous set of 20-kb windows showing a high differentiation state within a linkage group
- 16 (but potentially spanning multiple scaffolds).
- 17

18 Co-localisation of stripe-associated SNPs and HMM regions of accentuated

19 differentiation. We applied this analysis to the four pairs of Ceanothus and Adenostoma ecotypes of T. cristinae¹³, which are known to be subject to divergent selection on colour-20 21 pattern. We used a permutation test to ask whether stripe-associated SNPs from our GWA 22 mapping (described below) occurred in high HMM regions across the four ecotype pairs more 23 often than expected by chance. However, as only two pairs had high HMM regions (on LG8 24 for R12A \times R12C and LGs 1 and 8 for LA \times PRC), this is really a test of whether stripe-25 associated SNPs were in high HMM regions more than expected by chance for these two pairs. 26 We focused on SNPs with posterior inclusion probabilities for stripe that were greater than 0.1. 27 Such SNPs occurred in seven unique 20-kb windows. Across the four pairs, the windows with 28 stripe-associated SNPs were also high HMM windows 20% of the time. Randomisation of high 29 HMM regions (10,000 randomisations, with the size of HMM regions kept constant) indicated 30 that high HMM regions and trait-associated SNP regions overlapped more than expected by 31 chance (null expectation = 1.7%, P = 0.0033). We obtained similar results when considering 32 stripe-associated SNPs with posterior inclusions probabilities greater than 0.05 (17 unique 20-

- kb windows, observed overlap = 14%, null expectation = 1.7%, P = 0.0003).
- 34

35 Whole-genome transplant and sequence experiment. As the procedures for implementing this experiment have been previously described⁶³, we provide here only a brief overview. We 36 37 collected and transplanted 500 T. cristinae from an area dominated by Adenostoma (population 38 FHA) onto either an individual of their native host plant (Adenostoma) or the alternative host plant (Ceanothus). As previously described⁶³, there is little to no dispersal in such experimental 39 settings, including the experiment analysed here. After eight days, we recaptured surviving 40 insects. Following previously published protocols¹³, we then extracted DNA, prepared 41 42 individually-barcoded sequencing libraries, and conducted whole-genome re-sequencing of the 43 500 insects. We successfully obtained data from 473 individuals, which we analysed further. 44 We aligned the paired-end sequences to the T. cristinae reference genome using the BWA-MEM algorithm in BWA 0.7.5a-r405⁶⁴. We then identified variant nucleotides using the 45 UnifiedGenotyper in GATK 3.1 (ignoring scaffolds not assigned to LGs) and estimated 46

47 genotypes using an empirical Bayesian approach, as in past work 63 .

- 48
- 49 We quantified genetic differentiation between survivors from the two host plant treatments by
- 50 calculating F_{ST} for 20-kb windows, as described in the previous section. We likewise

1 calculated F_{ST} at the onset of the experiment, verifying that genetic differentiation at the start

- 2 was low to non-existent. We then fit the same HMM described in the preceding section to
- 3 delineate accentuated regions of genetic differentiation between survivors on Adenostoma
- 4 versus Ceanothus, controlling for minor variation in genetic differentiation at the onset of the 5 experiment by subtracting initial F_{ST} from F_{ST} between the survivors. We conducted a
- 5 experiment by subtracting initial F_{ST} from F_{ST} between the survivors. We conducted a 6 randomisation test (1000 permutations of HMM window states) to determine whether HMM
- 7 windows assigned to the high differentiation state occurred on LG8 more than expected by
- 8 chance.
- 9 10 Quantifying dorsal colour-pattern (% body area striped). We recorded digital images of 873 adult T. cristinae (539 males and 334 females) using previously described methods⁴²; 592 11 of these images (395 males and 197 females) stem from a previous study that considered a 12 single population on Adenostoma (FHA, i.e., one ecotype in one locality) and that used the 13 images to quantify and map colour-pattern (% striped)⁴². Here, we estimated % striped for the 14 15 full set of photos, including eight populations on Ceanothus and 10 on Adenostoma. These data 16 were collected to facilitate tests on the effect of colour-pattern on genomic differentiation 17 among populations, but GWA was restricted to individuals from the large sample in FHA. We 18 estimated % striped by dividing the area of the stripe by the total dorsal body area, each 19 estimated using the "polygon selection tool" in ImageJ, as previously described⁴². 20 21 Cuticular hydrocarbon (CHC) variation. We sampled 20 populations of T. cristinae (eight
- 22 on Ceanothus and 12 on Adenostoma) for a total of 915 insects (559 males and 356 females; 23 Table S6). As above, a subset of these stem from the FHA population reported in⁴², but ecotype differences in CHCs or the genetic basis of CHCs were not examined in this previous 24 25 study. We cold-euthanized live insects, and subsequently submerged them in separate vials 26 with 1 ml of HPLC-grade hexane for 10 minutes to extract CHCs from their body surface. 27 Using a 6890 Hewlett Packard (now Agilent) gas chromatograph (GC), we quantified 26 28 different mono- and di-methylated CHCs for each insect: eight pentacosanes, eight 29 heptacosanes, and 10 nonacosanes. As is standard practice in studies of CHCs⁴⁵, we analysed 30 their proportional rather than absolute abundance; this allowed us to reduce experimental error and to remove individual differences stemming from variation in insect body size^{65,66}. We 31 32 calculated CHC proportions by dividing the amount of each CHC in a given sample by the sum 33 of all quantified CHCs in that sample. We then transformed these CHC proportions using logcontrasts^{65,67} to remove the non-independence among analysed variables. We calculated log-34 35 contrasts by dividing the value for each CHC by the value of the CHC 5-methylheptacosane 36 (5Me27), and then taking the log_{10} of these new variables, resulting in 25 log-contrast 37 transformed values for every insect. We found all 25 CHC-measurements to be highly 38 repeatable, and the results obtained by dividing by values of other CHCs to be similar (OSM). 39 To further reduce data dimensionality and to account for multicollinearity, we conducted a 40 principal components analysis (on a covariance matrix with promax rotation) and retained 41 principal component (PC) axes with an eigenvalue larger than the mean eigenvalue as variables 42 in a multivariate analyses of variance (MANOVA) to test for effects due to 'sex', 'host plant', 43 and the interaction of 'sex-by-host plant' (12 populations on Adenostoma and eight on 44 Ceanothus).
- 45
- 46 Genotyping-by-sequencing (GBS) and genome-wide association (GWA) mapping. We
- 47 obtained genotypes for mapping with 592 T. cristinae from the FHA population using the
- 48 sequencing reads from these insects previously published⁴². This previous study mapped
- 49 colour-pattern (% striped) but not the other traits considered here. We used the software
- 50 GEMMA 0.94⁴⁸ to implement Bayesian sparse linear mixed models (BSLMMs) that estimate the

1 genetic architecture of traits while also considering relatedness of individuals within the

- 2 sample. BSLMMs in GEMMA provide estimates of the proportion of phenotypic variation that
- 3 can be explained by the combined effects of polygenic (infinitesimal effect) and measurable
- 4 (modest to larger) effect SNPs. We thus estimated three hyper-parameters for each trait: (i) the
- 5 total proportion of phenotypic variance explained (PVE) by genotype (i.e., estimated
- 6 heritability), (ii) the proportion of the genetically explained phenotypic variation (i.e., PVE)
- 7 that is due to the effects of measurable-effect SNPs (PGE), and (iii) the number of measurable-
- 8 effect SNPs (n-SNP). GEMMA also provides posterior inclusion probabilities (PIPs, also called
- 9 γ parameter) for each SNP that reflect the fraction of Markov-Chain-Monte-Carlo (MCMC)
 10 iterations of the BSLMM for which a given SNP had a measurable effect on phenotypic
- 10 variation (i.e., this reflects the weight of evidence that individual SNPs are associated with the
- 11 variation (i.e., this reflects the weight of evidence that individual SNPs are associated with the 12 trait of interest).
- 13
- 14 We estimated the above-mentioned hyper-parameters and PIP values for the following traits:
- 15 (i) % striped, (ii) the proportion of methylated pentacosanes, heptacosanes, and nonacosanes in
- 16 females (fpenta, fhepta, and fnona, respectively), and (iii) the proportion of methylated
- 17 pentacosanes, heptacosanes, and nonacosanes in males (mpenta, mhepta, and mnona,
- 18 respectively). We tested for an association between the number of trait-associated SNPs per
- 19 LG and the LG size; a strong positive correlation is predicted for polygenic traits⁴⁷. Finally, we
- 20 performed cross-validation (i.e., genomic prediction) analyses to test the predictive power of
- 21 our GWA mapping 68 .
- 22

Perfuming trials with no-choice copulation experiments. We conducted 24 no-choice
 copulation trials (eight trials each with 'conspecific native population perfume', 'heterospecific

- 25 perfume', or 'no perfume') between one male and one female T. cristinae from FHA (males
- 26 choose mates in Timema)⁴⁹. Each individual perfume consisted of CHCs that we extracted
- 27 from six cold-euthanized females, and that we gently transferred to the live female in each
- 28 trial. No-choice copulation trials were based on previously published protocols⁶⁹. For each
- trial, we kept one male and one female T. cristinae in a 10-cm Petri dish for 4 h, and we scored
- 30 the latency to copulate (i.e., minutes until copulation)⁴⁹. We conducted perfuming trials during
- 31 the same time (8:45 am 12:45 pm) on different days, but always ran the same number of
- 32 'conspecific' and 'heterospecific' perfuming trials simultaneously. We analysed the latency to
- 33 copulate by means of a Kaplan-Meyer analysis in IBM SPSS Statistics 21.
- 34

35 Tests for effects of colour-pattern and CHCs on sexual isolation and genome wide F_{ST}.

- 36 These analyses focused on seven T. cristinae populations previously studied for sexual
- 37 isolation, for which we also obtained data on colour-pattern, CHCs, and F_{ST} (n = 21 pairwise
- 38 comparisons). We estimated the strength of sexual isolation between populations by
- 39 calculating the I_{PSI} index (theoretical range -1 to +1, where -1 = complete disassortative
- 40 mating, 0 = random mating, +1 = complete sexual isolation; all our empirical values were
- 41 positive)⁷⁰. Specifically, we calculated pairwise I_{PSI} -scores based on mating propensity derived
- 42 from no-choice mating trials published in a previous study⁴³. We estimated CHC differences
- 43 between populations, as follows: we first conducted PC analyses separate for each sex (on a
- 44 covariance matrix with promax rotation) on CHC data from these seven populations. We
- 45 retained PC axes with an eigenvalue larger than the mean eigenvalue to calculate sex-specific
- 46 pairwise Euclidian CHC distances between populations. We estimated population
- 47 differentiation in colour-pattern using data on morph frequencies (green-striped versus green-
- 48 unstriped) collected between 2000 and 2008 (population, % striped morph, sample size: PC,
- 49 18, 505; HVA, 85, 1383; MA, 82, 310; LA, 86, 654; OUTA, 49, 631; PRC, 1, 1261; OGC,
- 50 7168).

- 1
- 2 To obtain F_{ST} estimates, we combined new GBS data for 325 samples from 19 T. cristinae
- 3 populations with 17 randomly chosen samples (10 males and 7 females) from the FHA
- 4 mapping population, resulting in sequences from 342 individuals spanning 20 populations (5 -
- 5 20 individuals per population, mean = 17) for population genetic analyses of genetic
- 6 differentiation. We mapped reads to the reference genome with BOWTIE2 2.2.3 and called
- 7 variants with SAMTOOLS 0.1.19 mpileup and BCFTOOLS 0.1.19 using the full prior and
- requiring the probability of the data being homozygous for the reference allele to be less than 0.01. We estimated genome-wide Hudson's $F_{ST}^{71,72}$ for all 190 population pairs using allele 8
- 9
- frequencies estimated from genotype probabilities obtained as in¹³. We retained 613,261 bi-10
- 11 allelic SNPs with mean coverage depth per SNP per individual $\sim 5 \times$ (per SNP average ranging
- 12 from 2.2 to 28.7; per individual average ranging from 1.0 to 10.3). 13
- We estimated genome-wide Hudson's $F_{ST}^{71,72}$ for all 190 population pairs as $F_{ST} = 1$ Hw/Hb. 14
- 15 Hw is the mean number of differences among sequences from the same population, and Hb the
- 16 mean number of differences among sequences from different populations, averaged over loci.
- We calculated Hw and Hb for each locus from population allele frequencies estimated using 17
- genotype probabilities obtained with SAMTOOLS and BCFTOOLS⁷³, as in¹³. For each population 18
- 19 pair, we excluded loci with a MAF less than 0.05, or where less than 50% of individuals were
- 20 covered.
- 21
- 22 We used these data for subsequent tests of how colour-pattern and CHCs affect sexual isolation 23 and mean F_{ST.} As reported in the main text, we fit Bayesian linear mixed models to test for
- 24 effects of population differentiation in these traits on sexual isolation and mean F_{ST} while
- 25 accounting for geographic distances among populations and the correlated error structure of
- pairwise distance data^{53,74}. We did this using either sexual isolation or logit-transformed mean 26
- 27 F_{ST} as the response variable (in the former case we also conducted analyses replacing
- 28 geographic distance with mean F_{ST} as the covariate being accounted for). Linear models
- 29 included population-specific random effects, geographic distances, and one of the three
- following variables as predictors of sexual isolation or F_{ST} : (i) colour-pattern distances (% 30
- 31 difference between populations in striped individuals), (ii) male CHC distances, or (iii) female
- 32 CHC distances. We centred and standardized covariates prior to analyses. We specified
- 33 uninformative priors for the regression coefficients (normal priors with $\mu = 0$, $\sigma^2 = 1000$) and
- 34 for the gamma ($\alpha = 1, \beta = 0.01$) hyper-priors on the precision (inverse variance) for the random
- 35 effects⁵³. We ran three independent MCMC chains each with 5000 iterations, a 1000 iteration
- burn-in, and a thinning interval of five for each model. We then calculated the posterior 36
- 37 probability that the standardized partial regression coefficient for colour-pattern, male CHC, or
- 38 female CHC distance was greater than zero (this is valid as the effect of having pairwise
- observations is accounted for by the population random effects)^{53,74}. 39
- 40
- 41 Whole-genome re-sequencing of 10 population pairs spanning eight species. Following
- previously published protocols¹³, we sequenced and further analysed an additional 379 Timema 42
- 43 genomes (these are a subset of the 1505 described below for which we obtained genotyping-
- 44 by-sequencing data). We aligned the paired-end sequences to the T. cristinae reference genome
- 45 using the BWA-MEM algorithm in BWA 0.7.5a-r405 and identified SNPs using the
- UnifiedGenotyper in GATK. We used an expectation-maximization (EM) algorithm to obtain 46
- 47 maximum-likelihood-allele-frequency estimates for each of the 20 populations (10 'parapatric'
- 48 population pairs) for each of 5.07 million identified SNPs. We then used these maximum-
- 49 likelihood-allele-frequencies to calculate sequence-based estimates of F_{ST} between each of the
- 50 10 co-occurring taxon pairs, as described above for ecotypes of T. cristinae. Additionally, we

- 1 determined Nei's measure of absolute divergence $(D_{XY})^{75}$ for each 20-kb window for the two
- 2 hetero-specific population pairs (LP and SM). We used Approximate Bayesian Computation
- 3 (ABC) to estimate migration rates between these 'parapatric' taxa based on a non-equilibrium
- 4 Wright-Fisher model with gene flow, and also provide estimates under an island equilibrium 1^{76} W
- 5 model⁷⁶. We used the Hidden Markov Model⁴⁰ approach employed in T. cristinae to assign 6 each of the 20-kb windows into groups of background or accentuated (i.e., 'high') levels of
- 6 each of the 20-kb windows into groups of background of accentuated (i.e., high) levels of 7 differentiation. Finally, we quantified minor allele frequencies (MAFs) for HMM regions of
- accentuated differentiation (for the taxon pairs where such regions were detected), and
- 9 compared them to MAFs for the genomic background. We did so for the previously published
- 10 ecotype pairs¹³, and the 10 pairs with new whole-genome data (Table S5).
- 11

12 Genotyping-by-sequencing (GBS) and stages of speciation. We sampled 47 widely

- 13 distributed geographic localities across California for Timema, with the over-arching goal of
- 14 sampling the greatest possible diversity of hosts, localities, and sexual Timema species. In
- total, we collected 1545 individuals of 12 Timema species (one sample was from an asexual
- 16 species) from 13 host plant genera. The data set includes all the Californian sexual species of
- 17 Timema (the others are found outside California). We extracted DNA and prepared libraries for
- 18 GBS sequencing of all these individuals, as in previous work 39 .
- 19

20 We aligned reads to the T. cristinae reference genome¹³ using BOWTIE2 2.1.0⁷⁷. Quality control

- filtering resulted in a dataset of 1505 individuals from 11 species that we used for all
- 22 downstream analyses. Variants were called using SAMTOOLS mpileup and BCFTOOLS using the
- full prior, requiring the probability of the data to be less than 0.5 under the null hypothesis that
- all samples were homozygous for the reference allele to call a variant. We ignored insertion
- and deletion polymorphisms. For each population and variant, we inferred maximum-
- 26 likelihood allele frequencies from the genotype likelihoods by means of the iterative soft
- 27 expectation-maximization algorithm (EM) described in⁷³, and measured genome-wide genetic
- 28 differentiation between pairs of populations using the Hudson's F_{ST}^{72} .
- 29

30 For conspecific populations found in different geographic localities we used a Bayesian

- 31 hierarchical regression model to quantify the association between log geographic distance and
- 32 logit F_{ST}. Slope and intercept terms were modelled hierarchically and allowed to vary by
- 33 species. Non-informative priors were placed on the overall (across species) intercept and slope
- 34 coefficients (Normal(mu = 0, tau = 1e-6) for means, and gamma (alpha = 0.01, beta = 0.01) for
- all precision terms. Parameters were inferred using MCMC via the rjags interface with R. We
- 36 ran three chains, each with a 20,000 iteration burn-in, 50,000 sampling iterations and a
- 37 thinning interval of 10.
- 38
- 39 We estimated genetic structure and potential admixture using a hierarchical Bayesian model
- 40 that jointly estimates genotypes and admixture proportions as implemented in the program 78
- 41 ENTROPY 1.2b⁵³. This model is similar to the popular STRUCTURE algorithm⁷⁸ but accounts for
- 42 sequencing errors and genotype uncertainties inherent to next-generation sequencing methods
- 43 in a way comparable to other approaches⁷⁹. We estimated parameters for a model with K=2
- 44 population clusters for every pair of populations found at the same geographic locality but
- 45 belonging to different species, and K=number-of-host-plants clusters for conspecific
- 46 populations found at the same locality. Moreover, we used the Deviance Information Criterion
- 47 (DIC) to evaluate if the models fitted better than K=1.
- 48

49 Maximum-likelihood phylogenetic inference and genealogical sorting index (GSI). We

50 inferred 1000 maximum-likelihood bootstrap trees using the rapid heuristic algorithm

3 the genealogical sorting index (GSI) using the R package genealogicalSorting 0.92⁵⁴. GSI is a 4 statistic that measures the degree of exclusive ancestry of groups of individuals in a tree. It 5 ranges from 0 when all the nodes of the tree are required to unite the group, to 1 when a group 6 is genealogically exclusive (i.e. individuals are united by the minimum possible number of 7 nodes). For each bootstrap tree, we calculated GSI values for the 166 groups with at least 2 8 individuals delimited by species (11), species and locality (56), and species, locality, and host 9 (98). We plotted the joint distribution of GSI values from all bootstrap trees for sympatric 10 species (5), geographic localities within species (37), and conspecific host ecotypes within 11 localities (90) (Fig. 5C). Bootstrap trees and tables with GSI values are deposited in Dryad. 12 13 Estimation of sexual isolation and morphological differentiation between species. We 14 estimated sexual isolation between species by calculating the IPSI index on previously published mating trial data within and between species⁴⁵. We excluded the data from T. boharti 15 16 due to uncertain species ID, but including them does not alter our conclusion. To measure morphological differentiation within and among species, we measured morphological traits of 17 18 978 adult individuals from different Timema species (Tables S11, S12). We captured 19 specimens by sweep netting their host plants in localities that broadly overlap with those used 20 in our genetic survey. We photographed specimens with a digital Canon EOS 70D camera 21 equipped with a macro lens (Canon EF 100mm f/2.8L Macro IS USM) and two external 22 flashes (Yongnuo YN560-II speedlights). We took the images with the camera set on manual, 23 an aperture of f/14, a shutter speed of 1/250 s, and flashes adjusted to 1/4 power in S2 mode in an output angle corresponding to 24-mm focal length on full frame (~84° diagonal). To avoid 24 25 strong shadows and create an even, soft lighting, we diffused both flashes with LumiQuest 26 SoftBox LTp softboxes, following the manufacturer's instructions. With these flash 27 adjustments, we were able to standardise the light reducing external luminosity interference. In 28 addition to Timema specimens, the pictures included a ruler and a standard colour chip 29 (Colorgauge Micro, Image Science Associates LLC, Williamson, NY, USA). We 30 photographed each insect at least twice, in positions that varied perpendicularly to capture the 31 body colour without traces of gleam or shade. We linearized and corrected each picture for the 32 white balance, adjusting the Temperature and the Tint based on the values obtained from the 33 colour chip neutral grey colour (target #10), using ADOBE PHOTOSHOP LIGHTROOM 5.7 34 software (Adobe Systems Software Ireland Ltd). Only minor corrections were necessary, as the 35 measurements did not vary appreciably among pictures. We adjusted pictures for the 36 Temperature to 5950 and for the Tint to +2, and exported them as TIFF files. 37 38 From the standardized images we collected phenotypic measurements using the software 39 IMAGE J 1.4.8⁸². We extracted the following size measurements: (i) body length (BL, from the 40 tip of the head to the base of the abdomen, not including external genitalia), (ii) body width 41 (BW, the widest point of the second thoracic segment), and (iii) head width (HW, the distance 42 between the eyes). We scaled the pictures using the ruler as reference, thus being able to 43 convert all linear measurements from units of pixels into centimetres. To quantify variation in 44 colour, we recorded mean RGB (Red, Green, Blue) values using the polygon section tool and

implemented in RAxML 8.2.9^{80,81}. We used a curated dataset of 19,556 single nucleotide

variants (SNVs) for 1505 individuals, which we partitioned by linkage group. We calculated

1 2

- 45 colour histogram plugin in ImageJ. We took the colour measurements on the lateral and dorsal
- 46 margin of the second thoracic and fourth abdominal segments. We obtained the mean between
- the two measurements done in the lateral margin and between the two in the dorsal part. Wethen converted these raw RGB values to variables representing two colour channels and one
- 48 then converted these raw RGB values to variables representing two colour channels and one
 49 luminance channel, as previously suggested⁸³. We calculated a red-green (RG) colour channel
- 50 using the relationship (R-G)/(R+G), a green-blue (GB) colour channel as (G-B)/(G+B), and a

luminance (L) (i.e., brightness) channel as (R+G+B). While this method of measuring colour
 does not account for how colour is sensed by a potential receiver (e.g., conspecific or predator),
 it does represent an unbiased quantification of colour that is useful in a comparative context.

4

We thus describe morphology based on size (i.e., BL, BW, HW) and on colour channels, with
values for lateral red-green (latRG), lateral green-blue (latGB), lateral luminance (latL), dorsal
red-green (dorRG), dorsal green-blue (dorGB), and dorsal luminance (dorL). Following trait
measurements, we performed a principal component analysis (PCA) using all measured traits,
extracting the scaled score of the first four axes for each individual. We conducted separate
PCA analyses for each sex, given notable sexual dimorphism in the morphology. The first four

11 axes account for 87 and 83% of the variation in males and females, respectively (Table S12).

12 We then estimated morphological distances using pairwise Euclidean distance values between

13 different species and among populations within species, following 84 .

14

15 Phylogenetics and molecular dating. On account of the absence of Timema fossils and the 16 poor fossil record of stick insects, we used secondary calibrations derived from a timecalibrated tree of insects (Tables S13-14). To infer such a tree, we retrieved from GenBank 17 18 sequences of nine molecular markers (four mitochondrial genes and five nuclear genes) for 41 19 genera belonging to 13 orders, placing particular emphasis on ensuring a good representation of stick insects and including the three main clades of Timema: Northern, Southern and Santa 20 21 Barbara. For divergence time estimation, we chose six calibrations for phylogenetically well-22 supported groups based on robust fossil data (OSM for details; Table S13). We carried out Bayesian phylogenetic inference with BEAST 2.1.3^{85,86}, which allows co-inference of tree 23 topology and divergence times using a relaxed molecular clock and incorporating uncertainty 24 25 in calibrations as priors in the form of statistical distributions. Subsequently, we used the 26 divergence time posterior distributions for the root of Timema (split between the Northern + 27 Santa Barbara clades and the Southern clade) and the split between the Northern clade and the 28 Santa Barbara clade for calibrating the tree of Timema populations based on GBS data (Table 29 S14). We inferred this tree using BEAST with the same curated dataset of 19,556 SNVs used for 30 the inference of maximum-likelihood bootstrap trees, but pooled by species and locality (for a 31 total of 57 populations). We partitioned by linkage group and incorporated secondary 32 calibrations as priors in the form of Γ distributions. Details concerning GenBank sequences, 33 multiple alignments, and phylogenetic trees are deposited in Dryad. 34 35 All References in main text and methods 36

- Seehausen, O. *et al.* Genomics and the origin of species. *Nature Reviews Genetics* **15**, 176-192, doi:10.1038/nrg3644 (2014).
- 2 Coyne, J. A. & Orr, H. A. *Speciation*. 1st edn, (Sinauer Associates, 2004).
- 40 3 Flaxman, S., Walchoder, A., Feder, J. L. & Nosil, P. Theoretical models of the
- 41 influence of genomic architecture on speciation. *Mol. Ecol.* **23**, 4074-4088 (2014).
- 42 4 Wu, C. The genic view of the process of speciation. *J. Evol. Biol.* **14**, 851-865 (2001).
- 435Mallet, J. A Species Definition for the Modern Synthesis. Trends Ecol. Evol. 10, 294-44299 (1995).
- Feder, J. L., Egan, S. P. & Nosil, P. The genomics of speciation-with-gene-flow. *Trends in Genetics* 28, 342-350 (2012).
- 47 7 Poelstra, J. W. *et al.* The genomic landscape underlying phenotypic integrity in the
- 48 face of gene flow in crows. *Science* 344, 1410-1414, doi:10.1126/science.1253226
 49 (2014).

1	0	
1	8	Nadeau, N. J. <i>et al.</i> Population genomics of parallel hybrid zones in the mimetic
2		butterflies, H. melpomene and H. erato. <i>Genome Research</i> 24 , 1316-1333,
3	0	doi:10.1101/gr.169292.113 (2014).
4	9	Nadeau, N. J. <i>et al.</i> Genomic islands of divergence in hybridizing Heliconius
5		butterflies identified by large-scale targeted sequencing. <i>Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B</i> -
6		Biol. Sci. 367 , 343-353 (2012).
7	10	Michel, A. P. <i>et al.</i> Widespread genomic divergence during sympatric speciation.
8		Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 107 , 9724-9729,
9	· · ·	doi:10.1073/pnas.1000939107 (2010).
10	11	Nosil, P., Egan, S. P. & Funk, D. J. Heterogeneous genomic differentiation between
11		walking-stick ecotypes: "Isolation by adaptation" and multiple roles for divergent
12		selection. <i>Evolution</i> 62 , 316-336 (2008).
13	12	Shafer, A. B. A. & Wolf, J. B. W. Widespread evidence for incipient ecological
14		speciation: a meta-analysis of isolation-by-ecology. <i>Ecol. Lett.</i> 16 , 940-950,
15		doi:10.1111/ele.12120 (2013).
16	13	Soria-Carrasco, V. et al. Stick Insect Genomes Reveal Natural Selection's Role in
17		Parallel Speciation. <i>Science</i> 344 , 738-742, doi:10.1126/science.1252136 (2014).
18	14	Egan, S. P. et al. Experimental evidence of genome-wide impact of ecological
19		selection during early stages of speciation-with-gene-flow. <i>Ecol. Lett.</i> 18 , 817-825,
20		doi:10.1111/ele.12460 (2015).
21	15	Burke, M. K. How does adaptation sweep through the genome? Insights from long-
22		term selection experiments. Proc. R. Soc. B-Biol. Sci. 279 , 5029-5038,
23		doi:10.1098/rspb.2012.0799 (2012).
24	16	Lamichhaney, S. et al. Population-scale sequencing reveals genetic differentiation
25		due to local adaptation in Atlantic herring. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 109, 19345-
26		19350, doi:10.1073/pnas.1216128109 (2012).
27	17	Lawniczak, M. K. N. et al. Widespread divergence between incipient Anopheles
28		gambiae species revealed by whole genome sequences. <i>Science</i> 330 , 512-514,
29		doi:10.1126/science.1195755 (2010).
30	18	Gavrilets, S. Fitness landscapes and the origin of species. Vol. 41 (Princeton
31		University Press, 2004).
32	19	Barton, N. H. Multilocus clines. Evolution 37 , 454-471 (1983).
33	20	Kirkpatrick, M. & Ravigné, V. Speciation by natural and sexual selection: Models
34		and experiments. <i>Am. Nat.</i> 159 , S22-S35 (2002).
35	21	Jiggins, C. D. & Mallet, J. Bimodal hybrid zones and speciation. <i>Trends Ecol. Evol.</i> 15,
36		250-255 (2000).
37	22	Nosil, P. Ecological Speciation. (Oxford University Press, 2012).
38	23	Turner, T. L. & Hahn, M. W. Genomic islands of speciation or genomic islands and
39		speciation? <i>Mol. Ecol.</i> 19 , 848-850, doi:10.1111/j.1365-294X.2010.04532.x
40		(2010).
41	24	Turner, T. L., Hahn, M. W. & Nuzhdin, S. V. Genomic islands of speciation in
42		Anopheles gambiae. <i>Plos Biology</i> 3 , 1572-1578 (2005).
43	25	Mayr, E. Animal species and evolution. (Harvard University Press, 1963).
44	26	Yeaman, S., Aeschbacher, S. & Burger, R. The evolution of genomic islands by
45		increased establishment probability of linked alleles. <i>Mol. Ecol.</i> 25 , 2542-2558,
46		doi:10.1111/mec.13611 (2016).
47	27	Brawand, D. et al. The genomic substrate for adaptive radiation in African cichlid
48		fish. <i>Nature</i> 513 , 375-+, doi:10.1038/nature13726 (2014).

1	28	Feulner, P. G. D. et al. Genomics of Divergence along a Continuum of Parapatric
2		Population Differentiation. <i>Plos Genetics</i> 11 , doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1004966
3		(2015).
4	29	Burri, R. et al. Linked selection and recombination rate variation drive the
5		evolution of the genomic landscape of differentiation across the speciation
6		continuum of Ficedula flycatchers. <i>Genome Research</i> 25 , 1656-1665,
7		doi:10.1101/gr.196485.115 (2015).
8	30	Martin, S. H. et al. Genome-wide evidence for speciation with gene flow in
9		Heliconius butterflies <i>Genome Research</i> 23, 1817-1828 (2013).
10	31	Cruickshank, T. E. & Hahn, M. W. Reanalysis suggests that genomic islands of
11		speciation are due to reduced diversity, not reduced gene flow. <i>Mol. Ecol.</i> 23 ,
12		3133-3157, doi:10.1111/mec.12796 (2014).
13	32	Darwin, C. On the origin of species by means of natural selection, or the preservation
14		of favoured races in the struggle for life. (John Murray, 1859).
15	33	Peccoud, J., Ollivier, A., Plantegenest, M. & Simon, J. C. A continuum of genetic
16		divergence from sympatric host races to species in the pea aphid complex. Proc.
17		Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 106 , 7495-7500, doi:10.1073/pnas.0811117106 (2009).
18	34	Mallet, J., Beltran, M., Neukirchen, W. & Linares, M. Natural hybridization in
19		heliconiine butterflies: the species boundary as a continuum. BMC Evol. Biol. 7, -
20		(2007).
21	35	Orr, H. A. The population-genetics of speciation - the evolution of hybrid
22		incompatibilities. <i>Genetics</i> 139 , 1805-1813 (1995).
23	36	Law, J. H. & Crespi, B. J. The evolution of geographic parthenogenesis in Timema
24		walking-sticks. <i>Mol. Ecol.</i> 11 , 1471–1489, doi:10.1046/j.1365-294X.2002.01547.x
25		(2002).
26	37	Nosil, P. Divergent host plant adaptation and reproductive isolation between
27		ecotypes of Timema cristinae walking sticks. <i>Am. Nat.</i> 169 , 151-162 (2007).
28	38	Nosil, P. & Sandoval, C. P. Ecological niche dimensionality and the evolutionary
29		diversification of stick insects. <i>PLoS One</i> 3 , e1907 (2008).
30	39	Nosil, P. et al. Genomic consequences of multiple speciation processes in a stick
31		insect. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences,
32		doi:10.1098/rspb.2012.0813 (2012).
33	40	Hofer, T., Foll, M. & Excoffier, L. Evolutionary forces shaping genomic islands of
34		population differentiation in humans. <i>BMC Genomics</i> 13 , doi:10710.1186/1471-
35		2164-13-107 (2012).
36	41	Sandoval, C. P. The effects of relative geographical scales of gene flow and
37		selection on morph frequencies in the walking-stick <i>Timema cristinae</i> . <i>Evolution</i>
38		48 , 1866-1879 (1994).
39	42	Comeault, A. A. et al. Selection on a Genetic Polymorphism Counteracts Ecological
40		Speciation in a Stick Insect. <i>Current Biology</i> 25 , 1-7,
41		doi:10.1016/j.cub.2015.05.058 (2015).
42	43	Nosil, P. & Hohenlohe, P. A. Dimensionality of sexual isolation during
43		reinforcement and ecological speciation in Timema cristinae stick insects.
44		Evolutionary Ecology Research 14, 467–485 (2012).
45	44	Nosil, P. & Crespi, B. J. Does gene flow constrain adaptive divergence or vice versa?
46		A test using ecomorphology and sexual isolation in Timema cristinae walking-
47	. –	sticks. Evolution 58, 102-112 (2004).
48	45	Schwander, T. <i>et al.</i> Hydrocarbon divergence and reproductive isolation in
49		Timema stick insects. <i>BMC Evol. Biol.</i> 13 , doi:10.1186/1471-2148-13-151 (2013).

1	46	Chung, H. et al. A Single Gene Affects Both Ecological Divergence and Mate Choice
2		in Drosophila. <i>Science</i> 343 , 1148-1151, doi:10.1126/science.1249998 (2014).
3	47	Yang, J. et al. Genome partitioning of genetic variation for complex traits using
4		common SNPs. <i>Nature Genetics</i> 43 , 519-U544, doi:10.1038/ng.823 (2011).
5	48	Zhou, X., Carbonetto, P. & Stephens, M. Polygenic Modeling with Bayesian Sparse
6		Linear Mixed Models. <i>Plos Genetics</i> 9 ,
7		doi:e100326410.1371/journal.pgen.1003264 (2013).
8	49	Arbuthnott, D. & Crespi, B. J. Courtship and mate discrimination within and
9		between species of Timema walking-sticks. <i>Anim. Behav.</i> 78 , 53-59,
10		doi:10.1016/j.anbehav.2009.02.028 (2009).
11	50	Grant, B. R. & Grant, P. R. Fission and fusion of Darwin's finches populations.
12		Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B-Biol. Sci. 363, 2821-2829, doi:10.1098/rstb.2008.0051
13		(2008).
14	51	Riesch, R., Barrett-Lennard, L. G., Ellis, G. M., Ford, J. K. B. & Deecke, V. B. Cultural
15		traditions and the evolution of reproductive isolation: ecological speciation in
16		killer whales? <i>Biol. J. Linnean Soc.</i> 106 , 1-17, doi:10.1111/j.1095-
17		8312.2012.01872.x (2012).
18	52	Wood, T. K. & Keese, M. C. Host-plant induced assortative mating in Enchenopa
19		treehoppers. <i>Evolution</i> 44 , 619-628 (1990).
20	53	Gompert, Z. et al. Admixture and the organization of genetic diversity in a butterfly
21		species complex revealed through common and rare genetic variants. <i>Mol. Ecol.</i>
22		23 , 4555-4573, doi:10.1111/mec.12811 (2014).
23	54	Cummings, M. P., Neel, M. C. & Shaw, K. L. A genealogical approach to quantifying
24		lineage divergence. <i>Evolution</i> 62, 2411-2422, doi:10.1111/j.1558-
25		5646.2008.00442.x (2008).
26	55	Buerkle, C. A. & Gompert, Z. Population genomics based on low coverage
27		sequencing: how low should we go? <i>Mol. Ecol.</i> 22 , 3028-3035,
28		doi:10.1111/mec.12105 (2013).
29	56	Fumagalli, M. et al. Quantifying Population Genetic Differentiation from Next-
30		Generation Sequencing Data. <i>Genetics</i> 195 , 979-+,
31		doi:10.1534/genetics.113.154740 (2013).
32	57	Barton, N. H. & Gale, K. S. GENETIC-ANALYSIS OF HYBRID ZONES. (1993).
33	58	Derryberry, E. P., Derryberry, G. E., Maley, J. M. & Brumfield, R. T. hzar: hybrid zone
34		analysis using an R software package. <i>Molecular Ecology Resources</i> 14 , 652-663,
35		doi:10.1111/1755-0998.12209 (2014).
36	59	Weir, B. S. & Cockerham, C. C. Estimating F-Statistics for the Analysis of Population
37		Structure. <i>Evolution</i> 38 , 1358-1370 (1984).
38	60	Baum, L. E., Petrie, T., Soules, G. & Weiss, N. A MAXIMIZATION TECHNIQUE
39		OCCURRING IN STATISTICAL ANALYSIS OF PROBABILISTIC FUNCTIONS OF
40		MARKOV CHAINS. Annals of Mathematical Statistics 41 , 164-&,
41		doi:10.1214/aoms/1177697196 (1970).
42	61	Harte, D. <u>http://cran.at.r-project.org/web/packages/HiddenMarkov</u> . (2012).
43	62	HiddenMarkov: Hidden Markov Models (2015).
44	63	Gompert, Z. <i>et al.</i> Experimental evidence for ecological selection on genome
45	<i>.</i> .	variation in the wild. <i>Ecol. Lett.</i> 17 , 369-379, doi:10.1111/ele.12238 (2014).
46	64	Li, H. & Durbin, R. Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler
47		transform. <i>Bioinformatics</i> 25 , 1754-1760, doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btp324
48		(2009).

1		Discus M M Q Alley D A Levels of moto wars with a within and hot wars true
1	65	Blows, M. W. & Allan, R. A. Levels of mate recognition within and between two
2		Drosophila species and their hybrids. <i>Am. Nat.</i> 152 , 826-837, doi:10.1086/286211
3		(1998).
4	66	Rundle, H. D., Chenoweth, S. F., Doughty, P. & Blows, M. W. Divergent selection and
5		the evolution of signal traits and mating preferences. <i>Plos Biology</i> 3 , 1988-1995,
6		doi:ARTN e368DOI 10.1371/journal.pbio.0030368 (2005).
7	67	Aitchison, J. <i>The statistical analysis of compositional data</i> . 12th edn, (Chapman and
8	(0	Hall, 1986).
9	68	Wray, N. R., Yang, J., Goddard, M. E. & Visscher, P. M. The Genetic Interpretation of
10		Area under the ROC Curve in Genomic Profiling. <i>Plos Genetics</i> 6 ,
11	(0	doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1000864 (2010).
12	69	Nosil, P., Crespi, B. J. & Sandoval, C. P. Host-plant adaptation drives the parallel
13	70	evolution of reproductive isolation. <i>Nature</i> 417 , 440-443 (2002).
14 15	70	Rolan-Alvarez, E. & Caballero, M. Estimating sexual selection and sexual isolation
15		effects from mating frequencies. <i>Evolution</i> 54 , 30-36, doi:10.1111/j.0014-
16 17	71	3820.2000.tb00004.x (2000).
17	/1	Hudson, R. R., Slatkin, M. & Maddison, W. P. ESTIMATION OF LEVELS OF GENE FLOW FROM DNA-SEQUENCE DATA. <i>Genetics</i> 132 , 583-589 (1992).
10 19	72	Bhatia, G., Patterson, N., Sankararaman, S. & Price, A. L. Estimating and
20	12	interpreting F-ST: The impact of rare variants. <i>Genome Research</i> 23 , 1514-1521,
20 21		doi:10.1101/gr.154831.113 (2013).
22	73	Li, H. A statistical framework for SNP calling, mutation discovery, association
23	75	mapping and population genetical parameter estimation from sequencing data.
23 24		<i>Bioinformatics</i> 27 , 2987-2993, doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btr509 (2011).
2 4 25	74	Clarke, R. T., Rothery, P. & Raybould, A. F. Confidence limits for regression
26	/ 1	relationships between distance matrices: Estimating gene flow with distance.
20 27		Journal of Agricultural Biological and Environmental Statistics 7, 361-372,
28		doi:10.1198/108571102320 (2002).
29	75	Nei, M. <i>Molecular Evolutionary Genetics</i> . (Columbia University Press, 1987).
30	76	Wright, S. Isolation by distance. <i>Genetics</i> 28 , 114-138 (1943).
31	77	Langmead, B. & Salzberg, S. L. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. <i>Nature</i>
32	,,	Methods 9, 357-U354, doi:10.1038/nmeth.1923 (2012).
33	78	Pritchard, J. K., Stephens, M. & Donnelly, P. Inference of population structure using
34	70	multilocus genotype data. <i>Genetics</i> 155 , 945-959 (2000).
35	79	Skotte, L., Korneliussen, T. S. & Albrechtsen, A. Estimating Individual Admixture
36		Proportions from Next Generation Sequencing Data. <i>Genetics</i> 195 , 693-+,
37		doi:10.1534/genetics.113.154138 (2013).
38	80	Stamatakis, A. RAxML Version 8: A tool for Phylogenetic Analysis and Post-
39	00	Analysis of Large Phylogenies. <i>Bioinformatics</i> , btu033 %U
40		http://bioinformatics.oxfordjournals.org/content/early/2014/2001/2021/bioinf
41		<u>ormatics.btu2033</u> (2014).
42	81	Stamatakis, A., Hoover, P. & Rougemont, J. A Rapid Bootstrap Algorithm for the
43		RAxML Web Servers. Systematic Biology 57, 758-771,
44		doi:10.1080/10635150802429642 (2008).
45	82	Abràmoff, M. D., Magalhães, P. J. & Ram, S. J. Image Processing with ImageJ.
46		Biophotonics International 11 , 36–42 (2004).
47	83	Endler, J. A. A framework for analysing colour pattern geometry: adjacent colours.
48		<i>Biol. J. Linnean Soc.</i> 107 , 233-253, doi:10.1111/j.1095-8312.2012.01937.x (2012).

1	84	Beuttell, K. & Losos, J. B. Ecological morphology of Caribbean anoles.
2		Herpetological Monographs 13, 1-28, doi:10.2307/1467059 (1999).
3	85	Bouckaert, R., Alvarado-Mora, M. V. & Pinho, J. R. R. Evolutionary rates and HBV:
4		issues of rate estimation with Bayesian molecular methods. Antiviral Therapy 18,
5		497-503, doi:10.3851/IMP2656 (2013).
6	86	Bouckaert, R. et al. BEAST 2: A Software Platform for Bayesian Evolutionary
7		Analysis. <i>PLoS Comput Biol</i> 10 , e1003537, doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1003537
8		(2014).
9		